

A DUALITY BETWEEN ALGEBRAS OF BASIC LOGIC AND
BOUNDED REPRESENTABLE *DRL*-MONOIDS

JIŘÍ RACHŮNEK, Olomouc

(Received June 11, 1999)

Abstract. *BL*-algebras, introduced by P. Hájek, form an algebraic counterpart of the basic fuzzy logic. In the paper it is shown that *BL*-algebras are the duals of bounded representable *DRL*-monoids. This duality enables us to describe some structure properties of *BL*-algebras.

Keywords: *BL*-algebra, *MV*-algebra, bounded *DRL*-monoid, representable *DRL*-monoid, prime spectrum

MSC 2000: 06F05, 03B52, 03G20, 03G25

1. CONNECTIONS BETWEEN *BL*-ALGEBRAS AND *DRL*-MONOIDS

Dually residuated lattice ordered monoids (briefly: *DRL*-monoids) were introduced and studied by K.L.N. Swamy in [16], [17] and [18] as a common generalization of commutative lattice ordered groups (*l*-groups) and Brouwerian (and hence also Boolean) algebras.

Definition. An algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A, +, 0, \vee, \wedge, -)$ of signature $\langle 2, 0, 2, 2, 2 \rangle$ is called a *DRL-monoid* if it satisfies the following conditions ($x, y, z \in A$):

- (1) $(A, +, 0)$ is an abelian monoid;
- (2) (A, \vee, \wedge) is a lattice;
- (3) $(A, +, \vee, \wedge, 0)$ is an *l*-monoid;
- (4) if \leq denotes the order on A induced by the lattice (A, \vee, \wedge) then $x - y$ is the smallest $z \in A$ such that $y + z \geq x$;

Supported by the Council of Czech Government, MSM 153100011.

(5) $((x - y) \vee 0) + y \leq x \vee y$.

Note. As is shown in [16], condition (4) is equivalent to the system of identities

$$\begin{aligned}x + (y - x) &\geq y; \\x - y &\leq (x \vee z) - y; \\(x + y) - y &\leq x,\end{aligned}$$

hence *DRL*-monoids form a variety of algebras of type $\langle 2, 0, 2, 2, 2 \rangle$.

The notion of a *DRL*-monoid actually includes also other types of algebras.

It is well-known (by C. C. Chang [2]) that the Łukasiewicz infinite valued propositional logic has as its algebraic counterpart the notion of an *MV*-algebra. Moreover, there are several other types of algebraic structures equivalent to *MV*-algebras which in this sense can be associated with Łukasiewicz logic. For example, by D. Mundici [8] and [9], *MV*-algebras are categorically equivalent to abelian lattice ordered groups with strong order units and to bounded commutative *BCK*-algebras.

In [12] and [14] it was shown that the class of *MV*-algebras is polynomially equivalent to a variety of bounded *DRL*-monoids.

The Łukasiewicz infinite valued logic is an axiomatic extension of the basic fuzzy logic. The latter has as its algebraic counterpart the notion of a *BL*-algebra. (See [6], [7] or [4].) The *basic fuzzy logic* and *BL*-algebras were introduced by P. Hájek to formalize a part of the reasoning in fuzzy logic. In this paper we will show that also *BL*-algebras can be equivalently replaced by a class of dually residuated lattice ordered monoids, and that this equivalence makes it possible to use some results of the theory of such lattice ordered monoids in the theory of *BL*-algebras.

Definition. A *BL*-algebra is an algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ of signature $\langle 2, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0 \rangle$ such that

- (i) $(A, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ is a bounded lattice with the least element 0 and the greatest element 1;
- (ii) $(A, \odot, 1, \vee, \wedge)$ is a commutative lattice ordered monoid;
- (iii) \mathcal{A} satisfies the following conditions:
 - (1) $z \leq x \rightarrow y$ iff $x \odot z \leq y$, for all $x, y, z \in A$,
 - (2) $x \wedge y = x \odot (x \rightarrow y)$,
 - (3) $(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) = 1$.

