

ON A VARIATIONAL INEQUALITY FOR  
THE NONHOMOGENEOUS DEGENERATED  
KIRCHHOFF EQUATION WITH A FRICTIONAL DAMPING

C.L. FROTA and N.A. LAR'KIN \*

*Presented by Hugo Beirão da Veiga*

**Abstract:** We study a unilateral problem for the nonhomogeneous degenerated Kirchhoff equation with a frictional damping. Making use of the penalty method and Galerkin's approximations, we establish global existence and uniqueness theorems.

## 1 – Introduction

The one-dimensional nonlinear equation of motion of an elastic string

$$(1) \quad \rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \left( \frac{P_0}{h} + \frac{E}{2L} \int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial s}(s, t) \right|^2 ds \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 0$$

was proposed by Kirchhoff [8]. Here  $h$  is the area of the cross section,  $L$  is the length of the string,  $\rho$  is the mass density,  $P_0$  is the initial tension and  $E$  is Young's modulus of a material. Another model for the motion of an elastic string was given in Carrier [2]. The mixed problem for (1) was studied by a number of authors, see Bernstein [1], Pohozaev [16], Lions [11], Ebihara–Medeiros–Milla Miranda [4], Menzala [14], D'ancona–Spagnolo [3] and their references.

Most authors considered homogeneous case, when  $\rho_0$ ,  $P_0$ ,  $h$  and  $E$  are constants. These restrictions allowed to obtain an a priori estimate independent of  $t$ . When the initial data are analytic functions, it is possible to prove a global in  $t$  existence theorem, see Bernstein [1], Pohozaev [16], and D'ancona–Spagnolo [3]. If the initial data are only from some Sobolev space, then the presence of a

---

*Received:* December 10, 1996.

\* Supported by CNPq-Brasil as Visiting Professor at the State University of Maringá.

frictional damping allows to prove the global existence theorem for small initial data, see Nishihara–Yamada [15].

In [11], Lions proposed to study the problem which modelled a nonhomogeneous material. In this case one does not have such a global estimate and, until now, we know only local existence results, see Frota [5]. Our goal in this paper is to consider a unilateral problem for equation (1), having a frictional damping, in a case of a nonhomogeneous material; that is,  $\rho$ ,  $P_0$ ,  $h$  and  $E$  are functions of  $x$ ,  $t$ ; and the initial tension  $P_0$  can be zero. We study the case when  $\rho(x, t)$  is only nonnegative. It means that the material is composite and in some places the density of the material is much times smaller than in others, or the string has holes inside.

We formulate our problem as follows: Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with a sufficiently smooth boundary  $\Gamma$ ,  $Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$ , and  $\Sigma = \Gamma \times (0, T)$ , where  $T$  is a finite positive number. We denote by  $\mathbb{K}$  the closed convex set of  $W_0^{2,2p+2}(\Omega)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , defined by

$$(2) \quad \mathbb{K} = \left\{ \sigma \in W_0^{2,2p+2}(\Omega); |\Delta\sigma(x)| \leq 1 \text{ and } \sigma(x) \geq 0 \text{ a.e. on } \Omega \right\}.$$

Given  $M: \bar{\Omega} \times [0, T] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\rho: \bar{\Omega} \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f: \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi_0: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\phi_1: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , find a function  $u = u(x, t)$  satisfying

$$(3) \quad \int_Q \left( \rho u_{tt} - M \left( x, t, \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial s_i}(s, t) \right|^2 ds \right) \Delta u + \alpha u_t - f \right) (v - u_t) dx dt \geq 0,$$

$\forall v \in \mathbb{K}$ ,

$$(4) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, t) \in \mathbb{K} \quad \text{a.e. on } [0, T]$$

and taking the following initial and boundary values

$$(5) \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma = \Gamma \times (0, T),$$

$$(6) \quad u(x, 0) = \phi_0(x), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0) = \phi_1(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

We observe that if  $u_t \in \text{int}(\mathbb{K}) = \text{interior of } \mathbb{K}$ , then  $u$  is a solution of the equation

$$\rho(x, t) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - M \left( x, t, \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial s_i}(s, t) \right|^2 ds \right) \Delta u + \alpha \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = f, \quad \text{in } Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$$

and from (4) the velocity  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$  is positive. Moreover, one can note that the restriction  $|\Delta u_t| \leq 1$ , does not have an explicit physical meaning. Nevertheless, it

implies boundedness of  $|u_t|$  and  $|\nabla u|$  in  $Q$ . This, in turn, gives boundedness of the internal energy of an elastic string whose motion is simulated by the above equation.

