

UPPER BOUND ON HANKEL DETERMINANT FOR BOUNDED TURNING FUNCTION ASSOCIATED WITH SĂLĂGEAN-DIFFERENCE OPERATOR

A. Naik and T. Panigrahi

Abstract. By making use of Sălăgean-difference operator we introduce a new function class $\mathcal{R}_\lambda^\beta(\alpha)$ which generalizes the class of functions of bounded turning of order alpha. We investigate upper bounds on the third Hankel determinant for the class $\mathcal{R}_\lambda^\beta(\alpha)$. Our results generalize the results of earlier researchers in this direction.

1 Introduction and Definitions

Let \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} be denote the set of real and complex numbers respectively. Denote by \mathcal{A} , the class of of all functions h of the form

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ satisfying condition $h(0) = h'(0) - 1 = 0$. Let \mathcal{S} be the subclass of \mathcal{A} consist of univalent functions. A function $h \in \mathcal{A}$ said to be of bounded turning if and only if $\Re(h'(z)) > 0$ for any $z \in \Delta$. We denote such class of functions by \mathcal{R} . For a function $h \in \mathcal{A}$, we define a linear operator $D_\lambda^\beta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ as follows:

$$D_\lambda^0 h(z) = h(z),$$

$$D_\lambda^1 h(z) = zh'(z) + \frac{\lambda}{2}[h(z) - h(-z) - 2z] \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[n + \frac{\lambda}{2} (1 + (-1)^{n+1}) \right] a_n z^n,$$

$$D_\lambda^2 h(z) = D^1(D_\lambda^1 h(z)).$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 30C45; Secondary 30C50

Keywords: Univalent function, Hankel determinant, Sălăgean-difference operator, Functions of bounded turning

<http://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>

In general, for $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$,

$$D_\lambda^\beta h(z) = D_\lambda^1(D_\lambda^{\beta-1}h(z)) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[n + \frac{\lambda}{2}(1 + (-1)^{n+1}) \right]^\beta a_n z^n \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (1.2)$$

The operator D_λ^β is known as the Sălăgean-difference operator in literature (see [17, 18]). This operator is a modified Dunkel operator of complex variables (see [8, 16]). When $\lambda = 0$, $D_\lambda^\beta = D_0^\beta = D^\beta$ is known as the Sălăgean differential operator (see [44]).

Example 1. *Let*

$$h(z) = ze^{\frac{z}{2}} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{2^{n-1}(n-1)!}.$$

Then

$$D_1^1 h(z) = z + z^2 + \frac{z^3}{2} + \frac{z^4}{12} + \frac{z^5}{64} + \dots.$$

Example 2. *Let*

$$h(z) = z \left(1 - \frac{z}{5}\right)^{-2} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n}{5^{n-1}} z^n.$$

Then

$$D_1^1 h(z) = z + \frac{4}{5}z^2 + \frac{12}{25}z^3 + \frac{16}{125}z^4 + \dots.$$

In 1976, Noonan and Thomas [32] defined q^{th} Hankel determinant of function h for $q \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$ as

$$H_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} \cdots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} \cdots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} \cdots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix}.$$

The Hankel determinant plays an important role in the study of singularities (see [7, 10]). It is useful in showing that a function of bounded characteristic in Δ , i.e. a function which is a ratio of two bounded analytic functions with its Laurent series around the origin having integral coefficients, is rational (see [5]). Pommerenke [37] proved that the Hankel determinant of univalent functions satisfy $|H_q(n)| < Kn^{-(\frac{1}{2}+\beta)q+\frac{3}{2}}$, ($n = 1, 2, \dots$; $q = 2, 3, \dots$), where $\beta > \frac{1}{4000}$ and K depends only on q . Later, Hayman [15] proved that $|H_2(n)| < An^{\frac{1}{2}}$, ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), (A is an absolute constant) for areally mean univalent functions. The study of $|H_q(n)|$ for various subfamilies of \mathcal{A} are of interest for many researchers (see [11, 32, 38]). Finding the upper bounds of the Hankel determinants whose elements are the coefficients of univalent and multivalent functions for different values of q and n is an interesting

area of research in the geometric function theory. For $q = 2$, $n = 1$, $a_1 = 1$ and $q = n = 2$, $a_1 = 1$, the Hankel determinant respectively reduce to

$$H_2(1) = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_2 & a_3 \end{vmatrix} = a_3 - a_2^2$$

and

$$H_2(2) = \begin{vmatrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{vmatrix} = a_2a_4 - a_3^2.$$

The Hankel determinant $H_2(1)$ is popularly known as the Fekete-Szegő functional. Fekete-Szegő [12] gave a sharp estimate of non-linear functional $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ for μ real. It is a combination of the two coefficients which describes the area problem posed earlier by Gronwall [14]. The problem of calculating $\max_{h \in \mathcal{F}} |H_2(1)|$ for various compact subfamilies \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{A} was considered by various researchers (see [4, 22, 23, 29, 34, 35, 36]).

Recent research has focused on $H_2(2)$ for various subclasses of \mathcal{S} . Janteng et al. [19, 20] derived the exact bounds for $H_2(2)$ for the class of starlike functions (\mathcal{S}^*), the class of convex functions (\mathcal{C}) and the class of functions whose derivatives have positive real parts (\mathcal{RT}) in Δ . The bounds obtained for these three classes are $|H_2(2)| \leq 1$, $|H_2(2)| \leq \frac{1}{8}$, $|H_2(2)| \leq \frac{4}{9}$ respectively. Lee et al. [25] investigated $H_2(2)$ in the general class $\mathcal{S}^*(\phi)$ of starlike functions with respect to a given function ϕ and in particular obtained the results when $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$, the class of starlike functions of order α ($|H_2(2)| \leq (1 - \alpha)^2$), the class \mathcal{S}_L^* of lemniscate starlike functions ($|H_2(2)| \leq \frac{1}{16}$) and the class \mathcal{S}_β^* of strongly starlike functions of order β ($|H_2(2)| \leq \beta^2$). Krishna and Ramreddy [24] generalized the result of Janteng et al. [20] giving the sharp bound of $H_2(2)$ in the class of starlike and convex functions of α .

