## TRACE FORMULAS OF GELFAND-LEVITAN TYPE

## Milutin Dostanić

**Abstract**. We deduce some abstract formulas for the first and the second regularized trace of discrete operators under conditions that are easier for verification. Some known results are improved and completed as well.

**Introduction**. Gelfand and Levitan [2] proved that for the eigenvalues  $\mu_n$  of the Sturm–Liouville operator

$$\begin{aligned} -y'' + q(x)y &= \lambda y \\ y(0) &= y(\pi) = 0, \qquad x \in [0,\pi], \ \ q \ \ \text{real function}, \ \ q \in C^1[0,\pi] \end{aligned}$$

the equality

$$\sum_{n>1} \left[ \mu_n - n^2 - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} q(x) dx \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} q(x) dx - \frac{1}{4} (q(0) + q(\pi))$$
 (1)

holds.

If we denote by T the differential operator generated by the differential expression l(y) = -y'' and by the boundary conditions  $y(0) = y(\pi) = 0$ , then  $\lambda_n(T) = n^2$  and the corresponding eigenfunctions are  $\varphi_n(x) = \sqrt{2/\pi} \sin nx$ .

Denote by P the operator on  $L^2(0,\pi)$  defined by Pf(x)=q(x)f(x). In [4] it was proved that (1) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} [\mu_n - \lambda_n - (P\varphi_n, \varphi_n)] = 0$$
 (2)

The hypothesys was stated that formula (2) holds in the abstract case (i.e. when T is a discrete selfadjoint lower bounded operator on a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and P is some bounded operator).

In [7] the relation (2) (in case  $P=P^*$ ) was proved under some aditional conditions concerning the eigenvalue distribution function and under the condition that the sequence  $\mu_n - \lambda_n - (P\varphi_n, \varphi_n)$  has special asymptotic behavior. But, to estimate the behavior of that sequence, it is necessary to know the asymptotic of eigenvalues of the perturbed operator T+P. In [1], [5] the relation (2) was proved under some conditions concerning the spectrum of the distribution function of T, but with parenthesis. Trace formulas for the powers of the Sturm-Liouville operator were obtained in [3].

In this paper we find the first and the second regularized trace (in the abstract case) assuming only that nonperturbed operator satisfies some conditions. Some results from [5] are upgraded. Also, we consider the case when the perturbation P is an unbounded operator (which is subordinated to some power of T).

In [8] the problem of regularized traces of higher orders was considered by the method of analytic extension, but in general case it is hard to find efectively that extension.

**Main result.** Let  $T=T^*$  be a discrete lower bounded operator and let P be a bounded operator on a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$   $(P \in B(\mathcal{H}))$ . Denote by  $\lambda_k$ ,  $\varphi_k$  the eigenvalues of T and the corresponding eigenvectors  $(\|\varphi_k\|=1)$ . Let  $(\mu_k)$  denote the sequence of eigenvalues of the operator T+P arranged according to growing moduli. By  $a_n \approx b_n$  we denote the fact that there exist constants  $c_1 > 0$ ,  $c_2 > 0$  such that  $C_1 \leq a_n/b_n \leq C_2$ 

Theorem 1. If  $\lambda_{n+1}(T) - \lambda_n(T) \approx n^{1/p-1}$   $(0 <math>(\lambda_n \text{ are the distinct eigenvalues of } T)$  and P is  $B(\mathcal{H})$ , then

$$\sum_{k>1}' (\mu_k - \lambda_k - (P\varphi_k, \varphi_k)) = 0.$$

 $(\sum'$  denotes that the terms, arising from the repeated eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$ , are grouped. If all the eigenvalues except finitely many are simple, then

$$\sum_{k\geq 1} (\mu_k - \lambda_k - (P\varphi_k, \varphi_k)) = 0).$$

Before proving Theorem 1 we prove some Lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3 < \ldots$ ,  $\lambda_n \to +\infty$  and  $0 . Then <math>\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \asymp n^{1/p-1}$  if and only if  $\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \asymp \lambda_n^{1-p}$ .

*Proof.* From the condition

$$C_1 n^{1/p-1} \le \lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \le C_2 n^{1/p-1}$$
  $(C_1 > 0, C_2 > 0),$ 

summing we get  $\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_1 \leq C_2 \int_0^n x^{1/p-1} dx$  and therefore  $\lambda_n = 0(n^{1/p})$ . Similarly, we obtain  $\lambda_n \geq \text{const} \cdot n^{1/p}$  (const does not depend on n). So,  $\lambda_n \times n^{1/p}$ . From

 $\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \asymp n^{1/p-1}$  it follows  $\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \asymp \lambda_n^{1-p}$ . Suppose now  $\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \asymp \lambda_n^{1-p}$ . Then we have  $\lambda_{n+1}/\lambda_n \to 1$ ,  $\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \asymp \lambda_{n+1}^{1-p}$  and therefore

$$C_1(n-1) \le \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\lambda_{\nu+1} - \lambda_{\nu}}{\lambda_{\nu+1}^{1-p}} \le \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_n} x^{p-1} dx \le \frac{\lambda_n^p}{p}$$

i.e.  $\lambda_n \geq \operatorname{const} n^{1/p}$ .

