

## POINT-SET DOMATIC NUMBERS OF GRAPHS

BOHDAN ZELINKA, Liberec

(Received September 10, 1997)

*Abstract.* A subset  $D$  of the vertex set  $V(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is called point-set dominating, if for each subset  $S \subseteq V(G) - D$  there exists a vertex  $v \in D$  such that the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $S \cup \{v\}$  is connected. The maximum number of classes of a partition of  $V(G)$ , all of whose classes are point-set dominating sets, is the point-set domatic number  $d_p(G)$  of  $G$ . Its basic properties are studied in the paper.

*Keywords:* dominating set, point-set dominating set, point-set domatic number, bipartite graph

*MSC 2000:* 05C35

The point-set domatic number of a graph is a variant of the domatic number  $d(G)$  of a graph, which was introduced by E. J. Cockayne and S. T. Hedetniemi [1], and of the point-set domination number  $\gamma_p(G)$ , which was introduced by E. Sampathkumar and L. Pushpa Latha in [3] and [4]. We will describe its basic properties. All graphs considered are finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges.

A subset  $D$  of the vertex set  $V(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is called dominating, if for each vertex  $x \in V(G) - D$  there exists a vertex  $y \in D$  adjacent to  $x$ . It is called point-set dominating (or shortly *ps*-dominating), if for each subset  $S \subseteq V(G) - D$  there exists a vertex  $v \in D$  such that the set  $S \cup \{v\}$  induces a connected subgraph of  $G$ . A partition of  $V(G)$  is called domatic (or point-set domatic), if all of its classes are dominating (or *ps*-dominating, respectively) sets in  $G$ . The maximum number of classes of a domatic (or point-set domatic) partition of  $V(G)$  is called the domatic (or point-set domatic, respectively) number of  $G$ . The domatic number of  $G$  is denoted by  $d(G)$ , the point-set domatic number of  $G$  is denoted by  $d_p(G)$ . Instead of “point-set domatic” we will say shortly “*ps*-domatic”.

For every graph  $G$  there exists at least one *ps*-domatic partition of  $V(G)$ , namely  $\{V(G)\}$ . Therefore  $d_p(G)$  is well-defined for every graph  $G$ .

Evidently each  $ps$ -dominating set in  $G$  is a dominating set in  $G$  and thus we have a proposition.

**Proposition 1.** *For every graph  $G$  the inequality*

$$d_p(G) \leq d(G)$$

*holds.*

Each vertex of a complete graph  $K_n$  forms a one-element  $ps$ -dominating set and therefore the following proposition holds.

**Proposition 2.** *For every complete graph  $K_n$  its  $ps$ -domatic number satisfies*

$$d_p(K_n) = n.$$

A similar assertion holds for a complete bipartite graph  $K_{m,n}$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $K_{m,n}$  be a complete bipartite graph with  $2 \leq m \leq n$ . Then*

$$d_p(K_{m,n}) = m.$$

*Proof.* Let  $U, V$  be the bipartition classes of  $K_{m,n}$ . Let  $u \in U, v \in V$  and consider the set  $D = \{u, v\}$ . Let  $S \subseteq V(K_{m,n}) - D$ . If  $S \subseteq U$ , then  $S \cup \{v\}$  induces a subgraph which is a star and thus it is connected. If  $S \subseteq V$ , then so is  $S \cup \{u\}$ . Suppose that  $S \cap U \neq \emptyset, S \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . The set  $S$  itself induces a connected subgraph, namely a complete bipartite graph. The vertex  $u$  is adjacent to a vertex of  $S \cap V$  and thus also  $S \cup \{u\}$  induces a connected subgraph; the set  $D = \{u, v\}$  is  $ps$ -dominating. If  $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}, V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ , we take  $D_i = \{u_i, v_i\}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$  and  $D_m = \{u_m, v_m, \dots, v_n\}$ . Then  $\{D_1, \dots, D_m\}$  is a  $ps$ -domatic partition of  $K_{m,n}$  and  $d_p(K_{m,n}) \geq m$ . On the other hand,  $d_p(K_{m,n}) \leq d(K_{m,n}) = m$  and thus  $d_p(K_{m,n}) = m$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $n$  be an even integer, let  $G$  be obtained from the complete graph  $K_n$  by deleting edges of a linear factor. Then*

$$d_p(G) = n/2.$$

*Proof.* Evidently each pair of non-adjacent vertices in  $G$  is  $ps$ -dominating and there exists a partition of  $V(G)$  into  $n/2$  such sets. On the other hand, no one-vertex  $ps$ -dominating set exists. This implies the assertion.  $\square$

