



Some Remarks On the Equation $F_n = kF_m$ In Fibonacci Numbers

M. Farrokhi D. G.

Faculty of Mathematical Sciences
Ferdowsi University of Mashhad
Iran

m.farrokhi.d.g@gmail.com

Abstract

Let $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \{1, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ be the sequence of Fibonacci numbers. In this paper we give some sufficient conditions on a natural number k such that the equation $F_n = kF_m$ is solvable with respect to the unknowns n and m . We also show that for $k > 1$ the equation $F_n = kF_m$ has at most one solution (n, m) .

1 Preliminaries

Let F_n be the n th Fibonacci number, i.e.,

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1, \quad F_{n+2} = F_n + F_{n+1}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is known that these numbers have the following properties :

- (1) $F_{m+n} = F_{m-1}F_n + F_mF_{n+1}$;
- (2) $\gcd(F_m, F_n) = F_{\gcd(m,n)}$;
- (3) if $m|n$, then $F_m|F_n$;
- (4) if $F_m|F_n$ and $m > 2$, then $m|n$.

Now, put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &= \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \exists m, n \in \mathbb{N}, F_n = kF_m\}, \\ \mathcal{Q} &= \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \nexists m, n \in \mathbb{N}, F_n = kF_m\}. \end{aligned}$$

A simple computations show that the natural numbers which satisfy in \mathcal{P} , less than 100, are as follows:

$$1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11, 13, 17, 18, 21, 29, 34, 47, 48, 55, 72, 76, 89.$$

By definition of \mathcal{P} and the properties (3) and (4), for each $k \in \mathcal{P}$ there exist $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k = \frac{F_m n}{F_n}$. However, it seems that the elements of \mathcal{Q} do not have any special form.

Using a theorem of R. D. Carmichael [2], it can be shown that the product of Fibonacci numbers and their quotients belong to \mathcal{Q} except for some cases (see Theorem 3.10).

In this paper, we use elementary methods to prove our claim. In section 3, we obtain some more properties of \mathcal{P} . For example, we show that for every element $k(> 1)$ of \mathcal{P} , the equation $F_n = kF_m$ has a unique solution (n, m) . Moreover, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for which the product of two elements of \mathcal{P} is again in \mathcal{P} .

2 The Main Theorem

In this section, we introduce some elements k in \mathcal{Q} , so that for each fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$k = F_{a_1} F_{a_2} \cdots F_{a_n}$$

belongs to \mathcal{Q} , for all natural numbers a_1, \dots, a_n but a finite number.

In order to prove the above claim, we need the following elementary properties of Fibonacci numbers.

Lemma 2.1. *For all $a, b, c, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following conditions hold*

- a) $F_{a+b-1} = F_a F_b + F_{a-1} F_{b-1}$;
- b) $F_{a+b-2} = F_a F_b - F_{a-2} F_{b-2}$;
- c) $F_{a+b+c-3} = F_a F_b F_c + F_{a-1} F_{b-1} F_{c-1} - F_{a-2} F_{b-2} F_{c-2}$;
- d) if $n \geq 3$, then $F_{a_1+\dots+a_n-n} \geq F_{a_1} F_{a_2} \cdots F_{a_n}$.

Proof. Parts (a) and (b) are easily verified.

(c) Using (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_{a+b+c-3} &= F_{a-1} F_{b+c-3} + F_a F_{b+c-2} \\ &= F_{a-1} (F_{b-2} F_{c-2} + F_{b-1} F_{c-1}) + F_a (F_b F_c - F_{b-2} F_{c-2}) \\ &= F_a F_b F_c + F_{a-1} F_{b-1} F_{c-1} - (F_a - F_{a-1}) F_{b-2} F_{c-2} \\ &= F_a F_b F_c + F_{a-1} F_{b-1} F_{c-1} - F_{a-2} F_{b-2} F_{c-2}. \end{aligned}$$