Analogously we get  $\lambda_n \leq \text{const} \cdot n^{1/p}$ . So  $\lambda_n \asymp n^{1/p}$ . From  $\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \asymp \lambda_n^{1-p}$  it follows  $\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \asymp n^{1/p-1}$ .

Let  $\Gamma_n=\{\lambda\colon |\lambda|=r_n=(\lambda_n+\lambda_{n+1})/2\}$  and  $\phi(z)=\sum_{k\geq 1}|z-\lambda_k|^{-1}$ . Observe that  $|R_z|_1=\phi(z)$ . Here  $R_z=(z-T)^{-1}$  and  $|\cdot|_1$  is the nuclear norm.

Lemma 2. If  $z \in \Gamma_n$  then  $|\phi(z)| \le C_3 \ln n/n^{1/p-1}$   $(0 , where the constant <math>C_3$  does not depend on n.

*Proof.* Since for  $z \in \Gamma_n$ ,  $\phi(z) \leq \phi(r_n)$  and  $1/(r_n - \lambda_n) \approx n^{1/p-1}$  it is enough to prove that

(a) 
$$\sum_{k=n+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_k - \Gamma_n} \le \frac{C_4 \ln n}{n^{1/p-1}};$$
 (b) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_n - \lambda_k} \le \frac{C_4 \ln n}{n^{1/p-1}};$$

where  $C_4$  does not depend on n.

(a) We have

$$\sum_{k=n+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_k - r_n} \le C_5 \sum_{k=n+2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}}{\lambda_k^{1-p}(\lambda_k - r_n)}$$

$$\le C_5 \int_{\lambda_{n+1}}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{1-p}(x - r_n)} = C_5 r_n^{p-1} h\left(\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{r_n}\right)$$
(4)

where

$$h(x) = \int_{x}^{+\infty} \frac{u^{p-1}}{u-1} du$$

and the constant  $C_5$  does not depend on n.

From the asymptotic relation  $h(x) \sim -\ln(x-1)$ ,  $x \to 1+0$ ,  $r_n \approx n^{1/p}$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_{n+1}/r_n = 1$  and (4) it follows that

$$\sum_{k=n+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_k - r_n} \le \operatorname{const} \frac{\ln n}{n^{1/p-1}}.$$

The inequality (b) can be proved analogously.  $\Box$ 

Lemma 3. The operator T + P is a discrete one.

*Proof.* For  $z \in \Gamma_n$  we have

$$||P(z-T)^{-1}|| \le ||P||/d(z,\sigma(T)) \le C_6/n^{1/p-1} \to 0 \qquad (n \to \infty)$$

Therefore the operator  $I - P(z - T)^{-1}$  has a bounded inverse for  $z \in \Gamma_n$  if n is large enough and

$$(z-T-P)^{-1} = (z-T)^{-1} \sum_{n>0} (P(z-T)^{-1})^n.$$

Since  $(z-T)^{-1}$  is compact then  $(z-T-P)^{-1}$  is also compact and so T+P is a discrete operator.

Remark. Similarly as in [9] we can prove that the multiplicities of eigenvalues of T and T+P are equal if the absolute value of the eigenvalues are large enough. Also, the operators T and T+P have equal number of eigenvalues (with their multiplicity) in  $D_n = \{\lambda : |\lambda| = r_n\}$  for n large enough.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let  $R_{\lambda}' = (\lambda - T - P)^{-1}$ ,  $R_{\lambda} = (\lambda - T)^{-1}$ ,  $\lambda \in \Gamma_n$ . Then  $R_{\lambda}' - R_{\lambda} - R_{\lambda}PR_{\lambda} = \sum_{k \geq 2} R_{\lambda}(PR_{\lambda})^k$ . The operator  $R_{\lambda}$  is a nuclear one and hence

$$\operatorname{tr}(R_{\lambda}' - R_{\lambda}) - \operatorname{tr} R_{\lambda} P R_{\lambda} = \sum_{k \geq 2} \operatorname{tr} R_{\lambda} (P R_{\lambda})^{k}$$

