

ON THE CURVATURE COLLINEATION IN FINSLER SPACE

S.P. Singh

Abstract. We define $\bar{x}^i = x^i + v^i(x)\delta t$ as the h -curvature collineation of a Finsler space, supposing that the Lie derivative of Bervald's curvature tensor is equal to zero. Then we prove that every motion and every homothetic transformation admitted in a Finsler space are H -curvature collineations. Some special cases are also discussed.

The motions in a Finsler space have been studied by many authors. Hiramatu [4] has obtained a group of homothetic transformation in a Finsler space with the help of Lie-derivatives. The purpose of the present paper is to study the curvature collineation in a Finsler space.

1. Introduction

We consider an n -dimensional Finsler space F_n equipped with the fundamental function $F(x^i, \dot{x}^i)$. The covariant derivative of a tensor field, say X^i in the sense of Berward [3], is given by

$$X^i_{(k)} = \delta_k x^i - \dot{\delta}_h x^i \dot{\delta}_k G^h + x^h G^i_{hk}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $G^h(x, \dot{x})$ is a positively homogeneous function of degree two in \dot{x}^i and the connection coefficient is given by

$$G^i_{jk} = \dot{\delta}^2_{jk} G^i \quad (1.2)$$

The corresponding curvature tensor field H^i_{jkh} of F_n is defined as

$$H^i_{hjk} = \delta_h G^i_{jk} - \delta_j G^i_{hk} + G^r_{hj} G^i_{rk} - G^r_{hk} G^i_{rj} + G^i_{rhc} \dot{\delta}_j G^r - G^i_{rhc} \dot{\delta}_k G^r. \quad (1.3)$$

The commutation formula involving the curvature tensor field H^i_{jkh} are as follows:

$$2T_{[(h)(kj)]} = T_{(h)(k)} - T_{(k)(h)} = -\dot{\delta}_i T H^i_{hk} \quad (1.4)$$

$$2T^i_{j[(h)(k)]} = -\dot{\delta}_r T^i_j H^r_{hk} - T^i_r H^r_{jhk} + T^r_j H^i_{rhc}, \quad (1.5)$$

In a Finsler space F_n , we consider an infinitesimal point transformation

$$\bar{x}^i = x^i + v^i(x)\delta t, \quad (1.6)$$

where $v^i(x)$ is a contravariant vector field. Then we have a deformed space with connection $G_{jk}^i + (\mathcal{L}_v G_{jk}^i)\delta t$, where \mathcal{L}_v denotes the Lie-derivative with respect to $v^i(x)$.

With respect to Lie-derivative for any tensor T_{jk}^i , we have

$$(a) \quad (\mathcal{L}_v T_{jk(l)}^i) - (\mathcal{L}T_{jk}^i)_{(l)} = (\mathcal{L}_v G_{rl}^i)T_{jk}^r - (\mathcal{L}_v G_{jl}^r)T_{rk}^i - (\mathcal{L}_v G_{kl}^r)T_{jr}^i - (\mathcal{L}_v G_{lp}^r)\dot{x}^p \delta^r T_j^{ik} \quad (1.7)$$

$$(b) \quad \dot{\delta}_t(\mathcal{L}_v T_{jk}^i) - \mathcal{L}_v(\dot{\delta}_t T_{jk}^i) = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

The Lie-derivative of the curvature tensor H_{jkh}^i is given by

$$(\mathcal{L}_v G_{jh}^i)_{(k)} - (\mathcal{L}_v G_{kh}^i)_{(j)} = \mathcal{L}_v H_{hjk}^i + (\mathcal{L}_v G_{kl}^r)\dot{x}^l G_{rjh}^i - (\mathcal{L}_v G_{jl}^r)\dot{x}^l G_{rkh}^i. \quad (1.8)$$

2. The curvature collineation in a Finsler space

DEFINITION. In a Finsler space F_n , if the curvature tensor field H_{jkh}^i satisfies the relation

$$\mathcal{L}_v H_{jkh}^i = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

with respect to the vector field $v^i(x)$, the infinitesimal transformation (1.6) is called an *H-Curvature Collineation*.

