



## Tight Graphs and Their Primitive Idempotents

ARLENE A. PASCASIO

*Mathematics Department, De La Salle University, Manila 1004, Philippines*

**Abstract.** In this paper, we prove the following two theorems.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ . Suppose  $E$  and  $F$  are primitive idempotents of  $\Gamma$ , with cosine sequences  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  and  $\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d$ , respectively. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) *The entry-wise product  $E \circ F$  is a scalar multiple of a primitive idempotent of  $\Gamma$ .*
- (ii) *There exists a real number  $\epsilon$  such that*

$$\sigma_i \rho_i - \sigma_{i-1} \rho_{i-1} = \epsilon (\sigma_{i-1} \rho_i - \sigma_i \rho_{i-1}) \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$  and eigenvalues  $\theta_0 > \theta_1 > \dots > \theta_d$ . Then Jurišić, Koolen and Terwilliger proved that the valency  $k$  and the intersection numbers  $a_1, b_1$  satisfy

$$\left( \theta_1 + \frac{k}{a_1 + 1} \right) \left( \theta_d + \frac{k}{a_1 + 1} \right) \geq \frac{-ka_1 b_1}{(a_1 + 1)^2}.$$

They defined  $\Gamma$  to be *tight* whenever  $\Gamma$  is not bipartite, and equality holds above.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$  and eigenvalues  $\theta_0 > \theta_1 > \dots > \theta_d$ . Let  $E$  and  $F$  denote nontrivial primitive idempotents of  $\Gamma$ .*

- (i) *Suppose  $\Gamma$  is tight. Then  $E, F$  satisfy (i), (ii) in Theorem 1 if and only if  $E, F$  are a permutation of  $E_1, E_d$ .*
- (ii) *Suppose  $\Gamma$  is bipartite. Then  $E, F$  satisfy (i), (ii) in Theorem 1 if and only if at least one of  $E, F$  is equal to  $E_d$ .*
- (iii) *Suppose  $\Gamma$  is neither bipartite nor tight. Then  $E, F$  never satisfy (i), (ii) in Theorem 1.*

**Keywords:** tight graph, distance-regular, association scheme, Krein parameter

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with vertex set  $X$  and diameter  $d \geq 3$ . Let  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d$  denote the primitive idempotents of  $\Gamma$  (see definitions in the next section). It is well-known

$$E_i \circ E_j = |X|^{-1} \sum_{h=0}^d q_{ij}^h E_h \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq d), \tag{1}$$

This work was done when the author was an Honorary Fellow at the University of Wisconsin-Madison (September 1996–August 1997) supported by the Department of Science and Technology, Philippines.

where  $\circ$  denotes the entry-wise matrix product and where  $q_{ij}^h$  are the Krein parameters. By (1), and since  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d$  are linearly independent we see that for all integers  $i, j (0 \leq i, j \leq d)$ , the following are equivalent.

- (i)  $E_i \circ E_j$  is a scalar multiple of a primitive idempotent of  $\Gamma$ .
- (ii)  $q_{ij}^h \neq 0$  for exactly one  $h \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ .

In this paper, we investigate pairs  $E_i$  and  $E_j$  for which (i), (ii) hold above. We state our main results in Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 below.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ . Suppose  $E$  and  $F$  are primitive idempotents of  $\Gamma$ , with cosine sequences  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  and  $\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d$ , respectively. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $E \circ F$  is a scalar multiple of a primitive idempotent of  $\Gamma$ .
- (ii) There exists a real number  $\epsilon$  such that

$$\sigma_i \rho_i - \sigma_{i-1} \rho_{i-1} = \epsilon (\sigma_{i-1} \rho_i - \sigma_i \rho_{i-1}) \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$  and eigenvalues  $\theta_0 > \theta_1 > \dots > \theta_d$ . In [4], Jurišić, Koolen and Terwilliger proved that the valency  $k$  and the intersection numbers  $a_1, b_1$  satisfy

$$\left( \theta_1 + \frac{k}{a_1 + 1} \right) \left( \theta_d + \frac{k}{a_1 + 1} \right) \geq \frac{-ka_1 b_1}{(a_1 + 1)^2}. \quad (2)$$

