ON GENERALIZATION OF FUNCTIONS n! AND !n!

Zoran Šami

Communicated by Aleksandar Ivić

Abstract. A sequence y_n is defined, and its relation to Đuro Kurepa's left factorial hypothesis is discussed. Also, a generalization of functions n!, !n and y_n , a sequence $u_{n,m}$ is defined, and a number of its properties is proved.

1. Introduction. Kurepa in [6] defined !n (left factorial) by:

$$!n = 0! + 1! + 2! + \dots + (n-1)!, \qquad n \in N$$
(1.1)

and stated the hypothesis that

$$(!n, n!) = 2,$$
 for $n > 1,$ (KH)

where (a, b) denotes the greatest common divisor of integers a and b. In [6] was proved that (KH) is equivalent to assertion that

$$!p \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
, for all primes $p > 2$ (1.2)

and this is the usual form of KH.

In [8], [9], [11], [12] and [13] there are several statements equivalent to KH, which are all exposed in [5]. Here we cite, for example, the assertion proved in [14], that KH is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-2} (k+1)^{p-k} k^{k-1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \text{ for all primes } p > 2$$
 (1.3)

KH is verified in [2] for $n < 10^6$. In this paper we will try to open some new possibilities for considering KH.

2. The sequence y_n . Let $f(x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{1-x}$ and $n \in N \cup \{0\}$. We define a sequence y_n by:

$$y_n = f^{(n)}(0). (2.1)$$

It is easy to see that

$$y_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} k!. \tag{2.2}$$

The first few members, are: $y_0 = 1$, $y_1 = 0$, $y_2 = 1$, $y_3 = 2$, $y_4 = 9$, $y_5 = 44$,.... Let us notice that the sequence y_n has a combinatorial meaning to. Namely, y_n , n > 0, is the number of derangements of the set of n elements, i.e. number of permutations of an n-element set, in which no element is fixed. Let us establish some properties of the sequence y_n .

Proposition 2.1. For every $n \in N$ we have

$$y_n = ny_{n-1} + (-1)^n, \quad (2.3) \qquad \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} y_k = n!,$$
 (2.4)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} y_{k-1} = !n, \quad (2.5) \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} (!k) = y_{n-1}. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. Since $(1-x)f(x) = e^{-x}$, it follows that

$$(-1)^n = [(1-x)f(x)]_{x=0}^{(n)} = f^{(n)}(0) - nf^{(n-1)}(0) = y_n - ny_{n-1},$$

so the equality (2.3) is correct. Further we have:

$$\frac{1}{1-x} = e^x f(x) \Rightarrow n! = [e^x f(x)]_{x=0}^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} y_k,$$

$$!n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k! = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} y_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_i \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{k}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i+1} y_i,$$

i.e. the equalities (2.4) and (2.5) are correct. From (2.5) it follows that:

$$!n = u_1^{(n)}(0), \quad u_1(x) = e^x \int_0^x f(t)dt, \quad (!0 = 0)$$
 (2.7)

and further $u_1(x)e^{-x} = \int_0^x f(t)dt \Rightarrow f^{(n-1)}(0) = y_{n-1} = [u_1(x)e^{-x}]_{x=0}^{(n)}$, i.e. the equality (2.6) also holds.

Proposition 2.2. For every $n \in N$, and every $m \in N \cup \{0\}$ the following holds:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} y_{k+m} = n! \sum_{i=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-i} \binom{m}{i} \binom{n+i}{i} (i!).$$
 (2.8)

The proof is easy and can be omitted.

