



ON THE ITERATED GREEN FUNCTIONS ON A BOUNDED DOMAIN AND THEIR RELATED KATO CLASS OF POTENTIALS

HABIB MÂAGLI AND NOUREDDINE ZEDDINI

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES,
FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TUNIS,
CAMPUS UNIVERSITAIRE,
2092 TUNIS, TUNISIA.
habib.maagli@fst.rnu.tn

noureddine.zeddini@ipein.rnu.tn

Received 30 May, 2006; accepted 18 February, 2007

Communicated by S.S. Dragomir

ABSTRACT. We use the results of Zhang [15, 16] and Davies [7] on the behavior of the heat kernel $p(t, x, y)$ on a bounded $C^{1,1}$ domain D to find again the result of Grunau-Sweers [9] concerning the estimates of the iterated Greens functions $G_{m,n}(D)$. Next, we use these estimates to characterize, by means of $p(t, x, y)$, the Kato class $K_{m,n}(D)$ and we give new examples of functions belonging to this class.

Key words and phrases: Green function, Gauss semigroup, Kato class.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34B27.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let D be a bounded $C^{1,1}$ domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$ and $p(t, x, y)$ be the density of the Gauss semigroup on D . Combining the results of Zhang [15], [16] and those of Davies or Davies-Simon [7], [8] a qualitatively sharp understanding of the boundary behaviour of $p(t, x, y)$ is given as follows: There exist positive constants c_1, c_2 and λ_0 depending only on D such that for all $t > 0$ and $x, y \in D$,

$$(1.1) \quad \left(\frac{\delta(x)}{\sqrt{t} \wedge 1} \wedge 1 \right) \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\sqrt{t} \wedge 1} \wedge 1 \right) \frac{c_1 e^{-\lambda_0 t - c_2 \frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}}{t^{\frac{n}{2}}} \\ \leq p(t, x, y) \leq \left(\frac{\delta(x)}{\sqrt{t} \wedge 1} \wedge 1 \right) \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\sqrt{t} \wedge 1} \wedge 1 \right) \frac{e^{-\lambda_0 t - \frac{|x-y|^2}{c_2 t}}}{c_1 t^{\frac{n}{2}}},$$

where $\delta(x)$ denotes the Euclidean distance from x to the boundary of D .

Let $G(x, y)$ be the Green's function of the laplacien Δ in D with a Dirichlet condition on ∂D . Then G is given by

$$(1.2) \quad G(x, y) = \int_0^\infty p(t, x, y) dt, \quad \text{for } x, y \in D.$$

For a positive integer m , we denote by $G_{m,n}$ the Green's function of the operator $u \mapsto (-\Delta)^m u$ on D with Navier boundary conditions $\Delta^j u = 0$ on ∂D for $0 \leq j \leq m - 1$. Then $G_{1,n} = G$ and $G_{m,n}$ satisfies for $m \geq 2$

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) = \int_D \int_D G(x, z) G_{m-1,n}(z, y) dz.$$

Using the Fubini theorem and the Chapman-Kolmogorov identity, we show by induction that for each $m \geq 1$ and $x, y \in D$ we have

$$(1.3) \quad G_{m,n}(x, y) = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_0^\infty t^{m-1} p(t, x, y) dt.$$

In this paper we will use (1.1) and (1.3) to find again the result of Grunau and Sweers in [9] concerning the sharp estimates of $G_{m,n}$. More precisely we will give another proof for the case $n \geq 3$ of the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (see [9]). *On D^2 we have*

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) \sim H_{m,n}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-2m}} \min\left(1, \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2}\right) & \text{if } n > 2m, \\ \text{Log}\left(1 + \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2}\right) & \text{if } n = 2m, \\ \sqrt{\delta(x)\delta(y)} \min\left(1, \frac{\sqrt{\delta(x)\delta(y)}}{|x-y|}\right) & \text{if } n = 2m - 1, \\ \delta(x)\delta(y) \text{Log}\left(2 + \frac{1}{|x-y|^2 + \delta(x)\delta(y)}\right) & \text{if } n = 2m - 2, \\ \delta(x)\delta(y) & \text{if } n < 2m - 2, \end{cases}$$

where the symbol \sim is defined in the notations below.

As a second step we will also use (1.1) and (1.3) to give new contributions in the case $n > 2m$ to the study of the Kato class $K_{m,n}(D)$ defined in [11] for $m = 1$ and in [2] for $m \geq 2$ as follows.

Definition 1.1. A Borel measurable function q in D belongs to the Kato class $K_{m,n}(D)$ if q satisfies the following condition

$$(1.4) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in D} \int_{D \cap (|x-y| \leq \alpha)} \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} G_{m,n}(x, y) |q(y)| dy = 0.$$

We note that in the case $m = 1$, the class $K_{1,n}(D)$ properly contains the classical Kato class $K_n(D)$ introduced in [1] as the natural class of singular functions which replaces the L^p -Lebesgue spaces in order that the weak solutions of the Shrödinger equation are continuous and satisfy a Harnack principle. More precisely, it is shown in [11] that the function $\rho_\alpha(y) = \frac{1}{\delta^\alpha(y)}$ belongs to $K_{1,n}(D)$ if and only if $\alpha < 2$ but for $1 \leq \alpha < 2$, $\rho_\alpha \notin K_n(D)$.

Our second contribution here is to exploit estimates of Theorem 1.1 on the one hand, to give new examples of functions belonging to the class $K_{m,n}(D)$ and to characterize this class by means of the density of the Gauss semigroup in D on the other hand. In particular we will prove the following results for the unit ball.

