

SUBTRACTION ALGEBRAS AND *BCK*-ALGEBRAS

YOUNG HEE KIM, Chongju, HEE SIK KIM, Seoul

(Received May 16, 2001)

*Abstract.* In this note we show that a subtraction algebra is equivalent to an implicative *BCK*-algebra, and a subtraction semigroup is a special case of a *BCI*-semigroup.

*Keywords:* subtraction algebra, subtraction semigroup, implicative *BCK*-algebra, *BCI*-semigroup

*MSC 2000:* 06F35

B. M. Schein ([9]) considered systems of the form  $(\Phi; \circ, \setminus)$ , where  $\Phi$  is a set of functions closed under the composition “ $\circ$ ” of functions (and hence  $(\Phi; \circ)$  is a function semigroup) and the set theoretic subtraction “ $\setminus$ ” (and hence  $(\Phi; \setminus)$  is a subtraction algebra in the sense of [2]). He proved that every subtraction semigroup is isomorphic to a difference semigroup of invertible functions. B. Zelinka ([11]) discussed a problem proposed by B. M. Schein concerning the structure of multiplication in a subtraction semigroup. He solved the problem for subtraction algebras of a special type, called the atomic subtraction algebras. In this note we show that a subtraction algebra is equivalent to an implicative *BCK*-algebra, and a subtraction semigroup is a special case of a *BCI*-semigroup which is a generalization of a ring.

By a *BCI*-algebra ([7]) we mean an algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  satisfying the following axioms for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :

- (i)  $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $x * x = 0$ ,
- (iv)  $x * y = 0$  and  $y * x = 0$  imply  $x = y$ .

A *BCK*-algebra is a *BCI*-algebra satisfying the axiom:

- (v)  $0 * x = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ .

We can define a partial ordering  $\leq$  on  $X$  by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x * y = 0$ . In any *BCI*-algebra  $X$ , we have

- (1)  $x * 0 = x$ ,
- (2)  $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$ ,
- (3)  $x \leq y$  imply  $x * z \leq y * z$  and  $z * y \leq z * x$ ,
- (4)  $(x * z) * (y * z) \leq x * y$

for any  $x, y, z \in X$ .

A *subtraction algebra* is a groupoid  $(X; -)$  where “ $-$ ” is a binary operation, called a *subtraction*; this subtraction satisfies the following axioms: for any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

- (I)  $x - (y - x) = x$ ;
- (II)  $x - (x - y) = y - (y - x)$ ;
- (III)  $(x - y) - z = (x - z) - y$ .

Note that a subtraction algebra is the dual of the implication algebra defined by J.C. Abbott ([1]), by simply exchanging  $x - y$  by  $yx$ . If to a subtraction algebra  $(X; -)$  a semigroup multiplication is added satisfying the distributive laws

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot (y - z) &= x \cdot y - x \cdot z, \\ (y - z) \cdot x &= y \cdot x - z \cdot x \end{aligned}$$

then the resulting algebra  $(X; \cdot, -)$  is called a *subtraction semigroup*. In [9] it is mentioned that in every subtraction algebra  $(X; -)$  there exists an element  $0$  such that  $x - x = 0$  for any  $x \in X$ . The proof is given by J.C. Abbott ([1], Theorem 1). Note that  $x - 0 = x$  for any  $x$  in a subtraction algebra  $(X; -, 0)$ . H. Yutani ([10]) obtained equivalent simple axioms for an algebra  $(X; -, 0)$  to be a commutative *BCK*-algebra.

**Theorem 1** ([10]). *An algebra  $(X; -, 0)$  is a commutative *BCK*-algebra if and only if it satisfies*

- (II)  $x - (x - y) = y - (y - x)$ ;
- (III)  $(x - y) - z = (x - z) - y$ ;
- (IV)  $x - x = 0$ ;
- (V)  $x - 0 = x$

for any  $x, y, z \in X$ .