When a function  $M$  is strictly positive and does not depend on  $x$  and  $t$ ,  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\rho = 1$ , the unilateral problem (3)–(6) for  $n = 1$ , was studied by Lar’kin [9], where global existence and uniqueness theorems were proved. Later this results were generalized in Lar’kin–Medeiros [10], where  $\Omega$  was a square in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and in Frota [6] for dimensions  $n \geq 2$ . Local existence and uniqueness theorems for (3)–(6) were proved in Medeiros–Milla Miranda [13].

In this paper we prove the existence and uniqueness of a global solution to (3)–(6) without smallness conditions for the initial data and without geometrical restrictions for  $\Omega$ . We need a positive coefficient  $\alpha$  in order to have a sufficient regularity of solutions when  $\rho$  is degenerated. It is known that if  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\rho \geq 0$ , then the Cauchy problem even in a linear case is ill-posed. If  $\rho$  is strictly positive,  $\alpha$  can be equal to zero, see Frota–Lar’kin [7]. To prove a global existence theorem without smallness restrictions for the initial data and  $f$ , we use properties of a convex set to which belongs our solution. A choice of this set depends on growth properties of a function  $M(x, t, \|u(t)\|^2)$ .

Another problem for the degenerate Kirchhoff equation is to prove a global in  $t$  uniqueness theorem. In Ebihara–Medeiros–Milla Miranda [4], the local uniqueness theorem was proved. In order to establish a global uniqueness result, we again use properties of a convex set to which belongs our solution.

**2 – Penalized problem**

Let  $\beta$  be an operator from  $W_0^{2,2p+2}(\Omega)$  into the dual space  $W^{-2, \frac{2p+2}{2p+1}}(\Omega)$  defined by

$$\langle \beta(u), v \rangle = - \int_{\Omega} u^- v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (1 - |\Delta u|^{2p})^- \Delta u \Delta v \, dx ,$$

where  $h^-(x) = \max\{-h(x), 0\}$ . We can verify that  $\beta$  is a monotone and hemi-continuous operator,

(7)  $\beta(S)$  is bounded for each  $S$  bounded in  $W_0^{2,2p+2}(\Omega)$

and

(8)  $\beta(u) = 0 \iff u \in \mathbb{K} .$

Under this conditions, we have the following existence result for the penalized problem.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\rho \in C^1(\overline{Q})$ ,  $M \in C^1(\overline{Q} \times [0, \infty))$  be real functions and  $\alpha$  be a positive real number such that:

$$(9) \quad 0 \leq \rho(x, t), \quad \forall (x, t) \in \overline{Q} \quad \text{with } 0 < \rho_0 \leq \rho(x, 0), \quad \forall x \in \overline{\Omega},$$

$$(10) \quad 0 \leq M(x, t, \lambda), \quad \forall (x, t, \lambda) \in \overline{Q} \times [0, \infty),$$

$$(11) \quad M(x, t, \lambda) \leq C(1 + \lambda^p), \quad \forall (x, t, \lambda) \in \overline{Q} \times (0, \infty),$$

$$(12) \quad \left| \frac{\partial M}{\partial x_i}(x, t, \lambda) \right| \leq C(1 + \lambda^p), \quad \forall (x, t, \lambda) \in Q \times (0, \infty), \quad i = 1, \dots, n;$$

$$(13) \quad 0 < a_0 \leq 2\alpha - |\rho_t(x, t)|, \quad \forall (x, t) \in Q.$$

If  $f, f' \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ ,  $\phi_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ ,  $\phi_1 \in \text{int}(\mathbb{K})$ , then there exists  $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that for each  $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$  and  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a function  $u_{\nu\epsilon}$ :

$$(14) \quad \begin{cases} u_{\nu\epsilon} \in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)), \\ u'_{\nu\epsilon} \in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2p+2}(0, T; W_0^{2,2p+2}(\Omega))), \\ u''_{\nu\epsilon} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \end{cases}$$

satisfying the following initial boundary value problem

$$(15) \quad \begin{cases} \left( \rho_\nu(t) u''_{\nu\epsilon}(t) - M(t, \|u_{\nu\epsilon}(t)\|^2) \Delta u_{\nu\epsilon}(t) + \alpha u'_{\nu\epsilon}(t), v \right) + \\ \quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \langle \beta(u'_{\nu\epsilon}(t)), v \rangle = (f(t), v) \quad \text{a.e. on } [0, T], \quad \forall v \in W_0^{2,2p+2}(\Omega), \\ u_{\nu\epsilon}(0) = \phi_0(x), \quad u'_{\nu\epsilon}(0) = \phi_1(x). \end{cases}$$

Here  $|\cdot|, (\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\|\cdot\|, ((\cdot, \cdot))$  denote the norm and the inner product in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  respectively,  $\rho_\nu(x, t) = \frac{1}{\nu} + \rho(x, t)$  and  $\rho_0, C, a_0$  are positive constants.

