ISOTROPIC SECTIONS AND CURVATURE PROPERTIES OF HYPERBOLIC KAEHLERIAN MANIFOLDS

Georgy Ganchev and Adrijan Borisov

Abstract. In [4,2] curvature properties of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds were investigated with respect to isotropic vectors and isotropic sections. Further, analogous properties have been treated in [1] for Kaehlerian manifolds with an indefinite metric. In this paper we consider hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifolds, and study how the curvature properties of one- and two-dimensional isotropic tangential spaces determine the curvature properties of the manifold.

1. Preliminaries

Let M be a 2n-dimensional hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifold, i.e. M is a Riemannian manifold with an indefinite metric g and an almost product structure satisfying the conditions:

(1)
$$p^2 = id, \qquad g(PX, PY) = -g(X, Y)$$

for arbitrary vector fields X, Y and $\nabla P = 0$. The metric g is of signature (n, n) and P trace = 0.

R, ρ and T will stand for the curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature respectively. The curvature tensor R satisfies the condition

(2)
$$R(X, Y, Z, U) = -R(X, Y, PZ, PU)$$

for arbitrary vectors in the tangential space $T,\,M,\,p$ in M. The Ricci tensor ρ has the property

(3)
$$\rho(X,Y) = -\rho(PX,PY); \quad X,Y \text{ in } T_pM.$$

Further, we consider the tensors:

$$\varphi(Y, Z, U) = g(Y, Z)\rho(X, U) - g(X, Z)\rho(Y, U) + g(X, U)\rho(Y, Z) - g(Y, U)\rho(X, Z);$$

$$\psi(X, Y, Z, U) = -g(Y, PZ)\rho(X, PU) + g(X, PZ)\rho(Y, PU) - g(X, PU)\rho(Y, PZ) + g(Y, PU)\rho(X, PZ) + 2g(X, PY)\rho(Z, PU) + 2g(Z, PU)\rho(X, PY);$$

AMS Subject Classification (1980): Primary 53C15; Secondary 53C50.

$$\pi_1(X, Y, Z, U) = g(Y, z)g(X, U) - g(X, Z)g(Y, U);$$

$$\pi_2(X, Y, Z, U) = -g(Y, PZ)g(X, PU) + g(X, PZ)g(Y, PU)$$

$$+2g(X, PY)g(Z, PU).$$

Let α be a section (2-plane) in T_pM . The section a is said to be nondegenerate, weakly isotropic, strongly isotropic, if the rank of the restriction of the metric g on α is 2, 1, 0 respectively. With respect to the structure P a section α is said to be holomorphic (totally real) if $P\alpha = \alpha(P\alpha \neq \alpha, P\alpha \perp \alpha)$.

We shall use two kinds of special bases of T_pM :

1) An adapted basis $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n; x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is characterized with the property that the matrices g and P with respect to such a basis are

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} -I_n & 0 \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix}, \qquad P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where I_n is the unit matrix.

2) A separate basis $\{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n; \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\}$ consists of eigen vectors of P, so that $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\}$ form a basis of the eigen space V^+ , corresponding to the eigen value +1 of P. The vectors $\{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n\}$ form a basis of the eigen space V^- . With respect to a separate basis the matrices q and P are

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad g = \begin{pmatrix} -I_n & 0 \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

The following equation is fulfilled $T_pM=V^+\oplus V^-$ (nonorthogonal). The second condition of (1) implies that every eigen vector ξ of P is isotropic, i.e. $g(\xi,\xi)=0$. Given an adapted basis, one obtains a separate basis by the formulae:

$$\xi_i = (a_i + x_i)/\sqrt{2}, \quad \eta_i = (a_i - x_i)/\sqrt{2}; \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

These formulae give also an inverse transition.

