

**DELAYED VON FOERSTER EQUATION**

BY NAJEMEDIN HARIBASH

**Abstract.** In the paper the existence and uniqueness of a solution of an integro-differential with delayed argument in integral part is proved.

**1. Introduction.** The theory of first order partial integro-differential equations is interesting because of its applications of mathematics to biology. The most interesting problem is that, of the chaotic behaviour considered by Dawidowicz [1], [2], [3], Lasota [7], Rudnicki [9] and Loskot [8]. To study this problem it is necessary to prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions. This problem has been studied in a lot of papers [6] In the present paper, the results of the paper [4] are generalized on the case of delayed argument for  $z$ .

**2. Formulation of theorems.** Let us consider the system of equations

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + c(x, z_t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \lambda(x, u, z_t)$$

$$(2) \quad z(t) = \int_0^\infty u(t, x) dx$$

where

$$(3) \quad z_t : [-r, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$$

is defined by the formula

$$(4) \quad z_t(s) = z(t - s)$$

for  $t \geq 0$  and  $x \geq 0$ .

The equation (1) is considered with the initial condition

$$(5) \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x)$$

Throughout the paper, the coefficients  $c$  and  $\lambda$  are assumed to satisfy the following assumptions

$$(C_1) \quad c : \mathbb{R}_+ \times C([-r; 0]; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$$

(C<sub>2</sub>) The coefficient  $c$  is of class  $C^1$  for  $x \geq 0$

$$(C_3) \quad c(0, Z) = 0$$

$$(C_4) \quad \left| \frac{\partial c}{\partial x} \right| \leq \alpha$$

$$(C_5) \quad |c(x, Z) - c(x, \bar{Z})| \leq \gamma \|Z - \bar{Z}\|$$

where

$$\|Z\| = \sup_{-r \leq s \leq 0} |Z(s)|$$

$$(C_6) \quad \left| \frac{\partial c}{\partial x}(x, z) \right| \leq \mu(z)$$

where  $\mu$  is continuous

( $\Lambda_1$ ) The function  $\lambda$  is of class  $C^1$  for  $x \geq 0, u \geq 0$

$$(\Lambda_2) \quad \lambda(x, 0, \varphi) = 0$$

$$(\Lambda_3) \quad \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial u} \leq \beta$$

$$(\Lambda_4) \quad \left| \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial u} \right| \leq \beta(u, z)$$

where  $\beta$  is continuous

$$(\Lambda_5) \quad \exists \gamma' \quad |\lambda(x, u, Z) - \lambda(x, u, \bar{Z})| \leq \gamma' \|Z - \bar{Z}\| u$$

( $\Lambda_6$ )

$$\left| \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} \right| \leq \nu(z, u)u$$

THEOREM 1. Let  $u_0$  be bounded and continuous on  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $u_0 \geq 0$  and let

$$(6) \quad A = \int_0^\infty u_0(x) dx < \infty.$$

Let

$$z_0 \in C([-r, 0]), z_0(0) = A$$

Define

$$z_t : [0, T] \rightarrow C([-r, 0])$$

by the formula

$$z_t(s) = z(t-s) \quad \text{for } t \geq s$$

$$z_t(s) = z_0(t-s) \quad \text{for } t < s$$

Then there exists exactly one non negative function  $u$  which is a solution of (1), (4), (5)

### 3. The method of characteristics and construction of operator $\Theta$ .

Let  $C^+([0, T])$  be the set of all continuous and non-negative function on the interval  $[0, T]$

First we consider problem (1), (5) where  $z \in C([-r, T])$  is a given function

