## A MERCERIAN THEOREM FOR SLOWLY VARYING SEQUENCES

## N. Tanović-Miller

Abstract. The purpose of this note is to investigate a Mercerian problem for triangular matrix transformations of slowly varying sequences. A statement of this type for the nonnegative arithmetical means  $M_p$ , was recently proved by S. Aljančić [1], using the evaluation of the inverse of the associated Mercerian transformation. In this note a corresponding result is proved for nonnegative triangular matrix transformations satisfying a certain condition, which can be applied to the arithmetical means  $M_p$   $p_n \geq 0$ , the Cesàro transformation  $C_\alpha$  of order  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , other Nörlund transformations  $N_p$ ,  $p_n > 0$  and  $(p_{n+1}/p_n)$  nondecreasing, as well as to some other standard methods. The proof is based on the properties rather than on the evaluation, of the inverse of the associated Mercerian transformation.

1. Let A denote a matrih transformation and  $A_{kn}$  the entries of its matrix. For a sequence s let As denote the transformed sequence whenever it exists, and  $(As)_n$  its terms. We say that A is triangular if  $A_{nk} = 0$  for k < n an we say that A is normal if it is triangular and  $A_{nn} \neq 0$  for all n. For a triangular matrix transformation A let  $A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n A_{nk}$  and let us say that A is normalized if  $A_n = 1$  for all n. If A is normal then it is invertable and its  $A^{-1}$  is also normal: if in addition A is normalized then clearly  $A^{-1}$  is normalized also.

A sequence s,  $s_n > 0$  for all n, is slowly varying in the sence of Karamata [3] if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_{[tn]}/s_n = 1$  for all t>0. Let  $\mathcal L$  denote the set of all slowly varying sequences. We say that a real matrix transformation A is  $\mathcal L$ -permanent if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (As)_n/s_n$  exists and is  $\neq 0$  for every  $s\in \mathcal L$ . M. Vuilleumier in [3] gave a characterization of  $\mathcal L$ -permanent metrix transformations which reduces to the following statement for triangular matrix transformations:

Theorem A. A triangular matrix transformation A is  $\mathcal{L}$ -permanent if and only if

i) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n = \alpha$$
,  $\alpha \neq 0$  and

ii) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |A_{nk}| (k+1)^{-\delta} = 0 (1) (n+1)^{-\delta} (n \to \infty)$$
 for some  $\delta > 0$ .

This research was supported by Republička zajednica za naučni rad BIH.

Under these condition  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (As)_n/s_n = \alpha$  for every  $s \in \mathcal{L}$ .

In what follows we will be concerned only with real triangular matrix transformations.

For a sequence p such that  $P_n = \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \neq 0$  for all n let  $M_p$  and  $N_p$  be defined by:

$$(M_p)_{nk} = p_k/P_n$$
 for  $k \le n$  and  $(M_p)_{nk} = 0$  for  $k > n$   
 $(N_p)_{nk} = p_{n-k}/P_n$  for  $k \le n$  and  $(N_p)_{nk} = 0$  for  $k > n$ .

 $M_p$  and  $N_p$  are called the aritmetical mean and the Nörlund transformation respectively. In the special case when  $p_n = \varepsilon_n^{\alpha-1}$  where  $\varepsilon_n^{\alpha} = \binom{n+\alpha}{n} \alpha > -1$ ,  $N_p$  is the Cesàro transformation  $C_{\alpha}$  of order  $\alpha$ .

If A is normalized and the condition ii) of Theorem A holds,  $B = I + \lambda A$  where I is the identity and  $\lambda$  real,  $\lambda \neq -1$ , then  $B_n = 1 + \lambda$  and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} |B_{nk}|(k+1)^{-\delta} \le (1+|\lambda|) \sum_{k=0}^{n} |A_{nk}|(k+1)^{-\delta} = 0(1)(n+1)^{-\delta}$$

and therefore by Theorem  $A, s \in \mathcal{L}$  implies  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (Bs)_n/s_n = 1 + \lambda$ . Moreover for such  $A, s \in \mathcal{L}$  implies  $Bs \in \mathcal{L}$  whenever Bs is positive. Note that also by above, if  $\lambda > -1$  then  $s \in \mathcal{L}$  implies Bs is eventually positive and that  $\lambda > -1$  is a necessary condition in order that  $S \in \mathcal{L}$  implies  $Bs \in \mathcal{L}$ .