Since [8]

$$\operatorname{tr} R_{\lambda} (PR_{\lambda})^{k} = \frac{1}{k} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \operatorname{tr} (PR_{\lambda})^{k}; \qquad k \ge 1$$

from (5) it follows

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \operatorname{tr}(R_{\lambda}' - R_{\lambda}) d\lambda - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \operatorname{tr}PR_{\lambda} d\lambda = \sum_{k \ge 2} \frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \operatorname{tr}(PR_{\lambda})^k d\lambda. \tag{6}$$

Having in mind that

$$\operatorname{tr} PR_{\lambda} = \sum_{\nu > 1} \frac{(P\varphi_{\nu}, \varphi_{\nu})}{\lambda - \lambda_{\nu}}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \lambda \operatorname{tr} \left( {R_{\lambda}}' - R_{\lambda} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} ' (\mu_k - \lambda_k) \text{ (the property of Riesz projectors)}$$

from (6) it follows

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (\mu_k - \lambda_k - (P\varphi_k, \varphi_k)) = \sum_{k \ge 2} \frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \operatorname{tr}(PR_\lambda)^k d\lambda. \tag{7}$$

Now, we estimate

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_{\Gamma} \operatorname{tr} (PR_{\lambda})^k d\lambda.$$

From  $|\operatorname{tr}(PR_{\lambda})^{k}| \leq |PR_{\lambda}|_{1}X||P||^{k-1}|R_{\lambda}|_{1}||R_{\lambda}||^{k-1}$ , by Lemma 2 it follows

$$|\operatorname{tr}(PR_{\lambda})^{k}| \le C_{3} ||P||^{k} \frac{\ln n}{n^{1-1/p}} ||R_{\lambda}||^{k-1}.$$
 (8)

Let  $\Gamma'_n = \{\lambda : |\lambda| = r_n, 0 \le \arg \lambda \le \pi/2\}$ ,  $\Gamma''_n = \{\lambda : |\lambda| = r_n, -\pi/2 \le \arg \lambda \le 0\}$   $d_n = (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n)/2$  and  $\varphi_n = d_n r_n^{-1}$ . Clearly,  $d_n \approx n^{1/p-1}$  and  $\varphi_n \to 0$   $(n \to \infty)$ . Now, we estimate

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma'_n} \operatorname{tr}(PR_{\lambda})^k d\lambda.$$

From (8) it follows

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma'_n} \operatorname{tr}(PR_{\lambda})^k d\lambda \right| \le \frac{C_3}{2\pi} \|P\|^k \frac{\ln n}{n^{1/p-1}} \int_{\Gamma'_n} \|R_{\lambda}\|^{k-1} |d\lambda|. \tag{9}$$

Since

$$\int\limits_{\Gamma'}\|R_{\lambda}\|^{k-1}|d\lambda|=\int\limits_{0}^{\varphi_{n}}\|R_{r_{n}e^{i\theta}}\|^{k-1}r_{n}d\theta+\int\limits_{\varphi_{n}}^{\pi/2}d\theta+\int\limits_{\varphi_{n}}^{\pi/2}\|R_{r_{n}e^{i\theta}}\|^{k-1}r_{n}d\theta,$$

 $\|R_{r_ne^{i\theta}}\| \leq d_n^{-1} \ (0 \leq \theta \leq \varphi_n) \ \text{and} \ \|R_{r_ne^{i\theta}}\| \leq r_n^{-1} \sin\theta \ (\varphi_n \leq \theta \leq \pi/2) \ \text{from (9) it follows}$ 

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n'} \operatorname{tr} (PR_{\lambda})^k d\lambda \right| \leq \frac{C_3}{2\pi} \|P\|^k \frac{\ln n}{n^{1/p-1}} \left( \frac{r_n \varphi_n}{d_n^{k-1}} + \frac{1}{r_n^{k-2}} \int_{\varphi_n} \frac{d\theta}{(\sin \theta)^{k-1}} \right).$$

Since the function

$$x \to x^{k-2} \int_{x}^{\pi/2} \frac{d\theta}{(\sin \theta)^{k-1}}$$

is bounded on  $[0, \pi/2]$  (for  $k \geq 3$ ) from previous inequality we get

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma'_n} \operatorname{tr} (PR_{\lambda})^k d\lambda \right| \leq \frac{C_3}{2\pi} \|P\|^k \frac{\ln n}{n^{1/p-1}} \left( \frac{1}{d_n^{k-2}} + \frac{1}{r_n^{k-2}} O\left(\frac{1}{\varphi_n^{k-2}}\right) \right) \\ \leq C_7^k \frac{\ln n}{n^{(1/p-1)(k-1)}}$$