Zaprawa [50] showed that if $f \in \mathcal{T}$, the class of typically real functions, then $|H_2(2)| \leq 9$. Ramreddy and Krishna [40] obtained the Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions with respect to symmetric points. Using Owa and Srivastava operator [33] Ω_z^δ ($0 \leq \delta \leq 1$), Mishra and Gochhayat [28] introduced the class

$$\mathcal{R}_\delta(\gamma, \alpha) = \left\{ h \in \mathcal{A} : \Re \left(e^{i\gamma} \frac{\Omega_z^\delta h(z)}{z} \right) > \alpha \cos \gamma, \quad (|\gamma| < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1) \right\}$$

and obtained the sharp upper bounds for $H_2(2)$. Apart from these, many researchers obtained the upper bounds for various subclasses of univalent analytic functions (see [2, 6, 21, 30, 42, 43, 47, 49]).

In this paper, we focus on third Hankel determinant for $q = 3$ and $n = 1$, denoted by $H_3(1)$ given by

$$H_3(1) = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ a_3 & a_4 & a_5 \end{vmatrix}$$

For $h \in \mathcal{A}$ and $a_1 = 1$, we have

$$H_3(1) = a_3(a_2a_4 - a_3^2) - a_4(a_4 - a_2a_3) + a_5(a_3 - a_2^2).$$

An application of triangle inequality yields

$$|H_3(1)| \leq |a_3||a_2a_4 - a_3^2| - |a_4||a_4 - a_2a_3| + |a_5||a_3 - a_2^2|. \quad (1.3)$$

Recently, Babalola (see[1]) obtained the the sharp upper bound of $H_3(1)$ for the functions belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}^*, \mathcal{C}$ and \mathcal{RT} . Krishna et al. [48] introduced the generalized class $\mathcal{RT}(\alpha)$ as $\Re(h'(z)) > \alpha$ ($z \in \Delta$) and obtained the bound on $H_3(1)$. Further, Bansal et al. [3] and Raza and Malik [41] obtained the bound $H_3(1)$ for certain subclass of univalent functions. Very recently, making use of Hohlov operator, Gochhayat et al.[13] introduced the class $\mathcal{R}_{a,b}^c$ and obtained the sharp bounds for $H_2(2)$ and $H_3(1)$ in terms of Gauss hypergeometric function. For recent results on third Hankel determinant see [31, 39, 45, 46].

Motivated by the above researchers, we introduced the subclass of univalent function by making use of Sălăgean-difference operator D_λ^β as follows:

Definition 3. A function $h \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1.1) is in the class $\mathcal{R}_\lambda^\beta(\alpha)$ if it satisfy the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{D_\lambda^\beta h(z)}{z} \right] > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \Delta). \quad (1.4)$$

It may be noted that by taking $\lambda = 0$ and $\beta = 1$ the class $\mathcal{R}_0^1(\alpha) = \mathcal{RT}(\alpha)$ studied by Krishna et al. [48] (also, see [28]). Also, letting $\lambda = 0$, $\beta = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$ we obtain the class \mathcal{RT} studied by Babalola [1]. Further, if we take $\beta = 0$ in the class $\mathcal{R}_\lambda^\beta(\alpha)$, we get the class $\mathcal{R}_0(0, \alpha) = \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ studied by Mishra and Gochhayat [28]. In this paper, following a method of classical analysis derived by Libera and Zlotkiewicz [26, 27], we obtain the upper bounds of $H_3(1)$ for the function belonging to the class $\mathcal{R}_\lambda^\beta(\alpha)$.

2 Preliminary Lemmas

Let \mathcal{P} denote the class of functions denoted by p such that

$$p(z) = 1 + d_1z + d_2z^2 + \dots \quad (2.1)$$

which are regular in the open unit disk Δ and satisfy $\Re(p(z)) > 0$ for any $z \in \Delta$. Here $p(z)$ is called the Caratheodory function (see[9]).

To prove our main results we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4. (see [9]) If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is of the form (2.1), then

$$|d_n| \leq 2 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (2.2)$$

The equality holds for the function

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z} = 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n.$$

Lemma 5. (see [26, 27]) If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is of the form (2.1), then

$$2d_2 = d_1^2 + (4 - d_1^2)x, \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$4d_3 = d_1^3 + 2(4 - d_1^2)d_1x - (4 - d_1^2)d_1x^2 + 2(4 - d_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z, \quad (2.4)$$

for some complex numbers x, z satisfying $|x| \leq 1$ and $|z| \leq 1$.

3 Main Results

Theorem 6. Let the function h given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{R}_\lambda^\beta(\alpha)$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2| &\leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{2^\beta}, & |a_3| &\leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(3+\lambda)^\beta}, \\ |a_4| &\leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{4^\beta}, & |a_5| &\leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(5+\lambda)^\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $h \in \mathcal{R}_\lambda^\beta(\alpha)$. Then there exists an analytic function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ in the unit disk Δ with $p(0) = 1$ and $\Re(p(z)) > 0$ such that

$$\frac{D_\lambda^\beta h(z)}{z} = \alpha + (1-\alpha)p(z). \quad (3.1)$$

Using the series expansion for $D_\lambda^\beta h(z)$ and $p(z)$ in (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &1 + 2^\beta a_2 z + (3+\lambda)^\beta a_3 z^2 + 4^\beta a_4 z^3 + (5+\lambda)^\beta a_5 z^4 + \dots \\ &= 1 + (1-\alpha)d_1 z + (1-\alpha)d_2 z^2 + (1-\alpha)d_3 z^3 + (1-\alpha)d_4 z^4 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Equating the coefficient of various powers of z , z^2 , z^3 and z^4 on both the sides of (3.2), we obtain

$$a_2 = \frac{(1-\alpha)d_1}{2^\beta}, \quad a_3 = \frac{(1-\alpha)d_2}{(3+\lambda)^\beta}, \quad a_4 = \frac{(1-\alpha)d_3}{4^\beta}, \quad a_5 = \frac{(1-\alpha)d_4}{(5+\lambda)^\beta}. \quad (3.3)$$

Application of triangle inequality to (3.3) and followed by the Lemma 4 give the desire estimate. This completes the proof of Theorem 6. \square

Remark 7. Taking $\lambda = 0$, $\beta = 1$ in the above theorem we obtain the coefficient bounds for the class $\mathcal{RT}(\alpha)$ as $|a_n| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{n}$ ($n \geq 2$).