The purpose of this note is to investigate the converse statement, namely to find sufficient conditions in order that for A normalized and such that ii) of Theorem A holds,  $Bs \in \mathcal{L}$  for  $\lambda$  real,  $\lambda > -1$ , implies  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n/(Bs)_n = 1/(1+\lambda)$  and therefore  $s \in \mathcal{L}$  whenever s is positive. This mercerian type question, for the arithmetical means, raised in a recent by S. Aljančić in [1]. The following result was proved in [1]:

Theorem B. If  $p_0 > 0$  and  $p_n \ge 0$ , for  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_k(k+1)^{-\delta} = 0(1)P_n(n+1)^{-\delta}(n \to \infty) \text{ for some } \delta > 0$$

and  $B = I + \lambda M_p$  where  $\lambda > -1$ , then  $Bs \in \mathcal{L}$  implies  $\lim s_n/(Bs)_n = 1/(1 + \lambda)$  and consequently  $s \in \mathcal{L}$  if s is positive.

The proof of this theorem in [1] is based on the evaluation of the inverse transformation of  $B = I + \lambda M_p$ .

Here we will prove a statement of the type mentioned above for nonnegative normalized transformation A, which can be applied to the arithmetical means  $M_p$  with  $p_0 > 0$  and  $p_n \ge 0$ , the Casàro transformation  $C_\alpha$  of order  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ , other Nörlund transformations  $N_p$  with  $p_n > 0$  and  $(p_{n+1}/p_n)$  nondecreasing, as well as to some other standard methods. Our proof will be based on the properties of the inverse of  $B = I + \lambda A$ , rather than on the evaluation of  $B^{-1}$ .

2. Theorem 1. Let A normalized, nonnegative i.e.  $A_{nk} \geq 0$ ,

(2.1) 
$$A_{n0} > 0$$
 and  $A_{n+1,i}A_{nk} \le A_{ni}A_{n+1,k}$  for all  $n, 0 \le k \le i \le n$ 

(2.2) 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{nk}(k+1)^{-\delta} = 0(1)(n+1)^{-\delta}(n \to \infty) \text{ for some } \delta > 0$$

and  $B = I + \lambda A$  where  $\lambda > -1$ , then  $Bs \in \mathcal{L}$  implies  $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n/(Bs)_n = 1/(1+\lambda)$  and consequently  $s \in \mathcal{L}$ , if s is positive.

To prove the theorem we will need the following lemma:

LEMMA 1. i) If A is normal, nonnegative and (2.1) holds then  $A_{nk}^{-1} \leq 0$  for k < n.

ii) If A is normal,  $A_{nk}^{-1} \leq 0$  for k < n and  $A_{nn} > 0$  then  $A_{nk} \geq 0$  for  $k \leq n$ .

PROOF. The statement ii) is a part of Theorem II. 16 in [2]. Although the statement i) is only a little sharper result than Lemma II. 5 in [2] we give the proof here for completeness.

Clearly 
$$A_{10}^{-1} = -A_{10}A_{00}^{-1}/A_{11} > 0$$
.

So suppose that  $A_{mk}^{-1} \leq 0$  for  $m \leq n$  and  $k \leq m$ . Now by (2.1)  $A_{ni} = 0$  implies  $A_{n+1,i} = 0$ . For k < n+1 let  $k_n$  be the smallest integer i such that  $A_{ni} \neq 0, k \leq i \leq n$ , which exists since  $A_{nn} \neq 0$ . Then for k < n+1 we have

