



The Gaussian Coefficient Revisited

Richard Ehrenborg and Margaret A. Readdy
Department of Mathematics
University of Kentucky
Lexington, KY 40506-0027
USA

richard.ehrenborg@uky.edu
margaret.readdy@uky.edu

Abstract

We give a new q -($1+q$)-analogue of the Gaussian coefficient, also known as the q -binomial which, like the original q -binomial $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$, is symmetric in k and $n-k$. We show this q -($1+q$)-binomial is more compact than the one discovered by Fu, Reiner, Stanton, and Thiem. Underlying our q -($1+q$)-analogue is a Boolean algebra decomposition of an associated poset. These ideas are extended to the Birkhoff transform of any finite poset. We end with a discussion of higher analogues of the q -binomial.

1 Introduction

Inspired by work of Fu, Reiner, Stanton, and Thiem [2], Cai and Readdy [1] asked the following question. Given a combinatorial q -analogue

$$X(q) = \sum_{w \in X} q^{a(w)},$$

where X is a set of objects and $a(\cdot)$ is a statistic defined on the elements of X , when can one find a smaller set Y and two statistics s and t such that

$$X(q) = \sum_{w \in Y} q^{s(w)} \cdot (1+q)^{t(w)}.$$

Such an interpretation is called a q - $(1+q)$ -analogue. Examples of q - $(1+q)$ -analogues have been determined for the q -binomial by Fu et al. [2], and for the q -Stirling numbers of the first and second kinds by Cai and Readdy [1], who also gave poset and homotopy interpretations of their q - $(1+q)$ -analogues.

In 1916 MacMahon [3, 4, 5] observed that the Gaussian coefficient, also known as the q -binomial coefficient, is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{w \in \Omega_{n,k}} q^{\text{inv}(w)}.$$

Here $\Omega_{n,k} = \mathfrak{S}(0^{n-k}, 1^k)$ denotes all permutations of the multiset $\{0^{n-k}, 1^k\}$, that is, all words $w = w_1 \cdots w_n$ of length n with $n-k$ zeroes and k ones, and $\text{inv}(\cdot)$ denotes the inversion statistic defined by $\text{inv}(w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n) = |\{(i, j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n, w_i > w_j\}|$. Fu et al. defined a subset $\Omega'_{n,k} \subseteq \Omega_{n,k}$ and two statistics a and b such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{w \in \Omega'_{n,k}} q^{a(w)} \cdot (1+q)^{b(w)}.$$

In this paper we will return to the original study by Fu et al. of the Gaussian coefficient. We discover a more compact q - $(1+q)$ -analogue which, like the original Gaussian coefficient, is also symmetric in the variables k and $n-k$. See Corollary 6 and Theorem 12. This symmetry was missing in Fu et al.'s original q - $(1+q)$ -analogue. We give a Boolean algebra decomposition of the related poset $\Omega_{n,k}$. Since this poset is a distributive lattice, in the last section we extend these ideas to poset decompositions of any distributive lattice and other analogues.

2 A poset interpretation

In this section we consider the poset structure on 0-1-words in $\Omega_{n,k}$. For further poset terminology and background, we refer the reader to [6].

We begin by making the set of elements $\Omega_{n,k}$ into a graded poset by defining the cover relation to be

$$u \circ 01 \circ v \prec u \circ 10 \circ v,$$

where \circ denotes concatenation of words. The word $0^{n-k}1^k$ is the minimal element and the word 1^k0^{n-k} is the maximal element in the poset $\Omega_{n,k}$. Furthermore, this poset is graded by the inversion statistic. This poset is simply the interval $[\widehat{0}, x]$ of Young's lattice, where the minimal element $\widehat{0}$ is the empty Ferrers diagram and x is the Ferrers diagram consisting of $n-k$ columns and k rows.

An alternative description of the poset $\Omega_{n,k}$ is that it is isomorphic to the Birkhoff transform of the Cartesian product of two chains. Let C_m denote the m -element chain. The poset $\Omega_{n,k}$ is isomorphic to the distributive lattice of all lower order ideals of the product $C_{n-k} \times C_k$, usually denoted by $J(C_{n-k} \times C_k)$.