Remark. a) The *BL*-algebras also form a variety of algebras of the type considered.

b) A *BL*-algebra could be also defined equivalently as an algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A, \odot, \rightarrow, 0)$ of signature $\langle 2, 2, 0 \rangle$ (see [4]). We use the above Hájek's definition because it gives a

direct possibility to show a duality between the class of BL -algebras and a class of DRL -monoids.

Now we can recognize BL -algebras as dual cases of some DRL -monoids.

Definition. A DRL -monoid $\mathcal{A} = (A, +, 0, \vee, \wedge, -)$ is called *representable* (see [20]) if it is isomorphic to a subdirect product of linearly ordered DRL -monoids (i.e. DRL -chains).

For instance, commutative l -groups and Boolean algebras are representable DRL -monoids.

One can prove (see [20]) that a DRL -monoid \mathcal{A} is representable if and only if \mathcal{A} satisfies the identity

$$(x - y) \wedge (y - x) \leq 0.$$

Remark. Comparing two classes of algebras, it will be simpler to use algebras dual to BL -algebras. Namely, an algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A, \vee, \wedge, \oplus, \ominus, 1, 0)$ of type $\langle 2, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0 \rangle$ is called a *dual BL -algebra* if

- (i)^d $(A, \vee, \wedge, 1, 0)$ is a bounded lattice with the greatest element 1 and the least element 0;
- (ii)^d $(A, \oplus, 0, \wedge, \vee)$ is a commutative lattice ordered monoid;
- (iii)^d \mathcal{A} satisfies the conditions
 - (1) $z \geq x \ominus y$ iff $x \oplus z \geq y$, for all $x, y, z \in A$,
 - (2) $x \vee y = x \oplus (y \ominus x)$,
 - (3) $(x \ominus y) \wedge (y \ominus x) = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ be a BL -algebra and let (A, \wedge_d, \vee_d) be the lattice dual to the lattice (A, \wedge, \vee) , i.e. $x \wedge_d y = x \vee y$ and $x \vee_d y = x \wedge y$ for any $x, y \in A$. Further, set $x \oplus_d y = x \odot y$ and $x \ominus_d y = y \rightarrow x$ for each $x, y \in A$. Then $(A, \vee_d, \wedge_d, \oplus_d, \ominus_d, 0, 1)$ is a dual BL -algebra. Conversely, using the dual considerations, one can obtain a BL -algebra from a given dual BL -algebra. It is obvious that the above processes are mutually inverse and therefore there is a one-to-one correspondence between the BL -algebras and the dual BL -algebras.

Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, +, 0, \vee, \wedge, -)$ be an above bounded DRL -monoid with the greatest element 1. Then $(A, \vee, \wedge, +, -, 1, 0)$ is a dual BL -algebra if and only if \mathcal{A} is representable.

Proof. One can easily prove (see e.g. [10], Theorem 1.2.3) that if a DRL -monoid \mathcal{A} is bounded above then it is bounded below too, and, moreover, 0 is the least element in \mathcal{A} . If this is the case, then the conditions (i)^d, (ii)^d and (iii)^d(1) are trivially satisfied, and the condition (iii)^d(2) follows from (5) of the definition of a DRL -monoid. If moreover \mathcal{A} is representable, the condition (iii)^d(3) holds.