Proposition 1.2. For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in B(0, 1)$ we put

$$\rho_{\lambda, \mu}(y) = \frac{1}{(1 - |y|)^\lambda \left[\text{Log}\left(\frac{2}{1 - |y|}\right) \right]^\mu}.$$

For $m \geq 2$ we have

$$\rho_{\lambda, \mu} \in K_{m, n}(B(0, 1)) \text{ if and only if } \lambda < 3 \text{ or } (\lambda = 3 \text{ and } \mu > 1).$$

Theorem 1.3. Let $n > 2m$ and q be a Borel measurable function in D . Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- 1) $q \in K_{m, n}(B(0, 1))$
- 2) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\sup_{x \in B} \int_0^t \int_B \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} s^{m-1} p(s, x, y) |q(y)| dy ds \right) = 0$

We also note that in the case $m = 1$, similar characterizations have been obtained by Aizenman and Simon in [1] for the Kato class $K_n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and by Bachar and Mâagli in [4] for the half space \mathbb{R}_+^n , where they introduce a new Kato class that properly contains the classical one. This was extended for $m \geq 2$ by Mâagli and Zribi [12] to the class $K_{m, n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and by Bachar [3] to the class $K_{m, n}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. The density of the Gauss semigroup in the case of \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}_+^n are explicitly known, but this is not the case for a bounded $C^{1,1}$ domain even if D is an open ball.

In order to simplify our statements, we define some convenient notations.

Notations.

- i) For $x, y \in D$, we denote by $\delta(x)$ the Euclidean distance from x to the boundary of D , $[x, y]^2 = |x - y|^2 + \delta(x)\delta(y)$ and d is the diameter of D .
- ii) For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $a \wedge b = \min(a, b)$ and $a \vee b = \max(a, b)$.
- iii) Let f and g be two nonnegative functions on a set S .

We say that $f \preceq g$, if there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$f(x) \leq c g(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in S.$$

We say that $f \sim g$, if there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C} g(x) \leq f(x) \leq C g(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in S.$$

The following properties will be used several times

- iv) For $a, b \geq 0$, we have

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{ab}{a+b} \leq \min(a, b) \leq 2 \frac{ab}{a+b}$$

$$(1.6) \quad (a+b)^p \sim a^p + b^p \quad \text{for } p \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

$$(1.7) \quad \min(1, a) \min(1, b) \leq \min(1, ab) \leq \min(1, a) \max(1, b)$$

$$(1.8) \quad \frac{a}{1+a} \leq \text{Log}(1+a)$$

- v) Let $\eta, \nu > 0$ and $0 < \gamma \leq 1$. Then we have

$$(1.9) \quad \text{Log}(1+t) \preceq t^\gamma, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

$$(1.10) \quad \text{Log}(1+\eta t) \sim \text{Log}(1+\nu t), \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Finally we note that since for each $a \geq b \geq 0$ and $c > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(a+1)(b+1)}{1+ab} e^{-c(b-a)^2} &= \left(1 + \frac{a+b}{1+ab}\right) e^{-c(b-a)^2} \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{2a+\xi}{1+a(a+\xi)}\right) e^{-c\xi^2} \\ &\leq (2+\xi)e^{-c\xi^2} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using (1.5) we deduce that for each $x, y \in D$ and $0 < t \leq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \min\left(\frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{t}, 1\right) &\leq C \min\left(\frac{\delta(x)}{\sqrt{t}}, 1\right) \min\left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\sqrt{t}}, 1\right) e^{c\frac{|\delta(x)-\delta(y)|^2}{t}} \\ &\leq C \min\left(\frac{\delta(x)}{\sqrt{t}}, 1\right) \min\left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\sqrt{t}}, 1\right) e^{c\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}. \end{aligned}$$

So, using this fact, (1.7) and the fact that D is bounded we deduce that estimates (1.1) can be written as follows:

There exist positive constants c, C and λ such that

$$(1.11) \quad \frac{1}{C} h_{\frac{1}{c}, \lambda}(t, x, y) \leq p(t, x, y) \leq C h_{c, \lambda}(t, x, y),$$

where

$$(1.12) \quad h_{c, \lambda}(t, x, y) := \begin{cases} \min\left(\frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{t}, 1\right) \frac{e^{-c\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}}{t^{\frac{n}{2}}}, & \text{if } 0 < t \leq 1 \\ \delta(x)\delta(y)e^{-\lambda t}, & \text{if } t > 1. \end{cases}$$

Throughout the paper, the letter C will denote a generic positive constant which may vary from line to line.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

First we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *For each $x, y \in D$ we have*

a) *For $n \geq 2m$*

$$\delta(x)\delta(y) \leq \min\left(1, \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2}\right) \frac{d^{n-2m+2}}{|x-y|^{n-2m}}.$$

b)

$$\delta(x)\delta(y) \leq d^2 \min\left(1, \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2}\right) \leq 2d^2 \operatorname{Log}\left(1 + \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2}\right).$$

Now we will give the proof of Theorem 1.1. More precisely, using (1.3) and (1.11) we will prove that for each $c > 0$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty t^{m-1} h_{c, \lambda}(t, x, y) dt \sim H_{m, n}(x, y).$$

Without loss of generality we will assume that $\lambda = 1$, $c = 1$ and denote by $h_{1,1}(t, x, y) = h(t, x, y)$. Hence, using a change of variable, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty t^{m-1} h(t, x, y) dt &= C \delta(x) \delta(y) + \int_0^1 t^{m-1} \min\left(\frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{t}, 1\right) \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}}{t^{\frac{n}{2}}} dt \\ &= C \delta(x) \delta(y) + |x-y|^{2m-n} \int_{|x-y|^2}^\infty r^{\frac{n}{2}-m-1} \min\left(\frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2} r, 1\right) e^{-r} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Since we will sometimes omit e^{-r} and we need to integrate the functions $r \rightarrow r^{\frac{n}{2}-m-1}$ and $r \rightarrow r^{\frac{n}{2}-m}$ near zero or near ∞ , we will discuss the following cases

Case 1. $n > 2m$. Using (1.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \min\left(\frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2}, 1\right) \min(r, 1) &\leq \min\left(\frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2} r, 1\right) \\ &\leq \min\left(\frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2}, 1\right) \max(r, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the lower bound follows from the fact that

$$\int_{|x-y|^2}^\infty \min(1, r) r^{\frac{n}{2}-m-1} e^{-r} dr \geq \int_{d^2}^\infty \min(1, r) r^{\frac{n}{2}-m-1} e^{-r} dr = C$$

and the upper bound follows from Lemma 2.1.