Definition 1. Let $\Omega''_{n,k}$ consist of all 0,1-words $v = v_1v_2 \cdots v_n$ in $\Omega_{n,k}$ such that

$$v_1 \leq v_2, \quad v_3 \leq v_4, \quad \dots, \quad v_{2 \cdot \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1} \leq v_{2 \cdot \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}.$$

Observe that when n is odd there is no condition on the last entry w_n . Define two maps ϕ and ψ on $\Omega_{n,k}$ by sending the word $w = w_1w_2 \cdots w_n$ to

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(w) &= \min(w_1, w_2), \max(w_1, w_2), \min(w_3, w_4), \max(w_3, w_4), \dots, \\ \psi(w) &= \max(w_1, w_2), \min(w_1, w_2), \max(w_3, w_4), \min(w_3, w_4), \dots \end{aligned}$$

The map ϕ sorts the entries in positions 1 and 2, 3 and 4, and so on. If n is odd, the entry w_n remains in the same position. Similarly, the map ψ sorts in reverse order each pair of positions. Note that the map ϕ maps $\Omega_{n,k}$ surjectively onto the set $\Omega''_{n,k}$.

We have the following Boolean algebra decomposition of the poset $\Omega_{n,k}$.

Theorem 2. *The distributive lattice $\Omega_{n,k}$ has the Boolean algebra decomposition*

$$\Omega_{n,k} = \bigcup_{v \in \Omega''_{n,k}} [v, \psi(v)].$$

Proof. Observe that the maps ϕ and ψ satisfy the inequalities $\phi(w) \leq w \leq \psi(w)$. Furthermore, the fiber of the map $\phi : \Omega_{n,k} \rightarrow \Omega''_{n,k}$ is isomorphic to a Boolean algebra, that is, $\phi^{-1}(v) \cong [v, \psi(v)]$. \square

For $v \in \Omega''_{n,k}$ define the statistic

$$\text{asc}_{\text{odd}}(v) = |\{i : v_i < v_{i+1}, i \text{ odd}\}|,$$

that is, $\text{asc}_{\text{odd}}(\cdot)$ enumerates the number of ascents in odd positions.

Corollary 3. *The q -binomial is given by*

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{v \in \Omega''_{n,k}} q^{\text{inv}(v)} \cdot (1+q)^{\text{asc}_{\text{odd}}(v)}. \quad (1)$$

Proof. It is enough to observe that the sum of the inversion statistic over the elements in the fiber $\phi^{-1}(v) = [v, \psi(v)]$ for $v \in \Omega''_{n,k}$ is given by $q^{\text{inv}(v)} \cdot (1+q)^{\text{asc}_{\text{odd}}(v)}$. \square

A geometric way to understand this q -($1+q$)-interpretation is to consider lattice paths from the origin $(0,0)$ to $(n-k, k)$ which only use east steps $(1,0)$ and north steps $(0,1)$. Color the squares of this $(n-k) \times k$ board as a chessboard, where the square incident to the origin is colored white. The map ϕ in the proof of Theorem 2 corresponds to taking a lattice path where every time there is a north step followed by an east step that turns around a white square, we exchange these two steps. The statistic asc_{odd} enumerates the number of times an east step is followed by a north step when this pair of steps borders a white square.

Let $\text{er}(n, k)$ denote the cardinality of the set $\Omega''_{n,k}$. Then we have

Proposition 4. *The cardinalities $\text{er}(n, k)$ satisfy the recursion*

$$\text{er}(n, k) = \text{er}(n - 2, k - 2) + \text{er}(n - 2, k - 1) + \text{er}(n - 2, k) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n \text{ and } n \geq 2,$$

with the boundary conditions $\text{er}(0, 0) = \text{er}(1, 0) = \text{er}(1, 1) = 1$ and $\text{er}(n, k) = 0$ whenever $k > n, k < 0$ or $n < 0$.

Proof. A word in $\Omega''_{n,k}$ begins with either 00, 01 or 11, yielding the three cases of the recursion. \square

Directly we obtain the generating polynomial.

Theorem 5. *The generating polynomial for $\text{er}(n, k)$ is given by*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \text{er}(n, k) \cdot x^k = (1 + x + x^2)^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \cdot (1 + x)^{n-2 \cdot \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}.$$

We end with a statement concerning the symmetry of the q -($1 + q$)-binomial.

