

## ON A DENSE $G_\delta$ -DIAGONAL

A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ and Lj. D. Kočinac

**Abstract.** We study topological spaces the diagonal of which contains a dense set which is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $X \times X$ .

We use the usual notation and terminology as in [6], [7], [2]. All spaces are at least  $T_2$ .

Let us say that  $X$  is a space with a *dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal* if there exists a  $G_\delta$ -subset  $U$  of the space  $X \times X$  such that  $U \subset \Delta_X$  and  $\overline{U} = \Delta_X$ . Here  $\Delta_X = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$  is the diagonal in  $X \times X$ .

This notion was introduced in [11] under the name “weak  $G_\delta$ -diagonal” (see also [12] about related subjects). In the same paper it was proved that if the space  $\exp X$  of all closed subsets of  $X$  with the Vietoris topology is weakly perfect, then  $X$  has a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal. A space  $X$  is called *weakly perfect* [11], [13] if every closed subset of  $X$  contains a dense set which is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $X$ . Note that there are spaces which are weakly perfect but not perfect [9].

**PROPOSITION 1.**  *$X$  is a space with a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal if and only if there exists a subspace  $Y \subset X$  such that  $\overline{Y} = X$ ,  $Y$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $X$  and  $Y$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.*

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) Let  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbf{N}^+\}$  be a family of open subsets in  $X \times X$  such that  $\bigcap \{U_n : n \in \mathbf{N}^+\} \subset \Delta_X$  and  $\bigcap \{U_n : n \in \mathbf{N}^+\}$  is dense in  $\Delta_X$ . Put  $V_n = \{x \in X : (x, x) \in U_n\}$ . Clearly, each  $V_n$  is open in  $X$  and  $Y = \bigcap \{V_n : n \in \mathbf{N}^+\}$  is the subspace we are looking for.

( $\impliedby$ ) Let  $Y$  be a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $X$ . Then  $Y \times Y$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $X \times X$ . Indeed, let  $Y = \bigcap \{V_n : n \in \mathbf{N}^+\}$  where each  $V_n$  is open in  $X$ . We can choose  $V_n$  to satisfy the condition:  $V_{n+1} \subset V_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}^+$ . Then  $Y \times Y = \bigcap \{V_n \times V_n : n \in \mathbf{N}^+\}$ .

---

*AMS Subject Classification* (1980): Primary 54 D 15, 54 D 30, 54 E 50

The second author was supported by RZN Srbije

If  $Y$  is dense in  $X$  then  $\Delta_Y$  is dense in  $\Delta_X$ . If the diagonal  $\Delta_Y$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $Y \times Y$  then  $\Delta_Y$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $X \times X$  as  $Y \times Y$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $X \times X$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $X$  be a Čech-complete space. Then  $X$  has a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal if and only if it contains a dense subspace metrizable by a complete metric.*

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $Y$  is dense in  $X$  and the space  $Y$  is metrizable by a complete metric then  $Y$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal and  $Y$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $Y$  (see [6], [7]). Then by Proposition 1,  $X$  is a space with a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal. (We didn't use in this part of the argument Čech-completeness of  $X$ ).

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $X$  has a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal. By Proposition 1 there exists a  $G_\delta$ -subset  $Y$  of  $X$  which is dense in  $X$  and is a space with a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal. As  $X$  is Čech-complete and  $Y$  is a  $G_\delta$  in  $X$  the space  $Y$  is also Čech-complete. By a result of Šapiroviĭ (see [15]), there exists a paracompact Čech-complete subspace  $Z$  of  $Y$  which is dense in  $Y$ . Then  $Z$  is also dense in  $X$ . The space  $Z$  also has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal (this property is obviously inherited by arbitrary subspaces). But it is well known that every paracompact Čech-complete space with  $G_\delta$ -diagonal is metrizable (see [7]). Moreover if a metrizable space is Čech-complete then it is metrizable by a complete metric [6], [7]. It follows that  $Z$  is metrizable by a complete metric. The theorem is proved.

*Remark 1.* From the proof of the first part of Theorem 1 and the fact that countable product of complete metric spaces is complete we have: if a space  $X$  contains a dense subspace metrizable by a complete metric, then the spaces  $X^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}^+$ , and  $X^\omega$  have a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.

*Question 1.* Can a space  $X^\omega$  be weakly perfect?

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $X$  be a Čech-complete space with a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal such that the Souslin number of  $X$  is countable. Then  $X$  has a countable  $\pi$ -base. Hence  $X$  is separable and every dense subspace of  $X$  is separable.*

Recall that a  $\pi$ -base of a space  $X$  is a family  $\mathcal{V}$  of non-empty open subsets of  $X$  such that every open subset  $U$  of  $X$  contains some  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  (see [2], [6], [10]).