$$0 = \sum_{i=k}^{n+1} A_{n+1,i} A_{ik}^{-1} = A_{n+1,n+1} A_{n+,k}^{-1} + \sum_{i=k_n}^{n} A_{n+1,i} A_{i\alpha}^{-1} \ge$$
$$\ge A_{n+1,n+1} A_{n+1,k}^{-1} + \frac{A_{n+1,\alpha_n}}{A_{nk_n}} \sum_{i=k_n}^{n} A_{in} A_{ik}^{-1}$$

since  $A_{n+1,i} \leq A_{ni}A_{n+1,k_n}/A_{nk_n}$  by (2.1). Therefore

$$0 \ge A_{n+1,n+1}A_{n+1,k}^{-1} + \frac{A_{n+1,k_n}}{A_{nk_n}} \sum_{i=k}^n A_{ni}A_{ik}^{-1} \ge A_{n+1,n+1}A_{n+1,k}^{-1}$$

so that  $A_{n+1,k}^{-1} \leq 0$  and the conclusion follows by induction.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. First  $A = I + \lambda A$  is normal for every  $\lambda > -1$  by the assumptions that A is normalized and nonnegative. Namely if  $A_{nn} = 0$  then clearly  $B_{nn} = 1$  and if  $A_{nn} \neq 0$  then

$$0 < A_{nn} \le \sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{nk} = 1 \text{ implies } \lambda > -1 \ge -1/A_{nn}$$

so that  $B_{nn}=1+\lambda A_{nn}>0$ . Thus  $B^{-1}$  exists for every  $\lambda>-1$ .

We will show now that

(2.3) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} B_n^{-1} = 1/(1+1)$$

and

(2.4) 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} |B_{nk}^{-1}|(k+1)^{-\delta} = 0(1)(n+1)^{-\delta}(n\to\infty) \text{ for some } \delta > 0$$

both hold and therefore that the conclusion follows by Theorem A.

Now  $B_n = 1 + \lambda A_n = 1 + \lambda$  so that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{nk}^{-1}(1+\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{nk}^{-1} B_k = (B^{-1}B)_n = 1 \text{ for all } n$$

and hence (3.3) holds. It remains to verify (2.4).

We suppose first that  $\lambda \geq 0$ . Clearly B is nonnegative.

If  $\lambda > 0$  then  $A_{n0} > 0$  implies  $B_{n0} > 0$ . Moreover from (2.1) it follows that also

$$B_{n+1,i}B_{nk} \geq B_{ni}B_{n+1,k}$$
 for all  $n$  and  $0 \leq k \leq i \leq n$ .

Thus by Lemma 1 statement i) we conclude that  $B_{nk}^{-1} \leq 0$  for k < n if  $\lambda > 0$ . Now if  $\lambda = 0$  then B = I. Therefore for all  $\lambda \geq 0$ 

$$-B_{nk}^{-1} = B_{nn}^{-1} \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} B_{ni} B_{ik}^{-1} \le B_{nn}^{-1} B_{nk} B_{kk}^{-1} \le B_{nk} \text{ for } k < n$$

so that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} |B_{nk}^{-1}|(k+1)^{-\delta} = -\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} B_{nk}^{-1}(k+1)^{-\delta} + B_{nn}^{-1}(n+1)^{-\delta} \le$$

$$\le \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_{nk}(k+1)^{-\delta} + (n+1)^{-\delta} = 0(1)(n+1)^{-\delta}$$

by the assumption (2.2)

Suppose now that  $-1 < \lambda < 0$ . Clearly  $B_{nk} \leq 0$  for k < n and  $B_{nn} = 1 + \lambda A_{nn} > 0$  as it was shown before. Thus by Lemma 1 statement ii) it follows that  $B_{nk}^{-1} \geq 0$  for  $k \leq n$ .