Corollary 6. *The set of defining elements for the q -($1 + q$)-binomial satisfy the following symmetric relation:*

$$|\Omega''_{n,k}| = |\Omega''_{n,n-k}|.$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that the generating polynomial for $\text{er}(n, k)$ is a product of palindromic polynomials, and thus is itself is a palindromic polynomial. \square

3 Analysis of the Fu–Reiner–Stanton–Thiem interpretation

A *weak partition* is a finite non-decreasing sequence of non-negative integers. A weak partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-k})$ with $n - k$ parts and each part at most k where $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{n-k}$ corresponds to a Ferrers diagram lying inside an $(n - k) \times k$ rectangle with column i having height λ_i . These weak partitions are in direct correspondence with the set $\Omega_{n,k}$.

Fu, Reiner, Stanton, and Thiem used a pairing algorithm to determine a subset $\Omega'_{n,k} \subseteq \Omega_{n,k}$ of 0-1-sequences to define their q -($1 + q$)-analogue of the q -binomial; see [2, Proposition 6.1]. This translates into the following statement. The set $\Omega'_{n,k}$ is in bijection with weak partitions into $n - k$ parts with each part at most k such that

- (a) if k is even, each odd part has even multiplicity,
- (b) if k is odd, each even part (including 0) has even multiplicity.

Definition 7. Let $\text{frst}(n, k)$ be the cardinality of the set $\Omega'_{n,k}$.

Lemma 8. *The quantity $\text{frst}(n, k)$ counts the number of weak partitions into $n - k$ parts where each part is at most k and each odd part has even multiplicity.*

Proof. When k is even there is nothing to prove. When k is odd, by considering the complement of weak partitions with respect to the rectangle of size $(n - k) \times k$, we obtain a bijective proof. The same complement proof also shows the case when k is even holds. \square

Theorem 9. *The first-coefficients satisfy the recursion*

$$\text{frst}(n, k) = \begin{cases} \text{frst}(n - 1, k - 1) + \text{frst}(n - 1, k), & \text{if } k \text{ is even;} \\ \text{frst}(n - 2, k - 2) + \text{frst}(n - 2, k - 1) + \text{frst}(n - 2, k), & \text{if } k \text{ is odd;} \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $n \geq 2$ with the boundary conditions $\text{frst}(0, 0) = \text{frst}(1, 0) = \text{frst}(1, 1) = 1$ and $\text{frst}(n, k) = 0$ whenever $k > n$, $k < 0$ or $n < 0$.

Proof. We use the characterization in Lemma 8. When k is even there are two cases. If the last part is k , remove it to obtain a weak partition counted by $\text{frst}(n - 1, k)$. If the last part is less than k , then the weak partition is counted by $\text{frst}(n - 1, k - 1)$.

When k is odd there are three cases. If the last two parts are equal to k , then removing these two parts yields a weak partition counted by $\text{frst}(n - 2, k)$. Note that we cannot have the last part equal to k and the next to last part less than k since k is odd. If the last part is equal to $k - 1$, we can remove it to obtain a weak partition counted by $\text{frst}(n - 2, k - 1)$. Finally, if the last part is less than or equal to $k - 2$, the weak partition is counted by $\text{frst}(n - 2, k - 2)$. \square

Remark 10. For k odd we have the shorter recursion $\text{frst}(n, k) = \text{frst}(n - 1, k - 1) + \text{frst}(n - 2, k)$. However, we use the longer recursion in the proof of Theorem 12.

Lemma 11. *The inequality $\text{frst}(n, k) \leq \text{frst}(n + 1, k + 1)$ holds.*

Proof. The weak partitions which lie inside the rectangle $(n - k) \times k$ and satisfy the conditions of Lemma 8 are included among the weak partitions which lie inside the larger rectangle $(n - k) \times (k + 1)$ and satisfy the same conditions. \square

Theorem 12. *For all $0 \leq k \leq n$ the inequality $|\Omega''_{n,k}| = \text{er}(n, k) \leq \text{frst}(n, k) = |\Omega'_{n,k}|$ holds.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on n . The induction base is $n \leq 3$. Furthermore, the inequality holds when k is 0, 1, $n - 1$ and n . When k is odd we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{er}(n, k) &= \text{er}(n - 2, k - 2) + \text{er}(n - 2, k - 1) + \text{er}(n - 2, k) \\ &\leq \text{frst}(n - 2, k - 2) + \text{frst}(n - 2, k - 1) + \text{frst}(n - 2, k) \\ &= \text{frst}(n, k). \end{aligned}$$

The two maps ϕ and ψ are now defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(I) &= I - \{a \in A : \text{the element } a \text{ is maximal in } I\}, \\ \psi(I) &= I \cup \{a \in A : I \cup \{a\} \in J(P)\}.\end{aligned}$$

We have the following decomposition theorem.