In what follows, x, y, z will denote unit space-like vectors, i.e. g(x,x)=1; a, b, c will denote unit time-like vectors, i.e. g(a,a)=-1; u, r, v will denote isotropic vectors which are not eigen vectors, i.e. g(u,u)=0, $Pu\neq \pm u$; ξ , η , ζ will denote eigenvectors of P, i.e. $P\xi=\pm\xi$.

Taking into account both structures, we find the following types of holomorphic and totally real sections in T_pM :

A. Holomorphic sections.

A1. Nondegenerate holomorphic sections. These sections have an orthonormal basis of type $\{x, Px\}$ or $\{a, Pa\}$ and a basis of type $\{\xi, \eta\}$, $P\xi = \xi$, $P\eta = -\eta$, $g(\xi, \eta) \neq 0$.

A2. Strongly isotropic holomorphic sections of hybrid type. These sections exist by $n \geq 2$, and have a basis of type $\{u, Pu\}$. Another kind of useful bases for such sections are $\{\xi, \eta\}$, $P\xi = \xi$, $P\eta = -\eta$, $g(\xi, \eta) \neq 0$.

A3. Strongly isotropic holomorphic sections of pure type. By $n \geq 2$ these sections are the sections in V^+ and in V^- .

B. Totally real sections.

B1. Nondegenerate totally real sections of pure type. These sections exist by $n \geq 2$ and have an orthonormal basis of type $\{x,y\}$, g(x,Py)=0 or $\{a,b\}$, g(a,Pb)=0.

B2. Nondegenerate totally real sections of hybrid type. These sections exist by $n \geq 2$ and have an orthonormal basis of type $\{x, a\}$, g(x, Pa) = 0.

B3. Weakly isotropic totally real sections of the I type. These sections exist by $n \ge 2$ and have a basis of type $\{x, \xi\}$, $g(x, \xi) = 0$; $\{a, \xi\}$; $g(a, \xi) = 0$.

B4. Weakly isotropic totally real sections of the II type. These sections exist by $n \geq 3$ and have a basis of type $\{x,u\}$, g(x,u) = g(x,Pu) = 0; $\{a,u\}$, g(a,u) = g(a,Pu) = 0.

B5. Strongly isotropic totally real sections of the I type. These sections exist by $n \geq 3$ and have a basis of type $\{\xi, u\}$, $g(\xi, u) = 0$.

B6. Strongly isotropic totally real sections of the II type. These sections exist by $n \ge 4$ and have a basis of type $\{u, v\}$, g(u, v) = g(u, Pv) = 0.

2. Holomorphic curvatures

If α is a nondegenerate section in T_pM with a basis $\{X,Y\}$, its curvature is given by

$$K(\alpha, p) = K(X, Y) = R(X, Y, Y, X) / \pi_1(X, Y, Y, X).$$

For an isotropic section such a curvature cannot be defined. If $\{X,Y\}$ forms a basis of an isotropic section α and

$$(4) R(X,Y,Y,X) = 0,$$

this is a geometric property of the section α .

(5)

Now, let α be a nondegenerate holomorphic section. Curvatures of such sections will be called holomorphic sectional curvatures. As for Kaehlerian manifolds, we have.

Lemma 1. Let T be a tensor of type (0,4) over T_pM with the properties:

1)
$$T(X, Y, Z, U) = -T(Y, X, Z, U);$$

2)
$$T(X, Y, Z, U) = -T(X, Y, U, Z);$$

3)
$$T(X, Y, Z, U) + T(Y, Z, X, U) + T(Z, X, Y, U) = 0;$$

4)
$$T(X, Y, Z, U) = -T(X, Y, PZ, PU).$$

If T has zero holomorphic sectional curvatures, then T=0.