**Proof:** Let  $(w_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a basis in  $W_0^{2,2p+2}(\Omega)$ , orthonormal in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we define approximate solutions

$$u_{\nu\epsilon m}(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^m g_{\nu\epsilon jm}(t) w_j(x),$$

where  $g_{\nu\epsilon jm}$  are solutions to the Cauchy problem for the following system:

$$(16) \quad \begin{cases} \left( \rho_\nu(t) u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(t), w_j \right) - \left( M(t, \|u_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^2) \Delta u_{\nu\epsilon m}(t), w_j \right) + \\ \quad + \alpha(u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t), w_j) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \langle \beta(u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)), w_j \rangle = (f(t), w_j), \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ u_{\nu\epsilon m}(0) = \sum_{j=1}^m (\phi_0, w_j) w_j = \phi_{0m}, \\ u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(0) = \sum_{j=1}^m (\phi_1, w_j) w_j = \phi_{1m}. \end{cases}$$

For  $\nu > 0$ , (16) can be reduced to a normal system of  $m$  ordinary differential equations, which has solutions at some interval  $[0, T_m]$ . This ensures the existence of approximate solutions  $u_{\nu\epsilon m}$ .

Let  $V_m = [w_1, \dots, w_m]$  be the  $m$ -dimensional subspace of  $W_0^{2,2p+2}(\Omega)$  spanned by  $w_1, \dots, w_m$ . Then we have the approximate equation

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left( \rho_\nu(t) u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(t), v \right) - \left( M(t, \|u_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^2) \Delta u_{\nu\epsilon m}(t), v \right) + \\ & \quad + \alpha(u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t), v) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \langle \beta(u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)), v \rangle = (f(t), v), \quad \forall v \in V_m. \end{aligned}$$

The next step is devoted to deriving a priori estimates of  $u_{\nu\epsilon m}$ . From now on  $C_i$ , for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , denotes a positive constant independent of  $\nu, \epsilon, m$  and  $t$ .

**A priori estimate 1.** Taking  $v = 2 u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)$  in (17), we obtain

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left( \rho_\nu(t), (u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t))^2 \right) + 2\alpha |u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)|^2 + \frac{2}{\epsilon} \langle \beta(u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)), u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t) \rangle = \\ & = \left( \rho'_\nu(t), (u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t))^2 \right) + 2 \int_\Omega M(x, t, \|u_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^2) \Delta u_{\nu\epsilon m}(x, t) u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(x, t) dx \\ & \quad + 2(f(t), u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Using integration by parts, (11) and (12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| 2 \int_\Omega M(x, t, \|u_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^2) \Delta u_{\nu\epsilon m}(x, t) u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(x, t) dx \right| \leq \\ & \leq C_1 \left[ \|u_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^{2p+2} + \|u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^2 + \|u_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^{2p} \|u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Young's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^{2p} \|u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^2 &\leq \frac{p}{p+1} \|u_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^{2p+2} + \frac{1}{p+1} \|u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^{2p+2}, \\ \|u_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^2 &\leq \frac{p}{p+1} + \frac{1}{p+1} \|u_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^{2p+2}, \\ \|u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^2 &\leq \frac{p}{p+1} + \frac{1}{p+1} \|u_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^{2p+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(19) \quad \left| 2 \int_{\Omega} M(x, t, \|u_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^2) \Delta u_{\nu\epsilon m}(x, t) u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(x, t) dx \right| \leq C_2 + C_3 \|u_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^{2p+2} + C_4 \|u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^{2p+2}.$$

Since  $\rho \in C^1(\bar{Q})$ , we conclude

$$(20) \quad \left| (\rho_{\nu}(t), (u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t))^2) \right| \leq C_5 + C_6 \|u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^{2p+2}$$

and

$$(21) \quad 2 (f(t), u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)) \leq C_7 + |f(t)|^2 + C_8 \|u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^{2p+2}.$$

From (18)–(21) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (\rho_{\nu}(t), (u_{\nu\epsilon m}(t))^2) + \alpha |u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)|^2 + \frac{2}{\epsilon} \langle \beta(u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)), u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t) \rangle &\leq \\ &\leq C_9 + |f(t)|^2 + C_{10} |\Delta u_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)|^{2p+2} + C_{11} |\Delta u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)|^{2p+2} \\ &\leq C_{12} + |f(t)|^2 + C_{13} |\Delta u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)|^{2p+2}. \end{aligned}$$