Now we will prove some theorems. By  $d_G(x, y)$  we denote the distance between vertices  $x, y$  in a graph  $G$ . By  $\text{diam}(G)$  we denote the diameter of  $G$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a graph. If  $d_p(G) \geq 3$ , then  $\text{diam}(G) \leq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $d_p(G) = k \geq 3$ . Then there exists a  $ps$ -domatic partition  $\{D_1, \dots, D_k\}$  of  $G$ . Let  $x, y$  be two vertices of  $G$ . As  $k \geq 3$ , at least one of the sets  $D_1, \dots, D_k$  contains neither  $x$  nor  $y$ . Without loss of generality let it be  $D_1$ . We have  $\{x, y\} \subseteq V(G) - D_1$  and therefore there exists a vertex  $v \in D_1$  such that  $\{v, x, y\}$  induces a connected subgraph of  $G$ . If  $x, y$  are adjacent, then  $d_G(x, y) = 1$ . If  $x, y$  are not adjacent, then  $v$  must be adjacent to both  $x$  and  $y$  and  $d_G(x, y) = 2$ . As  $x, y$  were chosen arbitrarily, we have  $\text{diam}(G) \leq 2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be a graph. If  $d_p(G) = 2$ , then  $\text{diam}(G) \leq 3$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $d_p(G) = 2$ . There exists a  $ps$ -domatic partition  $\{D_1, D_2\}$  of  $V(G)$ . Let  $x, y$  be two vertices of  $G$ . If both  $x, y$  are in  $D_1$ , then  $\{x, y\} \subseteq V(G) - D_2$  and  $d_G(x, y) \leq 2$  analogously as in the proof of Theorem 1. Similarly in the case when both  $x, y$  are in  $D_2$ . Now let  $x \in D_1, y \in D_2$ . As  $\{y\} \subseteq V(G) - D_1$ , there exists  $v \in D_1$  adjacent to  $y$ . As both  $x, v$  are in  $D_1$ , we have  $d_G(x, v) \leq 2, d_G(v, y) = 1$  and thus  $d_G(x, y) \leq 3$ . As  $x, y$  were chosen arbitrarily, we have  $\text{diam}(G) \leq 3$ .  $\square$

Now we shall consider bipartite graphs.

**Corollary.** *Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph. If  $d_p(G) \geq 3$ , then  $G$  is a complete bipartite graph.*

This follows from the fact that every non-complete bipartite graph has the diameter at least 3.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $G$  be a non-complete bipartite graph. Then  $d_p(G) = 2$  if and only if  $G$  has a spanning tree  $T$  with  $\text{diam}(T) \leq 3$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be a tree with  $\text{diam}(T) \leq 3$ . If  $D_1, D_2$  are the bipartition classes of  $T$ , then  $\{D_1, D_2\}$  is a  $ps$ -domatic partition of  $T$  and  $d_p(T) \leq 2$  and thus  $d_p(T) = 2$ . If  $G$  is a graph such that  $T$  is its spanning tree and  $G$  is a non-complete bipartite graph, then obviously also  $d_p(G) = 2$ .