Case 2. $n = 2m$. In this case

$$\int_0^\infty t^{m-1} h(t, x, y) dt = C \delta(x) \delta(y) + \int_{|x-y|^2}^\infty \min\left(\frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2}, \frac{1}{r}\right) e^{-r} dr.$$

So using (1.5) and the fact that

$$\frac{|x-y|^2 + (2d^2 + 1)\delta(x)\delta(y)}{1 + \delta(x)\delta(y)} \geq |x-y|^2 + \delta(x)\delta(y),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty t^{m-1} h(t, x, y) dt &\geq \int_{|x-y|^2}^{2d^2+1} \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2 + r\delta(x)\delta(y)} dr \\ &= C \operatorname{Log} \left(\frac{|x-y|^2 + (2d^2 + 1)\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2(1 + \delta(x)\delta(y))} \right) \\ &\geq C \operatorname{Log} \left(1 + \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

To prove the upper inequality we use (1.5), (1.11) and (1.10) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|x-y|^2}^{\infty} \min \left(\frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2}, \frac{1}{r} \right) e^{-r} dr \\
& \leq C \int_{|x-y|^2}^{\infty} \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{\delta(x)\delta(y)r + |x-y|^2} e^{-r} dr \\
& \leq C \int_{|x-y|^2}^{d^2+1} \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{\delta(x)\delta(y)r + |x-y|^2} dr + C \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{[x,y]^2} \int_{1+d^2}^{\infty} e^{-r} dr \\
& = C \operatorname{Log} \left(\frac{|x-y|^2 + (d^2+1)\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2(1+\delta(x)\delta(y))} \right) + C \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{[x,y]^2} \\
& \leq C \operatorname{Log} \left(1 + \frac{(d^2+1)\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2(1+\delta(x)\delta(y))} \right) + C \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x,y|^2 + \delta(x)\delta(y)} \\
& \leq C \operatorname{Log} \left(1 + \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the result follows from Lemma 2.1.

Case 3. $n = 2m - 1$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{\infty} t^{m-1} h(t, x, y) dt \\
& = C \delta(x)\delta(y) + |x-y| \int_{|x-y|^2}^{\infty} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \min \left(\frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2}, \frac{1}{r} \right) e^{-r} dr \\
& \leq C \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|} \left(d + \int_0^{\infty} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-r} dr \right) = C \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, an integration by parts shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |x-y| \int_{|x-y|^2}^{\infty} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \min \left(\frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2}, \frac{1}{r} \right) e^{-r} dr \\
& \leq C \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|} \int_0^{d^2 \frac{|x-y|^2}{\delta(x)\delta(y)}} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} dr + |x-y| \int_{d^2 \frac{|x-y|^2}{\delta(x)\delta(y)}}^{\infty} r^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-r} dr \\
& \leq C \sqrt{\delta(x)\delta(y)} + |x-y| \left[-2r^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-r} \right]_{d^2 \frac{|x-y|^2}{\delta(x)\delta(y)}}^{\infty} \\
& \leq C \sqrt{\delta(x)\delta(y)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_0^{\infty} t^{m-1} h(t, x, y) dt \leq C \min \left(\sqrt{\delta(x)\delta(y)}, \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|} \right).$$

For the lower inequality we discuss two subcases

- If $\delta(x)\delta(y) \leq |x-y|^2$. Then from (1.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\infty} t^{m-1} h(t, x, y) dt & \geq |x-y| \min \left(1, \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2} \right) \int_{1+d^2}^{\infty} r^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-r} dr \\
& = C \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|}.
\end{aligned}$$

- If $|x - y|^2 \leq \delta(x)\delta(y)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty t^{m-1} h(t, x, y) dt &\geq |x - y| \int_{|x-y|^2}^{\frac{4d^2|x-y|^2}{(\delta(x)\delta(y))}} \left(\frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2} \wedge \frac{1}{r} \right) r^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-r} dr \\ &\geq C \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|} \int_{|x-y|^2}^{\frac{4d^2|x-y|^2}{(\delta(x)\delta(y))}} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-r} dr \\ &\geq C \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|} \int_{|x-y|^2}^{\frac{4d^2|x-y|^2}{(\delta(x)\delta(y))}} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} dr \\ &\geq C \delta(x)\delta(y) \left[\frac{2d}{\sqrt{\delta(x)\delta(y)}} - 1 \right] \\ &\geq C \sqrt{\delta(x)\delta(y)} \left[2d - \sqrt{\delta(x)\delta(y)} \right] \\ &\geq C \sqrt{\delta(x)\delta(y)}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 4. $n = 2m - 2$. In this case, we use (1.5) to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty t^{m-1} h(t, x, y) dt \\ &= C\delta(x)\delta(y) + |x - y|^2 \int_{|x-y|^2}^\infty \left(\frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{r|x-y|^2} \wedge \frac{1}{r^2} \right) e^{-r} dr. \\ &\sim \delta(x)\delta(y) + \delta(x)\delta(y) \int_{|x-y|^2}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{\delta(x)\delta(y)r + |x-y|^2} \right) e^{-r} dr. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the upper estimates we remark first that

$$\delta(x)\delta(y) \leq C \delta(x)\delta(y) \text{Log} \left(2 + \frac{1}{[x, y]^2} \right)$$

and we discuss the following subcases

- If $\frac{1}{2} \leq \delta(x)\delta(y) \left(1 + \frac{1}{[x, y]^2} \right)$. Then $1 + \frac{1}{\delta(x)\delta(y)} \leq 4 + \frac{2}{[x, y]^2}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x-y|^2}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{\delta(x)\delta(y)r + |x-y|^2} \right) e^{-r} dr &\leq \int_{|x-y|^2}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{\delta(x)\delta(y)r + |x-y|^2} \right) dr \\ &= \text{Log} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta(x)\delta(y)} \right) \\ &\leq \text{Log} 2 + \text{Log} \left(2 + \frac{1}{[x, y]^2} \right) \\ &\leq C \text{Log} \left(2 + \frac{1}{[x, y]^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