After integration over  $t$ , we obtain

$$(22) \quad (\rho_{\nu}(t), (u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t))^2) + 2\alpha \int_0^t |u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)|^2 ds + \frac{2}{\epsilon} \int_0^t \langle \beta(u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)), u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(s) \rangle ds \leq C_{14} + C_{13} \int_0^t |\Delta u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)|^{2p+2} ds.$$

Using the identity  $h = h^+ - h^-$ , we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} (|\Delta u'|^{2p+2} - 1) &= (|\Delta u'|^2 - 1) - |\Delta u'|^2 (1 - |\Delta u'|^{2p}) \\ &= (|\Delta u'|^2 - 1) - |\Delta u'|^2 [(1 - |\Delta u'|^{2p})^+ - (1 - |\Delta u'|^{2p})^-] \\ &\leq (|\Delta u'|^2 - 1) + (1 - |\Delta u'|^{2p})^- |\Delta u'|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - |\Delta u'|^{2p})^- + (1 - |\Delta u'|^{2p})^- |\Delta u'|^2 \\ &\leq 2(1 - |\Delta u'|^{2p})^- |\Delta u'|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(23) \quad \|\Delta u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|_{L^{2p+2}(\Omega)}^{2p+2} - \mu(\Omega) \leq 2\langle \beta(u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)), u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t) \rangle .$$

We can rewrite this as follows

$$\left[ \frac{1}{2C} |\Delta u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)|^{2p+2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|_{L^{2p+2}(\Omega)}^{2p+2} \right] - \mu(\Omega) \leq 2\langle \beta(u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)), u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t) \rangle ,$$

hence, after integration in time from 0 to  $t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left\{ C_{15} \left[ \int_0^t |\Delta u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)|^{2p+2} ds + \int_0^t \|\Delta u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)\|_{L^{2p+2}(\Omega)}^{2p+2} ds \right] - \mu(\Omega) \int_0^t ds \right\} \leq \\ \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon} \int_0^t \langle \beta(u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)), u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(s) \rangle ds . \end{aligned}$$

From this inequality and (22), one can see

$$(24) \quad \int_0^t |\Delta u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)|^{2p+2} ds + \int_0^t \|\Delta u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)\|_{L^{2p+2}(\Omega)}^{2p+2} ds \leq \\ \leq C_{16} + \epsilon C_{17} \int_0^t |\Delta u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)|^{2p+2} ds ,$$

so it can be rewritten as follows

$$(1 - \epsilon C_{17}) \int_0^t |\Delta u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)|^{2p+2} ds + \int_0^t \|\Delta u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)\|_{L^{2p+2}(\Omega)}^{2p+2} ds \leq C_{16} .$$

If we choose  $\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{C_{17}}$ , then, for  $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$ , we have  $(1 - \epsilon C_{17}) > 0$ , and consequently

$$(25) \quad \int_0^t |\Delta u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)|^{2p+2} ds \leq C_{18} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t \|\Delta u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)\|_{L^{2p+2}(\Omega)}^{2p+2} ds \leq C_{19} .$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta u(t)|^{2p+2} &= |\Delta u(0)|^{2p+2} + \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} [|\Delta u(s)|^2]^{p+1} ds \\ &\leq \left[ |\Delta u(0)|^{2p+2} + \int_0^T |\Delta u'(t)|^{2p+2} dt \right] + (2p+1) \int_0^t |\Delta u(s)|^{2p+2} ds \\ &= C_{20} + (2p+1) \int_0^t |\Delta u(s)|^{2p+2} ds . \end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's Lemma

$$|\Delta u(t)|^{2p+2} \leq |\Delta u(0)|^{2p+2} e^{(2p+2)T} + e^{(2p+2)T} \int_0^t |\Delta u'(t)|^{2p+2} dt ,$$

therefore, we have from (25)

$$(26) \quad |\Delta u_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)| \leq C_{21} ,$$

$$(27) \quad (\rho_\nu(t), (u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t))^2) + \alpha \int_0^t |u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)|^2 ds + \frac{2}{\epsilon} \int_0^t \langle \beta(u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)), u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(s) \rangle ds \leq C_{22} ,$$

$$(28) \quad \|u_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^2 \leq C_{23} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)\|^2 \leq C_{24} ,$$

$$(29) \quad \|\beta(u'_{\nu\epsilon m})\|_{L^{\frac{2p+2}{2p+1}}(0,T;W^{-2,\frac{2p+2}{2p+1}}(\Omega))} \leq C_{25} .$$