Conversely, if \mathcal{A} is a bounded *DRI*-monoid such that $(A, \vee, \wedge, +, -, 1, 0)$ is a dual *BL*-algebra, then \mathcal{A} is obviously representable. \square

Comparing the definitions of *BL*-algebras and representable *DRI*-monoids we get the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *If $\mathcal{A} = (A, \vee, \wedge, \oplus, \ominus, 1, 0)$ is a dual *BL*-algebra then $(A, \oplus, 0, \vee, \wedge, \ominus)$ is a bounded representable *DRI*-monoid with the greatest element 1.*

Remark. For the class \mathcal{DRI}_1 of bounded *DRI*-monoids (and especially for the class \mathcal{RDRI}_1 of bounded representable *DRI*-monoids) we will consider the greatest element 1 as a new nullary operation and thus we will enlarge the type of those *DRI*-monoids to $(+, 0, \vee, \wedge, -, 1)$ of signature $\langle 2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 0 \rangle$. Hence the class \mathcal{DBL} of dual *BL*-algebras is, from this point of view, a subclass of the class \mathcal{DRI}_1 which is, by Theorems 1 and 2, equal to the class \mathcal{RDRI}_1 of bounded representable *DRI*-monoids. This means that *BL*-algebras are in fact the dual algebras of bounded representable *DRI*-monoids, and therefore one can obtain some results on *BL*-algebras as consequences of those on *DRI*-monoids.

Now, let us recall the notion of an *MV*-algebra.

Definition. An algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A, \oplus, \neg, 0)$ of signature $\langle 2, 1, 0 \rangle$ is called an *MV*-algebra if \mathcal{A} satisfies the following identities:

- (MV1) $x \oplus (y \oplus z) = (x \oplus y) \oplus z$;
- (MV2) $x \oplus y = y \oplus x$;
- (MV3) $x \oplus 0 = x$;
- (MV4) $\neg\neg x = x$;
- (MV5) $x \oplus \neg 0 = \neg 0$;
- (MV6) $\neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y = \neg(x \oplus \neg y) \oplus x$.

As is known, *MV*-algebras were introduced by C. C. Chang in [2] and [3] as an algebraic counterpart of Łukasiewicz infinite-valued propositional logic.

If we put in any *MV*-algebra \mathcal{A}

$$1 = \neg 0, x \ominus y = \neg(\neg x \oplus y),$$

$$x \vee y = \neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y, x \wedge y = \neg(\neg x \vee \neg y)$$

for each $x, y \in A$, then $(A, \vee, \wedge, \oplus, \ominus, 1, 0)$ is a dual *BL*-algebra and so also a bounded representable *DRI*-monoid.

Moreover, *MV*-algebras are by [12] and [14] in a one-to-one correspondence with bounded *DRI*-monoids (the representability is not explicitly required) which satisfy

the identity

$$(i) \quad 1 - (1 - x) = x.$$

Therefore we get as a consequence a known characterization of *MV*-algebras in the class of dual *BL*-algebras:

Corollary 3. *A dual BL-algebra \mathcal{A} is an MV-algebra if and only if \mathcal{A} satisfies*

$$(i') \quad 1 \ominus (1 \ominus x) = x.$$

Note. This corollary corresponds to [7], Definition 3.2.2, where *MV*-algebras are defined as *BL*-algebras satisfying the law of double negation $\neg\neg x = x$.

Remark. *DRL*-monoids (similarly as *MV*-algebras) in general lack additive idempotents. However, in Brouwerian algebras which are special cases of *DRL*-monoids, the operations $+$ and \vee coincide, and hence, among others, all elements are additive idempotents. It is known ([2], Theorem 1.17) that additive idempotents in any *MV*-algebra form a Boolean algebra. Now we can analogously describe the properties of the set of idempotents in any bounded representable *DRL*-monoid.

Proposition 4. *The set B of additive idempotents of any representable bounded DRL-monoid \mathcal{A} is a Brouwerian algebra.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, +, 0, \vee, \wedge, -, 1)$ be a bounded representable *DRL*-monoid and $B = \{x \in A; x + x = x\}$. Obviously $0, 1 \in B$. Let $x, y \in B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (x + y) + (x + y) &= x + y, \\ (x \wedge y) + (x \wedge y) &= (x + x) \wedge (x + y) \wedge (y + y) = x \wedge y \wedge (x + y) = x \wedge y, \end{aligned}$$

hence $x + y, x \wedge y \in B$.