They defined  $\Gamma$  to be *tight* whenever  $\Gamma$  is not bipartite and equality holds in (2). They showed that  $\Gamma$  is tight precisely when  $\Gamma$  is “1-homogeneous” and  $a_d = 0$ . They also obtained the following characterization of tight graphs, which will be useful later.

**Theorem 1.2** ([4]) *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a nonbipartite distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , and eigenvalues  $\theta_0 > \theta_1 > \dots > \theta_d$ . Let  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  denote eigenvalues of  $\Gamma$ , with respective cosine sequences  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  and  $\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d$ . Let  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\Gamma$  is tight,  $\theta, \theta'$  are a permutation of  $\theta_1, \theta_d$  and  $\epsilon = \frac{\sigma \rho - 1}{\rho - \sigma}$ .
- (ii)  $\theta \neq \theta_0, \theta' \neq \theta_0$ , and

$$\sigma_i \rho_i - \sigma_{i-1} \rho_{i-1} = \epsilon (\sigma_{i-1} \rho_i - \sigma_i \rho_{i-1}) \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

Combining Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , and eigenvalues  $\theta_0 > \theta_1 > \dots > \theta_d$ . Let  $E$  and  $F$  denote nontrivial primitive idempotents of  $\Gamma$ .*

- (i) Suppose  $\Gamma$  is tight. Then  $E, F$  satisfy (i), (ii) in Theorem 1.1 if and only if  $E, F$  are a permutation of  $E_1, E_d$ .
- (ii) Suppose  $\Gamma$  is bipartite. Then  $E, F$  satisfy (i), (ii) in Theorem 1.1 if and only if at least one of  $E, F$  is equal to  $E_d$ .
- (iii) Suppose  $\Gamma$  is neither bipartite nor tight. Then  $E, F$  never satisfy (i), (ii) in Theorem 1.1.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we review some definitions and basic concepts. For more background information, the reader may refer to the books of Bannai and Ito [1], Brouwer et al. [2] or Godsil [3].

Throughout,  $\Gamma$  will denote a finite, undirected, connected graph without loops or multiple edges, with vertex set  $X$ , path-length distance function  $\partial$  and diameter  $d := \max\{\partial(x, y) \mid x, y \in X\}$ . We say  $\Gamma$  is *distance-regular* whenever for all integers  $h, i, j$  ( $0 \leq h, i, j \leq d$ ) and for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $\partial(x, y) = h$ , the number

$$p_{ij}^h := |\{z \in X \mid \partial(x, z) = i, \partial(y, z) = j\}|$$

is independent of  $x$  and  $y$ . The integers  $p_{ij}^h$  are called the *intersection numbers* for  $\Gamma$ . We abbreviate  $a_i := p_{1i}^i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ),  $b_i := p_{1i+1}^i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d-1$ ),  $c_i := p_{1i-1}^i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ), and  $k_i := p_{ii}^0$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ). Observe

$$c_i + a_i + b_i = k \quad (0 \leq i \leq d), \quad (3)$$

where  $k := k_1 = b_0$ ,  $c_0 := 0$  and  $b_d := 0$ .

It is known, by [1], (Chapter 3, Proposition 1.2)

$$k_i = \frac{b_0 b_1 b_2 \cdots b_{i-1}}{c_1 c_2 c_3 \cdots c_i} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d). \quad (4)$$

Hereon, we assume  $\Gamma$  is distance-regular.