 $(C_7 \text{ does not depend on } n \text{ and } k)$ . Similarly, we can prove that

$$\left|\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{\Gamma_n''}\operatorname{tr}\left(PR_\lambda\right)^kd\lambda\right|\leq C_7^k\frac{\ln n}{n^{(1/p-1)(k-1)}}.$$

Because T is semibounded, we have (for  $k \geq 3$ )

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \operatorname{tr} (PR_{\lambda})^k d\lambda \right| \le C_8^k \frac{\ln n}{n^{(1/p-1)(k-1)}}.$$
 (10)

Analogously we prove

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \operatorname{tr} (PR_{\lambda})^2 d\lambda \right| \le \operatorname{const} \frac{\ln^2 n}{n^{1/p-1}}$$

where conts does not depend on n. Since 0 , from (7), (10) and (11) it follows

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} ' (\mu_k - \lambda_k - (P\varphi_k, \varphi_k)) \right| \le C_9 \frac{\ln^2 n}{n^{1/p-1}}$$

where constant  $C_9$  does not depend on n, and finally

$$\sum_{k>1}' (\mu_k - \lambda_k - (P\varphi_k, \varphi_k)) = 0. \ \Box$$

Example. Let T be the linear operator defined by the differential expression l(y) = -y'' and the boundary conditions  $y(0) = y(\pi) = 0$ . It is easy to verify that  $\lambda_n(T) = n^2$  and  $\varphi_n(x) = \sqrt{2/\pi} \sin nx$ . Let  $P: L^2(0,\pi) \to L^2(0,\pi)$  be a bounded operator defined by Pf(x) = q(x)f(x) (q is a real smooth function on  $[0,\pi]$ .) Here we have p = 1/2,  $\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n = 2n + 1 \times (n^2)^{1/2}$ . By Theorem 1, applying simple transformations [7], we get (1).

THEOREM 2. If  $\mathcal{N}_T(\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda_n(T) < \lambda} \sim C\lambda^p \ (\lambda \to +\infty), \ 0 < p < 2/3 \ and \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_{\nu}} = o(1)$ , then there exists a sequence of positive integers  $n_m$  such that

$$\lim_{m \to +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n_m} (\mu_k - \lambda_k - (P\varphi_k, \varphi_k)) = 0$$

(Here the eigenvalues are counted according to their multiplicity).

From the asymptotic relation  $\mathcal{N}_T(\lambda) \sim C\lambda^p \ (0 it follows that there exists a sequence <math>n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$  such that  $\lambda_{n_k+1} - \lambda n_k \ge C_0 n_k^{1/p-1}$  (for some constant  $C_0 > 0$ ). Let  $\Pi_k = \{\lambda: |\lambda| = r_k = (\lambda_{n_k+1} + \lambda n_k)/2\}$ .

Lemma 4. 
$$\phi(r_k) = O(r_k^{2p-1})$$

Proof. Since

$$\frac{1}{r_k - \lambda_{nk}} = O(r_k^{2p-1}) \text{ and } \sum_{\nu=1}^{n_{k-1}} \frac{1}{r_k - \lambda_{\nu}} \le \frac{n_k}{r_k - \lambda_{n_k}} = O(r_k^{2p-1})$$

it is enough to prove that

$$\sum_{\nu=n_{k+2}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\nu} - r_k} = O(r_k^{2p-1}). \tag{12}$$

Since

$$\sum_{\nu=n_{k+2}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\nu} - r_{k}} \leq \int_{\lambda_{n_{k}+1}}^{\infty} \frac{dN(\lambda)}{\lambda - r_{k}} = \frac{N(\lambda)}{\lambda_{n_{k}+1}} \Big|_{\lambda_{n_{k}+1}}^{\infty} + \int_{\lambda_{n_{k}+1}}^{\infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{(\lambda - r_{k})^{2}} d\lambda$$
$$= \frac{-n_{k}}{\lambda_{n_{k}+1} - r_{k}} + O\left(\int_{\lambda_{n_{k}+1}}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{p}}{(\lambda - r_{k})^{2}} d\lambda\right)$$

and  $n_k/(\lambda_{n_k} - r_k) = O(r_k^{2p-1})$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{\nu=n_k+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\nu} - r_k} = O(r_k^{2p-1}) + O\left(\int_{\lambda_{n_k+1}}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^p}{(\lambda - r_k)^2} d\lambda\right).$$