**A priori estimate 2.** Differentiating (17) with respect to  $t$ , taking  $v = 2u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(t)$  and using monotonicity of  $\beta$ , we come to the inequality

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\rho_\nu(t), (u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(t))^2) + \int_0^t \int_\Omega (2\alpha + \rho'(x, s)) (u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(x, s))^2 dx ds \leq \\ & \leq (\rho_\nu(0), (u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(0))^2) + 2 \int_0^t (M'(s, \|u_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)\|^2) \Delta u_{\nu\epsilon m}(s), u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)) ds \\ & \quad + 2 \int_0^t (f'(s), u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)) ds + 2 \int_0^t \left( \frac{\partial M}{\partial \lambda}(s, \|u_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)\|^2) \Delta u_{\nu\epsilon m}(s), u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(s) \right) ds \\ & \quad + 2 \int_0^t (M(s, \|u_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)\|^2) \Delta u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(s), u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)) ds . \end{aligned}$$

Now we choose a real number  $\gamma$  such that

$$(31) \quad 0 < \gamma < \frac{a_0}{4} ,$$

where  $a_0$  is given by (13). Taking into account the first estimate, we can see

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2 \int_0^t (M'(s, \|u_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)\|^2) \Delta u_{\nu\epsilon m}(s), u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)) ds \leq \\ & \leq \int_0^t \int_\Omega \frac{1}{\gamma} [M'(x, s, \|u_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)\|^2) \Delta u_{\nu\epsilon m}(x, s)]^2 dx ds + \gamma \int_0^t |u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)|^2 ds \\ & \leq C_{26} + \gamma \int_0^t |u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)|^2 ds , \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2 \int_0^t \left( \frac{\partial M}{\partial \lambda}(s, \|u_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)\|^2) \Delta u_{\nu\epsilon m}(s), u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(s) \right) ds \leq \\ & \leq C_{27} + \gamma \int_0^t |u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)|^2 ds , \end{aligned}$$

$$(34) \quad 2 \int_0^t \left( M(s, \|u_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)\|^2) \Delta u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(s), u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(s) \right) ds \leq \\ \leq C_{28} + \gamma \int_0^t |u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)|^2 ds ,$$

$$(35) \quad 2 \int_0^t \left( f'(s), u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(s) \right) ds \leq C_{29} + \gamma \int_0^t |u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)|^2 ds .$$

Since  $\phi_1 \in \text{int}(\mathbb{K})$ , we have  $\langle \beta(\phi_{1m}), \varphi \rangle = 0, \forall \varphi \in W_0^{2,2p+2}(\Omega)$  and for  $m$  sufficiently large. Then taking  $t = 0$  in (17), we get

$$\left( \rho_\nu(0), (u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(0))^2 \right) \leq C_{30} |u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(0)| .$$

From this and (9), we have

$$\rho_0 |u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(0)|^2 \leq C_{31} |u'_{\nu\epsilon m}(0)| ,$$

and, consequently,

$$(36) \quad |u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(0)| \leq C_{32} .$$

From (30), (32)–(36), we obtain

$$\left( \rho_\nu(t), (u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(t))^2 \right) + \int_0^t \int_\Omega \left( 2\alpha + \rho'(x, s) \right) (u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(x, s))^2 dx ds \leq \\ \leq C_{33} + 4\gamma \int_0^t |u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)|^2 ds .$$

This inequality and (13) give

$$(a_0 - 4\gamma) \int_0^t |u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)|^2 ds \leq C_{34} ,$$

hence, from (38), we conclude

$$(37) \quad \int_0^t |u''_{\nu\epsilon m}(s)|^2 ds \leq C_{35} .$$

The a priori estimates obtained imply the existence of a subsequence of  $(u_{\nu\epsilon m})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ , which we still denote in the same way, and functions  $u_{\nu\epsilon}$  and  $\chi_{\nu\epsilon}$  such that