Let  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  denote the algebra of matrices over  $\mathbb{C}$  with rows and columns indexed by  $X$ . For each integer  $i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ), the  *$i$ th distance matrix*  $A_i \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  has  $x, y$  entry

$$(A_i)_{xy} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \partial(x, y) = i \\ 0, & \text{if } \partial(x, y) \neq i \end{cases} \quad (x, y \in X).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= I, \\ A_0 + A_1 + \cdots + A_d &= J, \\ A_i^t &= A_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq d), \\ A_i A_j &= \sum_{h=0}^d p_{ij}^h A_h \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq d), \end{aligned}$$

where  $J$  denotes the all 1's matrix. The matrices  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_d$  form a basis for a commutative semi-simple  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $M$ , called the *Bose-Mesner algebra* of  $\Gamma$ . By [1], (Section 2.3)

$M$  has a second basis  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= |X|^{-1}J, \\ E_0 + E_1 + \dots + E_d &= I, \\ E_i^t &= E_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq d), \\ E_i E_j &= \delta_{ij} E_i \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq d). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d$  are called the *primitive idempotents* of  $\Gamma$ , and  $E_0$  is called the *trivial idempotent*.

Set  $A := A_1$  and define  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$A = \sum_{i=0}^d \theta_i E_i.$$

It is known  $\theta_0 = k$ , and that  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$  are distinct real numbers. Moreover,  $k \geq \theta_i \geq -k$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ), see [1], (Theorem 1.3). We refer to  $\theta_i$  as the *eigenvalue* of  $\Gamma$  associated with  $E_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ). We call  $\theta_0$  the *trivial eigenvalue* of  $\Gamma$ . For each integer  $i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ), let  $m_i$  denote the rank of  $E_i$ . We refer to  $m_i$  as the *multiplicity* of  $E_i$  (or  $\theta_i$ ). We observe from (5) that  $m_0 = 1$ .

Let  $\theta$  denote an eigenvalue of  $\Gamma$ , let  $E$  denote the associated primitive idempotent, and let  $m$  denote the multiplicity of  $E$ . By [1], (Section 2.3) there exist  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$E = |X|^{-1}m \sum_{i=0}^d \sigma_i A_i.$$

It follows from [1], (Chapter 2, Proposition 3.3 (iii)) that  $\sigma_0 = 1$ . We call  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  the *cosine sequence* of  $\Gamma$  associated with  $E$  (or  $\theta$ ). The cosine sequence associated with  $E_0$  consists entirely of ones, see [2], (Section 4.1B). We shall often denote  $\sigma_1$  by  $\sigma$ .

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ . For any  $\theta, \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d \in \mathbb{C}$ , the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  is a cosine sequence of  $\Gamma$  and  $\theta$  is the associated eigenvalue.
- (ii)  $\sigma_0 = 1$ , and

$$c_i \sigma_{i-1} + a_i \sigma_i + b_i \sigma_{i+1} = \theta \sigma_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq d), \tag{6}$$

where  $\sigma_{-1}$  and  $\sigma_{d+1}$  are indeterminates.

- (iii)  $\sigma_0 = 1, k\sigma = \theta$  and

$$c_i(\sigma_{i-1} - \sigma_i) - b_i(\sigma_i - \sigma_{i+1}) = k(\sigma - 1)\sigma_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq d), \tag{7}$$

where  $\sigma_{d+1}$  is an indeterminate.

**Proof:**

(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) See [2], (Proposition 4.1.1).

(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) Follows from (3).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2 (Christoffel-Darboux Formula)** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ . For cosine sequences  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  and  $\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d$  of  $\Gamma$ ,*

$$(\sigma - \rho) \sum_{h=0}^i k_h \sigma_h \rho_h = \frac{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_i}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i} (\sigma_{i+1} \rho_i - \sigma_i \rho_{i+1}) \quad (0 \leq i \leq d), \quad (8)$$

where  $\sigma_{d+1}$  and  $\rho_{d+1}$  are indeterminates.