Now, we estimate  $\int_{\lambda_{n_k+1}}^{\infty} \lambda^p (\lambda - r_k)^{-2} d\lambda$ . Having in mind that

$$\int_{x}^{\infty} t^{p} (t-1)^{-2} dt \sim \frac{1}{x-1} \qquad (x \to 1+0)$$

and

$$\int_{\lambda_{n,k+1}}^{\infty} \lambda^p (\lambda - r_k)^{-2} d\lambda = r_k^{p-1} \int_{\lambda_{n,k+1}/r_k}^{\infty} t^p (t-1)^{-2} dt \sim \frac{r_k^p}{\lambda_{n_{k+1}} - r_k} = O(r_k^{2p-1})$$

we get (12).  $\square$ 

Proof of Theorem 2. is obtained similarly as for Theorem 1 using Lemma 4. Integration is performed over the sequence of contours  $\Pi_k$ . One obtains

$$\left| \sum_{\nu=1}^{n_k} (\mu_{\nu} - \lambda_{\nu} - (P\varphi_{\nu}, \varphi_{\nu})) \right| \leq \operatorname{const} n_k^{3-2/p} + \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Pi_k} \operatorname{tr} (PR_{\lambda})^2 d\lambda \right|$$

It has to be proved that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Pi_k} \operatorname{tr}(PR_{\lambda})^2 d\lambda \to 0 \qquad (k \to +\infty). \tag{13}$$

Since

$$\operatorname{tr}(PR_{\lambda})^{2} = \sum_{k,\nu>1} \frac{(P\varphi_{\nu},\varphi_{k})(P\varphi_{k},\varphi_{\nu})}{(\lambda-\lambda_{\nu})(\lambda-\lambda_{k})},$$

we get

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Pi_k} \operatorname{tr}(PR_{\lambda})^2 d\lambda = 2 \sum_{\nu=1}^{n_k} \sum_{l=n_k+1}^{\infty} \frac{(P\varphi_{\nu}, \varphi_l)(P\varphi_l, \varphi_{\nu})}{\lambda_{\nu} - \lambda_l}.$$

Applying Abel transformation and Bessel inequality we get

$$\left| 2 \sum_{\nu=1}^{n_k} \sum_{l=n_k+1}^{\infty} \frac{(P\varphi_{\nu}, \varphi_l)(P\varphi_l, \varphi_{\nu})}{\lambda_{\nu} - \lambda_l} \right| \le \operatorname{const} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n_k+1} - \lambda_{\nu}} \to 0 \ (k \to +\infty)$$

(const does not depend on k), which proves (13).  $\square$ 

Remark. Theorem 2 is proved in [5] in case  $0 . If the additional condition <math>\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_{\nu})^{-1} = o(1)$  is satisfied, then Theorem 2 is valid also for 1/2 .

Theorem 3. If 
$$\lambda_{n+1}(T) - \lambda_n(T) \approx n^{1/p-1}$$
  $(0 then$ 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\mu_k^2 - \lambda_k^2 - 2\lambda_k(P\varphi_k, \varphi_k)) - A_n \right) = 0$$

where

$$A_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^\infty (P\varphi_\nu, \varphi_k) (P\varphi_k, \varphi_\nu) - \sum_{\nu=n+1}^\infty (P\varphi_\nu, \varphi_k) (P\varphi_k, \varphi_\nu) \frac{\lambda_\nu + \lambda_k}{\lambda_\nu - \lambda_k} \right).$$

Proof. Starting from

$$\begin{split} R_{\lambda}{}' - R_{\lambda} &= \sum_{k \geq 1} R_{\lambda} (PR_{\lambda})^k, \\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_{\Gamma_n} \lambda^2 \mathrm{tr} \left( R_{\lambda}{}' - R_{\lambda} \right) d\lambda &= \sum_{k=1}^n{}' (\mu_k^2 - \lambda_k^2) \\ \int\limits_{\Gamma_n} \lambda^2 \mathrm{tr} \, R_{\lambda} (PR_{\lambda})^k d\lambda &= \frac{2}{k} \int\limits_{\Gamma_n} \lambda \mathrm{tr} \, (PR_{\lambda})^k d\lambda \\ (\Gamma_n &= \{\lambda \colon |\lambda| = r_n = (\lambda_n + \lambda_{n+1})/2 \} \end{split}$$

we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (\mu_n^2 - \lambda_k^2) - 2 \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \lambda \operatorname{tr} P R_{\lambda} d\lambda - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \lambda \operatorname{tr} (P R_{\lambda})^2 d\lambda$$

$$= \sum_{k \ge 3} \frac{2}{k} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \lambda \operatorname{tr} (P R_{\lambda})^k d\lambda.$$
(14)

Since

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \lambda \operatorname{tr}(PR_{\lambda}) d\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k (P\varphi_k, \varphi_k)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \lambda \operatorname{tr} (PR_{\lambda})^2 d\lambda = A_n$$

from (14) we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (\mu_k^2 - \lambda_k^2 - 2\lambda_k(P\varphi_k, \varphi_k)) - A_n = \sum_{k\geq 3} \frac{2}{k} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \lambda \operatorname{tr}(PR_\lambda)^k d\lambda.$$
 (15)

