

## SEMI-ABELIAN MONADIC CATEGORIES

*Dedicated to Aurelio Carboni on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday.*

MARINO GRAN AND JIŘÍ ROSICKÝ

ABSTRACT. We characterize semi-abelian monadic categories and their localizations. These results are then used to obtain a characterization of pointed protomodular quasi-monadic categories, and in particular of protomodular quasivarieties.

### 1. Introduction

The notion of semi-abelian category can be considered as intermediate between the notion of Barr-exact category and the one of abelian category. Semi-abelian categories were introduced by Janelidze, Márki and Tholen [12] in a closed connection with the more general protomodular categories due to Bourn [4]. A finitely complete category with a zero object is protomodular if and only if it satisfies the split short five lemma. Semi-abelian categories are defined as exact protomodular categories with finite coproducts and a zero object. These categories are suitable to develop several basic aspects of homological algebra of groups and rings [6], as well as an abstract theory of commutators and of ideals [5]. Among the examples of semi-abelian categories there are the categories of groups, rings, commutative rings, Lie algebras, Heyting semilattices, crossed modules and compact Hausdorff groups.

Every variety of universal algebras is an exact category, and abelian varieties are precisely those whose theories contain abelian group operations  $0$ ,  $-$  and  $+$  in such a way that these operations are homomorphisms. When the theory of a variety  $\mathcal{V}$  only contains group operations  $0$ ,  $-$  and  $+$ , then  $\mathcal{V}$  is semi-abelian. Bourn and Janelidze recently characterized semi-abelian varieties [7] as those whose theories contain a unique constant  $0$ , binary operations  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 1$  and a  $(n+1)$ -ary operation  $\beta$  satisfying the equations  $\alpha_i(x, x) = 0$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $\beta(\alpha_0(x, y), \alpha_1(x, y), \dots, \alpha_{n-1}(x, y), y) = x$ . The case  $n = 1$  shows that the above-mentioned existence of a group operation suffices to guarantee that  $\mathcal{V}$  is semi-abelian, by setting  $\alpha_0(x, y) = x - y$  and  $\beta(x, y) = x + y$ . Varieties of algebras satisfying these axioms have been also studied by Ursini [19], who called them classically ideal determined.

---

Work supported by the Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale and by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic under the grant 201/02/0148

Received by the editors 2003-08-25 and, in revised form, 2004-01-18.

Published on 2004-12-05.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 18C20, 18C35, 08C05, 08C15, 18E10, 18E35.

Key words and phrases: Semi-abelian categories, monadic categories, varieties, quasivarieties, localizations.

© Marino Gran and Jiří Rosický, 2004. Permission to copy for private use granted.

In the present paper we show that the characterization of semi-abelian varieties can be extended to infinitary and many sorted ones. As a consequence we prove that  $C^*$ -algebras form a semi-abelian category, and we provide explicit operations witnessing this fact. Every variety of infinitary many-sorted algebras is exact and locally presentable. Having a general exact locally presentable category  $\mathcal{C}$ , we can consider the varietal hull  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to a chosen regular generator  $G$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Following [21] the category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a localization of  $\mathcal{V}$  and, thus,  $\mathcal{C}$  is semi-abelian whenever  $\mathcal{V}$  is semi-abelian. Using our characterization of semi-abelian infinitary many-sorted varieties we get a characterization of their localizations. In particular, any exact locally presentable category  $\mathcal{C}$  containing a regular generator  $G$  which is a cogroup in  $\mathcal{C}$  is semi-abelian.

In the last section we characterize protomodular quasimonadic categories, and then protomodular quasivarieties and their localizations.

## 2. Semi-abelian monadic categories

Let us recall that a functor  $U: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$  is monadic if it has a left adjoint  $F$  and the comparison functor from  $\mathcal{V}$  to the category of algebras  $\text{Alg}(T)$  of the induced monad  $T = UF$  is an equivalence. A category  $\mathcal{V}$  is monadic over sets if there exists a monadic functor  $U: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ . Monadic categories are precisely those given by a class of single-sorted infinitary operations and a class of equations such that free algebras exist [14]. Free algebras always exist if  $\mathcal{V}$  is determined by a set of operations and a set of equations. In any case, the elements of  $UF(n)$  (where  $n$  is a cardinal and  $F(n)$  is a free algebra over  $n$ ) correspond to  $n$ -ary terms.

