

Approximation Properties of Partial Sums of Fourier Series

Tamaz Karchava

Sokhumi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
Department of Mathematical and Computer Sciences
10 Politkovskaia St., 0186, Tbilisi, Georgia

(Received October 08, 2019; Revised December 18, 2019; Accepted December 20, 2019)

In this paper we find a class of functions for which the Lebesgue estimate can be improved.

Keywords: Trigonometric system, Fourier series, Modulus of continuity.

AMS Subject Classification: 42A10.

Let $C([0, 2\pi])$ denote the space of continuous functions f with period 2π . If $f \in C([0, 2\pi])$ then the function

$$\omega_p(\delta, f) = \sup_x \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} |\delta_p(x; h, f)|, \quad \omega_1(\delta, f) = \omega(\delta, f).$$

is called the modulus of continuity of the function f where

$$\Delta_1(x; h, f) = f(x+h) - f(x),$$
$$\Delta_{p+1}(x; h, f) = \Delta_p(x+h; h, f) - \Delta_p(x; h, f).$$

Denote by $\text{Lip } \alpha$ the class of functions $f \in C([0, 2\pi])$ for which $\omega(\delta, f) \leq c(f)\delta^\alpha$ and let $S_n(f, x)$ be the n -th partial sum of the trigonometric Fourier series of the function f .

The Lebesgue estimate (see [3, p. 116] or [1, Ch. 1]) is well known

$$\|f - S_n(f)\|_C \leq c\omega\left(\frac{1}{n}, f\right) \log(x+2).$$

Generalizations of this estimation were introduced by Chanturia [2], Oskolkov [8], Karchava [6]. The questions devoted to estimation of the uniform deviation of f from its partial Fourier sums with respect to the Walsh, Vilenkin (bounded and unbounded cases) systems were discussed by Fine [4], Onnewer [7], Tevzadze [9], Gát [5].

In the paper [6] we improved this estimation for some subclasses of the class $C([0, 2\pi])$. In particular we proved the following theorems.

Theorem 1 : If $f \in \text{Lip } \alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and has a finite number of monotonicity intervals, then

$$\|f - S_n(f)\|_C \leq \frac{c(f; \alpha)}{n^\alpha}.$$

Theorem 2 : If $C([0, 2\pi])$ and has a finite number of convexity or concavity intervals, then

$$\|f - S_n(f)\|_C \leq c(f) \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}; f\right).$$

We showed that analogous estimates are valid for functions of several variables. For simplicity, let us show this for functions of two variables.

Let $x_2 = x + (i - 1)h_1$, $y_2 = y + (i - 1)h_2$ for functions $f = f(x; y)$. Assume

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1^1(x; y; h; f) &= f(x + h; y) - f(x; y), \\ \Delta_{p+1}^1(x; y; h; f) &= \Delta_p^1(x + h; y) - \Delta_p^1(x; y), \\ \Delta_1^2(x; y; h; f) &= f(x; y + h) - f(x; y), \\ \Delta_{p+1}^2(x; y; h; f) &= \Delta_p^2(x + h) - \Delta_p^2(x; y), \\ \omega_p^1(\delta; f) &= \sup_{x; y} \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} |\Delta_p^1(x; y; h; f)|, \\ \omega_p^2(\delta; f) &= \sup_{x; y} \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} |\Delta_p^2(x; y; h; f)|, \\ \sigma_p^1(i; x; y; h; f) &= \sum_{q=1}^i (-1)^q \Delta_p^1(x_q; y; h; f) \equiv \sigma_p^1(i; f) \equiv \sigma^1(i; f), \\ \sigma_p^2(i; x; y; h; f) &= \sum_{q=1}^i (-1)^q \Delta_p^2(x; y_q; h; f) \equiv \sigma_p^2(i; f) \equiv \sigma^2(i; f), \\ \sigma_p^1(i; \sigma_q^2(i; f)) &= \sigma_q^2(j; \sigma_p^1(i; f)) = \sigma_{p,q}^{1,2}(i; j; f) \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the operation $\sigma_{p,q}^{1,2}$ is obtained by successive application of the operations σ^1 and σ^2 .

Let $S_{n,m}(f)$ be partial sums of the double trigonometric Fourier series of functions $f(x; y)$.

We prove the following estimation.

Theorem 3 :

$$\|f - S_{n,m}(f)\|_C \leq c(f; p; q) \sup_{x; y} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\sigma_p^1(i; f)|}{i^{2-\varepsilon}} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|\sigma_p^2(i; f)|}{i^{2-\varepsilon}} \right).$$

This theorem gives rise to two corollaries which generalize Theorems 1 and 2.