(d) We use induction on n . By part (c), the result holds for $n = 3$. Now assume it is true for $n \geq 3$. Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} F_{a_1+\dots+a_{n+1}-(n+1)} &= F_{a_{n+1}-1} F_{a_1+\dots+a_n-(n+1)} + F_{a_{n+1}} F_{a_1+\dots+a_n-n} \\ &\geq F_{a_{n+1}} F_{a_1+\dots+a_n-n} \\ &\geq F_{a_1} F_{a_2} \cdots F_{a_{n+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the assertion. □

Remark 1. *In Lemma 2.1(d), if $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 1$, then $a_1 + \dots + a_n - (n + 1) = -1$ and by generalizing the recursive relation for negative numbers, we get $F_{-1} = F_1 - F_0 = 1$.*

Remark 2. Note that all the formulas in Lemma 2.1 can be also deduced from Binet's formula

$$F_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\sqrt{5}},$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}, \quad \beta = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

Lemma 2.2. Suppose m, n and k are any natural numbers with $k|n$, then

$$\frac{F_{mn}}{F_n} \stackrel{F_k}{\equiv} mF_{n-1}^{m-1}.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on m . Clearly, the result is true for $m = 1$. Assume it is true for m . Now, using (1) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F_{(m+1)n}}{F_n} &\stackrel{F_k}{\equiv} F_{n-1} \frac{F_{mn}}{F_n} + F_{mn+1} \\ &\stackrel{F_k}{\equiv} mF_{n-1}^m + F_{mn+1} \\ &\stackrel{F_k}{\equiv} mF_{n-1}^m + F_{n-1}F_{(m-1)n+1} + F_nF_{(m-1)n+2} \\ &\stackrel{F_k}{\equiv} mF_{n-1}^m + F_{n-1}F_{(m-1)n+1} \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\stackrel{F_k}{\equiv} mF_{n-1}^m + F_{n-1}^m \\ &\stackrel{F_k}{\equiv} (m+1)F_{n-1}^m. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.3. Let $a_1, \dots, a_n, n \geq 3$ and $F_{a_1}F_{a_2} \cdots F_{a_n} = F_b$, then

$$b + n \leq a_1 + \cdots + a_n \leq b + 2n - 2.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, $F_b = F_{a_1}F_{a_2} \cdots F_{a_n} \leq F_{a_1+a_2+\cdots+a_n-n}$ and hence $b \leq a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n - n$. This gives the left hand side of the inequality. By repeated application of Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_b &= F_{a_1}F_{a_2} \cdots F_{a_n} \\ &\geq F_{a_1+a_2-2}F_{a_3} \cdots F_{a_n} \\ &\geq F_{a_1+a_2+a_3-4}F_{a_4} \cdots F_{a_n} \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\geq F_{a_1+\cdots+a_n-2(n-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and so $b \geq a_1 + \cdots + a_n - 2(n-1)$, which completes the proof. □

Remark 3. Note that using Binet's formula, for $n > 2$, one obtains

$$(1 - \beta^8)\alpha^n \leq \sqrt{5}F_n \leq (1 + \beta^6)\alpha^n,$$

which implies the following inequalities

$$vn - u \leq a_1 + \cdots + a_n - b \leq un - v,$$

where

$$u = -\frac{\log((1 - \beta^8)/\sqrt{5})}{\log \alpha} = 1.717\dots$$

and

$$v = -\frac{\log((1 + \beta^6)/\sqrt{5})}{\log \alpha} = 1.559\dots$$

One observes that the above inequalities are sharper than Lemma 2.3.

Definition. A solution of the equation $F_{a_1}F_{a_2}\cdots F_{a_n} = F_b$ is said to be nontrivial, whenever $a_1, \dots, a_n \geq 3$ or equivalently $F_{a_1}, \dots, F_{a_n} > 1$.

Lemma 2.4. The equation $F_a F_b = F_c$ has no nontrivial solution, for any natural numbers a, b and c .

