

## ON LINEAR TOPOLOGICAL RIESZ SPACES WITHOUT CONVEXITY CONDITIONS

Stojan Radenović

**Abstract.** We consider whether the space associated with an l.t.R.s.  $(E, C, t)$  is l.t.R.s. We have shown that any  $l$ -ideal in an ultra- $DF$  (resp. countably quasibarrelled, locally topological, ultra- $b$ -barrelled, ultra  $D_b$ ) Riesz space is space of the same type with respect to the relative topology.

Throughout this paper  $(E, C, t)$  will denote a separated linear topological Riesz space (l.t.R.s.) over the field of real or complex numbers. The notions concerning the theory of l.t.s.'s. (resp. l.t.R.s.'s.) without convexity conditions can be found in [1] (resp [3] and [9]). We give here only the basic ones.

A string in  $(E, t)$  is a sequence  $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  of subsets of  $E$  which are circled, absorbing and satisfy  $V_{n+1} + V_{n+1} \subset V_n (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$ . The string  $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  is said to be topological (resp. closed, bornivorous) if each  $V_n$  is a  $t$ -neighbourhood of 0 in  $E$  (resp.  $t$ -closed, bornivorous). It is clear that each circled  $t$ -neighbourhood of 0 in  $E$  generates a (non-uniquely determined) topological string. A string (resp. closed string)  $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  in an l.t.s.  $(E, t)$  is called locally topological (resp. closed locally topological) if  $V_n \cap B$  is a neighbourhood of 0 for the topology induced by  $t$  on  $B$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and all  $t$ -bounded balanced sets  $B$ .

A function  $p : E \rightarrow R$  satisfying the conditions:

- (a)  $p(x) \geq 0$  for each  $x \in E$ ,
- (b)  $p(x + y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$  for each  $x, y \in E$ ,
- (c)  $p(\lambda x) \leq p(x)$  for each  $x \in E$  and each  $\lambda \in K, |\lambda| \leq 1$ ,
- (d) if  $\lambda_n \in K, \lambda_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $x \in E$ , then  $p(\lambda_n x) \rightarrow 0$ ,

is called an  $(F)$ -seminorm. If, moreover,  $p(x) = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ ,  $p$  is called an  $(F)$ -norm.  $(F)$ -seminorms in a certain sense have a similar role in the theory of l.t.s.'s as seminorms have in the theory of locally convex spaces (l.c.s.). Namely,

each linear topology on a vector space can be determined by a family of continuous (F)-seminorms.

It is known that to each topological string in an l.t.s. corresponds a continuous (F)-seminorm and vice versa [1]. A  $\sigma$ -barrel (resp. bornivorous  $\sigma$ -barrel) in an l.t.s.  $(E, t)$  is a closed string (resp. closed bornivorous string)  $\mathcal{V} = (V^{(j)})_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$  which is a countable intersection of closed topological strings, i.e.  $V^{(j)} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n^{(j)}$ , where  $\mathcal{V}_n = (V_n^{(j)})_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$  are closed topological strings for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . An l.t.s.  $(E, t)$  is called countable barrelled (resp. countably quasibarrelled) if every  $\sigma$ -barrel (resp. bornivorous  $\sigma$ -barrel) in it is topological. An l.t.s.  $(E, t)$  is an ultra-DF space, if it is countable quasibarrelled and if it has a fundamental sequence of bounded sets. An l.t.s.  $(E, t)$  is called locally topological (resp. ultra- $b$ -barrelled) if each locally topological (resp. closed locally topological) string in it is topological [1, 2]. An l.t.s.  $(E, t)$  is called ultra- $D_b$  if it is ultra- $b$ -barrelled with a fundamental sequence of bounded sets [2].

The string  $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  in an l.t.R.s.  $(E, C, t)$  is said to be solid if each  $V_n$  is a solid subset in the Riesz space  $(E, C)$ .

The rest of our terminology is taken from [1] or [9]. In particular, when we say, for example “barrelled space”, that means “barrelled in the category of l. t. s.’s”.

*Definition 1.* An (F)-seminorm on a Riesz space  $(E, C)$  is called a Riesz (F)-seminorm if from  $|x| \leq |y|$  with  $x$  and  $y$  in  $E$  it follows that  $p(x) \leq p(y)$ .

From the following proposition it follows that Riesz (F)-seminorms have the same role in the theory of l.t.R.s. as Riesz seminorms have in the theory of locally convex Riesz spaces [9, Theorem (6.3)].