- If $\delta(x)\delta(y) \left(1 + \frac{1}{[x,y]^2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then $\delta(x)\delta(y) ([x,y]^2 + 1) \leq \frac{1}{2} [x,y]^2$, which implies that $\delta(x)\delta(y) \leq |x - y|^2$ and consequently $[x,y]^2 \leq 2|x - y|^2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|x-y|^2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{\delta(x)\delta(y)r + |x-y|^2} \right) e^{-r} dr \\
& \leq C \operatorname{Log}(1 + \delta(x)\delta(y)) e^{-|x-y|^2} + C \int_{|x-y|^2}^{\infty} \operatorname{Log} \left(\frac{r}{\delta(x)\delta(y)r + |x-y|^2} \right) e^{-r} dr \\
& \leq C \operatorname{Log}(1 + d^2) e^{-|x-y|^2} + C \int_{|x-y|^2}^{\infty} \operatorname{Log} \left(\frac{r}{\delta(x)\delta(y)r + |x-y|^2} \right) e^{-r} dr \\
& \leq C \int_{|x-y|^2}^{\infty} \operatorname{Log} \left(\frac{(1 + d^2)r}{\delta(x)\delta(y)r + |x-y|^2} \right) e^{-r} dr \\
& \leq C \int_{|x-y|^2}^{\infty} \operatorname{Log} \left(\frac{(1 + d^2)r}{|x-y|^2(1 + \delta(x)\delta(y))} \right) e^{-r} dr \\
& \leq C \operatorname{Log} \left(\frac{1 + d^2}{|x-y|^2} \right) + C \int_{|x-y|^2}^{\infty} \operatorname{Log} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \delta(x)\delta(y)} r \right) e^{-r} dr \\
& \leq C \operatorname{Log} \left(\frac{1 + d^2}{|x-y|^2} \right) + C \int_{|x-y|^2}^{\infty} \operatorname{Log}(r) e^{-r} dr \\
& \leq C \operatorname{Log} \left(\frac{1 + d^2}{|x-y|^2} \right) + C \int_1^{\infty} \operatorname{Log}(r) e^{-r} dr \\
& \leq C + C \operatorname{Log} \left(\frac{1 + d^2}{|x-y|^2} \right) \leq C \operatorname{Log} \left(2 + \frac{1}{[x,y]^2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_0^{\infty} t^{m-1} h(t, x, y) dt \leq C \delta(x)\delta(y) \operatorname{Log} \left(2 + \frac{1}{[x,y]^2} \right).$$

Next we prove the lower estimates.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\infty} t^{m-1} h(t, x, y) dt & \sim \delta(x)\delta(y) + \delta(x)\delta(y) \int_{|x-y|^2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{\delta(x)\delta(y)r + |x-y|^2} \right) e^{-r} dr \\
& \geq C \delta(x)\delta(y) + C \delta(x)\delta(y) \int_{|x-y|^2}^{2d^2} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{\delta(x)\delta(y)r + |x-y|^2} \right) dr \\
& = C \delta(x)\delta(y) + C \delta(x)\delta(y) \operatorname{Log} \left(\frac{2d^2(1 + \delta(x)\delta(y))}{|x-y|^2 + 2d^2\delta(x)\delta(y)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha > 1$ such that $\alpha \frac{2d^2}{2d^2+1} > 2[x,y]^2 + 1; \forall x, y \in D$. Then we have

$$\frac{2\alpha d^2(1 + \delta(x)\delta(y))}{|x-y|^2 + 2d^2\delta(x)\delta(y)} \geq \frac{2\alpha d^2}{(1 + 2d^2)[x,y]^2} \geq 2 + \frac{1}{[x,y]^2}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty t^{m-1} h(t, x, y) dt \\ & \geq C \delta(x) \delta(y) \left[\text{Log } \alpha + \text{Log} \left(\frac{2d^2(1 + \delta(x)\delta(y))}{|x - y|^2 + 2d^2\delta(x)\delta(y)} \right) \right] \\ & \geq C \delta(x) \delta(y) \text{Log} \left(\frac{2\alpha d^2(1 + \delta(x)\delta(y))}{|x - y|^2 + 2d^2\delta(x)\delta(y)} \right) \\ & \geq C \delta(x) \delta(y) \text{Log} \left(2 + \frac{1}{[x, y]^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Case 5. $n < 2m - 2$. In this case we need only to prove the upper inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} & |x - y|^{2m-n} \int_{|x-y|^2}^\infty r^{\frac{n}{2}-m} \min \left(\frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x - y|^2}, \frac{1}{r} \right) e^{-r} dr \\ & \leq \delta(x)\delta(y) |x - y|^{2m-n-2} \int_{|x-y|^2}^{d^2 \frac{|x-y|^2}{\delta(x)\delta(y)}} r^{\frac{n}{2}-m} dr + |x - y|^{2m-n} \int_{d^2 \frac{|x-y|^2}{\delta(x)\delta(y)}}^\infty r^{\frac{n}{2}-m-1} dr \\ & \leq \frac{2}{2m - n - 2} \delta(x)\delta(y) \left[1 - \left(\frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{d^2} \right)^{m-1-\frac{n}{2}} \right] + \frac{2}{2m - n} \left(\frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{d^2} \right)^{m-\frac{n}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{2}{2m - n - 2} \delta(x)\delta(y) + \frac{2}{d^2(2m - n)} \left(\frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{d^2} \right)^{m-1-\frac{n}{2}} \delta(x)\delta(y) \\ & \leq C \delta(x)\delta(y). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Now using estimates of Theorem 1.1 and similar arguments as in the proof of Corollary 2.5 in [5], we obtain the following.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $r_0 > 0$. For each $x, y \in D$ such that $|x - y| \geq r_0$, we have*

$$(2.1) \quad G_{m,n}(x, y) \leq \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{r_0^{n+2-2m}}.$$