$$\begin{cases} u_{\nu\epsilon m} \xrightarrow{*} u_{\nu\epsilon} & \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)) , \\ u'_{\nu\epsilon m} \rightharpoonup u'_{\nu\epsilon} & \text{in } L^{2p+2}(0, T; W_0^{2,2p+2}(\Omega)) , \\ u'_{\nu\epsilon m} \xrightarrow{*} u'_{\nu\epsilon} & \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)) , \\ u''_{\nu\epsilon m} \rightharpoonup u''_{\nu\epsilon} & \text{in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) , \\ \beta(u'_{\nu\epsilon m}) \rightharpoonup \chi_{\nu\epsilon} & \text{in } L^{\frac{2p+2}{2p+1}}(0, T; W^{-2, \frac{2p+2}{2p+1}}(\Omega)) . \end{cases}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\nu\epsilon m} &\rightarrow u_{\nu\epsilon} && \text{in } L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)) , \\ u'_{\nu\epsilon m} &\rightarrow u'_{\nu\epsilon m} && \text{in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) . \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $m$  tend to  $\infty$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_\nu(t) u''_{\nu\epsilon}(t) - M(t, \|u_{\nu\epsilon}(t)\|^2) \Delta u_{\nu\epsilon}(t) + \alpha u'_{\nu\epsilon}(t), v) + \langle \chi_{\nu\epsilon}(t), v \rangle &= (f(t), v), \\ &\text{a.e. on } [0, T], \quad \forall v \in W_0^{2, 2p+2}(\Omega) . \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,  $u_{\nu\epsilon}(t)$  satisfies the initial data (15). Using monotonicity and hemicontinuity of  $\beta$ , we can prove (see Lions [12]) that

$$\chi_{\nu\epsilon}(t) = \beta(u'_{\nu\epsilon}(t)) \quad \text{in } L^{\frac{2p+2}{2p+1}}(0, T; W^{-2, \frac{2p+2}{2p+1}}(\Omega)) .$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. ■

### 3 – The main result

**Theorem 2.** *Under conditions of Theorem 1, there exists a function  $u$ :*

$$(38) \quad \begin{cases} u \in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)) , \\ u' \in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^{2p+2}(0, T; W_0^{2, 2p+2}(\Omega)) , \\ u'(t) \in \mathbb{K} \quad \text{a.e. on } [0, T] , \\ u'' \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) , \end{cases}$$

which is a solution to the following problem

$$(39) \quad \begin{cases} (\rho(t) u''(t) - M(t, \|u(t)\|^2) \Delta u(t) + \alpha u'(t) - f(t), v - u'(t)) \geq 0 , \\ \forall v \in \mathbb{K}, \quad \text{a.e. on } [0, T] , \\ u(0) = \phi_0, \quad u'(0) = \phi_1 . \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if

$$(40) \quad \phi_0(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega \quad \text{with } \|\phi_0\| > 0 ,$$

$$(41) \quad M(x, t, 0) = 0 \quad \text{and } M(x, t, \lambda) > 0, \quad (x, t, \lambda) \in \Omega \times [0, T] \times (0, \infty) ,$$

then this solution is uniquely defined.

**Proof:** For each  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ , we have a function  $u_{\nu\epsilon}$  given by Theorem 1. Therefore,  $u_{\nu\epsilon}$  satisfies (14)–(15), which imply the following estimates

$$(42) \quad |\Delta u_{\nu\epsilon}(t)| \leq \kappa_1 ,$$

$$(43) \quad \|u_{\nu\epsilon}(t)\| \leq \kappa_2 ,$$

$$(44) \quad \|u'_{\nu\epsilon}(t)\| \leq \kappa_3 ,$$

$$(45) \quad \int_0^t \|\Delta u'_{\nu\epsilon}(s)\|_{L^{2p+2}(\Omega)}^{2p+2} ds \leq \kappa_4 ,$$

$$(46) \quad \int_0^t |u''_{\nu\epsilon}|^2 ds \leq \kappa_5 ,$$

where  $\kappa_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, 5$ , are positive constants independent of  $\nu, \epsilon$  and  $t$ .

If we fix  $\nu < \infty$ , letting  $\epsilon$  tend to zero, taking into account (42)–(46) and the monotonicity of  $\beta$ , then we find a function  $u_\nu$ , as a limit of a subsequence  $(u_{\nu\epsilon})_{\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)}$ , such that

$$\begin{cases} u_\nu \in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)) , \\ u'_\nu \in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{2p+2}(0, T; W_0^{2,2p+2}(\Omega))) \text{ and } u'_\nu(t) \in \mathbb{K} \text{ a.e. on } [0, T] , \\ u''_\nu \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) , \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \left( \rho_\nu(t) u''_\nu(t) - M(t, \|u_\nu(t)\|^2) \Delta u_\nu(t) + \alpha u'_\nu(t) - f(t), v - u'_\nu(t) \right) \geq 0 \\ \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{K}, \quad \text{a.e. on } [0, T] . \\ u(0) = \phi_0, \quad u'(0) = \phi_1 . \end{cases}$$