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $(E, C, t)$  be an ordered linear topological space. Then  $(E, C, t)$  is a l.t.R.s. if and only if  $t$  is determined by a family of continuous Riesz (F)-seminorms on  $E$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(E, C, t)$  be a l.t.R.s. Then there exists a solid  $t$ -neighbourhood  $V$  of 0 which generates a solid topological string  $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ ,  $V_1 = V$ . The associated (F)-seminorm of  $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  is given by:  $q(x) = \inf\{\delta \mid x \in W_\delta\}$  for all  $x \in E$ , where  $W = \sum_1^n V_1 + \sum_1^\infty \varepsilon_k V_{k+1}$ ,  $\delta = n + \sum_1^\infty \varepsilon_k 2^{-k}$  ( $n = 0$  or  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ),  $\varepsilon_k = 1$  for at most finitely many  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\varepsilon_k = 0$  otherwise [1, p. 11]. Since the subset  $W_\delta$  is a solid  $t$ -neighbourhood of 0, it follows that  $q$  is a  $t$ -continuous Riesz (F)-seminorm. Conversely, if  $p$  is an arbitrary  $t$ -continuous Riesz (F)-seminorm, then  $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  is a solid topological string, where

$$V_n = \{x \in E \mid p(x) < 1/2^n\}$$

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $(E, C, T^0)$  is the l.t.R.s. from [3], where  $T^0$  is the finest linear topology, then  $T^0$  is determined by a family of all Riesz (F)-seminorms on  $(E, C)$ .*

Similarly as in [9] for the l.c.R.s. we say that the l.t.R.s.  $(E, C, t)$  is barreled (resp. quasibarrelled, bornological,...) if  $(E, t)$  is barreled (resp. quasibarrelled, bornological,  $\dots$ ) in the category of l.t.s.'s.

We know from [9 Proposition (11.2)(c)] that if  $(E, C, t)$  is an l.t.R.s. then the solid hull of each  $t$ -bounded subset of  $E$  is  $t$ -bounded. Therefore the question arises naturally whether the converse is true, namely: if  $t$  is a linear topology on  $(E, C)$  such that the solid hull of each  $t$ -bounded set in  $E$  is  $t$ -bounded, is  $(E, C, t)$  an l.t.R.s.? Example (3.15) from [9] shows that the answer is, in general, negative. If  $(E, t)$  is a bornological space, we have the following result:

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $t$  be a linear topology on a Riesz space  $(E, C)$  such that  $(E, t)$  is bornological. If the solid hull of each  $t$ -bounded subset of  $E$  is  $t$ -bounded, then  $(E, C, t)$  is an l.t.R.s.*

*Proof.* We shall show that  $t$  is a linear solid topology. For this, let  $U$  be a  $t$ -neighbourhood of 0 in  $E$ . Since the solid hull of each  $t$ -bounded subset of  $E$  is  $t$ -bounded, then  $\text{sk}(U)$  absorbs all  $t$ -bounded subset of  $E$ . If  $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, U_1 = U$ , is a string which is generated by  $U$ , then  $(\text{sk}(U_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a bornivorous string (this is easy to verify). Since  $(E, C, t)$  is a bornological space, we have that  $(\text{sk}(U_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a topological string, i.e.  $\text{sk}(U) = \text{sk}(U_1) \subset U$  is a solid  $t$ -neighbourhood of 0. Hence,  $(E, C, t)$  is an l.t.R.s.

An immediate consequence of Proposition 2 is the following:

**COROLLARY 2.** *The bornological space  $(E, C, t^\beta)$  associated with an l.t.R.s.  $(E, C, t)$  is always an l.t.R.s. [3, p. 7].*

If  $(E, t)$  is an arbitrary l.t.s., then there exists a linear topology  $t^b$  (resp.  $t^{b^*}, t^\beta, t^{lt}, t^{bt}$ ) (see [1, pp. 32, 61, 70, 80 and 2, p. 24]) which is generated by all closed (resp. closed bornivorous, bornivorous, locally topological, closed locally topological) strings in  $(E, t)$ . It is known that an l.t.s.  $(E, t)$  is barreled (resp. quasibarrelled, bornological, locally topological, ultra- $b$ -barrelled) if and only if  $t = t^b$  (resp.  $t = t^{b^*}, t = t^\beta, t = t^{lt}, t = t^{bt}$ ).

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Let  $(E, C, t)$  be an l.t.R.s. Then  $(E, C, T^{b^*})$  (resp.  $(E, C, t^{lt}), (E, C, t^{bt})$ ) is an l.t.R.s.*

*Proof.* Let  $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a closed bornivorous (resp. locally topological, closed locally topological) string in the space  $(E, C, t)$ . Since the bounded sets in  $(E, t), (E, t^{b^*}), (E, t^{lt})$  and  $(E, t^{bt})$  are the same, it follows that  $(\text{sk}(V_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a closed solid bornivorous (resp. solid locally topological, closed solid locally topological) string in  $E$ , i.e.  $(\text{sk}(V_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $t^{b^*}$ -topological (resp.  $t^{lt}$ -topological,  $t^{bt}$ -topological). Hence, the spaces  $(E, C, t^{b^*}), (E, C, t^{lt})$  and  $(E, C, t^{bt})$  are l.t.R.s.'s if  $(E, C, t)$  is an l.t.R.s.