For any $x, y \in B$,

$$x + (x \wedge y) = x \wedge (x + y) = x,$$

thus $(B, +, \wedge)$ satisfies both absorption laws. Therefore $(B, +, \wedge)$ is a lattice which is distributive by the definition of a *DRL*-monoid.

Let \mathcal{A} be a bounded *DRL*-chain. The order induced on B by the lattice $(B, +, \wedge)$ is clearly the same as that induced on B by \mathcal{A} . Hence $(B, +, \wedge)$ is a chain, and so

$$x + y = \sup(x, y) = \max(x, y) = x \vee_A y$$

for any $x, y \in B$.

Moreover, $(B, +, \wedge) = (B, \vee, \wedge)$ is a Brouwerian algebra because for any $x, y \in B$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} x - y = 0 & \quad \text{if} \quad x \leq y, \\ x - y = x & \quad \text{if} \quad x > y. \end{aligned}$$

Let now a *DRL*-monoid \mathcal{A} be a subdirect product of bounded *DRL*-chains $\mathcal{A}_i, i \in I$. If $a = (a_i; i \in I) \in A$, then $a \in B$ if and only if $a_i \in B_i$ for each $i \in I$. (B_i is the set of idempotents of \mathcal{A}_i .) Hence, if $a, b \in B$ then

$$a + b = (a_i + b_i; i \in I) = (\max(a_i, b_i); i \in I) = a \vee b,$$

and if we set $a - b = (a_i - b_i; i \in I)$ for any $a, b \in B$, we get that $(B, 0, \vee, \wedge, -, 1)$ is a Brouwerian algebra. \square

Corollary 5. *The set of multiplicative idempotents of any BL-algebra is a Heyting algebra.*

2. STRUCTURE PROPERTIES OF BL-ALGEBRAS

Recall the notion of a filter of a *BL*-algebra introduced in [7], Definition 2.3.13:

If \mathcal{A} is a *BL*-algebra then $\emptyset \neq F \subseteq A$ is called a *filter* of \mathcal{A} if

- (a) $\forall a, b \in F; a \odot b \in F$,
- (b) $\forall a \in F, x \in A; a \leq x \Rightarrow x \in F$.

Further, recall that $\emptyset \neq F \subseteq A$ is called a *deductive system* of a *BL*-algebra \mathcal{A} if

- (a') $1 \in F$,
- (b') $\forall x, y \in A; x \in F, x \rightarrow y \in F \Rightarrow y \in F$.

One can easily prove that $\emptyset \neq F \subseteq A$ is a filter of \mathcal{A} if and only if F is a *deductive system* in \mathcal{A} .

Note that deductive systems of *BL*-algebras were introduced in [21] where, moreover, also special types of deductive systems called implicative and weakly implicative were studied.

Let \mathcal{B} be an arbitrary *DRL*-monoid. For any $x, y \in B$ set $x * y = (x - y) \vee (y - x)$. Then $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq B$ is called an *ideal* of \mathcal{B} if

- (c) $\forall a, b \in I; a + b \in I$,
- (d) $\forall a \in I, x \in B; x * 0 \leq a * 0 \Rightarrow x \in I$.

It is obvious that $0 \leq x$ implies $x * 0 = x$ for any x in a *DRL*-monoid \mathcal{B} . Therefore, if \mathcal{A} is a *BL*-algebra then the filters of \mathcal{A} and the ideals of the *DRL*-monoid \mathcal{A}^d dual to \mathcal{A} coincide.

Further, the ideals and congruences in any *DRL*-monoid are in a one-to-one correspondence (see [18]), therefore this holds also for filters and congruences of *BL*-algebras (see also [7] or [4]).

In [19] some results concerning the lattices of semiregular normal autometrized lattice ordered algebras are obtained. The *DRL*-monoids are special cases of these algebras, thus the following assertions are consequences of [19], Theorem 6, of the distributivity of Brouwerian lattices, and of the correspondence between the lattice of subvarieties of any variety of algebras \mathcal{V} and the lattice of fully characteristic congruences of the free algebra with countable rank in \mathcal{V} .