Theorem 8. Let the function $h(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{R}_\lambda^\beta(\alpha)$. Then

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{4(1-\alpha)^2}{(3+\lambda)^{2\beta}}.$$

Proof. Putting the values of a_2 , a_3 and a_4 from (3.3) in the functional $|a_2a_4 - a_3^2|$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| &= \left| \frac{(1-\alpha)d_1}{2^\beta} \cdot \frac{(1-\alpha)d_3}{4^\beta} - \frac{(1-\alpha)^2d_2^2}{(3+\lambda)^{2\beta}} \right| \\ &= \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{2^{3\beta}(3+\lambda)^{2\beta}} \left| (3+\lambda)^{2\beta}d_1d_3 - 2^{3\beta}d_2^2 \right| \\ &= \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{2^{3\beta}(3+\lambda)^{2\beta}} \left| e_1d_1d_3 - e_2d_2^2 \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$e_1 = (3+\lambda)^{2\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad e_2 = 2^{3\beta}. \quad (3.5)$$

Substituting the values of d_2 and d_3 from (2.3) and (2.4) of Lemma 5 on the right hand side of (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |e_1d_1d_3 - e_2d_2^2| &= \left| \frac{e_1d_1}{4} \left\{ d_1^3 + 2d_1(4-d_1^2)x - d_1(4-d_1^2)x^2 + 2(4-d_1^2)(1-|x|^2)z \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{e_2}{4} \left\{ d_1^2 + x(4-d_1^2) \right\}^2 \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{e_1d_1^4}{4} + \frac{e_1d_1^2(4-d_1^2)x}{2} - \frac{e_1d_1^2(4-d_1^2)x^2}{4} + \frac{e_1d_1(4-d_1^2)(1-|x|^2)z}{2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{e_2d_1^4}{4} - \frac{e_2(4-d_1^2)^2x^2}{4} - \frac{e_2d_1^2(4-d_1^2)x}{2} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 4|e_1d_1d_3 - e_2d_2^2| &= |(e_1 - e_2)d_1^4 + 2e_1d_1(4-d_1^2)z + 2(e_1 - e_2)d_1^2x(4-d_1^2) \\ &\quad - (4-d_1^2)x^2(e_1d_1^2 + e_2(4-d_1^2)) - 2e_1d_1(4-d_1^2)|x|^2z|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Using the fact that $|z| \leq 1$ and $|xa + yb| \leq |x||a| + |y||b|$ where $x, y, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ in the

expression (3.6), after simplifying we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 4|e_1d_1d_3 - e_2d_2^2| &\leq \left| (e_1 - e_2)d_1^4 + 2e_1d_1(4 - d_1^2) + 2(e_1 - e_2)d_1^2(4 - d_1^2)|x| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \{e_1d_1^2 + e_2(4 - d_1^2) + 2e_1d_1\}(4 - d_1^2)|x|^2 \right| \\
 &= \left| (e_1 - e_2)d_1^4 + 2e_1d_1(4 - d_1^2) + 2(e_1 - e_2)d_1^2(4 - d_1^2)|x| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \{(d_1 + 2)((e_1 - e_2)d_1 + 2e_2)\}(4 - d_1^2)|x|^2 \right|. \quad (3.7)
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4, $|d_1| \leq 2$. Suppose that $d_1 = d$ and we may assume without restriction that $d \in [0, 2]$. Using the well-known results $(d_1 + a)(d_1 + b) \geq (d_1 - a)(d_1 - b)$ where $a, b \geq 0$ on the right hand side of (3.7) upon simplification give

$$\begin{aligned}
 4|e_1d_1d_3 - e_2d_2^2| &\leq \left| (e_1 - e_2)d_1^4 + 2e_1d_1(4 - d_1^2) + 2(e_1 - e_2)d_1^2(4 - d_1^2)|x| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - (d_1 - 2)\{(e_1 - e_2)d_1 - 2e_2\}(4 - d_1^2)|x|^2 \right|. \quad (3.8)
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying triangle inequality to the right hand side of (3.8), replacing $|x|$ by ρ and putting the values of e_1 and e_2 from (3.5) in (3.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 4|e_1d_1d_3 - e_2d_2^2| &\leq [(3 + \lambda)^{2\beta} - 2^{3\beta}]d^4 + 2(3 + \lambda)^{2\beta}d(4 - d^2) + 2[(3 + \lambda)^{2\beta} - 2^{3\beta}]d^2(4 - d^2)\rho \\
 &\quad + (d - 2)\{[(3 + \lambda)^{2\beta} - 2^{3\beta}]d - 2^{3\beta+1}\}(4 - d^2)\rho^2 \\
 &= [(3 + \lambda)^{2\beta} - 2^{3\beta}]d^4 + [2(3 + \lambda)^{2\beta}d + 2[(3 + \lambda)^{2\beta} - 2^{3\beta}]d^2\rho \\
 &\quad + (d - 2)\{[(3 + \lambda)^{2\beta} - 2^{3\beta}]d - 2^{3\beta+1}\}\rho^2](4 - d^2) \\
 &= G(d, \rho) \text{ (say)} \quad (0 \leq \rho = |x| \leq 1). \quad (3.9)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we maximize the function $G(d, \rho)$ on the close interval region $[0, 2] \times [0, 1]$. Differentiating G partially with respect to ρ we get

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial \rho} = 2 \left[((3 + \lambda)^{2\beta} - 2^{3\beta})d^2 + (d - 2)\{((3 + \lambda)^{2\beta} - 2^{3\beta})d - 2^{3\beta+1}\}\rho \right] (4 - d^2). \quad (3.10)$$