The following example shows that for the space  $(E, C, t^b)$  the conclusion of Proposition 3 is not true.

*Example 1.* Let  $(E, C, \mathcal{P}_b)$  be an l.c.R.s., where  $\mathcal{P}_b$  is the finest l.c. solid topology on a Riesz space  $(E, C)$  [9, p. 185], such that  $(E, \mathcal{P}_b)$  is not barreled.

Hence,  $(E, C, \mathcal{P}_b)$  is not a barrelled l.c.R.s. It is known [3] that the l.c.s.  $(E, \mathcal{P}_b)$  is the l.c.s. associated with  $(E, T^0)$ , where  $T^0$  is the finest linear solid topology on a Riesz space  $(E, C)$ . Since  $(E, \mathcal{P}_b)$  is not barrelled in the category of l.c.s.'s then  $(E, T^0)$  is not barreled in the category of l.t.s. [1, p.109]. Hence,  $T^0 < (T^0)^b$ . From this it follows that  $(E, C, (T^0)^b)$  it not an l.t.R.s.

It is know for each l.t.s.  $(E, t)$  there exists an l.t.s.  $(E, Rt)$  such that  $Rt$  is the coarsest linear topology which is finer than  $t$  and has the property  $R$ . In general,  $R$  is a property invariant under passage to an arbitrary inductive limit and the finest linear topology. For example,  $R$  is one of the properties being barrelled, quasibarrelled, ... [1, pp. 36, 61, 71, 73, 81, 4, 5, 6]. Then, we say that  $Rt$  is the topology associated with an l.t.s.  $(E, t)$ . If  $(E, C, t)$  is an l.t.R.s., the question arises naturally whether  $(E, C, Rt)$  is an l.t.R.s.? From Proposition 3 and Corollary 2, it follows that the answer is positive if  $(E, C, Rt)$  is the associated bornological (resp. locally topological) space. Example 1 shows that the answer to the question above is negative if  $(E, C, Rt)$  is the associated barrelled topology. For the quasi-barrelled (resp. countably quasibarrelled, ultra- $b$ -barreled) associated space we have the following proposition:

**PROPOSITION 4.** *Let  $(E, C, t)$  be an l.t.R.s. Then space  $(E, C, t^{qt})$  (resp.  $(E, C, t^{cqt}), (E, C, t^{ubt})$ ) is an l.t.R.s., where  $t^{qt}$  (resp.  $t^{cqt}; t^{ubt}$ ) is the quasibarrelled (resp. countably quasibarrelled, ultra- $b$ -barrelled) topology associated with  $t$ .*

*Proof.* The proof follows by using Proposition 3, transfinite induction and [3, (1.2)] (see [7]).

If  $(E, C, t)$  is a bornological (resp. quasibarrelled) l.t.R.s., then any  $l$ -ideal in  $(E, C)$  is bornological (resp. quasibarrelled) with respect to the relative topology [3, p. 7]. Here we show that this is also true for ultra- $DF$  (resp. countably quasibarrelled, locally topological, ultra- $b$ -barrelled), ultra- $D_b$  l.t.R.s.'s.

First, in terms of the order structure, we are able to give some characterizations of these l.t.R.s.'s:

**PROPOSITION 5.** *Let  $(E, C, t)$  be an l.t.R.s. and consider the following conditions:*

- (i)  $(E, C, t)$  is countably quasibarrelled,
- (ii) each solid bornivorous  $\sigma$ -barrel is topological,
- (iii)  $(E, C, t)$  is locally topological,
- (iv) each solid locally topological string is topological,
- (v)  $(E, C, t)$  is ultra- $b$ -barrelled,
- (vi) each closed solid locally topological string is topological.

*Then (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii), (iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv) and (v)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (vi).*

*Proof.* We known already that (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) holds. We shall show that (ii) implies (i). Let  $(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n^{(j)})_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$  be a bornivorous  $\sigma$ -barrel where  $(V_n^{(j)})_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  is a closed topological string for each  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then  $(\text{sk}(V_n^{(j)}))_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  is a closed solid

topological string and by fact that  $\text{sk}(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n^{(j)}) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{sk}(V_n^{(j)})$  it follows that  $(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{sk}(V_n^{(j)}))_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$  is a solid bornivours  $\sigma$ -barrel, i.e. (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) holds, The proof that (iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv) and (v)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (vi) is similar.

The following result should be compared with corollaries (15.4) and (15.7) of [9].

