

DISCRETE SPECTRA CRITERIA FOR SINGULAR
DIFFERENCE OPERATORS

SIMÓN PEÑA, Brno

(Received June 13, 1997)

Abstract. We investigate oscillation and spectral properties (sufficient conditions for discreteness and boundedness below of the spectrum) of difference operators

$$B(y)_{n+k} = \frac{(-1)^n}{w_k} \Delta^n (p_k \Delta^n y_k).$$

Keywords: difference operator, property BD, discrete variational principle

MSC 2000: 39A10

1. INTRODUCTION, AUXILIARY RESULTS

Let w_k be a positive real sequence and denote by l_w^2 the Hilbert space of real-valued sequences $y = \{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^\infty w_k y_k^2 < \infty$, with the scalar product $\langle y, z \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^\infty w_k y_k z_k$. The aim of this paper is to investigate oscillation and spectral properties of $2n$ -order difference operators generated by the expression

$$(1.1) \quad m(y)_{k+n} = \frac{1}{w_k} \sum_{\lambda=0}^n (-1)^\lambda \Delta^\lambda (p_k^{(\lambda)} \Delta^\lambda y_{k+n-\lambda}),$$

where $p_k^{(\lambda)}$ are real and $p_k^{(n)} > 0$.

Denote

$$D(B) = \{y = \{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \in l_w^2 : \{m(y)_{k+n}\} \in l_w^2\}$$

Research supported by the Grants No. 201/96/0410 and No. 201/98/0677 of the Czech Grant Agency

and consider the operator $B: D(B) \rightarrow l_w^2$ given by $B(y)_{k+n} = m(y)_{k+n}$.

Let $B_0 := B^*$ be the adjoint operator of B . The operators B and B_0 are said to be the *maximal* and the *minimal* operator defined by the difference expression $m(y)$. We say that the operator B has the property BD if the spectrum of any self-adjoint extension of B_0 is discrete and bounded below.

A similar problem in the case $w = 1$ and $p_k^{(0)}, p_k^{(1)}, \dots, p_k^{(n-1)} \equiv 0$ was investigated in [3]. It was shown that the operator B has property BD if and only if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{(2n-1)} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_j^{(n)}} = 0.$$

Another paper related to our investigation is [5], where oscillation and spectral properties of differential operators generated by the expression

$$\sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j (p_j(t) y^{(j)})^{(j)}$$

are investigated.

Here we use the recent results about oscillation properties of self-adjoint difference equations $m(y) = 0$, see [1, 2], to establish a discrete analogue of some results of [5]. We also extend the results of [3] concerning one-term difference operators.

Oscillation properties of the even order difference equations

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{\lambda=0}^n (-1)^\lambda \Delta^\lambda (p_k^{(\lambda)} \Delta^\lambda y_{k+n-\lambda}) = 0$$

are defined using the concept of the generalized zero point of multiplicity n introduced by Hartman [6]. By this definition, an integer $m+1$ is said to be *the generalized zero point of multiplicity n* of a solution y of (1.2) if $y_m \neq 0, y_{m+1} = \dots = y_{m+n-1} = 0$ and $(-1)^n y_m y_{m+n} \geq 0$. Equation (1.2) is said to be *oscillatory* if for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a nontrivial solution of (1.2) having at least two different generalized zeros of multiplicity n in $[N, \infty)$, in the opposite case it is said to be nonoscillatory.

Proposition 1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) B has property BD.
- (ii) The equation $m(y) = \lambda y_{k+n}$ is nonoscillatory for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (iii) For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$I(y, N) = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} p_k^{(i)} (\Delta^i y_{k+n-i})^2 \geq \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \lambda w_k y_{k+n}^2$$

for any $y \in D_n(N) := \{y = \{y_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} : y_k = 0, k \leq N + n - 1, \exists m : y_k = 0, k \geq m\}$.

For $n = 1$ the above given Proposition may be found in [4] and a closer examination of its proof shows that using results of [1, 2] it may be formulated in the form given here.

2. NONOSCILLATION CRITERIA

We start with a discrete version of a Wirtinger-type inequality.