Proof. From the condition of the lemma it follows that

$$(6) T(X, PX, PX, X) = 0$$

for an arbitrary nonisotropic vector X in T_pM . Let Y be an arbitrary isotropic vector. Then $Y = \lambda(x+a)$, λ – real number, g(x,x) = -g(a,a) = 1, g(x,a) = 0. Substituting the vector x + ta, |t| < 1 in (6), we obtain a polynomial identity

$$f(t) = c_0 + c_1 t + c_2 t^2 + c_3 t^3 + c_4 t^4 = 0.$$

for |t| < 1. This implies $c_0 = \cdots = c_4 = 0$ and in particular f(1) = 0, i.e. T(Y, PY, PY, Y) = 0. Thus, (6) is fulfilled for an arbitrary vector. Now, as in the case of a Kaehlerian manifold [5], it follows that T = 0.

A hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifold is said to be of constant holomorphic sectional curvature μ if $K(\alpha,p)=\mu$, does not depend on the choice of the nondegenerate holomorphic section α in T_pM , p in M. The curvature identity characterizing these manifolds has been found in [7] with respect to local coordinates. We shall derive this identity from Lemma 1.

Proposition. [7] A hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifold is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature μ if and only if

(7)
$$R = \mu(\pi_1 + \pi_2)/4, \qquad \mu = \tau/n(n+1).$$

Proof. The proposition follows by applying Lemma 1 to the tensor $T = R - (\mu/4)(\pi_1 + \pi_2)$.

The equality (7) implies $\rho = \mu((n+1)/2)g$, i.e. M is Einsteinian. Hence, if M is connected, μ is a constant on M.

Remark. In [7], hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifolds of constant holomorphic sectional curvature have been called manifolds of almost constant curvature.

Let \mathcal{K} be the vector space of the tensors over T_pM having the properties (5). For T in $\mathcal{K}, \rho(T)$ and $\tau(T)$ will stand for the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature with respect to T. The metric g induces in a natural way an inner product in \mathcal{K} . Using the same method as in $[\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{8}]$, we obtain the following decomposition theorem for \mathcal{K} .

Theorem 1. The following decomposition of K is orthogonal:

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 \oplus \mathcal{K}_2 \oplus \mathcal{K}_w$$

where

- 1) $\mathcal{K}_1 = \{ T \in \mathcal{K} | T = \mu(\pi_1 + \pi_2)/4 \};$
- 2) $\mathcal{K}_w = \{ T \in \mathcal{K} | \rho(T) = 0 \};$
- 3) \mathcal{K}_2 is the orthogonal complement \mathcal{K}_w in \mathcal{K}_1^{\perp} ;
- 4) $\mathcal{K}_1 \oplus \{T \in \mathcal{K} | \rho(T) = \tau(T)g/2n\};$
- 5) $\mathcal{K}_2 \oplus \{T \in \mathcal{K} | \tau(T) = 0\}.$

The curvature tensor R of a hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifold has the properties (5). The component B(R) of R in \mathcal{K}_w (Weyl component) is said to be the Bochner curvature tensor. It is easy to check that this component is

(8)
$$B(R) = R - \frac{1}{2n(n+2)}(\varphi + \psi) + \frac{\tau}{4(n+1)(n+2)}(\pi_1 + \pi_2).$$

COROLLARY 1. A hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifold $M(2n \ge 4)$ is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature if and only if M is Einsteinian and B(R) = 0.

The Ricci curvature of a direction determined by a nonisotropic vector X is given by $\rho(X) = \rho(X, X)/g(X, X)$. Applying Lemma 1 we obtain

COROLLARY 2. Let $M(2n \ge 4)$ be a hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifold. M has a vanishing Bochner curvature tensor if and only if

(9)
$$K(X, PX) - \frac{4}{n+2}\rho(X) + \frac{\tau}{(n+1)(n+2)} = 0$$

for an arbitrary nonisotropic vector X in T_pM , p in M.

Theorem 2. Let $M(2n \geq 4)$ be a hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifold. The following conditions are equivalent.

1) R(u, Pu, Pu, u) = 0 for arbitrary u in T_pM , i.e. the strongly isotropic holomorphic sections of hybrid type have the property (4);

2)
$$B(R) = 0$$
.