Denote by  $\psi(t, x, y) = \psi(t, x, y, z_t)$  and  $\varphi(t, x) = \varphi(t, x, z_t)$

the characteristics of (1)

i.e. the solution of

$$(7) \quad \xi' = c(\xi, z_t), \quad \xi(0) = x$$

and

$$(8) \quad \eta' = \lambda(\xi, \eta, z_t), \quad \eta(0) = y$$

respectively, for  $t \in [0, T]$

DEFINITION 1. The function  $u : [0, T] \times [0, \infty)$  is a solution of (1), (5) if for every  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$(9) \quad u(t, \varphi(t, x)) = \psi(t, x, v(x))$$

PROPOSITION 1. Under assumptions  $(C_1)$ – $(C_3)$  and  $(\Lambda_1)$ – $(\Lambda_3)$  if  $z \in C_+([0, T])$ ,  $v$  satisfies (4) and  $u$  is the solution of (1), (3), then for  $t \geq 0$

$$(10) \quad \int_0^\infty u(t, x) dx < \infty$$

and the function  $[0, T] \ni t \mapsto \int_0^\infty u(t, x) dx$  is continuous.

In fact,  $u$  depends on  $z$  (this dependence is omitted). For fixed  $v \geq 0$  define  $\Theta_z$  by the formula

$$(11) \quad \Theta_z(t) = \int_0^\infty u(t, x) dx$$

From proposition 1 there follows that  $\Theta : C_+([0, T]) \rightarrow C_+([0, T])$

DEFINITION 2. The function  $u : [0, T] \times [0, \infty)$  is solution of (1), (2), (5) if  $u$  is the solution of (1), (5) for  $z$  satisfying the condition

$$(12) \quad \Theta z = z$$

REMARK 1. To prove the existence or uniqueness of the solution of (1), (2), (5) it is sufficient to prove the existence or uniqueness of the fixed point of operator  $\Theta$ .

**4. Proof of the Theorem.** We start with recalling the following lemmas proved in [4]

LEMMA 1. The  $C^1$ -function  $\varphi$  is defined on  $\Delta \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , and  $C^1$ -function  $\psi$  is defined on  $\Delta \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$ . Moreover, for fixed  $t$  the function  $x \rightarrow \varphi(t, x)$  is a bijection of  $\mathbb{R}_+$  onto  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

The Lemma is a simple consequence of our assumption. Let

$$(13) \quad s(t, x, z) = s(t, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi(t, x)$$

It is obvious that  $s$  satisfies the condition

$$(14) \quad \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial c}{\partial x}(\varphi(t, x), z_t) S, \quad S(0, x) = 1$$

LEMMA 2. The following inequalities hold

$$(15) \quad 0 \leq S(t, x) \leq e^{\alpha t}, \quad 0 \leq \varphi(t, x, y) \leq e^{\beta t} y$$

As in [4], from these Lemmas it follows that for  $u$  defined by (9)

$$(16) \quad \int_0^\infty u(t, x) dx \leq A e^{(\alpha+\beta)t} < \infty.$$

Moreover,  $\Theta z(t) = \int_0^\infty u(t, x) dx$  is a continuous function. This follows from [4] and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem.

COROLLARY 1. From [4] it follows that

$$\Theta z(t) = e^{(\alpha+\beta)t} A$$

Assume that  $z$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition  
Let us consider

$$H : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times C_+[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, T > 0$$

defined by the formula

$$(17) \quad H(t, x, z) = \psi(t, x, v(x), z)S(t, x, z).$$

Since  $v$  is bounded, from lemma 2 it follows that  $u$  also is bounded for  $t \leq T$ . Since  $z$  is continuous, the set  $\{z_t | t \in [0, T]\}$  is compact and in consequence there exists

$$(18) \quad B_T = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \beta(u, z_t)$$

Hence, from  $(\Lambda_4)$  it follows, that

$$(19) \quad \left| \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial u} \right| \leq B_T$$

for  $z \in X$  and  $u$  satisfying (1), (5). Hence

$$(20) \quad \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right| \leq \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(t, x, v(x), z_t) \right| S(t, x, z_t) + \psi(t, x, v(x), z_t) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} S(t, x, z_t) \right|$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right| \leq (B_T + \alpha)e^{(\alpha+\beta)T} \cdot v(x)$$

Thus

$$(21) \quad |\Theta z(t+h) - \Theta z(t)| \leq A(B_T + \alpha)e^{(\alpha+\beta)T} h$$

for  $t, t+h \in [0, T]$ .