**Proof:** See [1], (Theorem 1.3) or [3], (Lemma 3.1).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , and let  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  denote a sequence of complex numbers. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i)  $\sigma_0 = 1$ , and

$$(\sigma - 1) \sum_{h=0}^i k_h \sigma_h = \frac{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_i}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i} (\sigma_{i+1} - \sigma_i) \quad (0 \leq i \leq d), \quad (9)$$

where  $\sigma_{d+1}$  is an indeterminate.

(ii)  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  is a cosine sequence of  $\Gamma$ .

**Proof:**

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) We show (7) holds. Pick an integer  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ). By (9) (with  $i$  replaced by  $i - 1$ ) we have

$$(\sigma - 1) \sum_{h=0}^{i-1} k_h \sigma_h = \frac{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_{i-1}}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{i-1}} (\sigma_i - \sigma_{i-1}). \quad (10)$$

Subtracting Eq. (10) from Eq. (9) and eliminating  $k_i$  from the result using (4), we get (7) as desired. Now  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  is a cosine sequence by Lemma 2.1 (i) and (iii).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Observe  $\sigma_0 = 1$  by Lemma 2.1 (ii). To obtain (9), in Lemma 2.2 let  $\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d$  denote the cosine sequence for  $E_0$ , that is,  $\rho_i = 1$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ).  $\square$

The graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be *bipartite* whenever  $a_i = 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .

**Lemma 2.4** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , valency  $k$ , and eigenvalues  $\theta_0 > \theta_1 > \cdots > \theta_d$ . Let  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  denote the cosine sequence for  $\theta_d$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\Gamma$  is bipartite.
- (ii)  $\theta_d = -k$ .
- (iii)  $\sigma_i = (-1)^i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ).

**Proof:**

(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) See [2], (Proposition 3.2.3).

(i), (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Follows easily from Lemma 2.1 (i), (ii).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Observe  $\sigma_1 = -1$ , and  $\theta_d = \sigma_1 k$  by Lemma 2.1 (i), (iii), so  $\theta_d = -k$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a bipartite distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , and eigenvalues  $\theta_0 > \theta_1 > \dots > \theta_d$ . Pick any integer  $i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ). Then*

- (i)  $\theta_i = -\theta_{d-i}$ ,
- (ii)  $m_i = m_{d-i}$ ,
- (iii) *Let  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  denote the cosine sequence for  $\theta_i$ . Then the cosine sequence for  $\theta_{d-i}$  is  $\sigma_0, -\sigma_1, \sigma_2, -\sigma_3, \dots, (-1)^d \sigma_d$ .*

**Proof:**

(i), (ii) See [2], (Proposition 3.2.3).

(iii) By Lemma 2.1 (ii) and recalling that  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_d$  are all 0, it suffices to show

$$c_j(-1)^{j-1}\sigma_{j-1} + b_j(-1)^{j+1}\sigma_{j+1} = \theta_{d-i}(-1)^j\sigma_j \quad (0 \leq j \leq d). \quad (11)$$

By Lemma 2.1 (i), (ii), and since  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  is a cosine sequence for  $\theta_i$ ,

$$c_j\sigma_{j-1} + b_j\sigma_{j+1} = \theta_i\sigma_j \quad (0 \leq j \leq d). \quad (12)$$

Evaluating (12) using Lemma 2.5 (i) we obtain (11), as desired.  $\square$

Let  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  denote a finite sequence of nonzero real numbers. By *the number of sign changes* in this sequence we mean the number of integers  $i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d-1$ ) such that  $\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1} < 0$ . For an arbitrary finite sequence of real numbers, the number of sign changes in it is the number of sign changes in the sequence obtained by disregarding the zero terms.

**Lemma 2.6** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , and eigenvalues  $\theta_0 > \theta_1 > \dots > \theta_d$ . Let  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  denote a cosine sequence of  $\Gamma$ , and let  $\theta$  denote the corresponding eigenvalue. For any integer  $i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ), the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\theta = \theta_i$ .
- (ii)  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  has exactly  $i$  sign changes.

