

DISTRIBUTIVITY AND WELLFOUNDED SEMILATTICES

CARLOS SERRA ALVES

Abstract: It is shown that distributivity over two elements is enough to guarantee distributivity over an arbitrary number of elements for wellfounded semilattices.

1 – Basic definitions

Throughout this paper a semilattice shall mean a meet semilattice, i.e. a set S with a function $\wedge: S^2 \rightarrow S$ such that $\forall a, b, c \in S$, $a \wedge a = a$, $a \wedge b = b \wedge a$ and $a \wedge (b \wedge c) = (a \wedge b) \wedge c$. The semilattice S means (S, \wedge) is a semilattice. If S is a semilattice, \wedge induces a natural partial order \leq on S by $a \leq b$ if and only if $a \wedge b = a$. We use $a < b$ if $a \leq b$ and a is not equal to b .

Definition. A partial order \leq on a set S is wellfounded if there is no infinite sequence $\{s_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of elements of S such that $\dots < s_2 < s_1 < s_0$.

Definition. A semilattice S is wellfounded if the induced partial order \leq on S is wellfounded.

If S is a semilattice, $a, b \in S$, $a \vee b$ denotes the least upper bound of a and b under \leq if it exists.

2 – Distributivity

Definition. A semilattice S satisfies the condition (D_n) :

$$x \wedge (s_1 \vee s_2 \vee \dots \vee s_n) = (x \wedge s_1) \vee (x \wedge s_2) \vee \dots \vee (x \wedge s_n)$$

if whenever the left-hand side is defined, then so is the right-hand side and they are equal. The class of semilattices satisfying (D_n) is denoted by D_n .

Definition. A prime semilattice is a semilattice S such that when $x, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \in S$, if $s_1 \vee s_2 \vee s_3 \vee \dots \vee s_n$ exists, then

$$(x \wedge s_1) \vee (x \wedge s_2) \vee \dots \vee (x \wedge s_n)$$

also exists and

$$x \wedge (s_1 \vee s_2 \vee \dots \vee s_n) = (x \wedge s_1) \vee (x \wedge s_2) \vee \dots \vee (x \wedge s_n) .$$

The class of prime semilattices is denoted by D .

Prime semilattices were first introduced in [1] and (D_n) in [2].

Clearly

$$D_2 \supseteq D_3 \supseteq \dots \supseteq D_n \supseteq D_{n+1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq D$$

and

$$D = \bigcap_{n=2}^{\infty} D_n .$$

In [2] it is stated without example that one can construct a semilattice satisfying (D_m) but not (D_n) with $m < n$. In [4] it is shown that if S is a finite semilattice, then $S \in D_2$ if and only if $S \in D$. This result was also known to Schein ([3]) and raises the problem of determining which type of semilattices have this property.

Theorem. *Let S be a wellfounded semilattice. Then $S \in D_2$ if and only if $S \in D$.*

Proof: The “only if” part is straightforward from the inclusions. To show the “if” portion we shall see $S \in D_n \forall n \geq 2$ whenever $S \in D_2$. Fix n , let $x, s_1, s_2 \dots s_n \in S$ and assume $s_1 \vee s_2 \vee \dots \vee s_n$ is defined. We only need to show that $s_1 \vee s_2 \vee \dots \vee s_{k-1}$ exists whenever $s_1 \vee s_2 \vee \dots \vee s_k$ does for $k \leq n$, as then

$$\begin{aligned} x \wedge (s_1 \vee s_2 \dots \vee s_{n-1} \vee s_n) &= x \wedge \left((s_1 \vee s_2 \vee \dots \vee s_{n-1}) \vee s_n \right) \\ &= \left(x \wedge (s_1 \vee s_2 \vee \dots \vee s_{n-1}) \right) \vee (x \wedge s_n) \quad \text{by } (D_2) \\ &= x \wedge \left((s_1 \vee s_2 \vee \dots \vee s_{n-2}) \vee s_{n-1} \right) \vee (x \wedge s_n) \\ &= \left(x \wedge (s_1 \vee s_2 \vee \dots \vee s_{n-2}) \vee (x \wedge s_{n-1}) \right) \vee (x \wedge s_n) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &= (x \wedge s_1) \vee (x \wedge s_2) \vee \dots \vee (x \wedge s_n) . \end{aligned}$$

by (D_2)

The required condition follows immediately from the following proposition.

Proposition. *Let S be a wellfounded semilattice $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \in S$ such that $s_1 \vee s_2 \vee \dots \vee s_n$ is defined, then $s_1 \vee s_2 \vee \dots \vee s_k$ exists for $k < n$.*

Proof: Let $x_0 = s_1 \vee s_2 \vee \dots \vee s_n$ and let U be the set of upper bounds of s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k . U is nonempty since $x_0 \in U$. Given $x_i \in U$ pick $y \in U$ such that $y \leq x_i$ and let $x_{i+1} = y \wedge x_i < x_i$, $x_{i+1} \in U$. If no such y exists, then $x_i = s_1 \vee s_2 \vee \dots \vee s_k$. Otherwise we obtain a chain $x_0 > x_1 > x_2 > \dots$. Since S is wellfounded, this chain must be finite and have a least element $x_j \in U$, but then $x_j = s_1 \vee s_2 \vee \dots \vee s_k$. ■

Corollary. *If S is a semilattice satisfying one of the following conditions, then $S \in D_2$ if and only if $S \in D$:*

- 1) S is finite;
- 2) every element of S has only finitely many predecessors;
- 3) every chain in S is finite.

We see that counterexamples to (D_2) implying (D_n) have not only to be infinite, but they also require infinitely many elements between $(s_1 \vee s_2 \vee \dots \vee s_n)$ and the elements s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n chainwise as well.

Two interesting questions are to determine which other types of semilattices have the property that (D_2) is equivalent to $(D_n) \forall n$ and what is the minimal set of conditions for a counterexample.

REFERENCES

- [1] BALBES, R. – A representation theory for prime and implicative semilattices, *Transactions American Mathematical Society*, 136 (1969), 261–267.
- [2] SCHEIN, B.M. – On the definition of distributive semilattices, *Algebra Universalis*, 2 (1972), 1–2.
- [3] SCHEIN, B.M. – Written communication, 1988.
- [4] SHUM, K.P., CHAN, M.W., LAI, C.K. and SO, K.Y. – Characterizations for prime semilattices, *Canadian Journal Mathematics*, XXXVII (1985), 1059–1073.

Carlos Serra Alves,
 Dep. of Mathematics, Trenton State College,
 Hilwood Lakes, CN4700 Trenton, NJ08650/4700 – USA