*Proof of Corollary 1.* By Theorem 1 there exists a dense metrizable subspace  $Y$  of the space  $X$ . As  $\overline{Y} = X$ , the Souslin number of  $Y$  does not exceed the Souslin number of  $X$  (see [2], [10]). Hence  $c(Y) \leq \omega$ . As  $Y$  is metrizable it follows that  $Y$  has a countable base  $\mathcal{B}$ . For each  $U \in \mathcal{B}$  fix an open subset  $\tilde{U}$  of  $X$  such that  $\tilde{U} \cap Y = U$ . Then the countable family  $\{\tilde{U} : U \in \mathcal{B}\}$  of open subsets of  $X$  is a  $\pi$ -base of  $X$  — this is shown easily using the fact that  $Y$  is dense in  $X$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $X$  be a Čech-complete space such that the space  $X \times X$  is weakly perfect. Then in every closed subspace of  $X$  there exists a dense subspace metrizable by a complete metric.*

*Proof.* Let  $X_1$  be a closed subspace of  $X$ . Then  $X_1$  is Čech-complete and weakly perfect — both properties are inherited by closed subspaces. Obviously if

the space  $X_1 \times X_1$  is weakly perfect, then  $X_1$  has a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal. Hence  $X_1$  satisfies the assumptions in Theorem 1 and thus there exists a dense subspace in  $X_1$  metrizable by a complete metric.

Recall that spread  $s(X)$  of a space  $X$  is the supremum of cardinalities of discrete subspaces of  $X$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $X$  be a Čech-complete space such that the space  $X \times X$  is weakly perfect. Then spread of  $X$  is equal to hereditary density of  $X$ :  $s(X) = \text{hd}(X)$ . In particular, if all discrete subspaces of  $X$  are countable, then  $X$  is hereditarily separable.*

*Proof.* For metrizable spaces spread is equal to density. We also have  $s(Y) \leq s(X)$  for every subspace  $Y \subset X$ . From Corollary 2 it follows now that density of every closed subspace of  $X$  does not exceed spread of  $X$ . As  $X$  is Čech-complete it is a  $k$ -space and for  $k$ -spaces the following inequality (of Arhangel'skiĭ-Šapirovskiĭ) holds: tightness is not greater than spread (see [2]). Thus  $t(X) \leq s(X)$ . Put  $s(X) = \tau$  and let  $Y$  be any subspace of  $X$ . Then  $t(\overline{Y}) \leq \tau$  and  $d(\overline{Y}) \leq \tau$  as  $\overline{Y}$  is closed in  $X$ . Fix a subset  $A \subset \overline{Y}$  such that  $\overline{A} = \overline{Y}$  and  $|A| \leq \tau$ . For each  $a \in A$  we can fix a subset  $B_a \subset Y$  such that  $|B_a| \leq \tau$  and  $a \in \overline{B_a}$ . Then for the set  $M = \bigcup \{B_a : a \in A\}$  we have:  $|M| \leq \tau \cdot \tau = \tau$ ,  $M \subset Y$  and  $\overline{M} = \overline{Y} \supset Y$ . Thus  $d(Y) \leq \tau = s(X)$ , i.e.  $\text{hd}(X) \leq s(X)$ . It is always true that  $s(X) \leq \text{hd}(X)$ . Hence  $\text{hd}(X) = s(X)$ .

*Remark 2.* Our results on weakly perfect  $X \times X$  remain true under weaker assumption that every closed subspace  $F$  of  $\Delta_X$  contains a subset  $A$  which is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $F$  and is dense in  $F$ .

From Corollary 2 we derive

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $X$  be a compact non-separable space, the Souslin number of which is countable. Then  $X$  does not have a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal. Hence  $X \times X$  is not weakly perfect.*

From Theorem 1 we get

**COROLLARY 4.** *If  $X$  is a Čech-complete space with a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal, then  $X$  satisfies the first axiom of countability at a dense  $G_\delta$ -set of points.*

*Proof.* There exists a dense subspace  $Y$  of  $X$  metrizable by a complete metric. Then  $Y$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $X$  and  $X$  is first countable at every point of  $Y$  (as  $X$  is regular and  $Y$  is dense in  $X$  — see [10]).