For $0 < \rho < 1$ and for fixed d with $0 < d < 2$ we observe from (3.10) that $\frac{\partial G}{\partial \rho} > 0$. Therefore $G(d, \rho)$ is an increasing function of ρ and hence it cannot have the maximum value in the interior of the close region $[0, 2] \times [0, 1]$. Hence, for fixed $d \in [0, 2]$, we have

$$\max G(d, \rho) = G(d, 1) = H(d) \text{ (say)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(d) &= (e_1 - e_2)d^4 + \left[2e_1d + 2(e_1 - e_2)d^2 + (d - 2)\{(e_1 - e_2)d - 2e_2\} \right] (4 - d^2) \\
 &= (e_1 - e_2)d^4 + 8e_1d - 2e_1d^3 + 8(e_1 - e_2)d^2 - 2(e_1 - e_2)d^4 + (d - 2) \\
 &\quad \{(e_1 - e_2)d - 2e_2\}(4 - d^2) \\
 &= (e_1 - e_2)d^4 + 8e_1d - 2e_1d^3 + 8e_1d^2 - 8e_2d^2 - 2(e_1 - e_2)d^4 \\
 &\quad + [(e_1 - e_2)(d^2 - 2d) - 2e_2(d - 2)](4 - d^2) \\
 &= -2(e_1 - e_2)d^4 - 12e_2d^2 + 8e_1d - 2e_1d^3 + 8e_1d^2 + 4e_1d^2 \\
 &\quad - 4e_2d^2 - 8e_1d + 8e_2d - 8e_2d + 16e_2 + 2e_1d^3 - 2e_2d^3 + 2e_2d^3 \\
 &\quad = -2(e_1 - e_2)d^4 - 16e_2d^2 + 12e_1d^2 + 16e_2 \\
 &\quad = -2(e_1 - e_2)d^4 + (12e_1 - 16e_2)d^2 + 16e_2. \tag{3.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$H'(d) = -8(e_1 - e_2)d^3 + 2(12e_1 - 16e_2)d. \tag{3.12}$$

From (3.12), we observe that $H'(d) \leq 0$ for every $d \in [0, 2]$. Therefore, $H(d)$ is a decreasing function of d in the interval $d \in [0, 2]$ whose maximum value occurs at $d = 0$. From (8)

$$\max_{0 \leq d \leq 2} H(d) = H(0) = 16e_2. \tag{3.13}$$

From (3.9) and (3.13) we have

$$|e_1d_1d_3 - e_2d_2^2| \leq \frac{16e_2}{4} = 4e_2. \tag{3.14}$$

Using (3.14) in (3.4) we obtain

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{(1 - \alpha)^2 4e_2}{2^{3\beta}(3 + \lambda)^{2\beta}} = \frac{4(1 - \alpha)^2}{(3 + \lambda)^{2\beta}}. \tag{3.15}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 8. □

Remark 9. For $\lambda = 0$ and $\beta = 1$, our result in Theorem 8 coincides with the result of Krishan and Ramreddy [48] (also, see [28]).

Remark 10. For $\lambda = 0$, $\beta = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$ our result coincides to that of Janteng et al. (see [19]).

Remark 11. Letting $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 8 we get the result due to Mishra and Gochhayat [28].

Theorem 12. *If the function $h(z)$ defined by (1.1) belongs to the class $R_\lambda^\beta(\alpha)$, then for $\left(0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{2^{\beta+1} - (3+\lambda)^\beta}{2^{\beta+1}}\right)$, we have*

$$|a_2a_3 - a_4| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{3\sqrt{3}4^\beta(3+\lambda)^\beta} \frac{[3(3+\lambda)^\beta - 2^{\beta+1}(1+\alpha)]^{\frac{3}{2}}}{[(3+\lambda)^\beta - 2^\beta]^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (3.16)$$

Proof. Let the function $h(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $R_\lambda^\beta(\alpha)$. Proceeding as in Theorem 6 and putting the values of a_2 , a_3 and a_4 in the functional $|a_2a_3 - a_4|$ we get

$$|a_2a_3 - a_4| = \frac{(1-\alpha)}{4^\beta(3+\lambda)^\beta} \left| k_1d_1d_2 + k_2d_3 \right|, \quad (3.17)$$

where

$$k_1 = 2^\beta(1-\alpha), \quad k_2 = -(3+\lambda)^\beta. \quad (3.18)$$

Substituting the values of d_2 and d_3 from (2.2) and (2.3) of Lemma 5 on the right hand side of (3.17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |k_1d_1d_2 + k_2d_3| &= \left| \frac{k_1d_1}{2} \left\{ d_1^2 + x(4-d_1^2) \right\} \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{k_2}{4} \left\{ d_1^3 + 2d_1x(4-d_1^2) - d_1x^2(4-d_1^2) + 2(4-d_1^2)(1-|x|^2)z \right\} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{2} \left(k_1d_1^3 + k_1d_1x(4-d_1^2) \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left(k_2d_1^3 + 2k_2d_1(4-d_1^2)x \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - k_2d_1(4-d_1^2)x + 2k_2(4-d_1^2)(1-|x|^2)z \right|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} 4|k_1d_1d_2 + k_2d_3| &= \left| 2k_1d_1^3 + 2k_1d_1(4-d_1^2)x + k_2d_1^3 + 2k_2d_1(4-d_1^2)x \right. \\ &\quad \left. - k_2d_1(4-d_1^2)x^2 + 2k_2(4-d_1^2)z - 2k_2(4-d_1^2)|x|^2z \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the triangle inequality and the fact that $|z| \leq 1$ in the above equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4|k_1d_1d_2 + k_2d_3| &\leq (2k_1 + k_2)d_1^3 + 2(k_1 + k_2)d_1|x|(4-d_1^2) + 2k_2(4-d_1^2) + k_2(d_1+2)(4-d_1^2)|x|^2 \\ &= \left| [2^{\beta+1}(1-\alpha) - (3+\lambda)^\beta]d_1^3 + 2(2^\beta(1-\alpha) - (3+\lambda)^\beta)d_1|x|(4-d_1^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2(3+\lambda)^\beta(4-d_1^2) + (3+\lambda)^\beta(d_1+2)(4-d_1^2)|x|^2 \right|. \quad (3.19) \end{aligned}$$

Since $|d_1| < 2$ by Lemma 4 we may assume without any restriction $d_1 = d \in [0, 2]$. Using the well-known result that $d_1 + a > d_1 - a$ for $a \geq 0$, replacing $|x|$ by ρ ($0 \leq$