In consequence, if  $\Delta = [0, \infty]$  then the set

$$K \subset C(\Delta)$$

This set is relatively compact if and only if, for every  $T > 0$ , the set of restrictions

$$\{z|_{[0, T]} : z \in K\}$$

is relatively compact.

We notice that the set  $\bar{K}$  of all functions from  $C_+(\Delta)$  bounded by  $Ae^{(\alpha+\beta)t}$  and satisfying the Lipschitz condition with the constant

$$N(T) = A(B_T + \alpha)e^{(\alpha+\beta)T}$$

satisfies

$$(22) \quad \Theta(\bar{K}) \subset \bar{K}$$

To prove Theorem 1 we use the following

PROPOSITION 2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, for  $z, \bar{z} \in \overline{K}$ , the following inequality holds

$$(23) \quad \|\Theta z - \Theta \bar{z}\|_T \leq M(T) \|z - \bar{z}\|_T$$

where  $\overline{K}$  is defined in the previous section,  $\|\cdot\|_T$  denotes the norm in  $C([0, T])$  and

$$(24) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} M(T) = 0$$

To prove this proposition we shall prove some Lemmas.

LEMMA 3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1,  $\psi$  satisfies the inequality

$$(25) \quad \int |\psi(t, x, v(x), z) - \psi(t, x, v(x), \bar{z})|_T dx \leq M_1(T) \|z - \bar{z}\|_T$$

or  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $z, \bar{z} \in K$ . Moreover,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} M_1(T) = 0.$$

PROOF. Let  $W(t, x) = \psi(t, x, v(x), z) - \psi(t, x, v(x), \bar{z})$ .

Obviously,  $W(0, x) = 0$ .

We shall estimate  $\frac{\partial W}{\partial t}(t, x)$ .

We notice that, for  $z, \bar{z} \in \overline{K}$ , we have

$$(26) \quad z(t) \leq Ae^{(\alpha+\beta)T}, \quad \bar{z}(t) \leq Ae^{(\alpha+\beta)T}$$

$$(27) \quad \psi(t, x, v(x), z) \leq \sup_{\xi \geq 0} v(\xi) e^{\beta T}$$

and, consequently, there exists a compact set  $F$  such that

$$(z, \psi(t, x, v(x), z)) \in F,$$

$$(\bar{z}, \psi(t, x, v(x), \bar{z})) \in F.$$

There exists a finite number

$$(28) \quad \nu_0 = \sup\{\nu(z, u) : (z, u) \in F\}$$

We estimate  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(W(t, x))$ ,

$$(29) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(W(t, x)) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3$$

where

$$I_1 = \lambda(\varphi(z), \psi(z), z) - \lambda(\varphi(\bar{z}), \psi(z), z),$$

$$I_2 = \lambda(\varphi(\bar{z}), \psi(z), z) - \lambda(\varphi(\bar{z}), \psi(\bar{z}), z),$$

$$I_3 = \lambda(\varphi(\bar{z}), \psi(\bar{z}), z) - \lambda(\varphi(\bar{z}), \psi(\bar{z}), \bar{z}).$$

In the last formula

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(z) &= \varphi(t, x, z), \quad \varphi(\bar{z}) = \varphi(t, x, \bar{z}) \text{ and} \\ \psi(z) &= \psi(t, x, v(x), z), \quad \psi(\bar{z}) = \psi(t, x, v(x), \bar{z})\end{aligned}$$

From assumption  $\Lambda_5$  and (29) it follows, that

$$|I_1| \leq \nu_0 |\varphi(t, x, z) - \varphi(t, x, \bar{z})| \psi(t, x, v(x), \bar{z})$$