Lemma 1. *Let M_k be a positive sequence such that $\Delta M_k \neq 0$. Then for any $y \in D_1(N)$ have*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_k| y_{k+1}^2 \leq \psi_N \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{M_k M_{k+1}}{|\Delta M_k|} (\Delta y_k)^2,$$

where

$$\psi_N := \sup_{k \geq N} \frac{M_k}{M_{k+1}} \left[1 + \left(\sup_{k \geq N} \frac{|\Delta M_k|}{|\Delta M_{k-1}|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2.$$

Proof. Suppose that $\Delta M_k > 0$, in the opposite case we proceed in the same way:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_k| y_{k+1}^2 &= M_k y_k^2 \Big|_N^{\infty} - \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} M_k \Delta y_k^2 = - \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} M_k (y_{k+1} + y_k) \Delta y_k \\ &\leq \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} M_k (|y_{k+1}| + |y_k|) |\Delta y_k| \\ &= \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} M_k |y_{k+1}| |\Delta y_k| + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} M_k |y_k| |\Delta y_k| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{M_k M_{k+1}}{|\Delta M_k|} (\Delta y_k)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_k| \frac{M_k}{M_{k+1}} y_{k+1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{M_k M_{k+1}}{|\Delta M_k|} (\Delta y_k)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_k| \frac{M_k}{M_{k+1}} y_k^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{M_k M_{k+1}}{|\Delta M_k|} (\Delta y_k)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sup_{k \geq N} \frac{M_k}{M_{k+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left[\left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_k| y_{k+1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_k| y_k^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\
&= \left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{M_k M_{k+1}}{|\Delta M_k|} (\Delta y_k)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sup_{k \geq N} \frac{M_k}{M_{k+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left[\left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_k| y_{k+1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_{k-1}| \frac{|\Delta M_k|}{|\Delta M_{k-1}|} y_k^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{M_k M_{k+1}}{|\Delta M_k|} (\Delta y_k)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sup_{k \geq N} \frac{M_k}{M_{k+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left[\left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_k| y_{k+1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\sup_{k \geq N} \frac{|\Delta M_k|}{|\Delta M_{k-1}|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_{k-1}| y_k^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\
&= \left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{M_k M_{k+1}}{|\Delta M_k|} (\Delta y_k)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sup_{k \geq N} \frac{M_k}{M_{k+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left[1 + \left(\sup_{k \geq N} \frac{|\Delta M_k|}{|\Delta M_{k+1}|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_k| y_{k+1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_k| y_{k+1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{M_k M_{k+1}}{|\Delta M_k|} (\Delta y_k)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[1 + \left(\sup_{k \geq N} \frac{|\Delta M_k|}{|\Delta M_{k-1}|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \left(\sup_{k \geq N} \frac{M_k}{M_{k+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_k| y_{k+1}^2 \leq \psi_N \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{M_k M_{k+1}}{|\Delta M_k|} (\Delta y_k)^2.$$

□

Using this inequality we can prove the following nonoscillation criterion for a two-term equation

$$(2.2) \quad (-1)^n \Delta^n (r_k \Delta^n y_k) = p_k y_{k+n}, \quad r_k > 0, p_k \geq 0.$$

Theorem 1. Suppose that there exist positive sequences $M_k^{(1)}, M_k^{(2)}, \dots, M_k^{(n)}$ such that $|\Delta M_k^{(1)}|, |\Delta M_k^{(2)}|, \dots, |\Delta M_k^{(n)}|$ are eventually positive,

$$|\Delta M_k^{(j+1)}| \geq \frac{M_{k+1}^{(j)} M_k^{(j)}}{|\Delta M_k^{(j)}|}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$\frac{M_k^{(n)} M_{k+1}^{(n)}}{|\Delta M_k^{(n)}|} \leq r_k$$

satisfying

$$(2.3) \quad 0 < \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \psi_N^{(1)} \psi_N^{(2)} \dots \psi_N^{(n)} =: \psi < \infty,$$

where

$$\psi_N^{(j)} := \left(\sup_{k \geq N} \frac{M_k^{(j)}}{M_{k+1}^{(j)}} \right) \left[1 + \left(\sup_{k \geq N} \frac{|\Delta M_k^{(j)}|}{|\Delta M_{k+1}^{(j)}|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2.$$

If

$$(2.4) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{M_k^{(1)}} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} p_j < \frac{1}{\psi}$$

then equation (2.2) is nonoscillatory.