A *BCK*-algebra  $(X; -, 0)$  is said to be *implicative* if (I)  $x - (y - x) = x$  for any  $x, y \in X$ . Using this concept and comparing the axiom system of the subtraction algebra with the characterizing equalities of the implicative *BCK*-algebra (by H. Yutani), we summarize to obtain the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 2.** *A subtraction algebra is equivalent to an implicative *BCK*-algebra.*

The notion of a *BCI*-semigroup was introduced by Y. B. Jun et al. ([5]), and studied by many researchers ([3], [4], [6], [8]). A *BCI-semigroup* (or shortly, *IS-algebra*) is a non-empty set  $X$  with two binary operations “ $-$ ” and “ $\cdot$ ” and a constant  $0$  satisfying the axioms (i)  $(X; -, 0)$  is a *BCI-algebra*; (ii)  $(X; \cdot)$  is a semigroup; (iii)  $x \cdot (y - z) = x \cdot y - x \cdot z$ ,  $(x - y) \cdot z = x \cdot z - y \cdot z$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

**Example 3** ([3]). If we define two binary operations “ $*$ ” and “ $\cdot$ ” on a set  $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  by

$*$	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	2	2
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	2	0	0
3	3	2	1	0

$\cdot$	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	1
2	0	0	2	2
3	0	1	2	3

then  $(X; *, \cdot, 0)$  is a *BCI*-semigroup.

Every  $p$ -semisimple *BCI*-algebra turns into an abelian group by defining  $x + y := x * (0 * y)$ , and hence a  $p$ -semisimple *BCI*-semigroup leads to the ring structure. On the other hand, every ring turns into a *BCI*-algebra by defining  $x * y := x - y$  and hence we can construct a *BCI*-semigroup. This means that *the category of  $p$ -semisimple BCI-semigroups is equivalent to the category of rings*. In Example 3, we can see that  $2 + 3 = 0 \neq 1 = 3 + 2$  and  $3 + 2 = 1 = 3 + 3$ , hence  $(X; +)$  is not a group. This means that there exist *BCI*-semigroups which cannot be derived from rings. Hence *the BCI-semigroup is a generalization of the ring*.

Since an implicative *BCK*-algebra is a special case of a *BCI*-algebra, we conclude that *a subtraction semigroup is a special case of a BCI-semigroup*.

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are deeply grateful to the referee for the valuable suggestions and help.

#### References

- [1] J. C. Abbott: Semi-Boolean Algebras. *Matemat. Vesnik* 4 (1967), 177–198.
- [2] J. C. Abbott: Sets, Lattices and Boolean Algebras. Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1969.
- [3] S. S. Ahn, H. S. Kim: A note on  $I$ -ideals in *BCI*-semigroups. *Comm. Korean Math. Soc.* 11 (1996), 895–902.
- [4] Y. B. Jun, S. S. Ahn, J. Y. Kim, H. S. Kim: Fuzzy  $I$ -ideals in *BCI*-semigroups. *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* 22 (1998), 147–153.
- [5] Y. B. Jun, S. M. Hong, E. H. Roh: *BCI*-semigroups. *Honam Mathematical J.* 15 (1993), 59–64.
- [6] Y. B. Jun, J. Y. Kim, Y. H. Kim, H. S. Kim: Fuzzy commutative  $I$ -ideals in *BCI*-semigroups. *J. Fuzzy Math.* 5 (1997), 889–898.
- [7] J. Meng, Y. B. Jun: *BCK*-algebras. Kyung Moon Sa Co., Seoul, 1994.

- [8] *E. H. Roh, S. Y. Kim, W. H. Shim:  $a\&\mathcal{I}$ -ideals on  $IS$ -algebras. Sci. Math. Japonicae Online 4 (2001), 21–25.*
- [9] *B. M. Schein: Difference semigroups. Commun. Algebra 20 (1992), 2153–2169.*
- [10] *H. Yutani: On a system of axioms of a commutative  $BCK$ -algebras. Math. Seminar Notes 5 (1977), 255–256.*
- [11] *B. Zelinka: Subtraction semigroups. Math. Bohem. 120 (1995), 445–447.*

*Authors' addresses:* *Young Hee Kim*, Department of Mathematics, Chungbuk National University, Chongju 361-763, Korea, e-mail: [yhkim@cbucc.chungbuk.ac.kr](mailto:yhkim@cbucc.chungbuk.ac.kr); *Hee Sik Kim*, Department of Mathematics, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea, e-mail: [heekim@hanyang.ac.kr](mailto:heekim@hanyang.ac.kr).