But

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\varphi(t, x, z) - \varphi(t, x, \bar{z})] = c(\varphi(t, x, z), z) - c(\varphi(t, x, \bar{z}), \bar{z})$$

From assumption  $C_3$ ,  $C_4$  and the Gronwall inequality [10] it follows, that

$$(30) \quad |\varphi(t, x, z) - \varphi(t, x, \bar{z})| \leq \bar{M}(T),$$

where

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \bar{M}(T) = 0,$$

and in consequence

$$|I_1| \leq \nu_0 \bar{M}(T) v(x) e^{(\alpha+\beta)T},$$

$$|I_2| \leq B_T W(t, x).$$

( $B_T$  is defined by (19))

$$|I_3| \leq \gamma' \|z_t - \bar{z}_t\| \psi(t, x, v(x), \bar{z}) \leq \gamma' \|z - \bar{z}\|_T e^{\beta T} v(x)$$

Therefore

$$(31) \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (W(t, x)) \right| \leq B_T |W(t, x)| + M(T) \|z - \bar{z}\|_T v(x)$$

where

$$\overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow 0} M(T) < \infty.$$

From the Gronwall inequality [10] there follows

$$(32) \quad |W(t, x)| \leq M^1(T) v(x) \|z - \bar{z}\|_T B_T^{-1} (e^{B_T T} - 1).$$

Integrating (32), we obtain

$$(33) \quad \int_0^\infty W(t, x) dx \leq M^1(T) A \|z - \bar{z}\|_T B_T^{-1} (e^{B_T T} - 1).$$

Let  $M_1 = M_1(T) = A \|z - \bar{z}\|_T B_T^{-1} (e^{B_T T} - 1)$ . We obtain (26) since we may define  $B_T = B_{T_0}$  for  $T < T_0$  and some arbitrary  $T_0$ , formula

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} M_1(T) = 0$$

is obvious. □

LEMMA 4. Under assumption of Theorem 1, for  $t \leq T$  and  $z, \bar{z} \in \bar{K}$

$$(34) \quad |s(t, x, z) - s(t, x, \bar{z})| \leq M_2(T) \|z - \bar{z}\|_T.$$

Moreover

$$(35) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} M_2(T) = 0$$

PROOF. There exists

$$(36) \quad \mu_0 = \sup\{\mu(z_t) : z \in K, t \in [0, T]\}$$

We shall estimate  $\sigma(t, x) = s(t, x, z) - s(t, x, \bar{z})$ . From (14), we derive

$$(37) \quad \sigma(0, x) = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$(38) \quad \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t} = E_1 + E_2 + E_3 \text{ where}$$

$$E_1 = \left[ \frac{\partial c}{\partial x}(\varphi(t, x, z), z_t) - \frac{\partial c}{\partial x}(\varphi(t, x, \bar{z}), z_t) \right] s(t, x, z),$$

$$E_2 = \left[ \frac{\partial c}{\partial x}(\varphi(t, x, \bar{z}), z_t) - \frac{\partial c}{\partial x}(\varphi(t, x, \bar{z}), \bar{z}_t) \right] s(t, x, \bar{z}),$$

$$E_3 = \frac{\partial c}{\partial x}(\varphi(t, x, \bar{z}), \bar{z}_t) \sigma.$$

By virtue of (30)  $|E_1| \leq \eta_0 \bar{M}(T) e^{\alpha T}$ . By (15), (36) and assumption  $C_5$

$$|E_2| \leq \eta_0 \|z_t - \bar{z}_t\| e^{\alpha T} \leq \eta_0 \|z - \bar{z}\|_T e^{\alpha T}.$$

From (38) and assumption  $C_3$

$$\left| \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t} \right| \leq M''(T) \|z - \bar{z}\|_T + \alpha |\sigma|,$$

where

$$\overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow 0} M''(T) < \infty.$$

Hence, using the Gronwall inequality, from (37) and (39) we obtain

$$|\sigma(t)| \leq M''(T) \alpha^{-1} (e^{\alpha T} - 1) \|z - \bar{z}\|_T.$$

Denoting  $M_2(T) = M''(T) \alpha^{-1} (e^{\alpha T} - 1)$  we shall prove Proposition 2.