Every dyadic compactum which is first countable at a dense set of points is metrizable — this is the well known result of Efimov (see [7]). Now Corollary 4 implies the following assertion:

**COROLLARY 5.** *If a dyadic compactum  $X$  has a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal then  $X$  is metrizable.*

Let us recall that a space  $X$  is called  $\aleph_0$ -monolithic if closure of every countable subset  $A \subset X$  is a space with a countable network [1] (see also [4], [5]). Every compact space with a countable network is metrizable [6], [7]. Applying Corollary 1 we get

**COROLLARY 6.** *If  $X$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -monolithic compact space the Souslin number of which is countable and  $X$  has a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal, then  $X$  is metrizable.*

Of course the last assertion is also true for Čech-complete spaces.

In connection with Corollary 4 we have the following assertion which can be proved in a similar way as one proves the fact that every space with a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal has countable pseudo-character.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *If a space  $X$  has a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal, then the set of points of countable pseudocharacter is dense in  $X$ .*

From this proposition and the fact that for every topological group  $G$  one has  $\psi(G) = \Delta(G)$  [3] we derive

**COROLLARY 7.** *If  $G$  is a topological group with a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal, then  $G$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.*

There is an interesting necessary and sufficient condition for a space  $X$  to have a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.

**PROPOSITION 3.** *A space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  has a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal if and only if there exist a subset  $Y \subset X$  dense in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  and a topology  $\mathcal{T}_1$  on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{T}_1$ , the space  $(X, \mathcal{T}_1)$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal and  $\mathcal{T}$  is a base of  $(X, \mathcal{T}_1)$  at all points  $y \in Y$ .*

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) There exist open sets  $U_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}^+$ , in the product space  $(X, \mathcal{T}_1) \times (X, \mathcal{T}_1)$  such that  $\bigcap \{U_n : n \in \mathbf{N}^+\} = \Delta_X$ . For each  $y \in Y$  and each  $n \in \mathbf{N}^+$  we can fix a  $V(y, n) \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $y \in V(y, n)$  and  $V(y, n) \times V(y, n) \subset U_n$ . Put  $G_n = \bigcup \{V(y, n)^2 : y \in Y\}$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}^+$ . Obviously  $\Delta_Y \subset G_n \subset U_n$  and  $G_n$  is open in  $(X, \mathcal{T}) \times (X, \mathcal{T})$ . Hence  $\Delta_Y \subset \bigcap \{G_n : n \in \mathbf{N}^+\} \subset \Delta_X$ . As  $\Delta_Y$  is dense in  $\Delta_X$ , the set  $\bigcap \{G_n : n \in \mathbf{N}^+\}$  is the one we were looking for. Thus  $X$  has a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $B$  be a dense subset of  $\Delta_X$  which is a  $G_\delta$ -subset in the space  $(X, \mathcal{T}) \times (X, \mathcal{T})$ . Fix open sets  $U_n$  in  $(X, \mathcal{T}) \times (X, \mathcal{T})$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}^+$  such that  $\bigcap \{U_n : n \in \mathbf{N}^+\} = B$ . Put  $Y = \{x \in X : (x, x) \in B\}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{T} \cup \{\{x\} : x \in X \setminus Y\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}_1$  is a base of a topology  $\mathcal{T}_1$  on  $X$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{T}_1$  and that  $\mathcal{T}$  is a base of the space  $(X, \mathcal{T}_1)$  at all points of the set  $Y$ . It remains to check that the space  $(X, \mathcal{T}_1)$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.

Let  $W_n = U_n \cup \Delta_X$ . Then  $W_n$  is open in the product space  $(X, \mathcal{T}_1) \times (X, \mathcal{T}_1)$  by the definition of  $\mathcal{T}_1$ . Clearly,  $\bigcap \{W_n : n \in \mathbf{N}^+\} = \Delta_X$ . Hence  $(X, \mathcal{T}_1)$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal. The proposition is proved.

As every metrizable space has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal the following assertion is a direct corollary of Proposition 3.

**THEOREM 3.** *A space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  has a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal if there exists a metrizable topology  $\mathcal{T}_1$  on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{T}_1$  and the set of all points at which  $\mathcal{T}$  is a base of the topology  $\mathcal{T}_1$  is dense in the space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ .*

The conditions in Theorem 3 are satisfied by every Eberlein compactum (see T.4.3 in [4]). Thus we have

**COROLLARY 8.** *Every Eberlein compactum has a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.*

One could derive Corollary 8 from Theorem 1 on the following fact — Namjoka's theorem (see [2]): in every Eberlein compactum there exists a dense subspace metrizable by a complete metric.

Every Gul'ko compact space [5] also has a dense subspace metrizable by a complete metric (Leiderman-Gruenhage; see [14], [8] or [5]). Thus applying Theorem 1 we get.

