A WAY OF REDUCING THE FACTORIZATION PROBLEM IN $\mathbf{Z}[x]$ TO THE FACTORIZATION PROBLEM IN Z

S. Prešić

Abstract. Let $p(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ be a given polynomial. Then there exists and can be effectively determined a natural number M such that the factorization problem of p(x) in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$ is logically equivalent to the problem of finding some particular factorization of the number p(M).

1. We start with some general facts.

Lemma 1. Let M (>1) be a given natural number and k an integer. Then every integer $a \neq 0$ can be uniquely expressed in the form

(1)
$$a = q_n M^n + q_{n-1} M^{n-1} + \dots + q_0$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $q_i \in \{k, k+1, ..., k+M-1\}$ and $q_n \neq 0$.

This is a slight variation of the well-known fact when k=0. Let, for instance, $M=5,\ k=-2$. Then we have $14=1\cdot 5^2+(-2)\cdot 5+(-1)\cdot 1,\ -42=(-2)\cdot 5^2+2\cdot 5+(-2)\cdot 1.$

Lemma 2. Let $p(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_0$ $(a_i \in \mathbf{Z}, a_n \neq 0, n \geq 1)$ be a given polynomial and B any upper bound of the moduli $|x_1|, \ldots, |x_n|$, where x_1, \ldots, x_n are all zeros of the polynomial p(x). Assume that $f(x) = b_p x^p + \cdots + b_0$ $(b_i \in \mathbf{Z}, b_p \neq 0, p \geq 1)$ divides p(x). Then

(2)
$$\max_{0 \le i \le p} |b_i| \le \max_{1 \le i \le n-1} \left\{ |a_0|, |a_n|, |a_n| \binom{n-1}{i} \cdot B^i \right\}.$$

Proof. Obviously, $|b_p| \leq |a_n|$ and $|b_0| \leq |a_0|$. Furthermore, for any coefficient b_{p-k} , where $1 \leq k \leq p-1$, we have by Viète theorem (assuming y_1, \ldots, y_p are all zeros of f(x))

$$\left| \frac{b_{p-k}}{b_p} \right| = \left| \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le i_p} y_{i_1} \cdot \dots \cdot y_{i_k} \right| \le \binom{p}{k} B^k.$$

160 Prešić

Hence,

$$|b_{p-k}| \le |a_n| \cdot {p \choose k} B^k \le |a_n| {n-1 \choose k} B^k,$$

which completes the proof.

LEMMA 3. Let $p(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_0 \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$, with $a_n \neq 0$, be a given polynomial and M some odd natural number such that

(3)
$$a_n M^n + \dots + a_0 = (b_p M^p + \dots + b_0) \cdot (c_q M^q + \dots + c_0) \\ (p, q \ge 1, \ p + q = n; \ b_i, c_i \in \mathbf{Z}).$$

Let also¹⁾

(4)
$$|a_i| \leq [M/2]$$
 $(i = 0, ..., n)$ and

(5)
$$\left| \sum_{i+j=p+q-r} b_i c_j \right| \le [M/2] \qquad (r=0,\ldots,p+q).$$

Then the polynomial equality

(6)
$$a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 = (b_p x^p + \dots + b_0) \cdot (c_q x^q + \dots + c_0)$$

holds.

Proof. The equality (3) implies

$$a_n M^n + \dots + a_0 = b_p c_q M^{p+q} + \dots + \left(\sum_{i+j=p+q-r} b_i c_j\right) M^{p+q-r} + \dots + b_0 c_0,$$
 i.e.
$$a_n M^n + \dots + a_0 = b_p c_q M^n + \dots + \left(\sum_{i+j=n-r} b_i c_j\right) M^{n-r} + \dots + b_0 c_0.$$

In view of (4), (5) and Lemma 1 (with k = -[M/2]), one immediately concludes

$$a_n = b_p c_q, \dots, a_{n-r} = \sum_{i+j=n-r} b_i c_j, \dots, a_0 = b_0 c_0$$

i.e., the equality (6). The proof is completed.

In what follows the conditions (3), (4), (5) will play the key role. Denote (3) by $\psi(M)$. Then, according to Lemma 3, the conjunction (3) \wedge (4) \wedge (5) is equivalent to the conjunction

(7)
$$\psi(M) \wedge \psi(m_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge \psi(m_n),$$

where M, m_1, \ldots, m_n are arbitrary different integers.

 $^{^{1)}[}x]$ means the greatest integer part of x.

2. Suppose now that M (= 2K+1) is a given odd natural number. According to Lemma 1, every integer a can be uniquely expressed in the form (1) with²⁾ k = -K. Let further a_i, b_j, c_k be any integers and $p, q, n \in \mathbb{N}$. We introduce the following definition.

Definition 1. Any number-factorization of the form

(8)
$$a_n M^n + \dots + a_0 = (b_p M^p + \dots + b_0) \cdot (c_q M^q + \dots + c_0)$$
$$(b_p, c_q, a_n \neq 0, \ p+q = n, \ p, q \geq 1)$$

is called M-free iff the conditions (4) and (5) are satisfied.