Corollary 4 : If the function $f(x; y)$ has a finite number of monotonicity intervals with respect to separate variables and $\omega_1^1(\delta; f) \leq c(f)\delta^\alpha$, $\omega_1^2(\delta; f) \leq c(f)\delta^\beta$,

$0 < \gamma < 1$, $0 < \beta < 1$, then

$$\|f - S_{n,m}\|_C \leq c(f) \left(\frac{1}{n^\alpha} + \frac{1}{m^\beta} \right).$$

Proof: In Theorem 3 we assume that $p = q = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_p^1(i; f)| &\leq c(f) \omega \left(\frac{i\pi}{n}; f \right) \leq c(f) \left(\frac{i\pi}{n} \right)^\alpha, \\ \sup_{x;y} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\sigma_1^1(i; f)|}{i^2} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c(f) \left(\frac{i\pi}{n} \right)^\alpha}{i^2} \leq \frac{c(f)}{n^\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^{2-\alpha}} \leq \frac{c(f)}{n^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$\sup_{x;y} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\sigma_1^2(i; f)|}{i^2} \leq \frac{c(f)}{n^\beta}.$$

□

Corollary 5: *If the function $f(x; y)$ has a finite number of convexity or concavity intervals with respect to separate variables, then*

$$\|f - S_{n,m}\|_C \leq c(f) \left(\omega_1^1 \left(\frac{1}{n}; f \right) + \omega_1^2 \left(\frac{1}{m}; f \right) \right).$$

Proof: In Theorem 3 we assume that $p = 1$, $q = 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2^1(x; y; h; f) &\geq 0, \quad \Delta_2^2(x; y; h; f) \geq 0, \\ |\sigma_2^1(i; f)| &= \left| \sum_{q=1}^i (-1)^q \Delta_2^1(x_q; y; h; f) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{q=1}^i \Delta_2^1(x_q; y; h; f) \right| \leq c(f) \omega_1^1 \left(\frac{1}{n}; f \right), \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\Delta_2^1(i; f)}{i^{2-\varepsilon}} &\leq c(f) \omega_1^1(h; f) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^{2-\varepsilon}} \leq c(f) \omega_1^1 \left(\frac{1}{n}; f \right). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\Delta_2^2(i; f)}{i^{2-\varepsilon}} \leq c(f) \omega_1^2 \left(\frac{1}{m}; f \right).$$

□

Proof: [Proof of Theorem 3] Let $T_{n,m}(x; y)$ be the Vallée Poussin trigonometric polynomials which realize the best approximation of the function $f(x, y)$. They are

written as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{n,m}(x, y) &= \iint_{T^2} f(x+u; y+v) V_{n,m}(u; v) \, du \, dv, \\
&\iint_{T^2} |V_{n,m}(u; v)| \, du \, dv \leq M, \\
f - f_{n,m} &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \iint_{T^2} (f(x+u; y+v) - f(x; y)) D_n(u) D_n(v) \, du \, dv \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \iint_{T^2} g(u; v) D_n(u) D_n(v) \, du \, dv, \\
g &= g(u; v) = g_{x;y}(u; v) \\
&= f(x+u; y+v) - f(x; y) - T_{n,m}(x+u; y+v) - T_{n,m}(x; y), \\
\frac{1}{\pi^2} \iint_{T^2} (T_{n,m}(x+u; y+v) - D_{n,m}(x; y)) D_n(u) D_n(v) \, du \, dv \\
&= S_{n,m}(T_{n,m}) - T_{n,m} = 0, \\
\|f - T_{n,m}\|_{C(T^2)} &\leq E_{n,m}(f), \quad T = [0; 2\pi], \quad T^2 = [0; 2\pi]^2, \\
\sup_{x;y} \sigma_p^k(i; T_{n,m}) &\leq \sup_{x;y} \sigma_p^k(i; f), \quad k = 1, 2, \\
\sup_{x;y} \sigma_p^k(i; g) &\leq c \sup_{x;y} \sigma_p^k(i; f), \\
\sup_{x;y} \sigma_{1,2}(i; j; T_{n,m}) &\leq c \sup_{x;y} |\sigma(i; j; f)|, \quad |\sigma_{p,q}^{1,2}(i; j; f)| \leq c(q) |\sigma_p^1(i; f)|, \\
\sup_{x;y} \sigma(i; j; g) &\leq c \sup_{x;y} \sigma(i; j; f), \quad \sigma_{p,q}^{1,2}(i; j; f) \leq c(p) i |\sigma_q^2(j; f)|.
\end{aligned}$$