Proof. Let $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n; x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be an adapted basis for T_pM . From the condition 1) of the theorem we have $R(a_i + x_j, a_j + x_i, a_j + x_i, a_i + x_j) = 0, i \neq j$. These equalities imply

(10)
$$6K(a_i, x_j) + 2K(a_i, a_j) = K(a_i, x_i) + K(a_j, x_j); \qquad i \neq j.$$

Using $u = a_i + x_i + a_j - x_j$, $i \neq j$ and the condition 1) we obtain

(11)
$$K(a_i, a_j) = K(a_i, x_j), \qquad i \neq j.$$

The equalities (10) and (11) give

$$K(x_i, Px_i) - \frac{4}{n+2}\rho(x_i) + \frac{\tau}{(n+1)(n+2)} = 0,$$

which is equivalent to (9) and hence B(R) = 0. The inverse is a simple verification.

3. Totally real sections

The curvatures of nondegenerate totally real sections are said to be totally real sectional curvatures.

Lemma 2. Let $M(2n \ge 6)$ be a hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifold. The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) R(x, a, a, x) = 0 whenever $a \perp x$, Px, i.e. the totally real sectional curvatures of hybrid type are zero;
- 2) R(x, y, y, x) = 0 whenever $x \perp y$, Py, i.e. the totally real sectional curvatures of pure type are zero;
 - 3) R = 0.

Proof. Let $\{x,y,a\}$ be an orthogonal triple spanning a 3-dimensional totally real space. For the pair $\{x,a'=(a+ty)/\sqrt{1-t^2}\}$, |t|<1 we have $a'\perp x,Px$. Substituting this pair into the condition 1) of the lemma, we get R(x,a+ty,a+ty,x)=0. The corresponding polinomial identity gives R(x,y,y,x)=0, i.e. 1) implies 2). The inverse follows in a similar way.

Now, let $\{x,y,z\}$ be orthogonal and span a 3-dimensional totally real space. Applying 1) to the vectors $(x-y)/\sqrt{2}$, $(Px+Py)/\sqrt{2}$ and using 2) we find K(x,Px)+K(y,Py)=0. Analogously, K(y,Py)+K(z,Pz)=K(x,Px)+K(z,Pz)=0. Therefore K(x,Px)=0 and Lemma 1) implies R=0.

The following theorem has an easy proof using Lemma 2.

Theorem 3. Let $M(2n \geq 6)$ be a hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifold. The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) M is of constant totally real sectional curvature of hybrid type. i.e. K(a,x) = v, whenever $\perp x, Px$;
- 2) M is of constant totally real sectional curvature of pure type, i.e. K(x,y) = v(K(a,b) = v), whenever $x \perp y, Py \ (a \perp b, Pb)$;
 - 3) M is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $\mu = 4v$.

Theorem 4. Let $M(2n \geq 4)$ be a hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifold. The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) $R(x, \xi, \xi, x) = 0$ whenever $\{x, \xi\}$ spans a weakly isotropic totally real section of I type;
 - 2) B(R) = 0.

Proof. Let the pair $\{x,y\}$ be orthogonal and span a totally real section. Applying the condition 1) of the theorem to the pair $\{x,\xi=y+Py\}$ we obtain

(12)
$$R(x, y, y, x) + R(x, Py, Py, x) = 0.$$

Now, we substitute the pair $\{x,y\}$ in (12) by $\{(x+y)/\sqrt{2},(x-y)/\sqrt{2}\}$ and linearizing we find

(13)
$$8K(x,y) = K(x,Px) + K(y,Py).$$

Further, as in the proof of Theorem 2, (12) and (13) give B(R) = 0.

The inverse follows immediately by taking into account that $\rho(\xi,\xi)=0$.