**PROPOSITION 6.** *Let  $\mathcal{V} = (V_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  be a solid topological (resp. solid bornivours, solid locally topological, closed solid locally topological) string in an arbitrary  $l$ -ideal  $F$  of an l.t.R.s.  $(E, C, t)$ . Then there exists in  $(E, C, t)$  a string  $\mathcal{U} = (U_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  of the same type, such that  $\mathcal{U} \cap F = \mathcal{V}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U_n = \{x \in E : y \in V_n \text{ whenever } 0 \leq y \leq |x| \text{ and } y \in F\}$ . It is clear that  $U_n \cap F = V_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . The proof that  $U_n$  is a  $t$ -neighbourhood of 0 (resp. closed, bornivorous) is the same as in [8] (resp. [9, pp. 181, 182]) for the locally convex case. It remains to show that  $U_{n+1} + U_{n+1} \subset U_n (n = 1, 2, \dots)$  and that  $\mathcal{U} = (U_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  is a locally topological string in  $(E, C, t)$ . For this, let  $x = a + b$ , where  $a, b \in U_{n+1}$  and  $y \in F$  such that  $0 \leq y \leq |x|$ . Now, we have that  $0 \leq y \leq |a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$ . Since  $[0, |a| + |b|] = [0, |a|] + [0, |b|]$ , it follows that  $y = y_1 + y_2 \in V_{n+1} + V_{n+1} \subset V_n$ . From this it follows that  $x \in U_n$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{U} = (U_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  is a string in  $E$ . We shall show that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a locally topological string. Suppose, on the contrary, that  $U_n$  and a  $t$ -bounded solid subset  $B$  of  $E$  exist, such that  $W \cap B \not\subset U_n \cap B$  for each  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ , where  $\mathcal{W}$  denotes the family of all solid  $t$ -neighbourhoods of 0. Therefore there exists a  $y_w \in W \cap B$  such that  $y_w \notin U_n \cap B$ , i. e. there is an  $x_w \in F$  with  $0 \leq x_w \leq |y_w|$  and  $x_w \notin V_n$ . Note that  $\{y_w, W \in \mathcal{W}, \supset (f)\}$  is a  $t$ -bounded net in  $(E, C, t)$  converging to 0, i. e. by [9, Prop. (11.1)] the net  $\{x_w : W \in \mathcal{W}, \supset\}$  converges to 0 in  $(E, C, t)$ . But this is a contradiction with  $x_w \notin V_n$ , because  $(V_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  is a solid locally topological string in  $F$ . The proof of the proposition is complete.

By methods similar to those used in this proof, we can verify the following result:

**PROPOSITION 7.** *Let  $F$  be an  $l$ -ideal of an l.t.R.s.  $(E, C, t)$  and  $(V^{(j)})_{j \in \mathbf{N}} = (\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n^{(j)})_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$  a solid bornivorous  $\sigma$ -barrel in  $F$  with respect to the relative topology. There exists a solid bornivorous  $\sigma$ -barrel  $(U^{(j)})_{j \in \mathbf{N}} = (\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n^{(j)})_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$  in  $(E, C, t)$ , such that  $U^{(j)} \cap F = V^{(j)}$  is valid for each  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ .*

**COROLLARY 3.** *Any  $l$ -ideal in an ultra-DF (resp. countably quasibarrelled, locally topological, ultra- $b$ -barrelled, ultra- $D_b$ ) l.t.R.s. is a space of the same type with respect to the relative topology.*

REFERENCES

[1] N. Adasch, B. Ernst, D. Keim, *Topological Vector Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1978.  
 [2] Z. Kadelburg, *Ultra- $b$ -barrelled spaces and the completeness of  $L_b(E, F)$* , Mat. Vesnik **3(16)** (31) (1979), 23–30.  
 [3] D. Keim, *Die Ordnungstopologie und ordnungstunnelierte Topologien auf Vektorverbanden*, Collect. Math. **22** (1971), 117–140.

- [4] Y. Komura, *On linear topological spaces*, Kumam. J. Sci. **A5** (1965), 148–157.
- [5] K. Nouredine, *L'espace infratopologique associé à un espace localement convexe*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **274** (1972), 1821.
- [6] S. Radenović, *A note on the topology associated with a locally convex space*, Publ. Inst. Math. (N. S.) **40(54)** (1986), 113–115.
- [7] S. Radenović, *Some results on locally convex Riesz spaces*, Glasnik Mat. **23(43)** (1988), 87–92.
- [8] S. Radenović, *Barrelledness in locally convex Riesz spaces*, Math. Balkanica (N.S.) **3** (1989), 278–283.
- [9] Y. C. Wong and K. F. Ng, *Partially ordered topological vector spaces*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1973.

Prirodno-matematički fakultet  
34000 Kragujevac  
Yugoslavia

(Received 20 05 1988)