In [6] we showed that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t) D_n(t) \, dt \right| &\leq \sup_t \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\sigma_p(i; \frac{\pi}{n}; g)|}{i^2} + |g(t)| \right\}, \\
\left| \iint_{T^2} g(u; v) D_n(u) D_m(v) \, du \, dv \right| &= \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(u; v) D_n(u) \, du \right) D_n(v) \, dv \right| \\
&= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} p_n(v) D_n(v) \, dv \leq C \left(\sup_v \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\sigma_q(j; v_2; \frac{\pi}{m}; p_n(v))|}{j^2} + \sup_v |p_n(v)| \right), \\
p_n(v) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(u; v) D_n(u) \, du,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_v |p_n(v)| &\leq \sup_{u,v} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\sigma_p^1(j; x; y; \frac{\pi}{n}; g)|}{i^2} + \sup_{u;v} g(u; v), \\ \left| \sigma\left(j; \frac{\pi}{m}; v, p_n(v)\right) \right| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^j (-1)^r \Delta_q\left(v_r; \frac{\pi}{m}; p_n(v)\right) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^j (-1)^r \Delta_q^2(u; v_q; g) D_n(u) du \right| = \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sigma_1^2(j; g) D_n(u) du \right| \\ &\leq c \sup_{i=1}^n \frac{\sigma^1(\sigma_q^2(g))}{i^2} + \sup \sigma^2(j; g), \\ \|f - S_{n,m}\|_C &\leq \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\sigma_p^1(i; \frac{\pi}{n}; f)|}{i^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|\sigma_q^2(i; \frac{\pi}{m}; f)|}{i^2} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \frac{|\sigma_p^1 \sigma_q^2(i; j; f)|}{i^2 j^2} \right\}, \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \frac{|\sigma_p^1 \sigma_q^2(i; j; f)|}{i^2 j^2} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \frac{\sqrt{|\sigma_p^1 \sigma_q^2(i; j)|} \sqrt{|\sigma_p^1 \sigma_q^2(i; j)|}}{i^2 j^2} \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \frac{\sqrt{|c(q)j \sigma_p^2(j; f)|} \sqrt{|c(p)i \sigma_q^1(j; f)|}}{i^2 j^2} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \frac{\sqrt{|\sigma_p^1(i; f)|} \sqrt{|\sigma_q^2(j; f)|}}{i^{1+\frac{1}{2}} j^{1+\frac{1}{2}}} \leq c(p) \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \frac{\sqrt{|\sigma_p^1(i)|} \sqrt{|\sigma_q^2(j)|}}{i^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}} j^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}} j^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}} i^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

applicable $ab \leq \frac{1}{2}(a^2 + b^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c(p) \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \frac{|\sigma_p^1(i; f)|}{i^{2-\epsilon} j^{1+\epsilon}} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n,m} \frac{|\sigma_q^2(j; f)|}{j^{2-\epsilon} i^{1+\epsilon}} \right) \\ &= c(p) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{j^{1+\epsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\sigma_p^1(i; f)|}{i^{2-\epsilon}} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^{1+\epsilon}} \sum_{j=1}^{n,m} \frac{|\sigma_q^2(j; f)|}{j^{2-\epsilon}} \right) \\ &\leq c(p; \epsilon) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\sigma_p^1(i; f)|}{i^{2-\epsilon}} + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|\sigma_q^2(i; f)|}{j^{1+\epsilon}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

References

- [1] N.K. Bari, *Trigonometric Series* (Russian), With the editorial collaboration of P. L. Ul'janov Gosudarstv, Izdat. Fiz.-Mat. Lit., Moscow, 1961
- [2] T.A. Chanturia, *On singular solutions of strongly nonlinear systems of ordinary differential equations*, Function theoretic methods in differential equations, Res. Notes in Math., Pitman, London **8** (1976), 196-204
- [3] V.K. Dzyadyk, *Introduction to the Theory of Uniform Approximation of Functions by Polynomials* (Russian), Izdat. "Nauka", Moscow, 1977
- [4] N.J. Fine, *On the Walsh functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **65** (1949), 372-414
- [5] G. Gát, *Best approximation by Vilenkin-like systems*, Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyházi. (N.S.), **17**, 3 (2001), 161-169
- [6] T. Karchava, *On the convergence of Fourier series* (Russian), Reports of Enlarged Session of the Seminar of I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics, **3** (1988), 33-95
- [7] C.W. Onneweer, *On uniform convergence for Walsh-Fourier series*, Pacific J. Math., **34** (1970), 117-122
- [8] K.I. Oskolkov, *The sharpness of the Lebesgue estimate for the approximation of functions with prescribed modulus of continuity by Fourier sums* (Russian), Collection of articles dedicated to Academician Ivan Matveevich Vinogradov on his eightieth birthday, I. Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov., **112** (1971), 337-345, 389
- [9] V. Tevzadze, *On the uniform convergence of Walsh-Fourier series*, Some Problems of Function Theory, **31** (1986), 84-117