Let us notice, that from proven equalities, one can obtain a number of congruences by module p, when $p \in P$, and P denotes the same set as above. From (2.3) it follows

$$y_p \equiv -1 \pmod{p},\tag{2.9}$$

$$y_{p-1} + y_{p-2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p},\tag{2.10}$$

Also, the substitution n = p - 1 in (2.4) give

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (-1)^k y_k \equiv -1 \pmod{p},\tag{2.11}$$

Finally, by substitution n = p in (2.6) and (2.8) we obtain

$$y_{p-1} \equiv ! p \pmod{p} \tag{2.12}$$

$$y_m + y_{m+p} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \quad m \in N \cup \{0\}$$
 (2.13)

Bearing in mind (1.2), one can, without great effort, formulate a number of assertions equivalent to KH. Really, according to congruences (2.9)-(2.13), KH is equivalent to every one of the following statements:

$$y_{p-1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
, for all primes $p \ge 3$, (2.14)

$$y_{p-2} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$
, for all primes $p \ge 3$, (2.15)

$$y_p \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p^2}$$
, for all primes $p \ge 3$, (2.16)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-2} (-1)^k y_k \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p}, \quad \text{for all primes } p \ge 3.$$
 (2.17)

Obviously, one can formulate a number of similar statements.

The sequence y_n can be represented in another way.

Proposition 2.3. For every $n \in N$

$$y_n = \left\lceil \frac{n!}{e} \right\rceil + \frac{1 + (-1)^n}{2},\tag{2.18}$$

where [x] denotes integer part of x, i.e. $[x] \in Z$ and $[x] \le x < [x] + 1$.

Proof. From (2.2) it follows

$$y_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \Gamma(k+1) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-x} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} x^k dx$$
$$= \int_0^{+\infty} (x-1)^n e^{-x} dx = \int_0^1 (x-1)^n e^{-x} dx + \frac{1}{e} \int_0^{+\infty} t^n e^{-t} dt$$
$$= \frac{n!}{e} + \int_0^1 (x-1)^n e^{-x} dx.$$

Since we have

$$\Big| \int_{0}^{1} (x-1)^{n} e^{-x} dx \Big| \le \int_{0}^{1} |x-1| e^{-x} dx = \frac{1}{e}.$$

It follows that $y_n = \left[\frac{n!}{e}\right] + 1$, for n even and $y_n = \left[\frac{n!}{e}\right]$, for n odd and thus, the equality (2.18) holds.

Bearing in mind properties of the sequence y_n from (2.18) one immediately obtains

$$\left[\frac{n!}{e}\right] = \left[\frac{e^{-x}}{1-x} - \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2}\right]_{x=0}^{(n)} \tag{2.19}$$

Also, according to Proposition 2.1, it follows that for every $n \in N$, the following equalities hold:

$$\left[\frac{n!}{e}\right] = n \left[\frac{(n-1)!}{e}\right] + \frac{1 - (-1)^n}{2}(n-1), \tag{2.20}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \left[\frac{k!}{e} \right] = n! - 2^{n-1}, \tag{2.21}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \left[\frac{(k-1)!}{e} \right] = !n - 2^{n-1}. \tag{2.22}$$

Bearing in mind (2.9)–(2.13), it follows that for every prime $p \geq 3$, the following congruences hold:

$$\left[\frac{p!}{e}\right] \equiv -1 \pmod{p},\tag{2.23}$$

$$\left[\frac{(p-1)!}{e}\right] + \left[\frac{(p-2)!}{e}\right] \equiv 0 \pmod{p},\tag{2.24}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (-1)^k \left[\frac{k!}{e} \right] \equiv \frac{p-3}{2} \pmod{p}, \tag{2.25}$$

$$\left[\frac{(p-1)!}{e}\right] \equiv !p-1 \pmod{p},\tag{2.26}$$

$$\left[\frac{m!}{e}\right] + \left[\frac{(m+p)!}{e}\right] \equiv -1 \pmod{p}, \ m \in N \cup \{0\}.$$
 (2.27)

The statements (2.14)–(2.17) all equivalent to KH, could be reformulated similarly. From the discussion above, it is clear, that the sequence y_n is closely related to the functions n! and !n.

3. The sequence $u_{n,m}$. Let $f(x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{1-x}$ and let $u_m(x)$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, be the sequence of functions defined by:

$$u_m(x) = \begin{cases} e^x \int_0^x dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \cdots \int_0^{t_{m-1}} f(t_m) dt_m, & m > 0 \\ e^x f^{(-m)}(x), & m \le 0 \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

The sequence of numbers $u_{n,m}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, is defined by

$$u_{n,m} = u_m^{(n)}(0). (3.2)$$

Let us first notice, that the sequence $u_{n,m}$, in some special cases represents the functions n!, !n and y_n .