Theorem 5. Let $M(2n \geq 6)$ be a hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifold. The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) R(x,u,u,x) = 0, whenever $\{x,u\}$ spans a weakly isotropic totally real section of the II type;
 - 2) M is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

Proof. Let $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n; x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be an adapted basis for T_pM . Applying the condition 1) of the theorem to the pairs $\{x_i, x_j + a_k\}$ (i, j, k - different), we find $K(a_i, x_j) = \text{const}$; $i \neq j$. This is equivalent to the condition 1) of Theorem 3. Hence, M is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

The inverse is easy to check.

Theorem 6. Let $M(2n \geq 6)$ he a hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifold. The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) $R(\xi, u, u, \xi) = 0$, whenever $\{\xi, u\}$ spans a strongly isotropic totally real section of the I type;
 - 2) B(R) = 0.

Proof. Let $\{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n; \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\}$ be a separate basis for T_pM . Applying the condition 1) to the pair $\{\xi_i, \eta_j + \lambda \xi_k\}$, $\lambda \neq 0$ (i, j, k - different) we obtain

$$(14) 0 = R(\xi_i, \eta_i, \eta_i, \xi_i); i \neq j.$$

The pairs $\{\xi_i, \eta_j\}$, $i \neq j$ span strongly isotropic holomorphic sections of hybrid type and (14) is equivalent to the condition 1) of Theorem 2. Hence, B(R) = 0.

Theorem 7. Let $M(2n \geq 8)$ be a hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifold. The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) R(u,v,v,u)=0, whenever $\{u,v\}$ spans a strongly isotropic totally real section of the II type;
 - 2) B(R) =).

Proof. Let $\{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n; \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\}$ be a separate basis for T_pM . Substituting $\{u = \xi_i + \lambda \eta_j, v = \lambda \xi_k + \eta_l\}, \lambda \neq 0 \ (i, j, k, l - \text{different}) \text{ in the condition 1), we get } R(\xi_i, \eta_l, \eta_l, \xi_i) = 0, i \neq 1, \text{ which is (14) and therefore } B(R) = 0.$

Theorem 8. Let $M(2n \geq 8)$ be a hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifold. The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) $R(x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l) = 0$, (i, j, k, l different), whenever $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n; x_i, \ldots, x_n\}$ is an adapted basis;
- 2) $K(x_i, x_j) + K(x_k, x_l) = K(x_j, x_k) + K(x_j, x_l)$, (i, j, k, l different) whenever $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n; x_i, \ldots, x_n\}$ is an adapted basis;
 - 3) B(R) = 0.

This theorem is analogous to a theorem in [9] for Kaehlerian manifolds and it can be checked in a similar way taking into account the properties of the structure P.

4. Pinching problems

A Ricci curvature cannot be defined for an isotropic direction. If X is an isotropic vector and $\rho(X,X)=0$, this is a geometric property of the isotropic direction, defined by X.

The following statement is a slight modification of a result in [3].

Lemma 3. Let M be a hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifolod. The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) $\rho(u,u) = 0$, for arbitrary u;
- 2) $\rho = (\tau/2n)g$, i.e. M is Einsteinian.

Theorem 9. Let $M(2n \geq 4)$ be a hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifold. If the holomorphic sectional curvatures in every point are bounded, i.e. for an arbitrary nondegenerate holomorphic sertion α in T_pM

$$(15) |K(\alpha, p)| \le c(p),$$

then M is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

Proof. Let x = u + a, $a \perp u$, Pu and α be the holomorphic section spanned by $\{(x + ta/\sqrt{1-t^2}, (Px + tPa)/\sqrt{1-t^2}, |t| < 1.$ From condition (15) we get

$$|R(x+ta, Px+tPa, Px+tPa, x+ta)| \le (1-t^2)^2 c(p).$$

Hence, R(u, Pu, Pu, u) = 0 and Theorem 2 implies B(R) = 0, i.e.

$$\frac{4}{n+2}\rho(x) = K(x, Px) + \frac{\tau}{(n+1)(n+2)}.$$

This equality gives that the Ricci curvatures in every point are bounded

$$(16) |\rho(x)| \le c'(p).$$

Substituting x by $(x + ta)/\sqrt{1 - t^2}$, |t| < 1 in (16), we find $\rho(u) = 0$ and Lemma 3 implies that M is Einsteinian. Now, the statement follows from Corollary 1.