Moreover, on D^2 the following estimates hold

$$\delta(x)\delta(y) \leq G_{m,n}(x, y) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^{n+1-2m}}, & \text{for } n \geq 2m \\ \delta(x) \wedge \delta(y), & \text{for } n \leq 2m - 1. \end{cases}$$

3. THE KATO CLASS $K_{m,n}(D)$

To give new examples of functions belonging to this class we need the following lemma

Lemma 3.1. *For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in D$, let $\rho_{\lambda, \mu}(x) = \frac{1}{\delta^\lambda(x) [\text{Log}(\frac{2d}{\delta(x)})]^\mu}$. Then*

$$\rho_{\lambda, \mu} \in L^1(D) \text{ if and only if } \lambda < 1 \text{ or } (\lambda = 1 \text{ and } \mu > 1).$$

Proof. Since for $\lambda < 0$ the function $\rho_{\lambda, \mu}$ is continuous and bounded in D we need only to prove the result for $\lambda \geq 0$.

Since D is a bounded $C^{1,1}$ domain and the function $t \mapsto \frac{1}{t^\lambda [\text{Log}(\frac{2d}{t})]^\mu}$ is decreasing near 0 for $\lambda > 0$, then the proof of the lemma on page 726 in [10] can be adapted. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let $m \geq 2$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$. Then $\rho_{\lambda, \mu}(\cdot) L^p(D) \subset K_{m,n}(D)$, provided that:*

- i) For $n \geq 2m - 1$, we have $\lambda < 2 + \frac{2(m-1)}{n} - \frac{1}{p}$ and $\frac{n}{2(m-1)} < p$.
 ii) For $n = 2m - 2$, we have $\lambda < 2 + \frac{n-1}{n} - \frac{1}{p}$ and $\frac{n}{n-1} < p$.
 iii) For $n < 2m - 2$, we have $\lambda < 3 - \frac{1}{p}$.

Proof. Let $h \in L^p(D)$ and $q \in [1, \infty]$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. For $x \in D$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we put

$$I = I(x, \alpha) := \int_{B(x, \alpha) \cap D} \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} G_{m,n}(x, y) \rho_{\lambda, \mu}(y) h(y) dy.$$

Taking account of Theorem 1.1, we will discuss the following cases:

Case 1. $n \geq 2m - 1$. In this case we have

$$I \preceq \int_{B(x, \alpha) \cap D} \frac{h(y)}{|x - y|^{n-2(m-1)}} \frac{dy}{\delta(y)^{\lambda-2} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{2d}{\delta(y)} \right) \right]^\mu}.$$

It follows from the Hölder inequality that

$$I \preceq \|h\|_p \left[\int_{B(x, \alpha) \cap D} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{(n-2(m-1))q}} \frac{dy}{\delta(y)^{(\lambda-2)q} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{2d}{\delta(y)} \right) \right]^{q\mu}} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Since $\lambda < 2 + \frac{2(m-1)}{n} - \frac{1}{p}$ and $\frac{n}{2(m-1)} < p$, then $\lambda - 2 < \frac{1}{q} - \frac{n-2(m-1)}{n}$ and $q < \frac{n}{n-2(m-1)}$. Hence we can choose $q' > \max \left(1, \frac{1}{1-(\lambda-2)q} \right)$ so that $qq' < \frac{n}{n-2(m-1)}$ and $(\lambda - 2)q < 1 - \frac{1}{q'} := \frac{1}{r}$.

We apply the Hölder inequality again and Lemma 3.1 to deduce that

$$I \preceq \|h\|_p \left[\int_D \frac{dy}{\delta(y)^{(\lambda-2)qr} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{2d}{\delta(y)} \right) \right]^{qr\mu}} \right]^{\frac{1}{qr}} \alpha^{n-(n-2m+2)qq'}.$$

Hence $\sup_{x \in D} I(x, \alpha) \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

Case 2. $n = 2m - 2$. Assume that $\lambda < 2 + \frac{n-1}{n} - \frac{1}{p}$ and $\frac{n}{n-1} < p$, then $\lambda - 2 < \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{n}$ and $q < n$.

Using (1.9), (1.6) and the Hölder inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &\preceq \int_{B(x, \alpha) \cap D} \left(1 + \frac{1}{[x, y]} \right) \frac{h(y)}{\delta(y)^{\lambda-2} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{2d}{\delta(y)} \right) \right]^\mu} dy \\ &\preceq \int_{B(x, \alpha) \cap D} \frac{1}{|x - y|} \frac{h(y)}{\delta(y)^{\lambda-2} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{2d}{\delta(y)} \right) \right]^\mu} dy \\ &\preceq \|h\|_p \left[\int_{B(x, \alpha) \cap D} \frac{1}{|x - y|^q} \frac{1}{\delta(y)^{(\lambda-2)q} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{2d}{\delta(y)} \right) \right]^{q\mu}} dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us choose $q' > 1$ and $r = \frac{q'}{q'-1}$ such that $qq' < n$ and $(\lambda - 2)qr < 1$. Then, using the Hölder inequality again and Lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$I \preceq \|h\|_p \left[\int_D \frac{dy}{\delta(y)^{(\lambda-2)qr} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{2d}{\delta(y)} \right) \right]^{qr\mu}} \right]^{\frac{1}{qr}} \alpha^{n-qq'}.$$

Hence $\sup_{x \in D} I(x, \alpha) \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

Case 3. $n < 2m - 2$. Using Theorem 1.1 and the Hölder inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \int_{B(x, \alpha) \cap D} \frac{h(y)}{\delta(y)^{\lambda-2} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{2d}{\delta(y)} \right) \right]^\mu} dy \\ &\leq \|h\|_p \left[\int_{B(x, \alpha) \cap D} \frac{1}{\delta(y)^{(\lambda-2)q} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{2d}{\delta(y)} \right) \right]^{q\mu}} dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

As in the preceding cases we choose $q' > 1$ so that $(\lambda - 2)qq' < 1$ to deduce from the Hölder inequality and Lemma 3.1 that $\sup_{x \in D} I(x, \alpha) \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Next, we will prove Proposition 1.2. So we need the following results