*Moreover, suppose  $i \geq 1$ , and that (i), (ii) hold. Then the sequence  $\sigma_0 - \sigma_1, \sigma_1 - \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{d-1} - \sigma_d$  has exactly  $i - 1$  sign changes.*

**Proof:** See [2], (Corollary 4.1.2) or [3], (Lemma 2.1).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , and eigenvalues  $\theta_0 > \theta_1 > \cdots > \theta_d$ . Let  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  denote the cosine sequence associated with  $\theta_1$ . Then*

$$\sigma_0 > \sigma_1 > \cdots > \sigma_d. \quad (13)$$

**Proof:** By Lemma 2.6, the sequence  $\sigma_0 - \sigma_1, \sigma_1 - \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{d-1} - \sigma_d$  has no sign changes. Recall,  $\sigma_1 = \theta_1 k^{-1}$  and  $\sigma_0 = 1$  by Lemma 2.1 (iii), so

$$1 = \sigma_0 > \sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_d. \quad (14)$$

Suppose (13) fails. Then there exists an integer  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d - 1$ ) such that

$$\sigma_{i-1} > \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1}. \quad (15)$$

Setting  $\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1}$  in (7), we find in view of (15) that

$$\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} < 0. \quad (16)$$

Assume for now that  $i = d - 1$ , so  $\sigma_{d-1} = \sigma_d < 0$ . Using (15), and by setting  $i = d$  in (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= c_d(\sigma_{d-1} - \sigma_d) \\ &= k(\sigma - 1)\sigma_d, \end{aligned}$$

so  $\sigma_d = 0$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $i < d - 1$ . We may now argue, by (14), (15), (7) and (16)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq -b_{i+1}(\sigma_{i+1} - \sigma_{i+2}) \\ &= -b_{i+1}(\sigma_{i+1} - \sigma_{i+2}) + c_{i+1}(\sigma_i - \sigma_{i+1}) \\ &= k(\sigma - 1)\sigma_{i+1} \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. We now have (13), as desired.  $\square$

Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , and let  $M$  denote the Bose Mesner algebra of  $\Gamma$ . Since  $M$  is closed under the entrywise matrix product  $\circ$ , there exist scalars  $q_{ij}^h \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$E_i \circ E_j = |X|^{-1} \sum_{h=0}^d q_{ij}^h E_h \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq d). \quad (17)$$

We call the  $q_{ij}^h$  the *Krein parameters* of  $\Gamma$ .

### 3. The main results

Let  $E$  and  $F$  denote primitive idempotents of a distance-regular graph  $\Gamma$ . In this section, we focus on finding necessary and sufficient conditions such that  $E \circ F$  is a scalar multiple of a primitive idempotent of  $\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ . For all integers  $h, i, j$  ( $0 \leq h, i, j \leq d$ ), the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $E_i \circ E_j$  is a scalar multiple of  $E_h$ .
- (ii)  $q_{ij}^r = 0$  for all  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\} \setminus h$ .

**Proof:** Follows immediately from (17) and the linear independence of  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ . Let  $E, F$  and  $H$  denote primitive idempotents of  $\Gamma$ , and let  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d; \rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d$  and  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d$  denote the associated cosine sequences. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $E \circ F$  is a scalar multiple of  $H$ .
- (ii)  $\gamma_i = \sigma_i \rho_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ).

Moreover, suppose (i), (ii) hold. Then the scalar referred to in (i) is equal to

$$m_\sigma m_\rho m_\gamma^{-1} |X|^{-1}, \quad (18)$$

where  $m_\sigma, m_\rho$  and  $m_\gamma$  denote the multiplicity of  $E, F$  and  $H$  respectively.