Proposition 3.1. For every $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ following equalities hold:

$$u_{n,0} = n!, (3.3)$$

$$u_{n,1} = !n, \quad (!0 = 0),$$
 (3.4)

$$u_{0,-n} = y_n. (3.5)$$

 ${\it Proof.}$ Referring to (2.1) and (3.1) and bearing in mind (2.7), it follows immediately that

$$\begin{split} u_{n,0} &= \left[\frac{1}{1-x}\right]_{x=0}^{(n)} = n!, \\ u_{n,1} &= \left[e^x \int_0^x f(t)dt\right]_{x=0}^{(n)} = !n, \\ u_{0,-n} &= \left[e^x f^{(n)}(x)\right]_{x=0} = f^{(n)}(0) = y_n, \end{split}$$

which proves the assertion.

Considering further properties of the sequence $u_{n,m}$, let us show, that the sequence $u_{n,m}$ has some properties similar to those of binomial coefficients.

Proposition 3.2. For every $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ we have:

$$u_{n,m} + u_{n,m+1} = u_{n+1,m+1}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z},$$
 (3.6)

$$m > n \Rightarrow u_{n,m} = 0, \quad m \in N,$$
 (3.7)

$$u_{n,n} = 1, (3.8)$$

$$u_{n,n-1} = n. (3.9)$$

Proof. Referring to (3.1) we have

$$u_m(x) = e^x (u_{m+1}(x)e^{-x})' = u'_{m+1}(x) - u_{m+1}(x) \Rightarrow$$

$$u_{n,m} = u_m^{(n)}(0) = [u'_{m+1}(x) - u_{m+1}(x)]_{x=0}^{(n)} = u_{n+1,m+1} - u_{n,m+1},$$

and thus, the equality (3.6) holds.

Let m > n, then

$$u_m^{(n)}(x) = e^x \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left[\int_0^x dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \cdots \int_0^{t_{m-1}} f(t_m) dt_m \right]^{(k)}$$
$$= e^x \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \int_0^x dt_{k+1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{m-1}} f(t_m) dt_m,$$

and after substituting x = 0, we obtain (3.7).

Further, from (3.6) and (3.7) it follows

$$u_{n,n} = u_{n-1,n-1} + u_{n-1,n} = u_{n-1,n-1} = \dots = u_{0,0} = 1$$

$$u_{n,n-1} = u_{n-1,n-2} + u_{n-1,n-1} = u_{n-1,n-2} + 1 = \dots = u_{1,0} + (n-1) = n$$

and thus, the assertion is proved.

We can easily calculate members of the sequence $u_{n,m}$. For example, for |m| < 5 and n < 6, we have:

	\cdots -4	-3	- 2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	
0	9	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	
1	53	11	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	
2	362	64			2	2	1	0	0	
3	2790	426	78	18	6	4	3	1	0	
4	24024	3216	504	96	24	10	7	4	1	
5	229080	27240	3720	600	120	34	17	11	5	

Proposition 3.3. For every $n \in N$, and every $m \in Z$, the following equalities hold:

$$\sum_{k=m}^{n} (-1)^{k-m} u_{n,k} = u_{n-1,m-1}, \tag{3.10}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} u_{k,m} = u_{n,m+1} - u_{0,m+1}. \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. Referring to (3.6) and (3.7) we obtain

$$\sum_{k=m}^{n} (-1)^{k-m} u_{n,k} = \sum_{k=m}^{n} (-1)^{k-m} (u_{n-1,k-1} - u_{n-1,k})$$

$$= u_{n-1,m-1} + \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} (-1)^{k-m} u_{n-1,k-1} + (-1)^{n-m} u_{n-1,n} + \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} (-1)^{k-m} u_{n-1,k}$$

$$= u_{n-1,m-1} + \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} (-1)^{k-m+1} u_{n-1,k} + \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} (-1)^{k-m} u_{n-1,k} = u_{n-1,m-1}.$$

Also

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} u_{k,m} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (u_{k+1,m+1} - u_{k,m+1}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} u_{k,m+1} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} u_{k,m+1} = u_{n,m+1} - u_{0,m+1}.$$

and that proves the proposition.