$\rho \leq 1$) and assuming $\alpha \leq \frac{[2^{\beta+1} - (3+\lambda)^\beta]}{2^{\beta+1}}$ on the right hand side of the above inequality (3.19) we get

$$4|k_1 d_1 d_2 + k_2 d_3| \leq [2^{\beta+1}(1 - \alpha) - (3 + \lambda)^\beta] d^3 + 2[-2^\beta(1 + \alpha) + (3 + \lambda)^\beta] d(4 - d^2) \rho + 2(3 + \lambda)^\beta(4 - d^2) + (3 + \lambda)^\beta(d - 2)(4 - d^2) \rho^2 = K(d, \rho) \text{ (say)}, \quad (3.20)$$

where

$$K(d, \rho) = [2^{\beta+1}(1 - \alpha) - (3 + \lambda)^\beta] d^3 + 2[-2^\beta(1 + \alpha) + (3 + \lambda)^\beta] d(4 - d^2) \rho + 2(3 + \lambda)^\beta(4 - d^2) + (3 + \lambda)^\beta(d - 2)(4 - d^2) \rho^2. \quad (3.21)$$

Now, we have to maximize the function $K(d, \rho)$ over closed region $[0, 2] \times [0, 1]$. Differentiating K partially with respect to ρ we get

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial \rho} = 2[(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^\beta(1 + \alpha)] d(4 - d^2) + 2(3 + \lambda)^\beta(d - 2)(4 - d^2) \rho. \quad (3.22)$$

For $0 < \rho < 1$, for fixed d with $0 < d < 2$ and for α with $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{2^{\beta+1} - (3+\lambda)^\beta}{2^{\beta+1}}$, we observe from (3.22) that $\frac{\partial K}{\partial \rho} \geq 0$ which implies the function $K(d, \rho)$ is an increasing function of ρ and hence it cannot have a maximum at any point in the interior of the closed region $[0, 2] \times [0, 1]$. Thus for fixed d , $0 \leq d \leq 2$, we have

$$\max_{0 \leq \rho \leq 1} K(d, \rho) = K(d, 1) = L(d) \text{ (say)}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L(d) &= [2^{\beta+1}(1 - \alpha) - (3 + \lambda)^\beta] d^3 + 2(3 + \lambda)^\beta(4 - d^2) \\ &\quad + 2[(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^\beta(1 + \alpha)] d(4 - d^2) + (3 + \lambda)^\beta(d - 2)(4 - d^2) \\ &= [2^{\beta+2} - 4(3 + \lambda)^\beta] d^3 + 4[3(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^{\beta+1}(1 + \alpha)] d. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

A function $L(d)$ to be maximum or minimum on the interval $[0, 2]$, we have

$$L'(d) = 3[2^{\beta+2} - 4(3 + \lambda)^\beta] d^2 + 4[3(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^{\beta+1}(1 + \alpha)] = 0,$$

which implies

$$d = \sqrt{\frac{[3(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^{\beta+1}(1 + \alpha)]}{3[(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^\beta]}} \in [0, 2], \quad \left(0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{2^{\beta+1} - (3 + \lambda)^\beta}{2^{\beta+1}} \right). \quad (3.24)$$

Also,

$$L''(d) = 6[2^{\beta+1} - 4(3 + \lambda)^\beta] d. \quad (3.25)$$

Substitute the value of d from (3.24) in (3.25) we get

$$L''(d) = \frac{12}{\sqrt{3}} [2^{\beta+1} - 4(3 + \lambda)^\beta] \sqrt{\frac{[2^{\beta+1}(1 + \alpha) - 3(3 + \lambda)^\beta]}{[2^{\beta+2} - 4(3 + \lambda)^\beta]}} < 0,$$

for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{2^{\beta+1} - (3 + \lambda)^\beta}{2^{\beta+1}}$. Hence it follows from differential calculus, the function $L(d)$ is maximum at the point d given by (3.24). Putting the value of d from (3.24) in (3.23) and simplifying we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_{max} &= [2^{\beta+2} - 4(3 + \lambda)^\beta] \left[\frac{[2^{\beta+1}(1 + \alpha) - 3(3 + \lambda)^\beta]}{3[2^\beta - (3 + \lambda)^\beta]} \right]^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &+ 4[3(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^{\beta+1}(1 + \alpha)] \left[\frac{[2^{\beta+1}(1 + \alpha) - 3(3 + \lambda)^\beta]}{3[2^\beta - (3 + \lambda)^\beta]} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{-4[-2^{\beta+1}(1 + \alpha) + 3(3 + \lambda)^\beta]^{\frac{3}{2}}}{3^{\frac{3}{2}}[-2^\beta + (3 + \lambda)^\beta]^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{4[-2^{\beta+1}(1 + \alpha) + 3(3 + \lambda)^\beta]^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{3}[(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^\beta]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{-4[3(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^{\beta+1}(1 + \alpha)]^{\frac{3}{2}} + 12[3(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^{\beta+1}(1 + \alpha)]^{\frac{3}{2}}}{3\sqrt{3}[(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^\beta]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{8}{3\sqrt{3}} \frac{[3(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^{\beta+1}(1 + \alpha)]^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^\beta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

From (3.20) and (3.26) we have

$$|k_1 d_1 d_2 + k_2 d_3| \leq \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} \frac{[3(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^{\beta+1}(1 + \alpha)]^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^\beta}}. \quad (3.27)$$

The relations (3.17) and (3.27) give

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2 a_3 - a_4| &\leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{4^\beta (3 + \lambda)^\beta} \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} \frac{[3(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^{\beta+1}(1 + \alpha)]^{\frac{3}{2}}}{[(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^\beta]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{3\sqrt{3} 4^\beta (3 + \lambda)^\beta} \frac{[3(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^{\beta+1}(1 + \alpha)]^{\frac{3}{2}}}{[(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^\beta]^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem 12. \square

Remark 13. Putting $\lambda = 0$, $\beta = 1$ in the above theorem we get the following results due to Vamshree Krishna et al. [48].

Corollary 14. (see [48]) Let $f \in RT(\alpha)$ ($0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{4}$). Then

$$|a_2 a_3 - a_4| \leq \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{6} \right) \left(\frac{5 - 4\alpha}{3} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Putting $\alpha = 0$ in Corollary 14 we get $|a_2a_3 - a_4| \leq \frac{5}{18}\sqrt{\frac{5}{3}}$. This result is coincide with Babalola (see[1]).