The first result we are going to prove is a straightforward generalization of the characterization of semi-abelian varieties given in [7]. We shall follow the presentation given in [3].

Let us recall that in any finitely complete pointed category the *split short five lemma* means the following statement: given a diagram (1)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A' & \xrightarrow{k'} & B' & \xleftarrow{s'} & C' \\
 \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow h \\
 A & \xrightarrow{k} & B & \xleftarrow{s} & C \\
 & & & & \downarrow p
 \end{array}$$

where all squares are commutative,  $p \circ s = 1_C$ ,  $p' \circ s' = 1_{C'}$ ,  $k = \ker(p)$ ,  $k' = \ker(p')$  and  $f$  and  $h$  are isomorphisms, then  $g$  is an isomorphism.

**2.1. THEOREM.** *Let  $U: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  be a monadic functor. Then  $\mathcal{V}$  is semi-abelian if and only if the corresponding theory has a unique constant 0, binary terms  $\alpha_i$   $i \in n$ , where  $n \geq 1$  is a cardinal, and a  $(n+1)$ -ary term  $\beta$  satisfying the equations*

$$\alpha_i(x, x) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \in n$$

and

$$\beta(\alpha_0(x, y), \alpha_1(x, y), \dots, \alpha_i(x, y), \dots, y) = x.$$

PROOF. Let  $F(x, y)$  and  $F(y)$  be the free algebras on  $\{x, y\}$  and  $\{y\}$  respectively, and let  $p: F(x, y) \rightarrow F(y)$  be the homomorphism determined by  $p(x) = p(y) = y$ . Then  $p$  is split by the inclusion  $s: F(y) \rightarrow F(x, y)$ . Let  $k: K \rightarrow F(x, y)$  be a kernel of  $p$  and  $A$  a subalgebra of  $F(x, y)$  generated by  $UK \cup UF(y)$ . Since the codomain restriction of  $k$  is a kernel of the domain restriction  $p': A \rightarrow F(y)$  of  $p$ ,  $A = F(x, y)$  by the split short five lemma. Hence there are elements  $k_i \in K$ , with  $i \in n$ , (where  $n \geq 1$  is a cardinal) and a  $(n + 1)$ -ary term  $\beta$  such that  $x = \beta(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_i, \dots, y)$ . Since  $k_i \in K$ , there are binary terms  $\alpha_i(x, y)$  such that  $\alpha_i(x, y) = k_i$  for  $i \in n$ . Moreover, one obviously has that  $\alpha_i(x, x) = 0$ .

Conversely, assume that the terms satisfying the conditions in the theorem exist. Let us then consider the diagram (1), and we are going to prove that the arrow  $g$  is an isomorphism.

First consider  $a$  and  $b$  in  $B'$  such that  $g(a) = g(b)$ . Then

$$(h \circ p')(\alpha_i(a, b)) = (p \circ g)(\alpha_i(a, b)) = p(\alpha_i(g(a), g(b))) = p(0) = 0$$

and then  $\alpha_i(a, b)$  is in  $A'$  for  $i \in n$ . Since  $(k \circ f)(\alpha_i(a, b)) = 0$ , it follows that  $\alpha_i(a, b) = 0$  for  $i \in n$ , which implies that  $a = b$  because

$$b = \beta(\alpha_0(b, b), \alpha_1(b, b), \dots, b) = \beta(0, 0, \dots, b) = \beta(\alpha_0(a, b), \alpha_1(a, b), \dots, b) = a.$$

Consequently,  $g$  is injective.

In order to check that  $g$  is surjective, let us consider any  $b \in B$ . We define  $a = (s' \circ h^{-1} \circ p)(b)$ . We have

$$p(\alpha_i(b, g(a))) = \alpha_i(p(b), (p \circ g)(a)) = \alpha_i(p(b), p(b)) = 0.$$

Hence  $\alpha_i(b, g(a))$  is in  $A$  for  $i \in n$  and then

$$b = \beta(\alpha_0(b, g(a)), \alpha_1(b, g(a)), \dots, g(a)) = \beta(g(a_0), g(a_1), \dots, g(a)) = g(\beta(a_0, a_1, \dots, a))$$

where  $f(a_i) = \alpha_i(b, g(a))$  for  $i \in n$ . Thus  $g$  is surjective. ■