Proposition 3.4. For every $k,n\in N\cup\{0\}$ and every $m\in Z$, the following equalities hold:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} u_{k+i,m} = u_{k,m-n}, \tag{3.12}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} u_{k,m-i} = u_{n+k,m}. \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. According to (3.1), we have

$$u_{m-n}(x) = e^x (u_m(x)e^{-x})^{(n)} = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} u_m^{(i)}(x).$$

Differentiating the last equality k times and substituting x=0 we obtain (3.12). Further we have

$$u_m^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} u_{m-i}(x) \Rightarrow u_m^{(n+k)}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} u_{m-i}^{(k)}(x),$$

and by substituting x = 0 we obtain (3.13).

Let us notice, that by substituting k=0 in (3.13) and considering (3.5) and (3.7) we obtain

$$u_{n,m} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} u_{0,m-i} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} y_{i-m} \quad (m \le 0), \text{ i.e.}$$

$$u_{n,m} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{n}{i} u_{0,m-i} + \sum_{i=m}^{n} \binom{n}{i} u_{0,m-i} = \sum_{i=m}^{n} \binom{n}{i} y_{i-m}, \quad (0 < m \le n).$$

We can conclude that, for every $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ and every $m \in Z$, $m \leq n$ holds

$$u_{n,m} = \sum_{i=s}^{n} {n \choose i} y_{i-m}, \qquad s = \max(0, m).$$
 (3.14)

Referring to (2.8), substitution $m = -k, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ in (3.14), gives

$$u_{n,-k} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} y_{i+k} = n! \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} \binom{n+i}{i} i!.$$
 (3.15)

Especially, for k = 1 we obtain

$$u_{n,-1} = n \cdot n!. \tag{3.16}$$

It is obvious that for $m \leq 0$

$$u_{n,m} \equiv 0 \pmod{n!}.\tag{3.17}$$

Substitution $n = p, p \in P$ in (3.13), gives us

$$u_{k,m} + u_{k,m-p} \equiv u_{p+k,m} \pmod{p}.$$
 (3.18)

According to (3.17) and (3.18), for $m \le p \le k$, we obtain

$$u_{k,m} \equiv u_{p+k,m} \pmod{p}. \tag{3.19}$$

Let us notice, that for $n=p+1, p\in P, p>2$, in (3.14), referring to (2.10) we obtain:

$$u_{p+1,2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}. \tag{3.20}$$

At last, let us prove two recurrent formulas for the sequence $u_{n,m}$.

Proposition 3.5. For every $n \in N$ and every $m \in Z$ following equality holds

$$(m-1)u_{n,m} = (n-m+1)u_{n,m-1} - u_{n,m-2} + a(n,m), (3.21)$$

where

$$a(n,m) = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{m-2}, & m \ge 2\\ 0, & m < 2 \end{cases}.$$

Proof. For m = 1, the equality holds, since (3.21) reduces to (3.16). For m < 1, according to (2.3), (3.6) and (3.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n,m-1} + u_{n,m-2} &= u_{n+1,m-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{i} y_{i-m+1} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{i} (i-m+1) y_{i-m} + (-1)^{1-m} \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{i} (-1)^i \\ &= (n+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \binom{n}{i-1} y_{i-m} - (m-1) \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{i} y_{i-m} \\ &= (n+1) u_{n,m-1} - (m-1) (u_{n,m} + u_{n,m-1}). \end{aligned}$$

and, after putting this in order, we obtain (3.21).