Theorem 15. Let $h(z) \in R_\lambda^\beta(\alpha)$ $\left(0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{2(3+\lambda)^\beta - 2^{2\beta}}{2(3+\lambda)^\beta}\right)$. Then

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(3+\lambda)^\beta}.$$

Proof. Substituting the values of a_2 and a_3 from (3.3) in coefficient functional $|a_3 - a_2^2|$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - a_2^2| &= \left| \frac{(1-\alpha)d_2}{(3+\lambda)^\beta} - \frac{(1-\alpha)^2d_1^2}{2^{2\beta}} \right| \\ &= \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(3+\lambda)^\beta 2^{2\beta}} \left| 2^{2\beta}d_2 - (3+\lambda)^\beta(1-\alpha)d_1^2 \right| \\ &= \frac{1-\alpha}{(3+\lambda)^\beta 2^{2\beta}} |l_1d_2 + l_2d_1^2|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

where

$$l_1 = 2^{2\beta}, \quad l_2 = -(3+\lambda)^\beta(1-\alpha).$$

Putting the value of d_2 from (2.2) of Lemma 5 in the right hand side of (3.28) we obtain

$$|l_1d_2 + l_2d_1^2| = \left| \frac{l_1}{2} \left\{ d_1^2 + x(4-d_1^2) \right\} + l_2d_1^2 \right| = \left| \frac{l_1d_1^2 + l_1x(4-d_1^2) + 2l_2d_1^2}{2} \right|,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} 2|l_1d_2 + l_2d_1^2| &= |(l_1 + 2l_2)d_1^2 + l_1x(4-d_1^2)| \\ &= \left| [2^{2\beta} - 2(3+\lambda)^\beta(1-\alpha)]d_1^2 + 2^{2\beta}x(4-d_1^2) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Choosing $d_1 = d \in [0, 2]$, applying triangle inequality, replacing $|x|$ by ρ on the right hand side of (3.29) and assume that $\alpha \leq \frac{2(3+\lambda)^\beta - 2^{2\beta}}{2(3+\lambda)^\beta}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2|l_1d_2 + l_2d_1^2| &\leq [2(3+\lambda)^\beta(1-\alpha) - 2^{2\beta}]d^2 + 2^{2\beta}(4-d^2)\rho \\ &= M(d, \rho) \text{ (say)} \quad (0 \leq \rho = |x| \leq 1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

where

$$M(d, \rho) = [2(3+\lambda)^\beta(1-\alpha) - 2^{2\beta}]d^2 + 2^{2\beta}(4-d^2)\rho.$$

In order to determine the maximum value of the function $M(d, \rho)$ differentiating $M(d, \rho)$, partially with respect to ρ , we get

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial \rho} = 2^{2\beta}(4-d^2) > 0 \quad \text{for } d \in [0, 2]$$

For $0 < d < 2$, $\frac{\partial M}{\partial \rho} > 0$. Hence the function $M(d, \rho)$ is an increasing function of ρ . The maximum value of M occurs at $\rho = 1$ and given by

$$\max_{0 \leq \rho \leq 1} M(d, \rho) = M(d, 1) = N(d)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} N(d) &= [2(3 + \lambda)^\beta(1 - \alpha) - 2^{2\beta}]d^2 + 2^{2\beta}(4 - d^2) \\ &= [2(3 + \lambda)^\beta(1 - \alpha) - 2^{2\beta+1}]d^2 + 2^{2\beta+2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

Now

$$N'(d) = 4[(3 + \lambda)^\beta(1 - \alpha) - 2^{2\beta}]d \leq 0, \quad \forall d \in [0, 2], \quad \alpha \in \left[0, \frac{2(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^{2\beta}}{2(3 + \lambda)^\beta}\right].$$

Therefore $N(d)$ becomes a decreasing function of d whose maximum value occur at $d = 0$. From (3.31) we get

$$\max_{0 \leq d \leq 2} N(d) = N(0) = 2^{2\beta+2}. \quad (3.32)$$

It follows from (3.30) and (3.32) that

$$|l_1 d_2 + l_2 d_1^2| \leq 2^{2\beta+1}. \quad (3.33)$$

Using (3.33) in (3.28) gives

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{(3 + \lambda)^\beta 2^{2\beta}} 2^{2\beta+1} = \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{(3 + \lambda)^\beta}$$

The proof of Theorem 15 is thus completed. \square

Remark 16. Taking $\lambda = 0$, $\beta = 1$ in Theorem 15 we get the estimate of $|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{2}{3}(1 - \alpha)$, studied by Vamshree Krishna et. al [48].

Remark 17. Letting $\lambda = 0$, $\beta = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$ we get the results $|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{2}{3}$ due to Babalola [1]

Theorem 18. Let $f \in R_\lambda^\beta(\alpha)$. Then

$$|h_3(1)| \leq \frac{4(1 - \alpha)^2}{(3 + \lambda)^\beta} \left[\frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{(3 + \lambda)^{2\beta}} + \frac{[3(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^{\beta+1}(1 + \alpha)]^{\frac{3}{2}}}{3\sqrt{3}4^{2\beta}[(3 + \lambda)^\beta - 2^\beta]^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{1}{(5 + \lambda)^\beta} \right]$$

Proof. Using the results from Theorem 6, 8, 12 and 15 in (1.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H_3(1) &\leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(3+\lambda)^\beta} \frac{4(1-\alpha)^2}{(3+\lambda)^{2\beta}} + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{4^\beta} \frac{2(1-\alpha)[3(3+\lambda)^\beta - 2^{\beta+1}(1+\alpha)]^{\frac{3}{2}}}{3\sqrt{3}4^\beta(3+\lambda)^\beta[(3+\lambda)^\beta - 2^\beta]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\quad + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(5+\lambda)^\beta} \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(3+\lambda)^\beta} \\ &= \frac{8(1-\alpha)^3}{(3+\lambda)^{3\beta}} + \frac{4(1-\alpha)^2[3(3+\lambda)^\beta - 2^{\beta+1}(1+\alpha)]^{\frac{3}{2}}}{4^{2\beta}3\sqrt{3}(3+\lambda)^\beta[(3+\lambda)^\beta - 2^\beta]^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{4(1-\alpha)^2}{(3+\lambda)^\beta(5+\lambda)^\beta} \\ &= \frac{4(1-\alpha)^2}{(3+\lambda)^\beta} \left[\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{(3+\lambda)^{2\beta}} + \frac{[3(3+\lambda)^\beta - 2^{\beta+1}(1+\alpha)]^{\frac{3}{2}}}{3\sqrt{3}4^{2\beta}[(3+\lambda)^\beta - 2^\beta]^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{1}{(5+\lambda)^\beta} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

□

This complete the proof of Theorem 18.