Proof. We may assume $a \leq b$ and the triple (a, b, c) is a nontrivial solution of the equation, i.e., $a, b \geq 3$. Clearly, $F_b | F_c$ and hence $b | c$. Now put $c = kb$ which gives $k \geq 2$ and therefore $F_a F_b = F_{kb} \geq F_{2b} = F_b(F_{b-1} + F_{b+1}) > F_b^2 \geq F_a F_b$, which is impossible. \square

We are now able to prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 2.5. For each fixed $n \geq 2$, the equation $F_{a_1}F_{a_2}\cdots F_{a_n} = F_b$ has at most finitely many nontrivial solutions.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, the result follows for $n = 2$. Assume, $n \geq 3$ and let $(a_1, \dots, a_n; b)$ be a nontrivial solution of the equation. Without loss of generality, we may assume $3 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_n$. Put $a_1 + \cdots + a_n = b + k$. Clearly, by Lemma 2.3 there are only finitely many natural numbers k , which can satisfy the latter equation. As $F_{a_n} | F_b$ and $a_n \geq 3$, we have $a_n | b$ and so $b = k'a_n$ for some $k' \in \mathbb{N}$. Similarly, $F_{a_{n-1}} | F_b = F_{k'a_n}$ and $a_{n-1} \geq 3$, which implies that $a_{n-1} | k'a_n$ and so $a_{n-1} = k''k'''$ with $k'' | k'$ and $k''' | a_n$. Now since $F_{k''} | F_{a_{n-1}} | \frac{F_{k'a_n}}{F_{a_n}}$, Lemma 2.2 implies that $F_{k''} | k'$. By Lemma 2.3, there are only finitely many k, k', k'', k''' satisfying these equations. Thus there are only finitely many choices for a_{n-1} and consequently for a_1, \dots, a_{n-2} . Finally, there are only finitely many choices for a_n and b satisfying the equation. \square

Remark 4. The above theorem shows that except finitely many cases if $k = F_{a_1} \cdots F_{a_n}$, where $a_1, \dots, a_n \geq 3$ the equation $F_t = kF_s$ has no solution.

3 Some More Results

In this section, we consider some more properties of the elements of \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} . For instant, it is shown that every element $k > 1$ of \mathcal{P} satisfies a unique equation of the form $F_n = kF_m$.

Theorem 3.1. *The equation $F_a F_b = F_c F_d$ holds for natural numbers a, b, c, d if and only if $F_a = F_c$ and $F_b = F_d$, or $F_a = F_d$ and $F_b = F_c$.*

Proof. Clearly, if one the numbers a, b, c or d , (a , say), is less than 3 then $F_b = F_c F_d$ and Lemma 2.4 implies that either $F_c = F_a = 1$ and $F_b = F_d$, or $F_d = F_a = 1$ and $F_b = F_c$. Therefore, we assume that $a, b, c, d \geq 3$ and by symmetry we may assume that $3 \leq a \leq b, c, d$. Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$F_{a+b-2} < F_a F_b = F_c F_d < F_{c+d-1},$$

which implies that $a + b - 2 < c + d - 1$ and hence $a + b \leq c + d$. Similarly $c + d \leq a + b$ and so $a + b = c + d$. By repeated application of Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_a F_b &= F_c F_d \\ \Rightarrow F_{a-1} F_{b-1} &= F_{c-1} F_{d-1} \\ &\vdots \\ \Rightarrow F_2 F_{b-a+2} &= F_{c-a+2} F_{d-a+2} \\ \Rightarrow F_{b-a+2} &= F_{c-a+2} F_{d-a+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by Lemma 2.4, $F_{c-a+2} = 1$ or $F_{d-a+2} = 1$, which implies that either $a = c$ and $b = d$, or $a = d$ and $b = c$. \square

The following corollaries follow immediately.

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose $\frac{F_a}{F_b} = \frac{F_c}{F_d} \neq 1$, then $F_a = F_c$ and $F_b = F_d$.*

Corollary 3.3. *Every element $k > 1$ of \mathcal{P} satisfies a unique equation of the form $F_n = kF_m$, for some natural numbers m and n .*

Corollary 3.4. *The least common multiple of two Fibonacci numbers is again a Fibonacci number if and only if one divides the other.*