Theorem 13. *For P any finite poset the distributive lattice $J(P)$ has the Boolean algebra decomposition*

$$J(P) = \bigcup_{I \in J''(P)} [I, \psi(I)].$$

Yet again, how can we select the antichain A such that the above decomposition A has the fewest possible terms? Furthermore, would this give the smallest Boolean algebra decomposition?

Another way to extend the ideas of Theorem 2 is as follows. Define $\Omega_{n,k}^r$ to be the set of all words $v \in \Omega_{n,k}$ satisfying the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}v_1 &\leq v_2 \leq \cdots \leq v_r, \\ v_{r+1} &\leq v_{r+2} \leq \cdots \leq v_{2r}, \\ &\cdots, \\ v_{r \cdot \lfloor n/r \rfloor - r + 1} &\leq v_{r \cdot \lfloor n/r \rfloor - r + 2} \leq \cdots \leq v_{r \cdot \lfloor n/r \rfloor}.\end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor r/2 \rfloor$ define the statistics $b_i(v)$ for $v \in \Omega_{n,k}^r$ to be

$$b_i(v) = |\{j \in [\lfloor n/r \rfloor] : v_{rj-r+1} + v_{rj-r+2} + \cdots + v_{rj} \in \{i, r-i\}\}|.$$

Theorem 14. *The distributive lattice $\Omega_{n,k}$ has the decomposition*

$$\Omega_{n,k} = \bigcup_{v \in \Omega_{n,k}^r} \Omega_{r,1}^{b_1(v)} \times \Omega_{r,2}^{b_2(v)} \times \cdots \times \Omega_{r, \lfloor r/2 \rfloor}^{b_{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}(v)}.$$

Corollary 15. *The q -binomial is given by*

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{v \in \Omega_{n,k}^r} q^{\text{inv}(v)} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} r \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q^{b_1(v)} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} r \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q^{b_2(v)} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} r \\ \lfloor r/2 \rfloor \end{bmatrix}_q^{b_{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}(v)}.$$

The least complicated case is when $r = 3$, where only one term appears in the above poset product. This term is $\Omega_{3,1}$ which is the three element chain C_3 . The associated Gaussian coefficient is $1 + q + q^2$. Thus Corollary 15 could be called a q - $(1 + q + q^2)$ -analogue in the case of $r = 3$. As an example, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}_q = 1 + q \cdot (1 + q + q^2)^2 + q^4 \cdot (1 + q + q^2)^2 + q^9.$$

On a poset level this is a decomposition of $J(C_3 \times C_3)$ into two one-element posets of rank 0 and rank 9, and two copies of $C_3 \times C_3$, where one has its minimal element of rank 1 and the other of rank 4.

5 Acknowledgments

The authors thank the referee for helpful comments. This work was partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#206001 to Margaret Readdy).

References

- [1] Y. Cai and M. Readdy, q -Stirling numbers: A new view, preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.03249>, 2015.
- [2] S. Fu, V. Reiner, D. Stanton, and N. Thiem, The negative q -binomial, *Electron J. Combin.* **19** (1) (2012), [Paper #P36](#).
- [3] P. A. MacMahon, The indices of permutations and the derivation therefrom of functions of a single variable associated with the permutations of any assemblage of objects, *Amer. J. Math.* **35** (1913), 281–322. In *Collected Papers. Vol. I: Combinatorics*, MIT Press, 1978, pp. 508–549.
- [4] P. A. MacMahon, Two applications of general theorems in combinatory analysis, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **15** (1916), 314–321. In *Collected Papers. Vol. I: Combinatorics*, MIT Press, 1978, pp. 556–563.
- [5] P. A. MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis*, Chelsea Publishing Co., 1960.
- [6] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Vol. 1, 2nd edition. Cambridge University Press, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 06A07; Secondary 05A05, 05A10, 05A30.
Keywords: q -analogue, Birkhoff transform, distributive lattice, poset decomposition.

Received May 19 2016, revised version received August 31 2016; September 8 2016. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, September 11 2016.

Return to [Journal of Integer Sequences home page](#).