For m > 1, we have

$$u_{n,m-1} + u_{n,m-2} = u_{n+1,m-1} = \sum_{i=m-1}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{i} y_{i-m+1}$$

$$= \binom{n+1}{m-1} + \sum_{i=m}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{i} y_{i-m} (i-m+1) + (-1)^{1-m} \sum_{i=m}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{i} (-1)^{i}$$

$$= \binom{n+1}{m-1} + (n+1) \sum_{i=m}^{n+1} \binom{n}{i-1} y_{i-m} - (m-1) \sum_{i=m}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{i} y_{i-m}$$

$$+ (-1)^m \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{n+1}{i} (-1)^i$$

$$= \binom{n+1}{m-1} + (n+1) \sum_{i=m-1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} y_{i-m+1} - (m-1) u_{n+1,m} - \binom{n}{m-1}$$

$$= \binom{n}{m-2} + (n+1) u_{n,m-1} - (m-1) (u_{n,m} + u_{n,m-1}),$$

and, after putting this in order, we obtain (3.21).

Proposition 3.6. For every $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ and every $m \in Z$, the following equality holds:

$$u_{n+2,m} = (n-m+3)u_{n+1,m} - (n+1)u_{n,m} + a(n,m).$$
 (3.22)

Proof. According to (3.6), (3.12) and (3.21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n+2,m} - 2u_{n+1,m} + u_{n,m} &= u_{n,m-2} \\ &= (n-m+1)u_{n,m-1} - (m-1)u_{n,m} + a(n,m) \\ &= (n-m+1)(u_{n+1,m} - u_{n,m}) - (m-1)u_{n,m} + a(n,m), \end{aligned}$$

and, after putting this in order, we get (3.22).

Notice that, according to (3.4) and (3.6), after substituting m=2 in (3.22), we obtain

$$u_{n+2,2} = (n+1)(!n) + 1. (3.23)$$

From properties of the sequence $u_{n,m}$, it is clear that one can state number of assertions equivalent to KH. For example KH is equivalent to all following assertions:

$$(\exists k)(k \ge p \land u_{k,2} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}), \quad \text{for all primes } p > 2,$$
 (3.24)

$$u_{p-1,2} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
, for all primes $p > 2$, (3.25)

$$u_{p-2,2} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
, for all primes $p > 2$, (3.26)

$$u_{p+1,2} \not\equiv p+1 \pmod{p^2}$$
, for all primes $p > 2$. (3.27)

Really, (3.24) and (3.27) are direct corollaries of (1.2) and (3.23), while (3.6) implies (3.25) and (3.26).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] L. Carlitz, A note on the left factorial function, Math. Balcan, 5:6 (1975), 37-42.
- [2] G. Gogić, Parallel algorithms in arithmetic, Master thesis, Belgrade Univ. 1991.
- [3] R. Guy, Unsolved problems in number theory, Springer-Verlag, 1981.
- [4] M. Hall, Combinatorial theory, Blaisdell, Waltham, Toronto, London, 1967.
- [5] A. Ivić, Ž. Mijajlović, On Kurepa problems in number theory, Publ. Inst. Math. 57(71) (1995), 19-28.
- [6] D. Kurepa, On the left factorial function !n, Math. Balcan. 1 (1971), 147-153.
- [7] D. Kurepa, Left factorial function in complex domain, Math. Balcan. 3 (1973), 297-307.
- [8] D. Kurepa, On some new left factorial proposition, Math. Balcan. 4 (1974), 383-386.
- [9] Ž. Mijajlović, On some formulas involving !n and the verification of the !n-hypothesis by use of computers, Publ. Inst. Math. 47(61) (1990), 24-32.
- [10] D.V. Slavić, On the left factorial function of the complex argument, Math. Balcan. 3 (1973), 472-477.
- [11] J. Stanković, Über einige Relationenzwischen Fakultäten und den linken Fakultäten, Math. Balcan. 3 (1973), 488-497.
- [12] J. Stanković, M. Žižović, Noch einige Relationenzwischen den Fakultäten und den linken Fakultäten, Math. Balcan. 4 (105) (1974), 555-559.
- [13] Z. Šami, On the M-hypothesis of D. Kurepa, Math. Balcan. 3 (1973), 530-532.
- [14] Z. Šami, A sequence of numbers $a_{j,n}^m$, Glasnik Math. 23 (43) (1988), 3–13.

Saobraćajni fakultet Vojvode Stepe 305 11000 Beograd Yugoslavia (Received 23 05 1996)