Similarly as in Theorem 1, we prove that

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{-}} \lambda \operatorname{tr} \left( PR_{\lambda} \right)^{k} d\lambda \right| \leq C_{10}^{k} \frac{\ln n}{n^{-1 + (k-2)(1/2 - 1)}}; \quad k \geq 3$$

where the constant  $C_{10}$  does not depend on n and k. From (15) and the previous inequality it follows that

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} ' (\mu_k^2 - \lambda_k^2 - 2\lambda_k(P\varphi_k, \varphi_k)) - A_n \right| \le C_{11} \frac{\ln n}{n^{1/p-2}}$$

( $C_{11}$  does not depend on n). Since  $0 , this inequality implies the statement of Theorem 3. <math>\square$ 

THEOREM 4. Let  $T = T^*$  be a discrete lower bounded operator (on  $\mathcal{H}$ ) with the eigenvalues  $\lambda_k$  and with the orthonormal system of eigenvectors  $\varphi_k$ ;  $P \in B(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\mathcal{N}_T(\lambda) \sim C\lambda^p$  where  $0 . If <math>\mu_k$  are the eigenvalues of T + P, then there exists a sequence of positive integers  $n_k$  such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^{n_k} (\mu_{\nu}^2 - \lambda_{\nu}^2 - 2\lambda_{\nu} (P\varphi_{\nu}, \varphi_{\nu})) - A_{n_k} \right) = 0$$

Proof. We follow the proofs of Theorems 1 and 3. Crucial step is the estimation of the integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Pi_k} \lambda \operatorname{tr} \left( P R_{\lambda} \right)^m d\lambda$$

 $(\Pi_k \text{ and } n_k \text{ are previously defined})$ . Using Lemma 4 we obtain

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Pi_{k}} \lambda \operatorname{tr} (PR_{\lambda})^{m} d\lambda \right| \leq C_{12}^{m} \frac{1}{n_{k}^{(m-2)(1/p-1)-2}} \quad (m \geq 3)$$
 (16)

where contant  $C_{12}$  does not depend on k and m. The equality

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n_k} (\mu_{\nu}^2 - \lambda_{\nu}^2 - 2\lambda_{\nu} (P\varphi_{\nu}, \varphi_{\nu})) - A_{n_k} = \sum_{m \geq 3} \frac{2}{m} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Pi_k} \lambda \operatorname{tr} (PR_{\lambda})^m d\lambda,$$

combined with (16) gives

$$\left| \sum_{\nu=1}^{n_k} (\mu_{\nu}^2 - \lambda_{\nu}^2 - 2\lambda_{\nu}(P\varphi_{\nu}, \varphi_{\nu})) - A_{n_k} \right| \le C_{13} \frac{1}{n_k^{1/p-3}}.$$

This implies the statement of Theorem 4 (because  $0 ). <math>\square$ 

Consider now the case when perturbation P is an unbounded operator which is "subordinated" to some power of T.

THEOREM 5. Let  $T=T^*$  be a discrete, positive operator on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\ker T=\{0\}$ . Let  $(\lambda_k)$  be the distinct eigenvalues of T, let  $\{\varphi_k\}$  be the corresponding system of eigenvectors and  $\lambda_{n+1}(T)-\lambda_n(T)\asymp n^{1/\alpha-1}$   $(0<\alpha<1/2)$ . If P is a closed operator,  $\mathcal{D}(T)\subset\mathcal{D}(P)$   $(\mathcal{D}(T),\mathcal{D}(P))$  are the domains of T and P) such that  $\|Px\|\leq A_0\|T^\beta x\|$ ,  $\forall x\in\mathcal{D}(T),\ 0\leq\beta<1/2-\alpha$ ,  $A_0=\mathrm{const}$  then the operator T+P is also discrete and the formula

$$\sum_{n\geq 1}' (\mu_n - \lambda_n - (p\varphi_n, \varphi_n)) = 0$$

holds.

 $(\mu_n \text{ are the eigenvalues of } T + P. \sum' \text{ denotes that the terms arising from the repeated eigenvalues } \lambda_n \text{ are grouped})$ . Before proving this Theorem, we prove a few lemmas.