Proof. Suppose $\text{lcm}(F_m, F_n) = F_k$, for some natural numbers m and n . Then clearly

$$F_m F_n = \text{gcd}(F_m, F_n) \text{lcm}(F_m, F_n) = F_{\text{gcd}(m,n)} F_k$$

and so $\text{gcd}(F_m, F_n) = F_{\text{gcd}(m,n)}$ is either F_m or F_n . Hence either $F_m | F_n$ or $F_n | F_m$. \square

Theorem 3.5. *For any natural numbers a, b, c, d and e , the equation $F_a F_b F_c = F_d F_e$ has no nontrivial solution.*

Proof. Assume $(a, b, c; d, e)$ is a nontrivial solution of the equation $F_a F_b F_c = F_d F_e$. Hence $a, b, c, d, e \geq 3$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$F_{a+b+c-4} < F_a F_b F_c = F_d F_e < F_{d+e-1}$$

and

$$F_{d+e-2} < F_d F_e = F_a F_b F_c \leq F_{a+b+c-3},$$

which imply that $a + b + c = d + e + 2$. Using Lemma 2.1 once more and noting the identity $a + b + c - 3 = d + e - 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_{d+e-4} &\leq F_{d-1} F_{e-1} \\ &= F_{a-1} F_{b-1} F_{c-1} - F_{a-2} F_{b-2} F_{c-2} \\ &< F_{a-1} F_{b-1} F_{c-1} \\ &\leq F_{a+b+c-6}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $d + e + 2 < a + b + c$, which is impossible. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let $(a, b, c; d, e, f)$ be a nontrivial solution of the equation $F_a F_b F_c = F_d F_e F_f$, then a, b, c are equal to d, e, f , in some order.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $a \leq d$, $3 \leq a \leq b \leq c$ and $3 \leq d \leq e \leq f$. If $a = d$, the result follows immediately by Theorem 3.1. Now assume that $a < d$. Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$F_{a+b+c-4} < F_a F_b F_c = F_d F_e F_f \leq F_{d+e+f-3}$$

and

$$F_{d+e+f-4} < F_d F_e F_f = F_a F_b F_c \leq F_{a+b+c-3}.$$

Thus $a + b + c = d + e + f$, and so by Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_{a-1} F_{b-1} F_{c-1} - F_{a-2} F_{b-2} F_{c-2} &= F_{d-1} F_{e-1} F_{f-1} - F_{d-2} F_{e-2} F_{f-2} \\ 2F_{a-2} F_{b-2} F_{c-2} - F_{a-3} F_{b-3} F_{c-3} &= 2F_{d-2} F_{e-2} F_{f-2} - F_{d-3} F_{e-3} F_{f-3} \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Hence for each $i \geq 1$

$$F_{i+1} F_{a-i} F_{b-i} F_{c-i} - F_i F_{a-i-1} F_{b-i-1} F_{c-i-1} = F_{i+1} F_{d-i} F_{e-i} F_{f-i} - F_i F_{d-i-1} F_{e-i-1} F_{f-i-1}.$$

By replacing i by a in the above equality, we obtain

$$0 \geq -F_a F_{b-a-1} F_{c-a-1} = F_{a+1} F_{d-a} F_{e-a} F_{f-a} - F_a F_{d-a-1} F_{e-a-1} F_{f-a-1} \geq 0.$$

Then

$$F_{a+1} F_{d-a} F_{e-a} F_{f-a} - F_a F_{d-a-1} F_{e-a-1} F_{f-a-1} = 0,$$

which is impossible, since otherwise we must have

$$F_{d-a} F_{e-a} F_{f-a} = F_{d-a-1} F_{e-a-1} F_{f-a-1} = 0,$$

which implies that $d = a$. \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of the above theorem.

Corollary 3.7. *Let $x = \frac{F_a}{F_b}, y = \frac{F_c}{F_d}$ be in \mathcal{P} . Then $xy \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if one of the following occurs*

- i) $x = 1$;
- ii) $y = 1$;
- iii) $x = y = 2$;
- iv) $F_a = F_d$, or
- v) $F_b = F_c$.

Now we turn to the equation $F_{a_1}F_{a_2} \cdots F_{a_n} = F_b$. The special case when a_i 's are equal follows easily from the following theorem. We are not aware of its proof so we prove it here (see [3]).