2.2. REMARK. a) The same argument applies to varieties of  $S$ -sorted algebras, i.e. to monadic categories  $U: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Set}^S$ . One just needs terms  $\alpha_i$  for  $i \in n$  and  $\beta$  in each sort  $s \in S$ .

b) A similar argument allows one to characterize protomodular monadic categories: one simply has to replace the single constant 0 by constants  $e_i$  for  $i \in n$ , with the properties  $\alpha_i(x, x) = e_i$  and one keeps the axiom

$$\beta(\alpha_0(x, y), \alpha_1(x, y), \dots, \alpha_i(x, y), \dots, y) = x.$$

The following well-known simple lemma [6] immediately follows from the definitions:

2.3. LEMMA. *Let  $H: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  be a conservative pullback preserving functor, where  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  are pointed categories with pullbacks and  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies the split short five lemma. Then  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the split short five lemma.*

2.4. EXAMPLE. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the category of non-unital  $C^*$ -algebras, where arrows are continuous homomorphisms of involutive algebras. The forgetful functor from  $\mathcal{C}$  to the category of involutive algebras preserves finite limits and reflects isomorphisms (see 1.3.3 and 1.3.7 in [9]). Following Lemma 2.3,  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the split short five lemma. Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is monadic via the unit ball functor  $U: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  (see [15], [20]) it is exact and thus semi-abelian. We are now going to give explicitly the operations witnessing this fact.

Following [18], in the theory of non-unital  $C^*$ -algebras we have the operations

$$\alpha_0(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{2}y$$

and

$$\beta(x, y) = \bar{2}\bar{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{4}y\right)$$

where

$$\bar{2} = 2(1 \vee 2 \mid z \mid)^{-1}z$$

and

$$\mid z \mid = (z^* \cdot z)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then

$$\alpha_0(x, x) = \frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{2}x = 0$$

and

$$\beta(\alpha_0(x, y), y) = \beta\left(\frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{2}y, y\right) = \bar{2}\bar{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}x - \frac{1}{4}y + \frac{1}{4}y\right) = \bar{2}\bar{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}x\right) = \bar{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right) = x.$$

2.5. REMARK. The fact that commutative  $C^*$ -algebras form an exact Maltsev category was first observed in [8].

2.6. EXAMPLE. The category  $CompGrp$  of compact groups is monadic via the usual forgetful functor  $U: CompGrp \rightarrow \text{Set}$ . This immediately follows from the existence of free compact groups [11]. Since a group operation is present,  $CompGrp$  is semi-abelian.

### 3. Localizations of semi-abelian varieties

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a cocomplete category with a regular generator  $G$  and consider the functor  $U: \mathcal{C}(G, -): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ . There is a left adjoint  $F$  to  $U$  sending a set  $n$  to the  $n$ -th copower  $n \cdot G$  of  $G$ . Let  $T = UF$  be the induced monad and  $H: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Alg}(T)$  be the comparison functor. Since  $G$  is a regular generator,  $H$  is a full embedding. Theorem 2.1 tells us when  $\text{Alg}(T)$  is semi-abelian. In terms of a generator  $G$ , the conditions in Theorem 2.1 can be expressed as follows:

1. there is exactly one arrow  $0: G \rightarrow 0$  (where  $0$  is the initial object in  $\mathcal{C}$ ).
2. there exist arrows  $\alpha_i: G \rightarrow 2 \cdot G$ ,  $i \in n$  (where  $n \geq 1$  is a cardinal) such that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} & 2 \cdot G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \nabla \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G \end{array}$$

commutes ( $\nabla$  is the codiagonal).

3. there is an arrow  $\beta: G \rightarrow n \cdot G$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\beta} & n \cdot G \\ & \searrow i_1 & \swarrow (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i, \dots) \\ & 2 \cdot G & \end{array}$$

commutes ( $i_1$  is the first injection into the coproduct).

We shall call a *semi-abelian generator* any regular generator  $G$  satisfying these three conditions. Now, let us recall that a full reflective subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$  of a category  $\mathcal{L}$  is a localization if the reflector  $L: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  preserves finite limits.