Lemma 5. If  $\phi_1(\lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n^{\beta} |\lambda - \lambda_n|^{-1}$ ,  $\phi_2(\lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n^{2\beta} |\lambda - \lambda_n|^{-2}$ , then for  $\lambda \in \Gamma_n = \{\lambda : |\lambda| = r_n = (\lambda_n + \lambda_{n+1})/2\}$  the following inequalities

$$\phi_2(\lambda) \le \phi_2(r_n) = O(n^{-2(1-\alpha-\beta)/2}), \qquad \phi_1(\lambda) \le \phi_1(r_n) = O(n^{-1(1-\alpha-\beta)/2} \ln n)$$

hold.

Proof of this Lemma is obtained similarly as the one of Lemma 2.  $\Box$  From Lemma 5 it follows

$$\max_{\lambda \in \Gamma_n} \|PR_{\lambda}\| = O(n^{-(1-\alpha-\beta)/2}), \quad \max_{\lambda \in \Gamma_n} |PR_{\lambda}|_1 = O(n^{-(1-\alpha-\beta)/\alpha} \ln n). \tag{17}$$

Lemma 6. Under the assumptions of Theorem 5 the equlity

$$\operatorname{tr} R_{\lambda}(PR_{\lambda})^{k} = -k^{-1}\operatorname{tr} (PR_{\lambda})^{k}, \qquad k \in N$$
(18)

holds.

*Proof.* From the assumptions of Theorem 5 it follows that the operator  $P_1 = PT^{-\beta}$  can be extended to a bounded operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $G_{\lambda} = T^{\beta}R_{\lambda}$ . Then  $PR_{\lambda} = P_1G_{\lambda}$ . The operator  $G_{\lambda}$  is bounded and

$$G_{\lambda} = \sum_{n>1} \lambda_n^{-\beta} (\lambda - \lambda_n)^{-1} (\cdot, \varphi_n) \varphi_n$$

The relation (18) can be rewritten as

$$\operatorname{tr} R_{\lambda}(PR_{\lambda})^{k} = -k^{-1}d(P_{1}G_{\lambda})^{k}/d\lambda. \tag{19}$$

Since  $P_1$  and  $G_{\lambda}$  are bounded operators (therefore  $G_{\lambda}$  is nuclear) then

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}\operatorname{tr}(P_{1}G_{\lambda}) = \operatorname{tr}\frac{d}{d\lambda}(P_{1}G_{\lambda})^{k}$$

$$= \operatorname{tr}(P_{1}G_{\lambda}'\underbrace{P_{1}G_{\lambda}\dots P_{1}G_{\lambda}}_{k-1})$$

$$+ P_{1}G_{\lambda}'\dots P_{1}G_{\lambda} + \dots + \underbrace{P_{1}G_{\lambda}\dots P_{1}G_{\lambda}}_{k-1}P_{1}G_{\lambda}') \quad (G_{\lambda}' = dG_{\lambda}/d\lambda)$$

$$= \operatorname{tr}P_{1}G_{\lambda}'\underbrace{P_{1}G_{\lambda}\dots P_{1}G_{\lambda}}_{k-1}$$

$$+ \operatorname{tr}P_{1}G_{\lambda}P_{1}G_{\lambda}'\underbrace{P_{1}G_{\lambda}\dots P_{1}G_{\lambda}}_{k-2} + \dots + \operatorname{tr}\underbrace{P_{1}G_{\lambda}\dots P_{1}G_{\lambda}}_{k-1}P_{1}G_{\lambda}'$$

Having in mind that  $dG_{\lambda}/d\lambda = -T^{\beta}R_{\lambda}^{2}$  we obtain

$$\operatorname{tr} P_{1} G_{\lambda}^{'} \underbrace{P_{1} G_{\lambda} \dots P_{1} G_{\lambda}}_{k-1} = -\operatorname{tr} \left( P_{1} T^{\beta} R_{\lambda}^{2} \underbrace{P R_{\lambda} \dots P R_{\lambda}}_{k-1} \right)$$
$$= -\operatorname{tr} \left( P R_{\lambda} R_{\lambda} \underbrace{P R_{\lambda} \dots P R_{\lambda}}_{k-1} \right) = -\operatorname{tr} R_{\lambda} (P R_{\lambda})^{k}.$$

Similary

$$\operatorname{tr}(P_{1}G_{\lambda}P_{1}G_{\lambda}^{'}\dots P_{1}G_{\lambda}) = -\operatorname{tr}R_{\lambda}(PR_{\lambda})^{k}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\operatorname{tr}(\underline{P_{1}G_{\lambda}\dots P_{1}G_{\lambda}}P_{1}G_{\lambda}^{'}) = -\operatorname{tr}R_{\lambda}(PR_{\lambda})^{k}.$$