Theorem 3.8. *Let p be a prime and let m and n be natural numbers such that $p \nmid m$ and $p^\alpha \parallel F_n$, for $\alpha > 0$. Then*

- i) $p^{\alpha+1} \parallel F_{nmp}$, if $(p, \alpha) \neq (2, 1)$;
- ii) $p^{\alpha+2} \parallel F_{nmp}$, if $(p, \alpha) = (2, 1)$.

Proof. By the assumption and Lemma 2.2,

$$\frac{F_{nm}}{F_n} \stackrel{p}{\equiv} mF_{n-1}^{m-1}.$$

Thus if $p \nmid m$ then $p^\alpha \parallel F_{nm}$ and hence it is enough to show that $p^{\alpha+1} \parallel F_{np}$. By repeated applications of (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F_{pn}}{F_n} &= F_{n-1} \frac{F_{(p-1)n}}{F_n} + F_{(p-1)n+1} \\ &= F_{n-1} \left(F_{n-1} \frac{F_{(p-2)n}}{F_n} + F_{(p-2)n+1} \right) + F_{(p-1)n+1} \\ &\vdots \\ &= F_{n-1}^{p-1} + F_{n-1}^{p-2} F_{n+1} + F_{n-1}^{p-3} F_{2n+1} + \cdots + F_{n-1} F_{(p-2)n+1} + F_{(p-1)n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{kn+1} &= F_n F_{(k-1)n} + F_{n+1} F_{(k-1)n+1} \\ &\stackrel{p^{2\alpha}}{\equiv} F_{n+1} F_{(k-1)n+1} \\ &\vdots \\ &\stackrel{p^{2\alpha}}{\equiv} F_{n+1}^k \\ &\stackrel{p^{2\alpha}}{\equiv} (F_n + F_{n-1})^k \\ &\stackrel{p^{2\alpha}}{\equiv} k F_n F_{n-1}^{k-1} + F_{n-1}^k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{F_{pn}}{F_n} &\stackrel{p^{2\alpha}}{\equiv} F_{n-1}^{p-1} + F_{n-1}^{p-2}F_{n+1} + \cdots + F_{n-1}F_{(p-2)n+1} + F_{(p-1)n+1} \\
&\stackrel{p^{2\alpha}}{\equiv} F_{n-1}^{p-1} + F_{n-1}^{p-2}(F_n + F_{n-1}) + \cdots + F_{n-1}((p-2)F_nF_{n-1}^{p-3} + F_{n-1}^{p-1}) \\
&\quad + ((p-1)F_nF_{n-1}^{p-2} + F_{n-1}^{p-1}) \\
&\stackrel{p^{2\alpha}}{\equiv} \frac{p(p-1)}{2}F_nF_{n-1}^{p-2} + pF_{n-1}^{p-1},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $p^{\alpha+1} \parallel F_{np}$ whenever $(p, \alpha) \neq (2, 1)$. This proves (i).

Now, if $(p, \alpha) = (2, 1)$ then F_n is even, $3 \mid n$ and $\frac{n}{3}$ is odd. On the other hand, $8 \parallel F_6$ and by the proof of part (i), $8 \parallel F_{2n}$ which completes the proof of part (ii). \square

Theorem 3.9. *For all $k > 1$, the equation $F_n = F_m^k$ has only the solutions $F_m = F_n = 1$, or $k = 3$, $m = 3$ and $n = 6$.*

Proof. Let $k > 1$, $n \geq m \geq 3$ and $F_n = F_m^k$. As $F_m \mid F_n$, we have $m \mid n$ and so $n = dm$, for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, by Lemma 2.2, $F_m \mid d$. Now, if p is a prime divisor of F_m such that $p^a \parallel F_m$, where $(p, a) \neq (2, 1)$, then p is also a divisor of d and by Theorem 3.8, $p^{a+b} \parallel F_n$, where $p^b \parallel d$. On the other hand, $p^{ka} \parallel F_n$ and so $a + b = ka$, i.e., $b = (k-1)a$. Now, we have

$$k-1 \geq d = p^b d' \geq p^b = p^{(k-1)a} \geq p^{k-1} \geq k,$$

which is impossible and hence $F_m = 2$. If $p > 3$ and p divides n , then $F_p \mid 2^k$, which is also impossible. Hence $n = 2^s 3^t$ and as $F_4, F_9 \nmid 2^k$, we must have $n = 6$. \square