The sequence  $(u_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$  has the estimates (42)–(46). Thus, taking the limit in a subsequence as  $\nu$  tend to  $\infty$ , we find a function  $u$  which satisfies (38) and (39). This completes the proof of the existence. To prove uniqueness, let  $u$  and  $z$  be two distinct functions satisfying (38) and (39). Then  $\psi = u - z$  satisfies the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \rho(t) (\psi'(t))^2 \right) - 2 \int_0^t \left( M(s, \|u(s)\|^2) \Delta \psi(s), \psi'(s) \right) ds + 2 \alpha \int_0^t |\psi'(s)|^2 ds \leq \\ & \leq \int_0^t \left( \rho'(s), (\psi'(s))^2 \right) ds + 2 \int_0^t \left( \left[ M(t, \|u(s)\|^2) - M(t, \|z(s)\|^2) \right] \Delta z(s), \psi'(s) \right) ds . \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 -2 \int_0^t \left( M(s, \|u(s)\|^2) \Delta \psi(s), \psi'(s) \right) ds &= \\
 &= 2 \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} M(x, s, \|u(s)\|^2) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}(x, s) \frac{\partial \psi'}{\partial x_i}(x, s) dx ds \\
 &\quad + 2 \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial M}{\partial x_i}(x, s, \|u(s)\|^2) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}(x, s) \psi(x, s) dx ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 2 \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} M(x, s, \|u(s)\|^2) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}(x, s) \frac{\partial \psi'}{\partial x_i}(x, s) dx ds &= \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t M(x, s, \|u(s)\|^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}(x, s) \right)^2 ds dx \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( M(t, \|u(t)\|^2), \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}(t) \right)^2 \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \left( M'(s, \|u(s)\|^2), \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}(s) \right)^2 \right) ds \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \left( \frac{\partial M}{\partial \lambda}(s, \|u(s)\|^2), \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}(s) \right)^2 \right) 2 \left( (u'(s), u(s)) \right) ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\rho(t), (\psi'(t))^2) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( M(t, \|u(t)\|^2), \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}(t) \right)^2 \right) + 2\alpha \int_0^t |\psi'(s)|^2 ds &\leq \\
 \leq -2 \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial M}{\partial x_i}(x, s, \|u(s)\|^2) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}(x, s) \psi'(x, s) dx ds & \\
 + 2 \int_0^t \left( \left[ M(s, \|u(s)\|^2) - M(s, \|z(s)\|^2) \right] \Delta z(s), \psi'(s) \right) ds & \\
 + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \left( M'(s, \|u(s)\|^2), \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}(s) \right)^2 \right) ds + \int_0^t (\rho'(s), (\psi'(s))^2) ds & \\
 + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \left( \frac{\partial M}{\partial \lambda}(s, \|u(s)\|^2), \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}(s) \right)^2 \right) 2 \left( (u'(s), u(s)) \right) ds. &
 \end{aligned}$$

Assumption (40) implies that all the solutions  $u$  of (38) and (39) have the property

$$(47) \quad \|u(t)\| > 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

see Frota-Lar'kin [7]. By virtue of (47) and (41), we find

$$0 < m_0 = \min\{M(x, t, \|u(t)\|^2); (x, t) \in \overline{Q}\},$$

from which it follows

$$m_0 \|\psi(t)\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left( M(t, \|u(t)\|^2), \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}(t) \right)^2 \right), \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho(t), (\psi'(t))^2) + m_0 \|\psi(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} [2\alpha - \rho'(x, s)] (\psi'(x, s))^2 dx ds \leq \\ \leq 2 \int_0^t \left( [M(s, \|u(s)\|^2) - M(s, \|z(s)\|^2)] \Delta z(s), \psi'(s) \right) ds \\ - 2 \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial M}{\partial x_i}(x, s, \|u(s)\|^2) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}(x, s) \psi'(x, s) ds \\ + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \left( M'(s, \|u(s)\|^2), \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}(s) \right)^2 \right) ds \\ + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t 2((u'(s), u(s))) \left( \frac{\partial M}{\partial \lambda}(s, \|u(s)\|^2), \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}(s) \right)^2 \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let us analyze the right-hand side of this inequality. We choose  $\theta$  such that

$$(48) \quad 0 < \theta < \frac{a_0}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$(49) \quad \begin{aligned} 2 \int_0^t \left( [M(s, \|u(s)\|^2) - M(s, \|z(s)\|^2)] \Delta z(s), \psi'(s) \right) ds \leq \\ \leq \frac{C}{\theta} \int_0^t \|\psi(s)\|^2 ds + \theta \int_0^t |\psi'(s)|^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