From the previous equalities we get (20), then (19) and finaly (18).  $\square$ 

*Proof of Theorem* 5. From (17) and Lemma 6 it follows that for n large enough we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \lambda \operatorname{tr} \left( R_{\lambda}' - R_{\lambda} \right) d\lambda - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \operatorname{tr} P R_{\lambda} d\lambda - \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \operatorname{tr} \left( P R_{\lambda} \right)^2 d\lambda$$

$$= \sum_{k \ge 3} \frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \operatorname{tr} \left( P R_{\lambda} \right)^k d\lambda$$

where  $R_{\lambda}^{'} = (\lambda - T - P)^{-1}$ ,  $R_{\lambda} = (\lambda - T)^{-1}$ . Since

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \lambda \operatorname{tr} (R'_{\lambda} - R_{\lambda}) d\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\mu_k - \lambda_k),$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \operatorname{tr} PR_{\lambda} d\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (P\varphi_k, \varphi_k),$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \operatorname{tr} (PR\lambda)^2 d\lambda \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty) \quad (\text{because } \alpha + \beta < 1/2),$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} '(\mu_k - \lambda_k - (P\varphi_k, \varphi_k)) = \sum_{k \ge 3} \frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \operatorname{tr}(PR_\lambda)^k d\lambda + o(1). \tag{21}$$

From (17) it follows

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \operatorname{tr} (PR_{\lambda})^k d\lambda \right| \le C_{14}^k \frac{\ln n}{n^{(k(1-\alpha-\beta)-1)/\alpha}} \qquad (k \ge 3)$$

where  $C_{14}$  does not depend on k and n. Combining this inequality and (21) we get

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} ' (\mu_k - \lambda_k - (P\varphi_k, \varphi_k)) \right| \le C_{15} \frac{\ln n}{n^{(2-3\alpha-3\beta)/\alpha}} + o(1)$$

where the constant  $C_{15}$  does not depend on n. Since  $\alpha + \beta < 1/2$  the statement of Theorem 5 follows immediately.

*Example.* Let T be the differential operator generated by the differential expression  $l(y) = -y^{(6)}$  and the boundary condition

$$y(0) = y''(0) = y^{(4)}(0) = y(\pi) = y''(\pi) = y^{(4)}(\pi) = 0$$
(22)

Let P be an operator defined by  $Py(x) = r(x)y(x_0)$  where  $x_0 \in (0,\pi), r \in C^1[0,\pi]$  and  $r(0) = r(\pi) = 0$ . It is easy to verify that  $\lambda_n(T) = n^6$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_T(\lambda) \sim \lambda^{1/6}$ ,

 $\varphi_n(x) = \sqrt{2/\pi} \sin nx$  and  $||Py|| \le \text{const} ||T^{1/6}y||$ , where the function y satisfies the conditions (22). So, in this case  $\alpha = \beta = 1/6$ . Applying Theorem 5 we get

$$\sum_{n>1} (\lambda_n(T+P) - n^6 - (P\varphi_n, \varphi_n)) = 0.$$

Since the series

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} (P\varphi_n, \varphi_n) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin nx_0 \int_0^{\pi} r(x) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin nx \, dx$$

is absolutely convergent and its sum is  $r(x_0)$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{n>1} (\lambda_n(T+P) - n^6) = r(x_0).$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] А.Г. Баскаков, Формулы регуляризованных следов для степеней возмущенных спектарльных операторов, Изв. вузов, Математика 8 (1985), 68-71.
- [2] И.М. Гельфанд, Б.М. Левитан, Об одом простом тождестве для собственных значений дифференциального оператора второго порядка, ДАН СССР 88 (1953), 593—596.
- R.C. Gilbert, V.A. Kramer, Trace formulas for powers of a Sturm-Liouville operator, Canad. J. Math. 16 (1964), 412-422.
- [4] Л.А. Дикий, Формули следов для оператора Штурма-Лиувилля, УМН 13 (1958), 111-143.
- [5] В.В. Дубровский, K Абстрактной формуле Гельфанда-Левитана, УМН (1991), 187–188.
- [6] Б.М. Левитан, И.С. Саргсян, Опретаторы Штурма, Левитана и Крейна, Наука, Москва, 1988.
- [7] В.А. Любишкин, О формулах следов Гельфанда, Левитана и Крейна, Мат. Сборник 182 (1991), 1786-1795.
- [8] В.А. Садовничий, В.А. Любишкин, Регуляризованные следы дискретных операторов, ДАН СССР 261 (1981), 290-293.
- [9] В.А. Садовничий, В.А. Любишкин, Конечномерные возмущения дискретных операторов и формулы следов Функц. анализ и его проложения 20 (1986), 55–65.

Matematički fakultet Studentski trg 16 11000 Beograd, p.p. 550 Jugoslavija (Received 22 11 1993)