**Proof:** Observe

$$E = |X|^{-1} m_\sigma \sum_{i=0}^d \sigma_i A_i, \quad (19)$$

$$F = |X|^{-1} m_\rho \sum_{i=0}^d \rho_i A_i, \quad (20)$$

$$H = |X|^{-1} m_\gamma \sum_{i=0}^d \gamma_i A_i. \quad (21)$$

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) By assumption, there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$E \circ F = \alpha H. \quad (22)$$

Eliminating  $E, F$  and  $H$  in (22) using (19)–(21), and evaluating the result we find

$$m_\sigma m_\rho \sigma_i \rho_i = \alpha |X| m_\gamma \gamma_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq d). \quad (23)$$

Setting  $i = 0$  in (23), and recalling  $\sigma_0 = 1$ ,  $\rho_0 = 1$  and  $\gamma_0 = 1$ , we find

$$\alpha = m_\sigma m_\rho m_\gamma^{-1} |X|^{-1}. \quad (24)$$

Eliminating  $\alpha$  in (23) using (24), we obtain

$$\sigma_i \rho_i = \gamma_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq d),$$

as desired.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) By (19)–(21),

$$\begin{aligned} E \circ F &= |X|^{-2} m_\sigma m_\rho \sum_{i=0}^d \sigma_i \rho_i A_i \\ &= |X|^{-2} m_\sigma m_\rho \sum_{i=0}^d \gamma_i A_i \\ &= \alpha H, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha$  is as in (24). □

In the next lemma, we consider some examples.

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , and eigenvalues  $\theta_0 > \theta_1 > \dots > \theta_d$ .*

(i)  $E_0 \circ E_i = |X|^{-1} E_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq d)$ .

(ii) *Suppose  $\Gamma$  is bipartite. Then*

$$E_d \circ E_i = |X|^{-1} E_{d-i} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

**Proof:**

(i) Follows easily from (5).

(ii) By Lemma 2.4, the cosine sequence for  $E_d$  is  $1, -1, 1, \dots, (-1)^d$ . Let  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  denote the cosine sequence for  $E_i$ . By Lemma 2.5, the cosine sequence for  $E_{d-i}$  is  $\sigma_0, -\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, (-1)^d \sigma_d$ . Combining the above information with Lemma 3.2, we find  $E_d \circ E_i$  is a scalar multiple of  $E_{d-i}$ . The scalar is  $|X|^{-1}$  by (18), Lemma 2.5 (ii), and the fact that  $m_0 = 1$ . □

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ . Let  $E$  and  $F$  denote primitive idempotents of  $\Gamma$ , with cosine sequences  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  and  $\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d$ , respectively. The following are equivalent.*

(i)  $E \circ F$  is a scalar multiple of a primitive idempotent of  $\Gamma$ .

(ii) *There exists a real number  $\epsilon$  such that*

$$\sigma_i \rho_i - \sigma_{i-1} \rho_{i-1} = \epsilon (\sigma_{i-1} \rho_i - \sigma_i \rho_{i-1}) \quad (1 \leq i \leq d). \quad (25)$$

**Proof:**

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Suppose  $E \circ F$  is a scalar multiple of a primitive idempotent  $H$  of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d$  be the cosine sequence for  $H$ . First, assume  $E \neq F$  and set

$$\epsilon = \frac{\sigma\rho - 1}{\rho - \sigma}. \quad (26)$$

Pick an integer  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ). Observe by Lemma 3.2, Corollary 2.3, Lemma 2.2 and Eq. (26),

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i \rho_i - \sigma_{i-1} \rho_{i-1} &= \gamma_i - \gamma_{i-1} \\ &= (\gamma - 1) \frac{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{i-1}}{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_{i-1}} \sum_{h=0}^{i-1} k_h \gamma_h \\ &= (\sigma\rho - 1) \frac{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{i-1}}{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_{i-1}} \sum_{h=0}^{i-1} k_h \sigma_h \rho_h \\ &= (\sigma\rho - 1) \left( \frac{\sigma_i \rho_{i-1} - \sigma_{i-1} \rho_i}{\sigma - \rho} \right) \\ &= \epsilon (\sigma_{i-1} \rho_i - \sigma_i \rho_{i-1}), \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Next suppose  $E = F$ . Then  $\sigma_i = \rho_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ , so in view of Lemma 3.2,