Now suppose that  $d_p(G) = 2$  and let  $\{D_1, D_2\}$  be a  $ps$ -domatic partition. Let  $V_1, V_2$  be the bipartition classes of  $G$ . First suppose that  $D_1$  is a proper subset of  $V_1$ . Then  $V_1 - D_1 \subseteq V(G) - D_1$  and for each  $v \in D_1$  the set  $(V_1 - D_1) \cup \{v\}$  is independent, i.e. it does not induce a connected subgraph of  $G$ . Hence this case is impossible and moreover  $D_1$  cannot be a proper subset of  $V_2$  and  $D_2$  cannot be a proper subset of  $V_1$

or of  $V_2$ . Now consider the case  $D_1 = V_1$ . Then  $D_2 = V_2$ . We have  $V_2 \subseteq V(G) - D_1$  and there exists a vertex  $v_1 \in V_1$  adjacent to all vertices of  $V_2$ . Analogously, there exists a vertex  $v_2 \in V_2$  adjacent to all vertices of  $V_1$ . All edges joining  $v_1$  with vertices of  $V_2$  and all edges joining  $v_2$  with vertices of  $V_1$  form the spanning tree  $T$ ; its central edge is  $v_1v_2$  and its diameter is 3. The case  $D_1 = V_2, D_2 = V_1$  is analogous. Now the case remains when  $D_1 \cap V_1 \neq \emptyset, D_1 \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset, D_2 \cap V_1 \neq \emptyset, D_2 \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $V_1 \in D_1 \cap V_1, x_2 \in D_1 \cap V_2$ . We have  $\{x_1, x_2\} \subseteq V(G) - D_2$  and there exists a vertex  $v \in D_2$  such that  $\{v, x_1, x_2\}$  induces a connected subgraph of  $G$ . As  $x_1, x_2$  belong to distinct bipartition classes of  $G$ , the vertex  $v$  cannot be adjacent to both of them and thus  $x_1, x_2$  are adjacent. Therefore  $D_2$  induces a complete bipartite subgraph on the sets  $D_2 \cap V_1, D_2 \cap V_2$  and analogously,  $D_1$  induces a complete bipartite subgraph on the sets  $D_1 \cap V_1, D_1 \cap V_2$ . We have  $D_1 \cap V_1 \subseteq V(G) - D_2$  and therefore there exists a vertex  $w_2 \in D_2$  adjacent to all vertices of  $D_2 \cap V_1$ ; evidently  $w_2 \in D_2 \cap V_2$ . Analogously, there exists a vertex  $w_1 \in D_1 \cap V_1$  adjacent to all vertices of  $D_1 \cap V_2$ . The vertex  $w_1$  is adjacent to all vertices of  $V_2$  and the vertex  $w_2$  is adjacent to all vertices of  $V_1$ . Obviously  $w_1, w_2$  are adjacent. There exists a spanning tree  $T$  with the central edge  $w_1w_2$  which has the diameter 3.  $\square$

Now we turn to circuits. By  $C_n$  we denote the circuit of the length  $n$ .