Remark 19. Putting $\lambda = 0$, $\beta = 1$ in above theorem we get the results due to Vamshree Krishan (see[48]).

Remark 20. Putting $\lambda = 0$, $\beta = 1$, $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 18 we get the results of Babalola (see[1]).

Acknowledgment:The authors would like to thank to the editor and anonymous referees for reading the manuscript carefully and give their comments and suggestions which improve the contents of the manuscript. The present investigation of the second-named author is supported by CSIR research project scheme no: 25(0278) / 17 / EMR-II, New Delhi, India.

References

- [1] K. O. Babalola, *On $H_3(1)$ Hankel determinant for some classes of univalent function*, Inequal. Theor. Appl., **6**(2007), 1-7.
- [2] D. Bansal, *Upper bound of second Hankel determinant for a new class of analytic functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., **26**(2013), 103-107. [MR2971408](#). [Zbl 1250.30006](#).
- [3] D. Bansal, S. Maharana and J. K. Prajapat, *Third order Hankel determinant for certain univalent functions*, J. Korean Math. Soc., **52**(6)(2015), 1139-1148. [MR3418550](#). [Zbl 1328.30005](#).
- [4] B. Bhowmik, S. Ponnusamy and K. J. Wirths, *On the Fekete-Szegő problem for the concave univalent functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **373**(2011), 432-438. [MR2720694](#) [Zbl 1202.30015](#).

Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications **15** (2020), 525 – 543

<http://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>

- [5] D. G. Cantor, *Power series with the integral coefficients*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **69**(1963), 362-366. [MR0151581](#). [Zbl 0112.29901](#).
- [6] E. Deniz and L. Budak, *Second Hankel determinant for certain analytic functions satisfying subordinate condition*, Math. Slovaca, **68**(2)(2018), 463-371. [MR3783399](#). [Zbl 06856356](#).
- [7] P. Dienes, *The Taylor Series*, Dover, New York, **1957**. [MR0089895](#) [Zbl 0078.05901](#).
- [8] C. F. Dunkl, *Differential-difference operators associated to reflection groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **311**(1989), 164-183. [MR0951883](#). [Zbl 0652.33004](#).
- [9] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Function*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol.259, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg and Tokyo, 1983. [MR0708494](#). [Zbl 0514.30001](#).
- [10] A. Edrei, *Sur les determinants recurrent set les singularities dune fonction done por son developement de Taylor*, Compos. Math., **7**(1939), 20-88. [MR0001285](#). [Zbl 0021.33005](#).
- [11] R. Ehrenborg, *The Hankel determinant of exponential polynomials*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **107**(2000), 557-560. [MR1767065](#). [Zbl 0985.15006](#).
- [12] M. Fekete and G. Szegő, *Eine Bermerkung über ungerade schlichte Funktionen*, J. London Math. Soc., **8**(1933), 85-89. [MR1574865](#). [Zbl 0006.35302](#).
- [13] P. Gochhayat, A. Prajapat and A. K. Sahoo, *Coefficient estimates of certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with Hohlov operator*, Asian European J. Math., (**2021**), DOI: 10.1142/S1793557121500212.
- [14] T. Gronwall, *Some remarks on conformal representation*, Ann. of Math., **16**(1914-15), 72-76. [MR1502490](#). [JFM 45.0672.01](#).
- [15] W. K. Hayman, *On second Hankel determinant of mean univalent functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **18**(3)(1968), 77-94. [MR0219715](#), [Zbl 0158.32101](#).
- [16] R. W. Ibrahim, *New classes of analytic functions determined by a modified differential-difference operator in complex domain*, Karbala Int. J. Modern Sci., **3**(1)(2017), 53-58.
- [17] R. W. Ibrahim and M. Darus, *Subordination inequalities of a new Sălăgean-difference operator*, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci., **14**(3)(2019), 573-582. [MR3959386](#). [Zbl 1417.30011](#).

Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications **15** (2020), 525 – 543

<http://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>

- [18] R. W. Ibrahim and M. Darus, *Univalent functions formulated by the Sălăgean-difference operator*, Int. J. Anal. Appl., **17** (4) (2019), 652-658. Doi: 10.28924/2291-8639. [Zbl 1438.30052](#).
- [19] A. Janteng, S. A. Halim and M. Darus, Coefficient inequality for a function whose derivative has a positive real part, J. Inequal Pure Appl. Math., **7**(2)(2006), Art. 50, 5 pages. [MR2221331](#). [Zbl 1134.30310](#)
- [20] A. Janteng, S. A. Halim and M. Darus, Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions, Int. J. Math. Anal., **1**(13)(2007), 619-625. [MR2370200](#). [Zbl 1137.30308](#)
- [21] L. Jena and T. Panigrahi, *Upper bounds of second Hankel determinant for generalized Sakaguchi type spiral-like functions*, Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat., **35**(3)(2017), 263-272. [MR3573641](#).
- [22] F. R. Keogh and E. P. Merkes, *A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **20**(1969), 8-12. [MR0232926](#). [Zbl 0165.09102](#).
- [23] W. Koepf, *On the Fekete-Szegő problem for close-to-convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **101**(1987), 89-95. [MR0897076](#). [Zbl 0635.30019](#).
- [24] D. V. Krishna and T. Ramreddy, *Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions of order alpha*, Tbilisi Math. J., **5**(1)(2012), 65-76. [MR3006759](#). [Zbl 1279.30017](#).
- [25] S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Supramaniam, *Bounds for the second Hankel determinants of certain univalent functions*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2013**, 281 (2013). [MR3073988](#). [Zbl 1302.30018](#).
- [26] R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz, Early coefficient of the inverse of a regular convex function, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **85**(2) (1982), 225-230 [MR0652447](#). [Zbl 0464.30019](#).
- [27] R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz, Coefficient bounds for the inverse of a function with derivative in \mathcal{P} , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **87**(2) (1983), 251-257. [MR0681830](#). [Zbl 0488.30010](#).
- [28] A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, Second Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions defined by fractional derivative, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **2008**(2008), Art. ID:153280; 10 Pages. [MR2392999](#). [Zbl 1158.30308](#).
- [29] A. K. Mishra and T. Panigrahi, *The Fekete-Szegő problem for a class defined by Hohlov operator*, Acta Univ. Apul., **29**(2012), 241-254. [MR3015070](#). [Zbl 1289.30083](#).