$$(50) \quad 2 \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial M}{\partial x_i}(x, s, \|u(s)\|^2) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}(x, s) \psi'(x, s) dx ds \leq \\ \leq \frac{C}{\theta} \int_0^t \|\psi(s)\|^2 ds + \theta \int_0^t |\psi'(s)|^2 ds ,$$

$$(51) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \left( M'(s, \|u(s)\|^2), \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}(s) \right)^2 \right) ds \leq C \int_0^t \|\psi(s)\|^2 ds ,$$

$$(52) \quad 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \left( (u'(s), u(s)) \right) \left( \frac{\partial M}{\partial \lambda}(s, \|u(s)\|^2), \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i}(s) \right)^2 \right) ds \leq \\ \leq C \int_0^t \|\psi(s)\|^2 ds ,$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant.

From (13) and (49)–(52), we obtain

$$\left( \rho(t), (\psi'(t))^2 \right) + m_0 \|\psi(t)\|^2 + (a_0 - 2\theta) \int_0^t |\psi'(s)|^2 ds \leq C \int_0^t \|\psi(s)\|^2 ds .$$

This inequality, (48) and Gronwall's Lemma give  $\|\psi(t)\| \leq 0$  that implies  $u = z$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2. ■

### REFERENCES

- [1] BERNSTEIN, S. – Sur une classe d'équations fonctionnelles aux dérivées partielles, *Isv. Acad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Math.*, 4 (1940), 17–26.
- [2] CARRIER, G.F. – On the nonlinear vibration problem of the elastic string, *Q. J. Appl. Math.*, 3 (1945), 157–165.
- [3] D'ANCONA, P. and SPAGNOLO, S. – Global solvability for the degenerate Kirchhoff equation with real analytic data, *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 108 (1992), 247–262.
- [4] EBIHARA, Y., MEDEIROS, L.A. and MILLA MIRANDA, M. – Local solutions for a nonlinear degenerated hyperbolic equation, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 10 (1986), 27–40.
- [5] FROTA, C.L. – Nonlocal solutions of a nonlinear hyperbolic partial differential equation, *Portugaliae Mathematica*, 51 (1994), 455–473.
- [6] FROTA, C.L. – Global solutions for a nonlinear unilateral problem, *An. Acad. Bras. Ci.*, 66 (1994), 1–11.
- [7] FROTA, C.L. and LAR'KIN, N.A. – On global existence and uniqueness for the unilateral problem associated to the degenerated Kirchhoff equation, *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods & Applications*, 28(3) (1997), 443–452.
- [8] KIRCHHOFF, G. – *Vorlesungen über Mechanik*, Tauber leipzig, 1883.
- [9] LAR'KIN, N.A. – The unilateral problem for the nonlocal, quasilinear, hyperbolic equation of the theory of elasticity, *Sov. Phys. Dokl.*, 29(2) (1984), 110–112.

- [10] LAR'KIN, N.A. and MEDEIROS, L.A. – *On a variational inequality for the theory of the elasticity*, in “Well posed boundary value problem for non classical equation”, Novosibirsk, USSR (in Russian), 1991, pp. 6–11.
- [11] LIONS, J.L. – *On some questions in boundary value problem of Mathematical Physics*, in “Contemporary developments in Continuum Mechanics and Partial Differential Equations”, North Holland, Math. Studies (G.M. de la Penha and L.A. Medeiros, Eds.), 1977.
- [12] LIONS, J.L. – *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod, Gauthier-Villars, 1968.
- [13] MEDEIROS, L.A. and MILLA MIRANDA, M. – Local solutions for a nonlinear unilateral problem, *Revue Roumaine de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, XXXI(5) (1986), 371–382.
- [14] MENZALA, G.P. – On global classical solutions of a nonlinear wave equation, *Applicable Analysis*, 10 (1980), 179–195.
- [15] NISHIHARA, K. and YAMADA, Y. – On global solutions of some degenerated quasilinear hyperbolic equations with dissipative terms, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, 33 (1990), 151–159.
- [16] POHOZAEV, S. – On a class of quasilinear hyperbolic equations, *Math. Sbornik*, 96 (1975), 152–166.

Cícero Lopes Frota,  
Departamento de Matemática – UEM,  
Av. Colombo, 5790, 87.020-900, Maringá, PR. – BRASIL

and

Nickolai Andreevitch Lar'kin,  
The Institute of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics,  
Novosibirsk – 90, 630090 – RUSSIA