Theorem 6. *The filters of any BL-algebra form, under the ordering by set inclusion, a complete algebraic Brouwerian lattice.*

Corollary 7. *The variety \mathcal{BL} of BL-algebras is congruence distributive.*

Theorem 8. *The lattice \mathbf{BL} of all varieties of BL-algebras is a complete dually algebraic dually Brouwerian lattice.*

If \mathcal{A} is a *BL*-algebra then a filter F of \mathcal{A} is called *prime* if F is a finitely meet irreducible element of the lattice $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ of all filters of \mathcal{A} , i.e., if

$$\forall K, L \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}); K \cap L = F \implies K = F \text{ or } L = F.$$

According to [11], F is a prime filter of \mathcal{A} if and only if

$$\forall x, y \in A; x \vee y \in F \implies x \in F \text{ or } y \in F,$$

and hence by [7] if and only if the quotient algebra of \mathcal{A} by the congruence corresponding to F is linearly ordered.

In [7], Definition 2.3.13, a filter F of a *BL*-algebra \mathcal{A} is defined to be prime if for each $x, y \in A$,

$$x \rightarrow y \in F \text{ or } y \rightarrow x \in F.$$

Further, in [7], Lemma 2.3.14, the correspondence between congruences and filters of *BL*-algebras is described and it is shown that the quotient *BL*-algebra is linearly ordered if and only if it corresponds to a prime filter. Hence our definition of a prime filter is equivalent to that of [7]. Moreover, in [7], Lemma 2.3.15, it is shown that

any BL -algebra \mathcal{A} has “enough” prime filters because for any $1 \neq x \in A$ there is a prime filter of \mathcal{A} not containing x .

Let us denote by $\text{Spec } \mathcal{A}$ the prime spectrum of a BL -algebra \mathcal{A} , i.e. the set of all proper prime filters of \mathcal{A} . As dual BL -algebras form a subvariety of the variety \mathcal{DRL}_1 of bounded DRL -monoids, we get, by [13], Corollary 6, the following theorem.

Theorem 9. *If \mathcal{A} is a BL -algebra, then $\text{Spec } \mathcal{A}$ endowed with the spectral (i.e. hull-kernel) topology is a compact topological space.*

Let us consider the sets $m(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ of all minimal and maximal, respectively, proper prime filters of a BL -algebra \mathcal{A} . Since \mathcal{A}^d is, moreover, a representable DRL -monoid, Theorems 11 and 14 of [13] imply the following properties of spectral topologies on $m(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ induced by the spectral topology of $\text{Spec } \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 10. *Let \mathcal{A} be a BL -algebra. Then the spectral topologies of $m(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ are T_2 -topologies and the space $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is compact.*

Let us recall the notion of a weak Boolean product of algebras.

Definition. An algebra \mathcal{A} is called a *weak Boolean product* (a *Boolean product*) of an indexed family $(\mathcal{A}_x; x \in X)$ of algebras over a Boolean space X if \mathcal{A} is a subdirect product of the family $(\mathcal{A}_x; x \in X)$ such that

(BP1) if $a, b \in A$ then $[[a = b]] = \{x \in X; a(x) = b(x)\}$ is open (clopen);

(BP2) if $a, b \in A$ and U is a clopen subset of X , then $a|_U \cup b|_{X \setminus U} \in A$, where $(a|_U \cup b|_{X \setminus U})(x) = a(x)$ for $x \in U$ and $(a|_U \cup b|_{X \setminus U})(x) = b(x)$ for $x \in X \setminus U$.

(See [1] or [5].)

In the paper [5], Theorem 2.3, it was proved how the ordered prime spectrum of a weak Boolean product (and hence also of a Boolean product) of MV -algebras is composed by the prime ordered spectra of the components of this product. This result was generalized in [15], Theorem 2, to weak Boolean products of arbitrary bounded DRL -monoids. Hence the next theorem is a consequence of [15].