THEOREM 10. Let $M(2n \ge 6)$ be a hyperbolic Keahlerian manifold. If the totally real sectional curvatures of hybrid type are bounded in every point, i.e. if

$$(17) |K(x,a)| < c(p); a \perp x, Px,$$

then M is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

Proof. Let u = x + a and $\{x, a, b\}$ span a totally real 3-dimensional space. Substituting the pair $\{x, a\}$ in (17) by $\{(x + ta)/\sqrt{1 - t^2}, b\}, |t| \le 1$, we obtain

$$|R(x+ta,b,b,x+ta)| < (1-t^2)c(p).$$

Therefore, R(u, b, b, u) = 0, and Theorem 5 implies that M is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

Remark. The totally real curvatures of hybrid type in Theorem 10 can be replaced by totally real curvatures of pure type.

Theorem 11. Let $M(2n \ge 6)$ be a hyperbolic Kaelalerian manifold. If the totally real sectional curvatures are bounded from above, i.e. if

(18)
$$K(x,a) \le c(p); \qquad a \perp x, Px, \\ K(x,y) \le c(p); \qquad x \perp y, Py,$$

then M is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

Proof. Let u=y+a and $\{x,y,a\}$ span a 3-dimensional totally real space. The first condition of (18) implies $R(x,a,a,x) \geq -c(p)$. Substituting here the vector a by $(a+ty)/\sqrt{1-t^2}$, |t|<1, we get $R(x,u,u,x)\geq 0$. Using, the inequality $R(x,y,y,x)\leq c(p)$ and substituting the vector y by $(y+ta)/\sqrt{1-t^2}$, |t|<1, we obtain $R(x,u,u,x)\leq 0$. Therefore R(x,u,u,x)=0 and the theorem follows now from Theorem 5.

5. Plane axioms

Let M (dim $M=m\geq 3$) be a differentiable manifold with a linear connection of zero torsion. M is said to satisfy the axiom of r-planes $(2\leq r< m)$, if, for each point p and for any r-dimensional subspace E of T_pM , there exists an r-dimensional totally geodesic submanifold N containing p such that $T_pN=E$.

Theorem 12. (Axiom of nondegenerate totally real 2-planes of hybrid type) Let $M(2n \geq 6)$ be a hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifold. If for any nondegenerate totally real section α in T_pM of hybrid type there exists a 2-dimensional totally geodesic submethifold N containing p such that $T_pN = \alpha$, then M is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

Proof. Let $\{x,y,b\}$ be orthogonal and let it span a 3-dimensional totally real space in T_pM . The pair $\{x,y'=(b+ty)/\sqrt{1-t^2}\}$, |t|<1 spans a 2-plane α , which is nondegenerate totally real of hybrid type. By the condition of the theorem, it follows that R(y',x)x is in a and $R(y',x)x\perp y''$, where y''=y+tb. From this, it follows that R(x,u,u,x)=0, where u=y+b. Now, the proposition follows from Theorem 5.

Remark. The nondegerate totally real 2-planes of hybrid type in Theorem 12 can be replaced with nondegenerate totally real 2-planes of pure type.

Theorem 13. (Axiom of weakly isotropic totally real 2-planes of the I type) Let $M(2n \geq 6)$ be a hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifold. If for any weakly isotropic totally real 2 plane α in T_pM of the I type there exists a 2-dimensional totally

geodesic submanifold N, containing p such that $T_pN=\alpha$, then M has a vanishing Bochner curvature tensor.