Proof. According to Proposition 1, we need to prove that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the quadratic functional

$$H(y) = \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \{r_k (\Delta^n y_k)^2 - p_k y_{k+n}^2\}$$

satisfies $H(y) > 0$ for every nontrivial $y = \{y_k\} \in D_n(N)$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{M_k^{(1)}} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} p_j < \frac{1}{\psi + \varepsilon}.$$

Then from (2.4), using Lemma 1 and summation by parts, we have for N sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} p_k y_{k+n}^2 &= \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M_k^{(1)}} \left(\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} p_j \right) M_k^{(1)} \Delta y_{k+n-1}^2 \\
&< \frac{1}{\psi + \varepsilon} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} M_k^{(1)} [\Delta y_{k+n-1}^2] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\psi + \varepsilon} \left[\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} M_k^{(1)} |y_{k+n}| |\Delta y_{k+n-1}| + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} M_k^{(1)} |y_{k+n-1}| |\Delta y_{k+n-1}| \right] \\
&\leq \frac{\sqrt{\psi_N^{(1)}}}{\psi + \varepsilon} \left(\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{M_k^{(1)} M_{k+1}^{(1)}}{|\Delta M_k^{(1)}|} (\Delta y_{k+n-1})^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_N^{\infty} |\Delta M_k^{(1)}| y_{k+n}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \frac{\psi_N^{(1)}}{\psi + \varepsilon} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_k^{(2)}| (\Delta y_{k+n-1})^2 \\
&\leq \frac{\psi_N^{(1)} \psi_N^{(2)}}{\psi + \varepsilon} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{M_k^{(2)} M_{k+1}^{(2)}}{|\Delta M_k^{(2)}|} (\Delta^2 y_{k+n-2})^2 \\
&\leq \frac{\psi_N^{(1)} \psi_N^{(2)}}{\psi + \varepsilon} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_k^{(3)}| (\Delta^2 y_{k+n-2})^2 \\
&\leq \frac{\psi_N^{(1)} \psi_N^{(2)} \dots \psi_N^{(n)}}{\psi + \varepsilon} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{M_{k+1}^{(n)} M_k^{(n)}}{|\Delta M_k^{(n)}|} (\Delta^n y_k)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since (2.3) holds, $\frac{\psi_N^{(1)} \psi_N^{(2)} \dots \psi_N^{(n)}}{\psi + \varepsilon} < 1$ if N is sufficiently large, hence

$$\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} p_k y_{k+n}^2 < \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{M_{k+1}^{(n)} M_k^{(n)}}{|\Delta M_k^{(n)}|} (\Delta^n y_k)^2 \leq \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} r_k (\Delta^n y_k)^2.$$

Consequently, $H(y) > 0$ if N is sufficiently large. □

Now consider the equation

$$(2.5) \quad (-1)^n \Delta^n (k^{(\alpha)} \Delta^n y_k) = p_k y_{k+n}$$

with $p_k \geq 0$ and $\alpha \notin \{1, 3, \dots, 2n-1\}$, $\alpha < 2n-1$ i.e., equation (2.1) where

$$r_k = k^{(\alpha)} = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(k-\alpha+1)}, \quad \Gamma(t) := \int_0^{\infty} e^{-s} s^{t-1} ds.$$

Corollary 1. *If $\alpha \notin \{1, 3, \dots, 2n-1\}$, $\alpha < 2n-1$ and*

$$(2.6) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{(2n-1-\alpha)} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} p_j < \frac{(1-\alpha)^2 \dots (2n-3-\alpha)^2 (2n-1-\alpha)}{4^n}$$

then (2.5) is nonoscillatory.