- [30] R. N. Mohapatra and T. Panigrahi, *Second Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions defined by Komantu integral operator*, *Rend. Mat. Appl.*, **41**(1)(2020), 51-58. [Zbl 07195400](#).
- [31] A. K. Mishra, J.K. Prajapat and S. Maharana, *Bounds on Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions with respect to symmetric points*, *Cogent Math.*, **3**:1(2016), 1160557, DOI: 10.1080/23311835.2016.110557. [MR3625325](#). [Zbl 1426.30009](#).
- [32] J. W. Noonan and D. K. Thomas, *On the second Hankel determinant of areally mean p -valent functions*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **223**(2)(1976), 337-346. [MR0422607](#). [Zbl 0346.30012](#).
- [33] S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava, *Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions*, *Canad. J. Math.*, **39**(5)(1987), 1057-1077. [MR0918587](#). [Zbl 0611.33007](#).
- [34] T. Panigrahi and G. Murugusundarmoorthy, *The Fekete-Szegő inequality for subclass of analytic functions of complex order*, *Adv. Studies Contemp. Math.*, **24**(1)(2014), 67-75. [MR3157409](#) [Zbl 1297.30029](#).
- [35] T. Panigrahi and R. K. Raina, *Fekete-Szegő coefficient functional for quasi-subordination class*, *Afr. Mat.* 28, No. 5-6 (2017), 707-716. DOI: 10.1007/s13370-016-0477-1. [MR3687385](#). [Zbl 1370.30008](#).
- [36] T. Panigrahi and R. K. Raina, *Fekete-Szegő problem for generalized Sakaguchi type functions associated with quasi-subordination*, *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.*, **63**(3)(2018), 329-340. [MR3864364](#) [Zbl 1438.30082](#).
- [37] Ch. Pommerenke, *On the Hankel determinants of univalent functions*, *Mathematika*, **14**(1967), 108-112. [MR0215976](#). [Zbl 0165.09602](#).
- [38] Ch. Pommerenke, *On the coefficient and Hankel determinants of univalent functions*, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **41**(1)(1996), 111-122. [MR0185105](#). [Zbl 0138.29801](#).
- [39] M. H. Priya and R. B. Sharma, *On a class of bounded turning functions subordinate to a leaf-like domain*, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* (2018), DOI: 10.1088/1742-6596/1000/1/012056.
- [40] T. Ramreddy and D. V. Krishna, *Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions with respect to symmetric points*, *J. Indian Math. Soc.*, **79**(2012), 161-171. [MR2976034](#).
- [41] M. Raza and S. N. Malik, *Upper bound of the third Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions related with lemniscate of Bernoulli*, *Int. J. Inequal. Appl.*, **2013**(2013), Art. 412. [MR3339521](#). [Zbl 1291.30106](#).

- [42] O. Al-Refai and M. Darus, *Second Hankel determinant for a class of analytic function defined by a fractional operator*, European J. Sci. Resources, **28**(2) (2009), 234-241.
- [43] A. K. Sahoo and J. Patel, *Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions related with lemniscate of Bernoulli*, Int. J. Anal. Appl., **6**(2)(2014), 170-177. [Zbl 1399.30071](#).
- [44] G. S. Sălăgean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Complex Analysis-Fifth Rommanian-Finish Seminar, Part-I, Bucharest, 1981, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **1013**, Springer, Berlin (1983), 362-372. [MR0738107](#). [Zbl 0531.30009](#).
- [45] P. Sumalatha, R. B. Sharma and M. Hari Priya, *The third Hankel determinant for starlike functions with respect to symmetric points subordinate to k-Fibonacci sequence*, AIP Conf. Proced., 2112, 020069 (2019). DOI: 10.1063/1.5112254.
- [46] V. S. Kumar, R. B. Sharma, and M. Haripriya, *Third Hankel determinant for Bazilevic functions related to a leaf like domain*, AIP Conf. Proc., **2112**. DOI: 10.1063/1.5112273.
- [47] D. V. Krishna and T. Ramreddy, *Coefficient inequality for a function whose derivative has a positive real part of order α* , Mathematica Bohemica, **140**(1)(2015), 43-52. [MR3324418](#). [Zbl 1349.30056](#).
- [48] D. V. Krishna, B. Venkateswarlu and T. Ramreddy, *Third Hankel determinant for bounded turning function of order alpha*, J. Nigerian Math. Soc., **34**(3)(2015), 121-127. [MR3512016](#). [Zbl 1353.30013](#).
- [49] T. Yavuz, *Second Hankel determinant problem for a certain subclass of univalent functions*, Int. J. Math. Anal., **9**(10)(2015), 493-498.
- [50] P. Zaprawa, *Second Hankel determinants for the class of typically real functions*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., **2016**(2016), Art. ID: 3792367. [MR3459636](#). [Zbl 06929363](#).

A. Naik

Department of Mathematics,
School of Applied Sciences, KIIT Deemed to be University,
Bhubaneswar-751024, Odisha, India.
e-mail: avayanaik@gmail.com

T. Panigrahi

Department of Mathematics,
School of Applied Sciences, KIIT Deemed to be University,
Bhubaneswar-751024, Odisha, India.
e-mail: trailokyap6@gmail.com

Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications **15** (2020), 525 – 543

<http://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>

License

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).