Obviously, putting together this definition and Lemma 3 we obtain the following

Lemma 4. The factorization (8) is M-free if and only if the polynomial equality

(9)
$$a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 = (b_p x^p + \dots + b_0) \cdot (c_q x^q + \dots + c_0)$$

is true.

As we see, the problem of finding all identities of the form (9), that is, the problem of factorization in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$ is related to the problem of finding M-free factorizations in \mathbf{Z} . More precisely, we have the following result.

THEOREM 1. Let $p(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_0$ $(a_i \in \mathbf{Z}, a_n \neq 0, n > 0)$ be a given polynomial and let B be any upper bound of the moduli $|x_1|, \ldots, |x_n|$, where x_1, \ldots, x_n are all zeros of p. Let K and M be the natural numbers defined by

$$(10) \qquad K = \max_{0 \le i \le n, \ 1 \le j \le n-1} \left\{ |a_i|, \left[|a_n| \binom{n-1}{j} B^j \right] \right\}, \qquad M = 2K+1.$$

Then to each M-free factorization of the form

(11)
$$a_n M^n + \dots + a_0 = (b_p M^p + \dots + b_0) \cdot (c_q M^q + \dots + c_0)$$
$$(p, q \ge 1, \ p + q = n, \ b_i, c_i \in \mathbf{Z}, \ b_p \ne 0, \ c_q \ne 0)$$

there corresponds the $\mathbf{Z}[x]$ -factorization of p(x)

$$(12) a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 = (b_n x^p + \dots + b_0) \cdot (c_n x^q + \dots + c_0).$$

Moreover, in such a way one obtains all $\mathbf{Z}[x]$ -factorizations of p(x).

Proof. According to Definition 1 it is clear that to each M-free factorization of the form (11) there corresponds a $\mathbf{Z}[x]$ -factorization of p(x) given by (12). To complete the proof suppose that

(13)
$$a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 = (b_p x^p + \dots + b_0) \cdot (c_q x^q + \dots + c_0)$$
$$(p + q = n, \ b_i, c_j \in \mathbf{Z}, \ b_p \neq 0, \ c_q \neq 0)$$

²⁾which implies the inequalities $|q_i| \leq K$, $i = 0, \ldots, n$.

162 Prešić

is any $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ -factorization of p(x). From (13) it follows that

(14)
$$a_n M^n + \dots + a_0 = (b_p M^p + \dots + b_0) \cdot (c_q M^q + \dots + c_0).$$

In view of Lemma 1, using (13) we obtain the inequalities

$$|b_p c_q| \le K$$
 (since $b_p c_q = a_n$),
 $|b_p c_{q-1} + b_{p-1} c_q| \le K$ (since $b_p c_{q-1} + b_{p-1} c_q = a_{n-1}$),

which imply that the number-factorization (13) is M-free.

Based on Theorem 1, we give a $\mathbf{Z}[x]$ -factorization algorithm for a given polynomial $p(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$:

 1° In the first step one finds a number M using (10). In addition, by a result due to Cauchy, B may be defined by

$$B = 1 + \max_{0 \le i \le n-1} (|a_i|/|a_n|).$$

 2° In the second step one calculates p(M).

 3° In the third step, among all number-factorizations of p(M) one selects³⁾ M-free factorizations, if any.

 4° Finally, the obtained list of all M-free factorizations determines the list of all $\mathbf{Z}[x]$ -factorizations of the given polynomial p(x) (as a product of two polynomials).

For instance, if $p(x) = x^4 - x^3 + 3x^2 - x + 2$ then according to (10) and the Cauchy formula (see 1° above) we can take K = 64, M = 129. The list of all factors a ($M - K \le a \le \left[\sqrt{p(M)}\right]$) of the number p(M) reads: 92, 106, 157, 212, 314, 359, 628, 718, 1219, 1436, 2438, 3611, 4876, 7222, 8257, 8321, 14444, 16514. Representing these numbers in the form (1) and applying Definition 1 one can easily conclude that there is exactly one M-free factorization of the number p(M):

$$p(M) = 16514 \cdot 16642 = (M^2 + 1)(M^2 - M + 2)$$

Consequently, the given polynomial p(x) factorizes as

$$p(x) = (x^2 + 1)(x^2 - x + 2).$$

REFERENCE

[1] B. L. van der Waerden, Algebra I, 8th edition, Springer-Verlag, 1971, §32, p. 98.

Matematički fakultet Studentski trg 16 11001 Beograd, p.p. 550 Yugoslavia (Received 26 04 1990) (Revised 05 11 1990)

³⁾Checking the equality (3) for n different values $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n \ (m_i \neq M)$ or checking the equalities $a_{n-k} = b_p c_{q-k} + \cdots + b_{p-k} c_q \ (k=0,1,\ldots,n)$.