**3.1. PROPOSITION.** *A category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a localization of a semi-abelian monadic category over  $\text{Set}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a cocomplete exact category with a semi-abelian generator.*

**PROOF.** Due to the main result in [21] one already knows that localizations of monadic categories over  $\text{Set}$  are precisely cocomplete exact categories with a regular generator  $G$ . More precisely, having such a category  $\mathcal{C}$  then the comparison functor  $H: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Alg}(T)$  is a localization. This immediately yields the sufficiency. For the necessity it suffices to observe that any localization of a semi-abelian category is semi-abelian by Lemma 2.3. ■

**3.2. REMARK.** There is an evident many-sorted version characterizing localizations of semi-abelian monadic categories over many-sorted sets as cocomplete exact categories having a semi-abelian generator. It is also possible to characterize localizations of semi-abelian varieties of universal algebras (see [22]).

**3.3. PROPOSITION.** *A pointed category  $\mathcal{C}$  having copowers, pullbacks and a semi-abelian generator satisfies the split short five lemma.*

**PROOF.** Under our assumption there is still a left adjoint  $F$  to  $U = \mathcal{C}(G, -)$  and the comparison functor  $H: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Alg}(T)$  is a full embedding. Since  $U$  preserves pullbacks, the forgetful functor  $V: \text{Alg}(T) \rightarrow \text{Set}$  creates them and  $V \circ H = U$ , the functor  $H$  preserves pullbacks. Since  $\text{Alg}(T)$  satisfies the split short five lemma, we conclude by Lemma 2.3 that  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies it as well. ■

3.4. **REMARK.** In order to give an example of a protomodular locally finitely presentable category  $\mathcal{C}$  with a zero object which does not have a semi-abelian generator, we will present it as an essentially algebraic theory  $\Gamma$  (see [1]). Let  $\Gamma$  contain a unique constant  $0$ , binary total operations  $\alpha_0, \gamma$  and a binary partial operation  $\beta(z, t)$  whose domain of definition  $\text{Def}(\beta)$  is given by the equation  $\gamma(z, t) = 0$ . Let  $\Gamma$  contain the equations  $\alpha_0(x, x) = 0$ ,  $\gamma(\alpha_0(x, y), y) = 0$  and  $\beta(\alpha_0(x, y), y) = x$ . Then the argument used in Theorem 2.1 is still valid and  $\text{Alg}(T)$  then satisfies the split short five lemma. On the other hand,  $\beta$  is not everywhere defined, which means that there is no reason for  $\text{Alg}(T)$  to have a semi-abelian generator.

## 4. Protomodular quasivarieties

A category is quasimonadic over  $\text{Set}$  if it is a full regular epireflective subcategory of a monadic category over  $\text{Set}$  (i.e. a full reflective subcategory with the property that the unit of the adjunction is a regular epimorphism). Quasimonadic categories over  $\text{Set}$  are precisely cocomplete regular categories  $\mathcal{C}$  with a regular projective regular generator [10]. Again one uses  $H: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Alg}(T)$  to present  $\mathcal{C}$  as a full regular epireflective subcategory of a monadic category.

4.1. **THEOREM.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a pointed category. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1.  $\mathcal{C}$  is a full regular epireflective subcategory of a semi-abelian monadic category
2.  $\mathcal{C}$  is cocomplete, regular, and it has a regular projective semi-abelian generator.

Moreover, if  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies these equivalent conditions, then  $\mathcal{C}$  is protomodular.

**PROOF.** Every cocomplete regular category  $\mathcal{C}$  with a regular projective semi-abelian generator is a full regular epireflective subcategory of a semi-abelian monadic category  $\text{Alg}(T)$  by Theorem 2.1.

Conversely, let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a regular epireflective subcategory of a semi-abelian monadic category  $\text{Alg}(T)$ , and let  $F(1)$  be a free  $T$ -algebra on  $1$ . Then the reflection of  $F(1)$  to  $\mathcal{C}$  is a regular projective semi-abelian generator of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{C}$  is clearly cocomplete and regular.