For  $\delta \in R$ , real numbers, let us define

$$M_n(\delta) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{nk} \left( \frac{n+1}{k+1} \right)^{\delta}.$$

Then clearly for each n,  $M_n$  is nondecreasing and convex on R as a liniar combination of such functions. Let  $M(\delta) = \sup_n M_n(\delta)$  whenever it exists. Since (2.2) holds for some  $\delta_0 > 0$ , it also holds for all  $\delta$ ,  $\delta < \delta_0$ . Thus M is defined on  $(-\infty, \delta_0)$  and is nondecreasing and convex thereon. Hence M is continuous on every closed subinterval of  $(-\infty, \delta_0)$  and therefore  $\lim_{\delta \to 0^+} M(\delta) = 1$ . Since  $(1-\lambda)/2(-\lambda) > 1$  for  $-1 < \lambda < 0$  the later implies that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $M_n(\delta) < (1-\lambda)/2(-\lambda)$  for all n and therefore

(2.5) 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{nk} (k+1)^{-\delta} < \frac{1-\lambda}{2(-\lambda)} (n+1)^{-\delta} \text{ for all } n$$

We will show now that for this  $\delta$ 

(2.6) 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} |B_{nk}^{-1}|(k+1)^{-\delta} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{nk}^{-1}(k+1)^{-\delta} < \frac{2}{1+\lambda}(n+1)^{-\delta} \text{ for all } n$$

Clearly

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} B_{ni} \sum_{k=0}^{i} B_{ik}^{-1} (k+1)^{-\delta} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (BB^{-1})_{nk} (k+1)^{-\delta} = (n+1)^{-\delta}$$

and therefore

$$(2.7) B_{nn} \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{nk}^{-1} (k+1)^{-\delta} = (n+1)^{-\delta} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} B_{ni} \sum_{k=0}^{i} B_{ik}^{-1} (k+1)^{-\delta}.$$

Since  $B_{00}^{-1} = 1/(1 + \lambda A_{00}) = 1/(1 + \lambda)$ , (2.6) clearly holds for n = 0. We proceed by induction and assume that (2.6) holds for  $0, 1, \ldots, n - 1$ . Then by (2.5) and (2.7) we have

$$B_{nn} \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{nk}^{-1} (k+1)^{-\delta} < (n+1)^{-\delta} + (-\lambda) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A_{ni} \frac{2}{1+\lambda} (i+1)^{-\delta} < (n+1)^{-\delta} + \frac{2(-\lambda)}{1+\lambda} - \frac{1-\lambda}{2(-\lambda)} (n+1)^{-\delta} - \frac{2(-\lambda)}{1+\lambda} A_{nn} (n+1)^{-\delta} = \left(1 + \frac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda} - \frac{2(-\lambda)}{1+\lambda} A_{nn}\right) (n+1)^{-\delta} = -\frac{2}{1+\lambda} B_{nn} (n+1)^{-\delta}.$$

Therefore (2.6) holds for all n and consequently (2.4) is also true for  $-1 < \lambda < 0$ .

COROLLARY 1. Theorem B

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A similar argument is used in the proof of Theorem B in [1].

COROLLARY 2. Let  $p_n > 0$  for all n,  $(p_{n+1}/p_n)$  nondecreasing,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n-k}(k+1)^{-\delta} = 0(1)P_n(n+1)^{-\delta}(n \to \infty) \text{ for some } \delta > 0$$

and  $B = I + \lambda N_p$  where  $\lambda > -1$ , then  $Bs \in \mathcal{L}$  implies  $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n/(Bs)_n = 1/(1+\lambda)$  and consequently  $s \in \mathcal{L}$ , if s is positive.

COROLLARY 3. Let  $0 < \alpha \le 1$  and  $B = I + \lambda C_{\alpha}$  where  $\lambda > -1$ . Then  $Bs \in \mathcal{L}$  implies  $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n/(Bs)_n = 1/(1+\lambda)$  and consequently  $s \in \mathcal{L}$  if s is positive.

Remark. In Theorem 1 and the corollaries  $Bs \in \mathcal{L}$  implies that s is eventually positive.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Aljančić S., An asymptotic Mercerian theorem for the weighted means of slowly varying sequences, Bull. Acad. Serbe Sci. math. nat. 10 (1979) 47-52.
- [2] Peyerimhoff A., Lectures on Summability, Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1969.
- [3] Vuilleumier M., Sur le compertment asymtotique des transformations lineaire des suites. Math. Zeitschr. 98 (1967) 126–139.

Naza Tanović-Miller Department of Mathematics University of Sarajevo