**Theorem 5.** *For the circuits we have*

$$\begin{aligned} d_p(C_3) &= 3, \\ d_p(C_4) &= 2, \\ d_p(C_5) &= 2, \\ d_p(C_n) &= 1 \quad \text{for } n \geq 6. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The circuit  $C_3$  is the complete graph  $K_3$  and thus  $d_p(C_3) = 3$ . The circuit  $C_4$  contains a spanning tree which is a path  $P_3$  of length 3 and therefore  $d_p(C_4) = 2$ ; note that  $C_4$  is a bipartite graph. Consider  $C_5$  and let its vertices be  $u_1, \dots, u_5$  and edges  $u_iu_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 4$  and  $u_5u_1$ . There exists a  $ps$ -domatic partition  $\{D_1, D_2\}$ , where  $D_1 = \{u_1, u_2, u_4\}, D_2 = \{u_3, u_5\}$ ; thus  $d_p(C_5) \geq 2$ . As the domatic number  $d(C_5) = 2$ , we have  $d_p(C_5) = 2$  as well. The circuit  $C_6$  is a bipartite graph and does not contain any spanning tree of diameter 3, therefore  $d_p(C_6) = 1$ . Now consider  $C_7$ . Suppose that in  $C_7$  there exists a  $ps$ -domatic partition  $\{D_1, D_2\}$  and denote its vertices by  $u_1, \dots, u_7$  in the usual way. Any two vertices with the distance 3 are in distinct classes of  $\{D_1, D_2\}$ ; this follows from the proofs of Theorem 1 and of Theorem 2. If  $u_1 \in D_1$  (without loss of generality), then  $u_4 \in D_2, u_7 \in D_1, u_3 \in D_2, u_6 \in D_1, u_2 \in D_2, u_5 \in D_1, u_1 \in D_2$ , which is a contradiction and thus  $d_p(C_7) = 1$ . For  $n \geq 8$  we have  $\text{diam}(C_n) \geq 4$  and thus  $d_p(C_n) = 1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** For the complement  $\bar{C}_n$  of a circuit  $C_n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}d_p(\bar{C}_3) &= 1, \\d_p(\bar{C}_4) &= 1, \\d_p(\bar{C}_n) &= \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \quad \text{for } n \geq 5.\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The graphs  $\bar{C}_3$  and  $\bar{C}_4$  are disconnected and therefore they have the  $ps$ -domatic number 1. If  $n \geq 5$ , then any pair of non-adjacent vertices in  $\bar{C}_n$  is a  $ps$ -dominating set, which can be easily verified by the reader. There exists a partition of  $V(\bar{C}_n)$  into  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  sets, each of which is a pair of non-adjacent vertices, except at most one which has three vertices from which only two are adjacent. There exists no one-element  $ps$ -dominating set, therefore  $d_p(\bar{C}_n) = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ .  $\square$

In the end we will prove an existence theorem.

**Theorem 7.** Let  $V$  be a finite set, let  $k$  be an integer,  $1 \leq k \leq |V|$ , let  $\{D_1, \dots, D_k\}$  be a partition of  $V$ . Then there exists a graph  $G$  such that  $V(G) = V$ ,  $d_p(G) = k$  and  $\{D_1, \dots, D_k\}$  is a  $ps$ -domatic partition of  $G$ .

*Proof.* For  $i = 1, \dots, k$  choose a vertex  $v_i \in D_i$  and join it by edges with all vertices not belonging to  $D_i$ . The resulting graph is the graph  $G$ . For each subset  $S \subseteq V(G) - D_i$  there exists a vertex of  $D_i$  which is adjacent to all vertices of  $S$ , namely  $v_i$ . Therefore  $\{D_1, \dots, D_k\}$  is a  $ps$ -domatic partition of  $G$  and  $d_p(G) \geq k$ . If  $|D_i| = 1$  for all  $i$ , then  $G$  is  $K_k$  and  $d_p(G) = k$ . If  $|D_i| \geq 2$  for some  $i$ , then a vertex  $u \in D_i - \{v_i\}$  has the degree  $k - 1$  and thus the domatic number satisfies  $d(G) \leq k$  (by [1]) and  $d_p(G) \leq d(G) \leq k$ . This implies  $d_p(G) = k$ .  $\square$

In the end we will give a motivation for introducing the point-set domination. The concept of a dominating set is usually motivated by the displacement of certain service stations (medical, police, fire-brigade) which have to provide service for certain places (vertices of a graph). In the case of the point-set dominating set we want that for any chosen region (set of vertices) there might exist a station providing services for the whole region. Note that the point-set domination number is also a variant of the set domination number introduced in [5] and mentioned in [2].

### References

- [1] *Cockayne E. J., Hedetniemi S. T.*: Towards the theory of domination in graphs. *Networks* 7 (1977), 247–261.
- [2] *Haynes T. W., Hedetniemi S. T., Slater P. J.*: *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1998.
- [3] *Pushpa Latha L.*: The global point-set domination number of a graph. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 28 (1997), 47–51.
- [4] *Sampathkumar E., Pushpa Latha L.*: Point-set domination number of a graph. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 24 (1993), 225–229.
- [5] *Sampathkumar E., Pushpa Latha L.*: Set domination in graphs. *J. Graph Theory* 18 (1994), 489–495.

*Author's address:* Bohdan Zelinka, Katedra aplikované matematiky Technické univerzity, Voroněžská 13, 461 17 Liberec 1, Czech Republic.