The last statement in the Theorem follows from Lemma 1.3. ■

In order to give a characterization of semi-abelian quasivarieties, let us recall that an object  $G$  is *abstractly finite* if for any small set  $n$  there exists the  $n$ -th copower  $S \cdot G$  of  $G$  and, moreover, any arrow  $G \rightarrow S \cdot G$  factors through  $S' \cdot G$  for some finite subset  $S'$  of  $S$  [13]. Any finitely presentable object is abstractly finite. Then from Corollary 4.4, Corollary 4.6 in [17] and Theorem 4.1 above the following results easily follow:

4.2. **COROLLARY.** *A pointed category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a regular epireflective subcategory of a protomodular finitary variety of universal algebras if and only if it is cocomplete, regular and has a regular projective abstractly finite semi-abelian generator.*

4.3. COROLLARY. *A pointed category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a protomodular quasivariety if and only if it is cocomplete, regular and has a finitely presentable regular projective semi-abelian generator.*

4.4. EXAMPLE. The category  $\text{Ab}_{tf}$  of torsion-free abelian groups is an example of a pointed protomodular quasivariety. Indeed,  $\text{Ab}_{tf}$  is reflective in the category  $\text{Ab}$  of abelian groups, and it is closed in it under subobjects.

We conclude with the following

4.5. THEOREM. *A pointed category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a localization of a protomodular quasimonadic category if and only if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a cocomplete regular category with a semi-abelian generator.*

PROOF. Necessity is clear. Let then  $\mathcal{C}$  be a cocomplete regular category with a semi-abelian generator. Following the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [16], the category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a localization of its regular epireflective hull in  $\text{Alg}(T)$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is a localization of a protomodular quasimonadic category over  $\text{Set}$ . ■

All the results in this section have obvious many-sorted versions.

## References

- [1] J. Adámek and J. Rosický, *Locally Presentable and Accessible Categories*, Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser. 189, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [2] M. Barr, *Exact categories*, Springer Lecture Notes Math., 236, 1971, 1-120.
- [3] F. Borceux, *A survey on semi-abelian categories*, in the volume Galois Theory, Hopf Algebras and Semiabelian categories, The Fields Institute Communications Series, vol. 43, Am. Math. Soc., 2004.
- [4] D. Bourn, *Normalization equivalence, kernel equivalence and affine categories*, Springer Lecture Notes Math. 1488, 1991, 43-62.
- [5] D. Bourn, *Normal subobjects and abelian objects in protomodular categories*, J. Algebra, 228, 2000, 143-164.
- [6] D. Bourn,  *$3 \times 3$  Lemma and protomodularity*, J. Algebra, 236, 2001, 778-795.
- [7] D. Bourn and G. Janelidze *Characterization of protomodular varieties of universal algebras*, *Theory and Applications of Categories*, 11,6 2003, 143-147.
- [8] A. Carboni, G.M. Kelly and M.C. Pedicchio, *Some remarks on Maltsev and Goursat categories* *Appl. Categ. Struct.*, 1, 1993, 385-421.
- [9] J. Dixmier, *Les  $C^*$ -algèbres et leurs représentations*, Gauthier-Villars Editeurs, 1969.

- [10] W. Felscher, *Kennzeichnung von primitiven und quasi-primitiven Kategorien von Algebren*, Arch. Math. 39, 1968, 390-397.
- [11] K. Hoffmann, *An essay on free compact groups*, Categorical aspects of topology and analysis, Proc. Int. Conf. Ottawa 1980, Lect. Notes Math. 915, 1982, 171-197.
- [12] G. Janelidze, L. Márki and W. Tholen, *Semi-Abelian categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 168, 2002, 367-386.
- [13] F.W. Lawvere, *Functorial semantics of algebraic theories*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sc. USA 50, 1963, 869-872.
- [14] E.G. Manes, *Algebraic Theories*, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [15] J.W. Negrepointis, *Duality in analysis from the point of view of triples*, J. Algebra, 19, 1971, 228-253.
- [16] M.C. Pedicchio and J. Rosický, *Localizations of varieties and quasivarieties*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 148, 2000, 275-284.
- [17] M.C. Pedicchio and E.M. Vitale, *On the abstract characterization of quasi-varieties*, Algebra Univers. 43, 2000, 269-278.
- [18] J. Pelletier and J. Rosický, *On the equational theory of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Algebra Univers. 30, 1993, 275-284.
- [19] A. Ursini, *On subtractive varieties*, Algebra Univers. 31, 1994, 204-222.
- [20] D. Van Osdol,  *$C^*$ -algebras and cohomology*, Proc. Conf. Categorical Topology, Toledo 1983, Heldermann Verlag, 1984, 582-587.
- [21] E.M. Vitale, *Localizations of algebraic categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 108, 1996, 315-320.
- [22] E.M. Vitale, *Localizations of algebraic categories II*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 133, 1998, 317-326.

*Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale, Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées,  
Bât. H. Poincaré, 50 Rue F. Buisson BP 699, 62228 Calais, France*

*Masaryk University, Department of Mathematics, Janáčkovo nám. 2a, 662 95 Brno,  
Czech Republic*

Email: gran@lmpa.univ-littoral.fr, rosicky@math.muni.cz

This article may be accessed via WWW at <http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/> or by anonymous ftp at <ftp://ftp.tac.mta.ca/pub/tac/html/volumes/13/6/13-06.dvi>, {dvi,ps}

THEORY AND APPLICATIONS OF CATEGORIES (ISSN 1201-561X) will disseminate articles that significantly advance the study of categorical algebra or methods, or that make significant new contributions to mathematical science using categorical methods. The scope of the journal includes: all areas of pure category theory, including higher dimensional categories; applications of category theory to algebra, geometry and topology and other areas of mathematics; applications of category theory to computer science, physics and other mathematical sciences; contributions to scientific knowledge that make use of categorical methods.

Articles appearing in the journal have been carefully and critically refereed under the responsibility of members of the Editorial Board. Only papers judged to be both significant and excellent are accepted for publication.

The method of distribution of the journal is via the Internet tools `WWW/ftp`. The journal is archived electronically and in printed paper format.

**SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION.** Individual subscribers receive (by e-mail) abstracts of articles as they are published. Full text of published articles is available in .dvi, Postscript and PDF. Details will be e-mailed to new subscribers. To subscribe, send e-mail to `tac@mta.ca` including a full name and postal address. For institutional subscription, send enquiries to the Managing Editor, Robert Rosebrugh, `rrosebrugh@mta.ca`.

**INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS.** The typesetting language of the journal is  $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$ , and  $\text{\LaTeX}2_{\text{e}}$  is the preferred flavour.  $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$  source of articles for publication should be submitted by e-mail directly to an appropriate Editor. They are listed below. Please obtain detailed information on submission format and style files from the journal's WWW server at `http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/`. You may also write to `tac@mta.ca` to receive details by e-mail.

#### EDITORIAL BOARD.

Michael Barr, McGill University: `barr@barrs.org`, *Associate Managing Editor*  
Lawrence Breen, Université Paris 13: `breen@math.univ-paris13.fr`  
Ronald Brown, University of Wales Bangor: `r.brown@bangor.ac.uk`  
Jean-Luc Brylinski, Pennsylvania State University: `jlb@math.psu.edu`  
Aurelio Carboni, Università dell'Insubria: `aurelio.carboni@uninsubria.it`  
Valeria de Paiva, Palo Alto Research Center: `paiva@parc.xerox.com`  
Martin Hyland, University of Cambridge: `M.Hyland@dpms.cam.ac.uk`  
P. T. Johnstone, University of Cambridge: `ptj@dpms.cam.ac.uk`  
G. Max Kelly, University of Sydney: `maxk@maths.usyd.edu.au`  
Anders Kock, University of Aarhus: `kock@imf.au.dk`  
Stephen Lack, University of Western Sydney: `s.lack@uws.edu.au`  
F. William Lawvere, State University of New York at Buffalo: `wlawvere@buffalo.edu`  
Jean-Louis Loday, Université de Strasbourg: `loday@math.u-strasbg.fr`  
Ieke Moerdijk, University of Utrecht: `moerdijk@math.uu.nl`  
Susan Niefield, Union College: `niefiels@union.edu`  
Robert Paré, Dalhousie University: `pare@mathstat.dal.ca`  
Robert Rosebrugh, Mount Allison University: `rrosebrugh@mta.ca`, *Managing Editor*  
Jiri Rosicky, Masaryk University: `rosicky@math.muni.cz`  
James Stasheff, University of North Carolina: `jds@math.unc.edu`  
Ross Street, Macquarie University: `street@math.mq.edu.au`  
Walter Tholen, York University: `tholen@mathstat.yorku.ca`  
Myles Tierney, Rutgers University: `tierney@math.rutgers.edu`  
Robert F. C. Walters, University of Insubria: `robert.walters@uninsubria.it`  
R. J. Wood, Dalhousie University: `rjwood@mathstat.dal.ca`