Proof. Let $M_k^{(n)} = |1-\alpha|(k-1)^{(\alpha-1)}$, $M_k^{(n-1)} = (1-\alpha)^2 |3-\alpha|(k-2)^{(\alpha-3)}$

$$M_k^{(j)} = (1-\alpha)^2 (3-\alpha)^2 \dots |2j-1-\alpha|(k-j)^{(\alpha-2j+1)}, \quad j = 3, \dots, n.$$

Recall that we have $\Gamma(k+1) = k\Gamma(k)$ and $\Delta k^{(\alpha)} = \alpha k^{(\alpha-1)}$, hence

$$\frac{1}{k^{(\alpha)}} = -\frac{1}{\alpha-1} \Delta \left(\frac{1}{(k-1)^{(\alpha-1)}} \right).$$

Using these formulas one can directly verify that sequences $M_k^{(j)}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1 with $r_k = k^{(\alpha)}$ and $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \psi_N^{(j)} = 4$. Consequently (2.4) reads (2.6) and (2.5) is nonoscillatory by Theorem 1. \square

3. SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF DIFFERENCE OPERATORS

In the next theorem we investigate spectral properties (sufficient conditions for property BD) of the full-term difference operator $m(y)$ given by (1.1). We use essentially the following idea. The general operator $m(y)$ is viewed as a ‘‘perturbation’’ of a certain one term operator

$$\frac{(-1)^i}{w_k} \Delta^i (p_k^{(i)} \Delta^j y_{k+n-i})$$

for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and on the remaining terms we impose such restrictions that they do not interfere with this term.

Theorem 2. *Let $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be fixed and let the positive strictly monotonic sequences $M_k^{(1)}, M_k^{(2)}, \dots, M_k^{(i)}$ satisfy*

$$\Delta M_k^{(1)} \geq w_k, \quad \Delta M_k^{(2)} \geq \frac{M_k^{(1)} M_{k+1}^{(1)}}{|\Delta M_k^{(1)}|}, \quad \dots, \quad \Delta M_k^{(i)} \geq \frac{M_k^{(i-1)} M_{k+1}^{(i-1)}}{|\Delta M_k^{(i-1)}|}.$$

Then the operator B has property BD if the following conditions are satisfied for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n$:

$$(a) \quad p_k^{(i)} > 0, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_k^{(i)}} < \infty, \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} M_l^{(i)} \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_k^{(i)}} = 0.$$

(b) For $j > i$, $p_k^{(j)} \geq 0$.

(c) The i sequences $\left\{ \frac{p_k^{(j)}}{|\Delta M_k^{(j+1)}|}; 0 \leq j \leq i-1 \right\}$ are bounded below by a constant C .

(d) For every $0 \leq j \leq i$ we have $\psi_N^{(j)} < \infty$, where

$$\psi_N^{(j)} := \sup_{k \geq N} \frac{M_k^{(j)}}{M_{k+1}^{(j)}} \left[1 + \left(\sup_{k \geq N} \frac{|\Delta M_k^{(j)}|}{|\Delta M_{k+1}^{(j)}|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2.$$

Proof. Let μ be a real number. From Lemma 1 we have for any $y \in D_n(N)$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, i-1$

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad & \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_k^{(j)}| (\Delta^{j-1} y_{k+n-j+1})^2 \\ & \leq \psi_N^{(j)} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{M_k^{(j)} M_{k+1}^{(j)}}{|\Delta M_k^{(j)}|} (\Delta^j y_{k+n-j})^2 \\ & \leq \psi_N^{(j)} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_k^{(j+1)}| (\Delta^j y_{k+n-j})^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by conditions (b), (c)

(3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} I(y, N) - \mu \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} w_k y_{k+n}^2 & \geq \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} p_k^{(i)} (\Delta^i y_{k+n-i})^2 \\ & \quad + C \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_k^{(j+1)}| (\Delta^j y_{k+n-j})^2 - \mu \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} w_k y_{k+n}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using $\Delta M_k^{(1)} \geq w_k$ and (3.1) we obtain

$$\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_k^{(j)}| (\Delta^{j-1} y_{k+n-j+1})^2 \leq \prod_{l=j}^{i-1} \psi_N^{(l)} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_k^{(i)}| (\Delta^{(i-1)} y_{k+n-i+1})^2,$$

for $1 \leq j \leq i-1$, hence there is a $D > 0$ ($D > \mu$) such that

$$C \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_k^{(j+1)}| (\Delta^j y_{k+n-j})^2 - \mu \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} w_k y_{k+n}^2 \geq -D \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_k^{(i)}| (\Delta^{i-1} y_{k+n-i+1})^2.$$

We set $M_l := \left(\sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_k^{(i)}} \right)^{-1}$ and $\psi_N := \sup_{k \geq N} \frac{M_k}{M_{k+1}} \left[1 + \left(\sup_{k \geq N} \frac{|\Delta M_k|}{|\Delta M_{k-1}|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2$.