R. D. Carmichael [2] showed that if $n > 2$ and $n \neq 6, 12$ then F_n has a prime divisor p , which does not divide the Fibonacci numbers F_m , for all $1 \leq m < n$. Applying this result one can obtain the general solutions of the equation $F_{a_1} \cdots F_{a_m} = F_b$ and more generally the solutions of the equation $F_{a_1} \cdots F_{a_m} = F_{b_1} \cdots F_{b_n}$. For some applications of this beautiful theorem, see [1].

We say a solution of the equation $F_{a_1} \cdots F_{a_m} = F_{b_1} \cdots F_{b_n}$ is nontrivial, whenever $a_i, b_j \geq 3$ and $a_i \neq b_j$, for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Theorem 3.10. *i) The only nontrivial solutions of the equation $F_{a_1} F_{a_2} \cdots F_{a_n} = F_b$ with $n > 1$ and $a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_n$ are*

$$(3, 3, 3; 6), (3, 4, 4, 6; 12), (3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4; 12)$$

ii) The only nontrivial solutions of the equation $F_{a_1} \cdots F_{a_m} = F_{b_1} \cdots F_{b_n}$ are

$$(3, \dots, 3; 6, \dots, 6), \quad m = 3n$$

$$\left(\overbrace{(3, \dots, 3)}^a, \overbrace{(6, \dots, 6)}^b, 4, \dots, 4; 12, \dots, 12 \right), \quad a + 3b = 4n$$

$$\left(\overbrace{(3, \dots, 3)}^a, 4, \dots, 4, \overbrace{(6, \dots, 6)}^b, 12, \dots, 12 \right), \quad a = 3b + 4n$$

$$\left(\overbrace{(6, \dots, 6)}^a, 4, \dots, 4, \overbrace{(3, \dots, 3)}^b, 12, \dots, 12 \right), \quad 3a = b + 4n$$

Proof. The proofs of both parts follow easily from Carmichael's theorem. □

The following theorem is another consequence of Carmichael's theorem.

Theorem 3.11. *Suppose p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n are arbitrary distinct prime numbers. Then there are only finitely many n -tuples (a_1, \dots, a_n) of nonnegative integers such that $p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_n^{a_n} \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. Assume $\{(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_n})\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is an infinite sequence of distinct n -tuples such that for each i the number $k_i = p_1^{i_1} \cdots p_n^{i_n}$ belongs to \mathcal{P} . Then there exist some natural numbers m_i and n_i such that $F_{n_i} = k_i F_{m_i}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $n_i \neq m_i$ and n_i 's are all distinct and greater than 12. Since there are infinitely many n -tuples, we may ignore the prime factors of the equations $F_{n_i} = k_i F_{m_i}$ so that we obtain an equation of type as in Theorem 3.10, which contradicts Theorem 3.10. □

Although we were able to obtain the general solutions of the equation $F_{a_1} \cdots F_{a_n} = F_b$ using Carmichael's theorem, an elementary proof may nevertheless be of interest.

4 Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank the referee for some useful suggestions and corrections.

References

- [1] Y. Bugeaud, F. Luca, M. Mignotte and S. Siksek, On Fibonacci numbers with few prime divisors, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A* **81** (2005), 17–20.
- [2] R. D. Carmichael, On the numerical factors of the arithmetic forms $\alpha^n \pm \beta^n$, *Ann. Math.* (2) **15** (1913/14), 30–48.
- [3] S. Vajda, *Fibonacci & Lucas Numbers, and the Golden Section*, Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester, England, 1989.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11B39; Secondary 11B50, 11D99.

Keywords: Fibonacci numbers.

(Concerned with sequence [A000045](#).)

Received March 28 2007; revised version received May 22 2007. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, June 4 2007.

Return to [Journal of Integer Sequences home page](#).