$$\gamma_i = \sigma_i^2 \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

Observe  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d$  are all non-negative, so  $H = E_0$  by Lemma 2.6. In particular,  $\gamma_i = 1$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ , so

$$\sigma_i^2 = 1 \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

Now, (25) holds for all  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Set

$$\gamma_i := \sigma_i \rho_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq d). \quad (27)$$

By Lemma 3.2, it suffices to show  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d$  is a cosine sequence. By Corollary 2.3, this will occur if we can show

$$(\gamma - 1) \sum_{h=0}^i k_h \gamma_h = \frac{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_i}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i} (\gamma_{i+1} - \gamma_i) \quad (28)$$

for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ , where  $\gamma_{d+1}$  is indeterminate. Setting  $i = 1$  in (25), we obtain

$$\sigma\rho - 1 = \epsilon(\rho - \sigma). \quad (29)$$

By Lemma 2.2,

$$(\sigma - \rho) \sum_{h=0}^i k_h \sigma_h \rho_h = \frac{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_i}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i} (\sigma_{i+1} \rho_i - \sigma_i \rho_{i+1}) \quad (30)$$

for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . We first show (28) holds at  $i = d$ . Observe that the right side of (30) vanishes at  $i = d$ . Combining this observation with (27) and (29), we find

$$(\gamma - 1) \sum_{h=0}^d k_h \gamma_h = (\sigma \rho - 1) \sum_{h=0}^d k_h \sigma_h \rho_h \quad (31)$$

$$= \epsilon(\rho - \sigma) \sum_{h=0}^d k_h \sigma_h \rho_h \quad (32)$$

$$= 0, \quad (33)$$

so (28) holds at  $i = d$ .

Next, we show (28) holds for  $i < d$ . Multiplying Eq. (30) by  $\epsilon$ , and simplifying the resulting equation using (25) and (29), we obtain

$$(\sigma \rho - 1) \sum_{h=0}^i k_h \sigma_h \rho_h = \frac{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_i}{c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i} (\sigma_{i+1} \rho_{i+1} - \sigma_i \rho_i), \quad (34)$$

which implies (28) in view of (27). We have shown (28) for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ , so  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d$  is a cosine sequence by Corollary 2.3.  $\square$

We conclude by determining which nontrivial primitive idempotents  $E$  and  $F$  satisfy (i), (ii) of Theorem 3.4. We consider three cases.

**Theorem 3.5** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a tight distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , and eigenvalues  $\theta_0 > \theta_1 > \cdots > \theta_d$ . Let  $E$  and  $F$  denote nontrivial primitive idempotents of  $\Gamma$ . The following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $E \circ F$  is a scalar multiple of a primitive idempotent of  $\Gamma$ .
- (ii)  $E, F$  are a permutation of  $E_1, E_d$ .

*Suppose (i), (ii) hold, and let  $H$  denote the primitive idempotent of  $\Gamma$  such that  $E \circ F$  is a scalar multiple of  $H$ . Then the eigenvalue associated with  $H$  is  $\theta_{d-1}$ . Moreover,*

$$k\theta_{d-1} = \theta_1\theta_d. \quad (35)$$

**Proof:**

(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) Follows from Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 3.4.