Theorem 11. *Let a BL -algebra \mathcal{A} be a weak Boolean product over a Boolean space X of a system $(\mathcal{A}_x; x \in X)$ of BL -algebras. Then the ordered prime spectrum $(\text{Spec } \mathcal{A}, \subseteq)$ is isomorphic to the cardinal sum of the ordered prime spectra $(\text{Spec } \mathcal{A}_x, \subseteq)$, $x \in X$.*

References

- [1] *Burris, S., Sankappanavar, H. P.*: A Course in Universal Algebra. Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [2] *Chang, C. C.*: Algebraic analysis of many valued logics. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* *88* (1958), 456–490.
- [3] *Chang, C. C.*: A new proof of the completeness of the Łukasiewicz axioms. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* *93* (1959), 74–80.
- [4] *Cignoli, R.*: Lattice-ordered abelian groups and completeness of Łukasiewicz and product t -norm. *Sémin. Structures Alg. Ord., Univ. Paris VII, No. 68*, 1999, pp. 14.
- [5] *Cignoli, R., Torrens, A.*: The poset of prime l -ideals of an abelian l -group with a strong unit. *J. Algebra* *184* (1996), 604–614.
- [6] *Hájek, P.*: Basic fuzzy logic and BL -algebras. *Inst. Comput. Sciences Acad. Sci. Czech Rep., Techn. Report V736* (1997).
- [7] *Hájek, P.*: *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*. Kluwer, Amsterdam, 1998.
- [8] *Mundici, D.*: Interpretation of AF C^* -algebras in Łukasiewicz sentential calculus. *J. Funct. Anal.* *65* (1986), 15–63.
- [9] *Mundici, D.*: MV -algebras are categorically equivalent to bounded commutative BCK -algebras. *Math. Japonica* *31* (1986), 889–894.
- [10] *Kovář, T.*: A general theory of dually residuated lattice ordered monoids. Thesis, Palacký Univ., 1996.
- [11] *Rachůnek, J.*: Prime ideals in autometrized algebras. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* *37* (1987), 65–69.
- [12] *Rachůnek, J.*: DRI -semigroups and MV -algebras. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* *48* (1998), 365–372.
- [13] *Rachůnek, J.*: Spectra of autometrized lattice algebras. *Math. Bohem.* *123* (1998), 87–94.
- [14] *Rachůnek, J.*: MV -algebras are categorically equivalent to a class of $DRI_{1(i)}$ -semigroups. *Math. Bohem.* *123* (1998), 437–441.
- [15] *Rachůnek, J.*: Ordered prime spectra of bounded DRI -monoids. *Math. Bohem.* To appear.
- [16] *Swamy, K. L. N.*: Dually residuated lattice ordered semigroups. *Math. Ann.* *159* (1965), 105–114.
- [17] *Swamy, K. L. N.*: Dually residuated lattice ordered semigroups II. *Math. Ann.* *160* (1965), 64–71.
- [18] *Swamy, K. L. N.*: Dually residuated lattice ordered semigroups III. *Math. Ann.* *167* (1966), 71–74.
- [19] *Swamy, K. L. N., Rao, N. P.*: Ideals in autometrized algebras. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* *24* (1977), 362–374.
- [20] *Swamy, K. L. N., Subba Rao, B. V.*: Isometries in dually residuated lattice ordered semigroups. *Math. Sem. Notes* *8* (1980), 369–380.
- [21] *Turunen, E.*: Boolean deductive systems of BL -algebras. Research Report 61, Lappeenranta Univ. of Technology, Dept. Inf. Techn., 1998.

Author's address: Jiří Rachůnek, Department of Algebra and Geometry, Faculty of Sciences, Palacký University, Tomkova 40, 779 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic, e-mail: rachunek@risc.upol.cz.