Lemma 3.3 (see [5]). *Let $x, y \in D$. Then the following properties are satisfied:*

1) *If $\delta(x)\delta(y) \leq |x - y|^2$ then*

$$\max(\delta(x), \delta(y)) \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} |x - y|.$$

2) *If $|x - y|^2 \leq \delta(x)\delta(y)$ then*

$$\frac{(3 - \sqrt{5})}{2} \delta(x) \leq \delta(y) \leq \frac{(3 + \sqrt{5})}{2} \delta(x).$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let $q \in K_{m,n}(D)$. Then the function $x \rightarrow \delta^2(x)q(x)$ is in $L^1(D)$.*

Proof. Let $q \in K_{m,n}(D)$. Then by (1.4), there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for all $x \in D$ we have

$$\int_{(|x-y| \leq \alpha) \cap D} \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} G_{m,n}(x, y) |q(y)| dy \leq 1.$$

Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p \in D$ such that $D \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^p B(x_i, \alpha)$. Then by Corollary 2.2, there exists $C > 0$ such that $\forall y \in B(x_i, \alpha) \cap D$ we have

$$\delta^2(y) \leq C \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x_i)} G_{m,n}(x_i, y).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D \delta^2(y) |q(y)| dy &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^p \int_{B(x_i, \alpha) \cap D} \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x_i)} G_{m,n}(x_i, y) |q(y)| dy \\ &\leq C p < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proof of Proposition 1.2. It follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.4 that a necessary condition for $\rho_{\lambda, \mu}$ to belong to $K_{m,n}(B)$ is that $\lambda < 3$ or ($\lambda = 3$ and $\mu > 1$). Let us prove that this condition is sufficient.

For $\lambda \leq 2$ the results follow from Proposition 3.2 by taking $p = \infty$. Hence we need only to prove the results for $2 < \lambda < 3$ or ($\lambda = 3$ and $\mu > 1$).

For $x \in D$ and $\alpha \in (0, 4e^{-\frac{\mu}{\lambda}})$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} I &= I(x, \alpha) := \int_{B(x, \alpha) \cap D} \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} G_{m,n}(x, y) \rho_{\lambda, \mu}(y) dy \\ &= \int_{B(x, \alpha) \cap D} \frac{G_{m,n}(x, y)}{\delta(x) \delta(y)^{\lambda-1} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{4}{\delta(y)} \right) \right]^{\mu}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Taking account of Theorem 1.1 we distinguish the following cases.

Case 1. $n \geq 2m - 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &\preceq \int_{B(x, \alpha) \cap D_1} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-2(m-1)}} \frac{1}{(\delta(y))^{\lambda-2} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{4}{\delta(y)} \right) \right]^{\mu}} dy \\ &\quad + \int_{B(x, \alpha) \cap D_2} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-2(m-1)}} \frac{1}{(\delta(y))^{\lambda-2} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{4}{\delta(y)} \right) \right]^{\mu}} dy \\ &= I_1 + I_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_1 = \{x \in D : |x - y|^2 \leq \delta(x)\delta(y)\} \quad \text{and} \quad D_2 = \{x \in D : \delta(x)\delta(y) \leq |x - y|^2\}.$$

- If $y \in D_1$, then from Lemma 3.3, we have $\delta(x) \sim \delta(y)$ and so $|x - y| \leq \delta(y)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\preceq \int_{B(x, \alpha)} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-2m+\lambda} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{C}{|x-y|} \right) \right]^{\mu}} dy \\ &\preceq \int_0^{\alpha} \frac{r^{2m-(\lambda+1)}}{\left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{C}{r} \right) \right]^{\mu}} dr, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

- If $y \in D_2$, then using Lemma 3.3, we have $\max(\delta(x), \delta(y)) \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}|x - y|$. Hence,

$$I_2 \preceq \int_{1-\alpha(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2})}^1 \frac{t^{n-1}}{(1-t)^{\lambda-2} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{4}{1-t} \right) \right]^{\mu}} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{d\sigma(\omega)}{|x - t\omega|^{n-2(m-1)}} \right) dt,$$

where σ is the normalized measure on the unit sphere S^{n-1} of \mathbb{R}^n .

Now by elementary calculus, we have

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{d\sigma(\omega)}{|x - t\omega|^{n-2(m-1)}} \preceq \frac{1}{(|x| \vee t)^{n-2(m-1)}} \preceq t^{2(m-1)-n}.$$

So

$$I_2 \preceq \int_{1-\alpha(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2})}^1 \frac{t^{2m-3}}{(1-t)^{\lambda-2} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{4}{1-t} \right) \right]^{\mu}} dt,$$

which tends to zero as α tends to zero.

Case 2. $n = 2m - 2$. In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &\preceq \int_{B(x,\alpha)\cap D_1} \text{Log} \left(2 + \frac{1}{[x,y]^2} \right) \frac{1}{\delta(y)^{\lambda-2} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{4}{\delta(y)} \right) \right]^\mu} dy \\ &\quad + \int_{B(x,\alpha)\cap D_2} \text{Log} \left(2 + \frac{1}{[x,y]^2} \right) \frac{1}{\delta(y)^{\lambda-2} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{4}{\delta(y)} \right) \right]^\mu} dy \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

- If $y \in D_1$, it follows from the fact that $\text{Log}(2+t) \leq \sqrt{t}$ for $t \geq 2$ that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\preceq \int_{B(x,\alpha)\cap D_1} \frac{1}{|x-y|(\delta(y))^{\lambda-2} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{4}{\delta(y)} \right) \right]^\mu} dy \\ &\preceq \int_{B(x,\alpha)\cap D_1} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\lambda-1} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{4}{|x-y|} \right) \right]^\mu} dy \\ &\preceq \int_0^\alpha \frac{r^{n-\lambda}}{\left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{4}{r} \right) \right]^\mu} dr, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as α tends to zero.