Proof. Let a be an arbitrary weakly isotropic totally real 2-plane of the I type with a basis $\{\xi, x\} \notin \bot x, \xi$ – eigen. By the condition of the theorem, it follows that $R(\xi, x)x$ is in a and therefore, $R(\xi, x, x, \xi) = 0$. Now, the proposition follows from Theorem 4.

Theorem 14. (Axiom of weakly isotropic totally real 2-planes of the II type) Let $M(2n \geq 6)$ be a hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifold. If for every weakly isotropic totally real 2 plane in T_pM of the II type there exists a 2-dimensional totally geodesic submanifold N containing p such that $T_pM = \alpha$, then M is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 13 and we omit it.

Theorem 15. (Axiom of strongly isotropic totally real 2-planes of the I type (II type)) Let $M(2n \geq 8)$ be a hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifold. If for every strongly isotropic tolally real 2-plane a in T_pM of the I type (II type) there exists a 2-dimensional totally geodesic submanifold N containing p such that $T_pN = \alpha$, then M has a vanishing Bochner curvature tensor.

The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 13 and it is based on Theorem 6 (Theorem 7).

Theorem 16. (Axiom of nondegenerate holomorphic 2-planes) Let $M(2n \geq 4)$ be a hyperbolic Kaehlerian manifold. If for every nondegenerate holomorphic 2-plane α in T_pM there exists a 2-dimensional totally geodesic submanifold N containing p such that $T_pN = \alpha$, then M is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

Proof. Let x be arbitrary and $a \perp x, Px$. If α is the holomorphic section spanned by $\{x, Px\}$, from the condition of the theorem it follows that R(x, Px)Px is in α . Hence,

$$(19) R(x, Px, Px, a) = 0.$$

Substituting the pair $\{x, a\}$ in (19) by $\{(x+ta)/\sqrt{1-t^2}, (a+tx)/\sqrt{1-t^2}\}$, |t| < 1, we obtain R(u, Pu, Pu, u) = 0, where u = a + x. Theorem 2 implies B(R) = 0. By using (19) and formula (8) we find

$$\rho(x,a) = 0,$$

Substituting the pair $\{x,a\}$ as above, we get $\rho(u,u)=0$. Now, from Lemma 3 it follows that (20) implies $\rho=(\tau/2n)g$. This condition and B(R)=0 give the proposition.

Theorem 17. (Axiom of strongly isotropic holomorphic 2-planes) Let $M(2n \geq 4)$ be a hiperbolic Kaehlerian manifold. If for every strongly isotropic

holomorphic 2-plane a in T_pM of hybrid type there exists a 2-dimensional totally geodesic submanifold N containing p such that $T_pN=\alpha$, then M a vanishing Bochner currature tensor.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Barros, A. Romero, Indefinite Kaehlerian manifolds, Math. Ann. 261 (1982), 55-62.
- [2] M. Dajczer, K. Nomizu, On sectional curvature of indefinite metrics II, Math. Ann. 247 (1980), 279-282.
- [3] M. Dajczer, K. Nomizu, On the boundedness of Ricci curvature of an indefinite metric, Bol. Soc. Brasil Math. 11 (1980), 25-30.
- [4] L. Graves, K. Nomizu, On sectional curvature of indefinite metrics, Math. Ann. 232 (1978), 267-272.
- [5] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, Foundations of differential geometry, Interscience, New York, 1969.
- [61] H. Mori, On the decomposition of generalize K-curvature tensor fields, Tohoku Math. J. 25 (1973), 225-233.
- [7] M. Prvanović, Holomorphically projective transformations in a locally product space, Math. Balkanica 1 (1971), 195-213.
- [8] M. Sitaramayya, Curvature tensors in Kaehler manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 183 (1973), 341-353.
- [9] L. Vanhecke, K. Yano, Almost Hermitian manifolds and the Bochner curvature tensor, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. 29 (1977), 225-233.

Centre for Mathematics and Mechanics P.O. Box 373 1090 Sofia Bulgaria (Received 17 01 1985)