**COROLLARY 9.** *Every Gul'ko compact space has a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.*

*Remark 3.* S. Todorćević has shown that not in each Corson compactum [5] there exists a dense metrizable subspace. It follows from Theorem 1 that not every Corson compactum has a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.

*Remark 4.* If the set of all isolated points of a space  $X$  is dense in  $X$ , then  $X$  has a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal. This is evident. Thus if  $X$  is a scattered space then every subspace of  $X$  has a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal while  $X$  itself need not have a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal (take a compact non-metrizable scattered space — for example, the space  $T(\omega_1 + 1)$ ).

We conclude the paper with several questions on weakly perfect spaces and spaces with a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.

*Question 2* [11]. What can we say on density of weakly perfect compact spaces? Is it true that density of each such space is  $\leq \aleph_1$ ?

*Question 3* [11]. Is it true that for every weakly perfect countably compact space  $X$  spread of  $X$  is countable?

*Question 4.* Is it true that every symmetrizable space  $X$  has a dense  $G_\delta$ -diagonal? is weakly perfect?

In connection with this question it should be noted that there are symmetrizable spaces without a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal and non-perfect.

*Question 5.* Let  $X$  be a weakly perfect compact space. Is it true then that  $X$  contains a dense metrizable subspace?

*Question 6.* Is every weakly perfect compact space of countable Souslin number separable?

*Question 7.* Let  $X$  be a compact space such that  $X \times X$  is weakly perfect. What about  $X$ ? Is  $X$  perfect?

(This question is suggested by Example in [11]).

*Question 8.* When there exists a countable family  $\mathcal{U}$  of open sets in  $X \times X$  such that  $\bigcap \mathcal{U} \cap \Delta_X$  is dense in  $\Delta_X$  and for each open neighborhood  $V$  of  $\Delta_X$  in  $X \times X$  one can find  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $U \subset V$ ? Such  $\mathcal{U}$  will be called a *dense  $\Delta$ -base* of  $X$ .

Let us note that if  $X$  has a dense discrete subspace then  $X$  has a countable dense  $\Delta$ -base.

*Question 9.* Let  $X$  be a compact space with a countable dense  $\Delta$ -base. Does there exist a dense open metrizable subspace  $Y \subset X$ ? dense separable metrizable subspace  $Z \subset X$ ?

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. В. Архангельский, *О некоторых топологических пространствах, встречающихся в функциональном анализе*, Успехи мат. наук **31** (1976), 17–32.
- [2] A. В. Архангельский, *Строение и классификация топологических пространств и кардинальные инварианты*, Успехи мат. наук **33** (1978), 29–84.
- [3] A. В. Архангельский, *Кардинальные инварианты топологических групп. Вложения и уплотнения*, ДАН СССР **247** (1979), 779–782.
- [4] A. В. Архангельский, *Пространства функций в топологии поточечной шодимости и компакты*, Успехи мат. наук **39** (1984), 11–50.
- [5] A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ, *A survey of  $C_p$ -theory*, Questions Answers Gen. Topology **5** (1987), 1–109.
- [6] A. В. Архангельский, В. И. Пономарев, *Основы общей топологии в задачах и упражнениях*, Наука, Москва, 1974.
- [7] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, PWN, Warszawa, 1977.
- [8] G. Gruenhage, *Covering properties of  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ ,  $W$ -sets and compact subsets of  $\Sigma$ -products*, Topology Appl. **17** (1984), 287–304.
- [9] R. W. Heath, *On a question of Ljubiša Kočinac*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Belgrade) **46** (60), (1989), 193–195.
- [10] I. Juhász, *Cardinal functions in topology — ten years later*, Math. Centre Tracts **123**, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [11] Л. Кочинац, *Один пример нового класса пространств*, Мат. весник **35** (1983), 145–150.
- [12] Л. Кочинац, *О пространствах со слабым измельчением*, Мат. весник **37** (1985), 182–188.
- [13] Л. Кочинац, *Некоторые обобщения совершенной нормальности*, Facta Universitatis (Niš) Ser. Math. Inform. **1** (1986), 57–63.
- [14] А. Г. Леидерман, *О всюду плотных метризуемых подпространствах компактов Корсона*, Мат. заметки **38** (1985), 440–449.
- [15] Б. Шапировский, *О сепарабельности и метризуемости пространства с условием Суслина*, ДАН СССР **207** (1972), 800–803.

Chair of General Topology and Geometry,  
Department of Mathematics,  
Moscow State University,  
Moscow, USSR

(Received 19 05 1989)

Filozofski fakultet  
18000 Niš, p.p. 91  
Yugoslavia