By (a), we may choose N that $M_l^{(i)} \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_k^{(i)}} \leq \frac{1}{2D\psi_N}$, $l \geq N$. With this choice of N , using summation by parts and Lemma 1 (with the above given M_k), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\Delta M_k^{(i)}| (\Delta^{i-1} y_{k+n-i+1})^2 \\
& \leq \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} M_k^{(i)} [|\Delta^{i-1} y_{k+n-i+1}| + |\Delta^{i-1} y_{k+n-i}|] |\Delta^i y_{k+n-1}| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2D\psi_N} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_l^{(i)}} \right)^{-1} [|\Delta^{i-1} y_{k+n-i+1}| + |\Delta^{i-1} y_{k+n-i}|] |\Delta^i y_{k+n-1}| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2D} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} p_k^{(i)} (\Delta^i y_{k+n-i+1})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the left hand side of (3.2) is bounded below by

$$\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} p_k^{(i)} (\Delta^i y_{k+n-i})^2 - D \left(\frac{1}{2D} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} p_k^{(i)} (\Delta^i y_{k+n-i})^2 \right) \geq 0.$$

□

Now we turn our attention to the one term difference operator

$$(3.3) \quad l(y)_{n+k} = (-1)^n \frac{1}{w_k} \Delta^n (r_k \Delta^n y_k).$$

We will use the following statement known as the discrete reciprocity principle, see [3] Proposition 2. Let $w_k, r_k > 0$, $\lambda > 0$. Equation $(-1)^n \Delta^n (r_k \Delta^n y_k) = \lambda w_k y_{k+n}$ is nonoscillatory if and only if the so-called reciprocal equation

$$(3.4) \quad (-1)^n \Delta^n \left(\frac{1}{w_k} \Delta^n y_k \right) = \frac{\lambda}{r_{k+n}} y_{k+n}$$

is nonoscillatory.

Theorem 3. Let $w_k = \frac{1}{k^{(\alpha)}}$, $\alpha \notin \{1, 3, \dots, 2n-1\}$, $\alpha < 2n-1$ and

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{(2n-1-\alpha)} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} r_j^{-1} = 0.$$

Then (3.3) has property BD.

Proof. Let $\lambda > 0$. By Proposition 2 the equation $l(y) = \lambda y_{k+n}$ is nonoscillatory if and only if (3.4) is nonoscillatory.

If (3.5) holds, then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{(2n-1-\alpha)} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \lambda r_j^{-1} = 0 < \frac{(1-\alpha)^2 \dots (2n-1-\alpha)}{4^n}$, hence by Corollary, equation (3.4) with $\frac{1}{w_k} = k^{(\alpha)}$ is nonoscillatory, i.e. $l(y) = \lambda y_{k+n}$ is also nonoscillatory and by Proposition 2, (3.3) has property BD. \square

References

- [1] *Bohner, M.*: Linear Hamiltonian difference systems: disconjugacy and Jacobi-type conditions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *199* (1996), 804–826.
- [2] *Bohner, M., Došlý, O.*: Disconjugacy and transformations for symplectic systems. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* *27* (1997), 707–743.
- [3] *Došlý, O.*: Reciprocity principle for Sturm-Liouville difference equations and some of its applications. *Proceedings of SICDEA. Veszprem, 1995*, pp. 145–153.
- [4] *Hinton, D. B., Lewis, R. T.*: Spectral analysis of second order difference equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *63* (1978), 421–438.
- [5] *Hinton, D. B., Lewis, R. T.*: Discrete spectra criteria for singular differential operators with middle terms. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* *77* (1975), 337–347.
- [6] *Hartman, P.*: Difference equations: disconjugacy, principal solutions, Green's function, complete monotonicity. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* *246* (1978), 1–30.

Author's address: Simón Peňa, Přírodovědecká fakulta, Masarykova Univerzita, Janáčkovo nám. 2a, 662 95 Brno, Czech Republic.