The infinitesimal transformation (1.6) is called an affine motion if it satisfies the relation $\mathcal{L}_v g_{ij} = 0$. If (1.6) is to be an affine motion, it is necessary and sufficient that we have

$$\mathcal{L}_v G_{jk}^i \equiv v_{(j)(k)}^i + H_{jkh}^i v^h + G_{jkh}^i v_{(r)}^h \dot{x}^r = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Applying (2.2) in (1.8), we get $\mathcal{L}_v H_{jkh}^i = 0$. Hence we have

THEOREM 2.1. *Every motion admitted in Finsler space F_n is an H-curvature Collineation.*

In view of identity (1.7) (b) for H_{jkh}^i and (2.1), we obtain $\mathcal{L}_v \dot{\delta}_t H_{jkh}^i = 0$. Accordingly we have

LEMMA 2.1. *In a Finsler space F_n which admits the H-Curvature Collineation, the partial derivative of the curvature tensor H_{jkh}^i is Lie-invariant.*

By virtue of the identity (1.5) for the tensor field H_{jkh}^i , we find

$$H_{jkh(l)(m)}^i - H_{jkh(m)(l)}^i = -\dot{\delta}_r H_{jkh}^i H_{lm}^r + H_{jkh}^r H_{rlm}^i - H_{rkh}^i H_{jlm}^r - H_{jrh}^i H_{klm}^r - H_{jkr}^i H_{hlm}^r. \quad (2.3)$$

Now applying \mathcal{L}_v operator on both sides of (2.3) and using (2.1) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_v H_{jkh(l)(m)}^i = \mathcal{L}_v H_{jkh(m)(l)}^i, \quad \text{if } \mathcal{L}_v \dot{x}^p = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Hence consequently, we state

THEOREM 2.2. *In a Finsler space F_n which admits an H -curvature collineation, the relation (2.4) holds if $\mathcal{L}_v \dot{x}^p = 0$.*

Using the identity (1.7) (a) for the curvature tensor H_{jkh}^i and noting (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_v(H_{jkh(l)}^i) &= (\mathcal{L}_v G_{rl}^i)H_{jkh}^r - (\mathcal{L}_v G_{jl}^r)H_{rkh}^i - (\mathcal{L}_v G_{kl}^r)H_{jrh}^i \\ &\quad - (\mathcal{L}_v(G_{hl}^r)H_{jkr}^i - (\mathcal{L}_v G_{lp}^r)\dot{x}^p \delta_r^i)H_{jkh}^r. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

If the H -curvature collineation (1.6) is a motion $\mathcal{L}_v G_{jk}^i = 0$ is satisfied. In the case (2.5) reduces to $\mathcal{L}_v(H_{jkh(l)}^i) = 0$.

Accordingly we have

THEOREM 2.3. *When an H -curvature collineation admitted in F_n becomes a motion in the same space, the Lie-derivative of the tensor $H_{jkh(l)}^i$ vanishes identically.*

A necessary and sufficient condition that the infinitesimal transformation (1.6) be a homothetic transformation [4] is that the relation $\mathcal{L}_v g_{ij} = 2Cg_{ij}$, where C is a constant, holds. In case F_n admits a homothetic transformation (1.6), the condition $\mathcal{L}_v G_{jk}^i = 0$ holds. Hence immediately from (1.8) we obtain $\mathcal{L}_v H_{jkh}^i = 0$. We state

THEOREM 2.4. *Every homothetic transformation admitted in a Finsler space F_n is an H -curvature collineation.*

3. Special cases

We consider the following cases which are of interest:

(a) *Contra Field.* In a Finsler space F_n , if the vector field $v^i(x)$ satisfies the relation

$$v_{(j)}^i = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

the vector field $v^i(x)$ determines a contra field. Here we consider a special H -curvature collineation:

$$\bar{x}^i = x^i + v^i(x)\delta t, \quad \text{with } v_{(j)}^i = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

In this case, if (3.2) is a motion, the equation (2.2) yields

$$\mathcal{L}_v G_{jk}^i \equiv H_{jkl}^i v^l = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Also the integrability condition of (2.2) becomes

$$\mathcal{L}^v H_{jkh}^i \equiv H_{jkh(l)}^i v^l = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Accordingly we state

THEOREM 3.1. *In a Finsler space F_n which admits an H -curvature collineation, if the vector field $v^i(x)$ spans a contra field, the conditions $H_{jkl}^i v^l = 0$ and $H_{jkh(l)}^l v^l = 0$ necessarily hold.*

A non-flat Finsler space F_n in which there exists a non-zero vector field whose components K_m are positively homogeneous function of degree zero in \dot{x}^i , such that the curvature tensor field H_{jkh}^i satisfies

$$H_{jkh(l)}^i = K_l H_{jkh}^i, \quad (3.5)$$

is called a recurrent Finsler space ([5], [7]).

Applying (3.5) in (3.4), we find

$$H_{jkh}^i K_l v^l = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Since F_n is a non-flat space, we get

$$K_l v^l = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

which is a necessary condition. From Theorem 2 [6, p. 264] it is a sufficient condition also. Thus we state

THEOREM 3.2. *In a recurrent Finsler space F_n which admits an H -curvature collineation for the vector field $v^i(x)$ to span a contra-field it is necessary and sufficient that $H_{jkl}^i v^l = 0$ and $K_l v^l = 0$.*

(b) *Concurrent Field.* In a Finsler space F_n , if the vector field $v^i(x)$ satisfies the relation

$$v_{(j)}^i = K \delta_j^i, \quad (3.8)$$

where K is a non-zero constant, the vector field $v^i(x)$ is said to determine a concurrent field.

We consider the H -curvature collineation of the form

$$\bar{x}^i = x^i + v^i(x) \delta t, \quad v_{(j)}^i = K \delta_j^i. \quad (3.9)$$

Applying the latter of (3.9) in (2.2), we obtain $H_{jkl}^i v^l = 0$.

The covariant differentiation of it, in view of (3.5) and (3.8), gives $K H_{jkh}^i = 0$. But K is a non-zero constant, hence it yields $H_{ikh}^i = 0$. This contradicts our assumption that the Finsler space F_n is non-flat. Accordingly we state

THEOREM 3.3. *A general recurrent Finsler space F_n does not permit an H -curvature collineation of the form (3.9).*

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Yano, *Groups of Transformations in Generalized Space*, Tokyo, 1949.

- [2] K. Yano, *Lie-derivative and its Application*, Editor, Amsterdam, 1957.
- [3] H. Rund, *Differential Geometry of Finsler Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1959.
- [4] H. Hiramatu, *Groups of homothetic transformations in a Finsler space*, Tensor (N.S.) **3** (1954), 131–143.
- [5] A. Moor, *Untersuchungen über Finsler-räume Von rekurrenter Krümmung*, Tensor (N.S.) **13** (1963), 1–18.
- [6] R.S. Sinha, *Affine motion in recurrent Finsler spaces*, Tensor (N.S.) **20** (1969), 261–264.
- [7] B.B. Sinha, S.P. Singh, *On recurrent Finsler spaces*, Roum. Math. Pures Appl. **16** (1971) 977–986.
- [8] M. Matsumoto, K. Eguchi, *Finsler spaces admitting concurrent vector field*, Tensor (N.S.) **28** (1974), 239–249.

Department of Mathematics
Kenyatta Univ. College,
Box 43844, Nairobi,
Kenya

(Received 02 03 1983)