For  $t \leq T, z_t, \bar{z}_t \in \bar{K}$

$$(39) \quad \left| \Theta z(t) - \Theta \bar{z}(t) \right| = \left| \int_0^\infty \left[ \psi(t, x, v(x), z) s(t, x, z) - \psi(t, x, v(x), \bar{z}) s(t, x, \bar{z}) \right] dx \right|.$$

This is not greater than  $\lambda$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty |\psi(t, x, v(x), z) - \psi(t, x, v(x), \bar{z})| s(t, x, z) dx + \\ & + \int_0^\infty \psi(t, x, v(x), \bar{z}) |\sigma(t, x)| dx \leq M_1(T) e^{\alpha T} \|z - \bar{z}\|_T + \\ & + A e^{\beta T} M_2(T) \|z - \bar{z}\|_T. \end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$M(T) = M_1(T) e^{\alpha T} + A e^{\beta T} M_2(T)$$

we obtain Proposition 2.  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. To prove Theorem 1 it remains to notice that for sufficiently small  $T$  the operator

$\Theta : \bar{K}_T \rightarrow \bar{K}_T$  fulfil the assumption of the Banach fixed-point theorem,

$$\bar{K}_T = \{z|_{[0, T]} : z \in \bar{K}\}.$$

Hence the operator  $\Theta$  has exactly one fixed point in  $\bar{K}_T$ . Since

$$\Theta(C_+(\Delta)) \subset \bar{K}$$

$\Theta$  has no fixed-point out of  $\bar{K}$ , and  $\Theta$  has exactly one fixed point in  $C_+([0, T])$ . To prove  $\Theta$  has exactly one fixed point in  $C_+(\mathbb{R}_+)$  we notice that the problem (1), (2), (5) is time-independent, the Theorem 1 true in  $\Delta = [t_0, T]$  with initial condition

$$(40) \quad u(t_0, x) = \bar{v}(x).$$

From this follows that the set of all  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$  for which (1), (2), (5) has exactly one solution in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  is closed. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## References

1. Dawidowicz A.L., *On the existence of an invariant measure for the dynamical system generated by partial differential equation*, Ann.Pol. Math. XLI (1983).
2. Dawidowicz A.L., *On the existence of an invariant measure for a quasi-linear partial differential equation*, Zeszyty Naukowe UJ Prace Matematyczne **23** (1982).
3. Dawidowicz A.L., *On the generalized Avez method*, Ann. Pol. Math. LVII **3** (1992), 209–218.
4. Dawidowicz A.L., Loskot K., *Existence and uniqueness of solution of some integro-differential equation* Ann. Pol. Math. XLVII (1986), 79–87.
5. Dugundji J., Granas A., *Fixed point theory*, PWN, Warszawa 1982.
6. Kamont Z., Zacharek S., *On the existence of weak solutions of quasilinear first order partial differential equations with a deviated argument*, Rad.-Mat. [Radovi-Matematicki] **2** (1986), no. 2, 189–216.
7. Lasota A., Pianigiani G., *Invariant measures on topological spaces*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. **5** 15-B (1977), 592–603.

8. Łoskot K., *Turbulent solutions of first order partial differential equation*, J.Differenyal Equations **58** (1985) No. 1, 1–14.
9. Rudnicki R., *Invariant measures for the flow of a first order partial differential equation*, Ergodic Th. & Dyn. Sys. **5** (1985), No. 3 437–443.
10. Szarski J., *Differential inequalities*, PWN, Warszawa 1965.

*Received October 4, 2000*

Jagiellonian University  
Institute of Mathematics  
Kraków