If (i), (ii) hold then the eigenvalues of  $\Gamma$  associated with  $E$  and  $F$  are a permutation of  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_d$ . Let  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  and  $\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d$  denote the cosine sequences for  $\theta_1$

and  $\theta_d$ , respectively. By Lemma 2.6,  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  has 1 sign change and  $\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d$  has  $d$  sign changes. Combining this with Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 2.7, we observe that the cosine sequence for  $H$ , namely  $\sigma_0\rho_0, \sigma_1\rho_1, \dots, \sigma_d\rho_d$  has  $d - 1$  sign changes. By Lemma 2.6, the eigenvalue associated with  $H$  is  $\theta_{d-1}$ . Finally, applying Lemma 2.1 (iii), we get  $\sigma_1 = \theta_1 k^{-1}$ ,  $\rho_1 = \theta_d k^{-1}$ , and  $\sigma_1\rho_1 = \theta_{d-1} k^{-1}$ , giving (35), as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a bipartite distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , and eigenvalues  $\theta_0 > \theta_1 > \dots > \theta_d$ . Let  $E$  and  $F$  denote nontrivial primitive idempotents of  $\Gamma$ . The following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $E \circ F$  is a scalar multiple of a primitive idempotent of  $\Gamma$ .
- (ii) At least one of  $E, F$  is equal to  $E_d$ .

**Proof:**

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$  and  $\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_d$  denote the cosine sequences for  $E$  and  $F$ , respectively. By Theorem 3.4, there exists a real number  $\epsilon$  such that

$$\sigma_i \rho_i - \sigma_{i-1} \rho_{i-1} = \epsilon(\sigma_{i-1} \rho_i - \sigma_i \rho_{i-1}) \quad (1 \leq i \leq d). \quad (36)$$

First assume  $E = F$ . Setting  $i = 1$  in (36), we observe  $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_0^2 = 1$ . Observe  $\sigma_1 \neq 1$  since  $E$  is nontrivial, so  $\sigma_1 = -1$ . Now  $E = E_d$  by Lemma 2.4 (i), (ii).

Next assume  $E \neq F$ . Setting  $i = 1, 2$  in (36), we get

$$\sigma \rho - 1 = \epsilon(\rho - \sigma), \quad (37)$$

$$\sigma_2 \rho_2 - \sigma \rho = \epsilon(\sigma \rho_2 - \sigma_2 \rho). \quad (38)$$

Let  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  denote the eigenvalues for  $E$  and  $F$ , respectively. Then by Lemma 2.1 (iii),

$$\sigma = \frac{\theta}{k}, \quad \rho = \frac{\theta'}{k}. \quad (39)$$

Recalling that  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_d$  are 0 since  $\Gamma$  is bipartite, and setting  $i = 1$  in (6) and (3), we get

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{\theta^2 - k}{k(k-1)}, \quad \rho_2 = \frac{\theta'^2 - k}{k(k-1)}. \quad (40)$$

Eliminating  $\epsilon$  in (38) using (37), and simplifying the result using (39) and (40), we obtain

$$(\theta^2 - k^2)(\theta'^2 - k^2) = 0.$$

Observe  $\theta \neq k$  and  $\theta' \neq k$ , since  $E$  and  $F$  are nontrivial, so one of  $\theta, \theta'$  is equal to  $-k$ . Thus, one of  $E, F$  is equal to  $E_d$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Follows immediately from Lemma 3.3 (ii).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , and suppose  $\Gamma$  is neither tight nor bipartite. Let  $E$  and  $F$  denote nontrivial primitive idempotents of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $E \circ F$  is never a scalar multiple of a primitive idempotent of  $\Gamma$ .*

**Proof:** Immediate from Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 3.4.  $\square$

### Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank Professor Paul Terwilliger for his ideas and many valuable suggestions, and Jack Koolen for observing that  $H$  is associated to  $\theta_{d-1}$  in Theorem 3.5.

### References

1. E. Bannai and T. Ito, *Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes*, Benjamin-Cummings Lecture Note Ser. 58, Benjamin-Cummings, Menlo Park, CA, 1984.
2. A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen, and A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer, New York, 1989.
3. C.D. Godsil, *Algebraic Combinatorics*, Chapman and Hall, New York, 1993.
4. A. Jurišić, J. Koolen, and P. Terwilliger, "Tight distance-regular graphs," submitted.