- If $y \in D_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\preceq \int_{B(x,\alpha)\cap D_2} \text{Log} \left(2 + \frac{1}{|x-y|^2} \right) \frac{1}{\delta(y)^{\lambda-2} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{4}{\delta(y)} \right) \right]^\mu} dy \\ &\preceq \int_{B(x,\alpha)\cap D_2} \frac{1}{|x-y|^2 \delta(y)^{\lambda-2} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{4}{\delta(y)} \right) \right]^\mu} dy \\ &\preceq \int_{1-\alpha(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2})}^1 \frac{t^{n-1}}{(1-t)^{\lambda-2} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{4}{1-t} \right) \right]^\mu} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{d\sigma(\omega)}{|x-t\omega|^2} \right) dt \\ &\preceq \int_{1-\alpha(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2})}^1 \frac{t^{n-1}}{(1-t)^{\lambda-2} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{4}{1-t} \right) \right]^\mu} \frac{1}{(|x|\sqrt{t})^2} dt \\ &\preceq \int_{1-\alpha(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2})}^1 \frac{t^{n-3}}{(1-t)^{\lambda-2} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{4}{1-t} \right) \right]^\mu} dt, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as α tends to zero.

Case 3. $n < 2m - 2$. In this case

$$I \preceq \int_{B(x,\alpha)\cap D} \frac{1}{(\delta(y))^{\lambda-2} \left[\text{Log} \left(\frac{4}{\delta(y)} \right) \right]^\mu} dy.$$

Hence the result follows from Lemma 3.3, using similar arguments as in the above cases. \square

In the sequel we aim at proving Theorem 1.3. Below we present some preliminary results which we will need later.

Proposition 3.5.

a) For each $t > 0$ and all $x, y \in D$, we have

$$\int_0^t s^{m-1} p(s, x, y) ds \preceq G_{m,n}(x, y).$$

b) Let $0 < t \leq 1$ and $x, y \in D$. Then

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) \preceq \int_0^t s^{m-1} p(s, x, y) ds,$$

provided that

- i) $n > 2m$ and $|x - y| \leq \sqrt{t}$; or
- ii) $n = 2m$ and $[x, y]^2 \leq t$; or
- iii) $n = 2m - 1$ and $|x - y|^2 + 2\delta(x)\delta(y) \leq t$.

Proof.

a) Follows from (1.3).

b) We deduce from (1.11) and (1.12) that

$$\int_0^t s^{m-1} p(s, x, y) ds \sim |x - y|^{2m-n} \int_{\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}^{\infty} \min\left(\frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|^2} r, 1\right) r^{\frac{n}{2}-m-1} e^{-r} dr.$$

Next, we distinguish the following cases

- i) $n > 2m$. In this case the result follows from (1.7) and Theorem 1.1.
- ii) $n = 2m$. Using (1.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t s^{m-1} p(s, x, y) ds &\geq C \int_{\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}^2 \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{\delta(x)\delta(y)r + |x-y|^2} dr \\ &\geq C \operatorname{Log} \left(\frac{[x, y]^2 + \delta(x)\delta(y)}{\delta(x)\delta(y) + t} \cdot \frac{t}{|x-y|^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now since $[x, y]^2 \leq t$ and the function $t \mapsto \frac{t}{\delta(x)\delta(y)+t}$ is nondecreasing, then the result follows from Theorem 1.1.

- iii) $n = 2m - 1$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.1 we distinguish two cases
 - If $\delta(x)\delta(y) \leq |x - y|^2$. In this case the result follows from (1.7).
 - If $\delta(x)\delta(y) > |x - y|^2$. Then

$$\int_0^t s^{m-1} p(s, x, y) ds \geq C \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|} \int_{\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}^{\frac{|x-y|^2}{\delta(x)\delta(y)}} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} dr.$$

Since $|x - y|^2 + 2\delta(x)\delta(y) \leq t$, then

$$\left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \frac{|x-y|}{\sqrt{\delta(x)\delta(y)}} + \frac{|x-y|}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^2 \leq \frac{|x-y|^2}{\delta(x)\delta(y)}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t s^{m-1} p(s, x, y) ds &\geq C \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x-y|} \frac{|x-y|}{\sqrt{\delta(x)\delta(y)}} \\ &= C \sqrt{\delta(x)\delta(y)} \\ &\geq C G_{m,n}(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.6. *Let $q \in K_{m,n}(D)$. Then for each fixed $\alpha > 0$, we have*

$$(3.1) \quad \sup_{t \leq 1} \left(\sup_{x \in D} \int_{(|x-y|>\alpha) \cap D} \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} p(t, x, y) |q(y)| dy \right) := M(\alpha) < \infty.$$

Proof. Let $0 < t < 1$, $q \in K_{m,n}(D)$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then using (1.11) and (1.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(|x-y|>\alpha) \cap D} \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} p(t, x, y) |q(y)| dy &\preceq \frac{1}{t^{\frac{n}{2}+1}} \int_{(|x-y|>\alpha) \cap D} \delta^2(y) e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}} |q(y)| dy \\ &\preceq \frac{e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{t}}}{t^{\frac{n}{2}+1}} \int_D \delta^2(y) |q(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result follows from Lemma 3.4. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. 2) \Rightarrow 1) Assume that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\sup_{x \in D} \int_D \int_0^t \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} s^{m-1} p(s, x, y) |q(y)| ds dy \right) = 0.$$

Then by Proposition 3.5, there exists $C > 0$ such that for $\alpha > 0$ we have

$$\int_{(|x-y| \leq \alpha) \cap D} \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} G_{m,n}(x, y) |q(y)| dy \leq C \int_D \int_0^{\alpha^2} \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} s^{m-1} p(s, x, y) ds dy,$$

which shows that q satisfies (1.4).

1) \Rightarrow 2) Suppose that $q \in K_{m,n}(D)$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in D} \int_{(|x-y| \leq \alpha) \cap D} \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} G_{m,n}(x, y) |q(y)| dy \leq \varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, using Proposition 3.5 and (3.1), we have for $0 < t < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_D \int_0^t \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} s^{m-1} p(s, x, y) |q(y)| ds dy \\ &\preceq \int_{(|x-y| \leq \alpha) \cap D} \int_0^t \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} s^{m-1} p(s, x, y) |q(y)| ds dy \\ &\quad + \int_{(|x-y| > \alpha) \cap D} \int_0^t \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} s^{m-1} p(s, x, y) |q(y)| ds dy \\ &\preceq \int_{(|x-y| \leq \alpha) \cap D} \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} G_{m,n}(x, y) |q(y)| dy \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{(|x-y| > \alpha) \cap D} \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} p(s, x, y) |q(y)| ds dy \\ &\preceq \varepsilon + t M(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

This achieves the proof. □

Next we assume that $m = 1$ and we will give another characterization of the class $K_{1,n}(D)$.

Corollary 3.7. *Let $n \geq 3$ and q be a measurable function. For $\alpha > 0$, put*

$$G_\alpha q(x) = \int_D \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha s} \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} p(s, x, y) |q(y)| ds dy, \quad \text{for } x \in D$$

and

$$a(\alpha) = \sup_{x \in D} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_D \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} p(s, x, y) |q(y)| dy ds.$$

Then there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{e} a(\alpha) \leq \|G_\alpha q\|_\infty \leq C a(\alpha),$$

where $\|G_\alpha q\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in D} |G_\alpha q(x)|$.

In particular, we have

$$q \in K_{1,n}(D) \iff \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \|G_\alpha q\|_\infty = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha > 0$. Then using the Fubini theorem, we obtain for $x \in D$

$$\begin{aligned} G_\alpha q(x) &= \int_0^\infty \alpha e^{-\alpha t} \left[\int_0^t \int_D \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} p(s, x, y) |q(y)| dy ds \right] dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \left[\int_0^{\frac{t}{\alpha}} \int_D \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} p(s, x, y) |q(y)| dy ds \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\frac{1}{e} a(\alpha) \leq \|G_\alpha q\|_\infty$.

On the other hand if we denote by $[t]$ the integer part of t , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_\alpha q(x) &\leq \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{[t]} \int_{\frac{k}{\alpha}}^{\frac{k+1}{\alpha}} \int_D \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} p(s, x, y) |q(y)| dy ds \right] dt \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{[t]} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_D \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} p\left(s + \frac{k}{\alpha}, x, y\right) |q(y)| dy ds \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the Chapman-Kolmogorov identity and the Fubini theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_D \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} p\left(s + \frac{k}{\alpha}, x, y\right) |q(y)| dy ds \\ &= \int_D \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_D \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(z)} p(s, z, y) |q(y)| dy ds \right) \frac{\delta(z)}{\delta(x)} p\left(\frac{k}{\alpha}, x, z\right) dz \\ &\leq a(\alpha) \int_D \frac{\delta(z)}{\delta(x)} p\left(\frac{k}{\alpha}, x, z\right) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Since the first eigenfunction φ_1 associated to $-\Delta$ satisfies $\varphi_1(x) \sim \delta(x)$ and

$$\int_D p(t, x, z) \varphi_1(z) dz = e^{-\lambda_1 t} \varphi_1(x) \leq \varphi_1(x),$$

then

$$\|G_\alpha q\|_\infty \leq C a(\alpha).$$

So, the last assertion follows from Theorem 1.3. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. AIZENMAN AND B. SIMON, Brownian motion an Harnack's inequality for Shrödinger operators, *Commun. Pure. Appl. Math.*, **35** (1982), 209–273.
- [2] I. BACHAR, Estimates for the Green function and existence of positive solutions of polyharmonic nonlinear equations with Navier boundary conditions, *New Trends in Potential Theory*. Theta (2005), 101–113.
- [3] I. BACHAR, Estimates for the Green function and characterization of a certain Kato class by the Gauss semigroup in the half space , *J. Inequal. Pure & Appl. Math.*, **6**(4) (2005), Art. 119. [ONLINE: <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=593>].
- [4] I. BACHAR AND H. MÂAGLI, Estimates on the Green function and existence of positive solutions of nonlinear singular elliptic equations in the half space, *Positivity*, **9** (2005), 153–192.
- [5] I. BACHAR, H. MÂAGLI, S. MASMOUDI AND M. ZRIBI, Estimates on the Green function and singular solutions for polyharmonic nonlinear equations, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, **12** (2003), 715–741.
- [6] K.L. CHUNG AND Z. ZHAO, *From Brownian Motion to Schrödinger's Equation*, Springer Verlag (1995).
- [7] E.B. DAVIES, The equivalence of certain heat kernel and Green function bounds, *J. Func. Anal.*, **71** (1987), 88–103.
- [8] E.B. DAVIES AND B. SIMON, Ultracontractivity and the heat kernel for Shrödinger operators and Dirichlet laplacians, *J. Func. Anal.*, **59** (1984), 335–395.
- [9] H.C. GRUNAU AND G. SWEERS, Sharp estimates for iterated Green functions, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh. Sec A*, **132** (2002), 91–120.
- [10] A.C. LAZER AND P.J. MCKENNA, On a singular nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **111**(3) (1991), 721–730.
- [11] H. MÂAGLI AND M. ZRIBI, On a new Kato class and singular solutions of a nonlinear elliptic equation in bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n , *Positivity*, (2005), 667–686.
- [12] H. MÂAGLI AND M. ZRIBI, Existence of positive solutions for some polyharmonic nonlinear equations in \mathbb{R}^n , *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, (2005).
- [13] S.C. PORT AND C.J. STONE, *Brownian Motion and Classical Potential Theory*, Academic Press (1978).
- [14] J.A. VAN CASTEREN, *Generators Strongly Continuous Semi-groups*, Pitmann Advanced Publishing Program, Boston, (1985).
- [15] Qi. S. ZHANG, The boundary behavior of heat kernels of Dirichlet laplacians, *J. Diff. Equations*, **182** (2002), 416–430.
- [16] Qi. S. ZHANG, The global behavior of the heat kernels in exterior domains, *J. Func. Anal.*, **200** (2003), 160–176.