

LINEAR FORMS ON MODULES OF PROJECTIVE DIMENSION ONE

BY UDO VETTER

Let R be a noetherian ring and M an R -module which has a presentation

$$0 \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{\psi} G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with finite free R -modules F and G of rank m and n . In [2] we proved:

PROPOSITION 1. *Assume that $r = n - m > 1$ and that the first non-vanishing Fitting ideal of M has grade $r + 1$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) *There is a $\chi \in M^* = \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ such that the ideal $\text{Im } \chi$ has grade $r + 1$.*
- (2) *There exists a submodule U of M with the following properties:*
 - (i) $\text{rank } U = r - 1$;
 - (ii) U is reflexive, orientable, and $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a free direct summand of $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all primes \mathfrak{p} of R such that $\text{grade } \mathfrak{p} \leq r$.
- (3) $m = 1$ and r is odd.

The equivalence (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) can easily be proved directly (see Proof of Proposition 7 in [2]) while the equivalence (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) results from a description of the homology of the Koszul complex associated to a linear form on M (see Theorem 5 in [2]).

With the assumptions of Proposition 1, let $m = 1$ and $n \geq 4$ be even (which means that the rank r of M is odd). Fix a basis e_1, \dots, e_n of G and let $\psi(1) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i x_i e_{n+1-i}$. The map $\varphi : \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i$ then obviously induces a linear form χ on M such that $\text{grade } \text{Im } \chi = n$. The submodule $U = \text{Ker } \chi$ has properties (i) and (ii) of Proposition 1, and the (skewsymmetric) map $\rho : G \rightarrow G^*$ given by $\rho(e_i) = (-1)^i e_{n+1-i}^*$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, induces an

isomorphism $\bar{\rho} : U \rightarrow U^*$. (Here as in the following e_1^*, \dots, e_n^* denotes the basis of G^* dual to e_1, \dots, e_n .) So condition (2) in Proposition 1 may be replaced by

- (2') There exists a submodule U of M with the following properties:
- (i) $\text{rank } U = r - 1$;
 - (ii) U is orientable, and $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a free direct summand of $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all primes \mathfrak{p} of R such that $\text{grade } \mathfrak{p} \leq r$;
 - (iii) U is selfdual in a skewsymmetric way, i.e. there is an isomorphism $\rho : U \rightarrow U^*$ such that $\rho^* \circ h = -\rho$, $h : U \rightarrow U^{**}$ being the natural map.

The Koszul complex associated to φ induces an exact sequence

$$(1) \quad \Lambda^3 G \xrightarrow{\tau} \Lambda^2 G \xrightarrow{\sigma} \text{Ker } \varphi \rightarrow 0,$$

and there is a map p from $\text{Ker } \varphi$ onto U which has the kernel $\psi(1)$. So, in particular, U is minimally generated by $\binom{n}{2} - 1$ elements. The aim of this note is to give an explicit construction of U as a submodule of the free module $R^{\binom{n}{2}-1}$. Since

$$\text{Ker}(p \circ \sigma) = R \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} (-1)^{i-1} e_i \wedge e_{n+1-i} + \text{Ker } \sigma,$$

in view of (1) we obtain an exact sequence

$$R \oplus \Lambda^3 G \xrightarrow{\tilde{\tau}} \Lambda^2 G \xrightarrow{p \circ \sigma} U \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\tilde{\tau}(1, 0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} (-1)^{i-1} e_i \wedge e_{n+1-i} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\tau}(0, y) = \tau(y)$$

for all $y \in \Lambda^3 G$. Dualizing yields the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U^* \rightarrow \Lambda^2 G^* \xrightarrow{\tilde{\tau}^*} R \oplus \Lambda^3 G^*,$$

where we used the natural isomorphisms $\Lambda^k G^* \cong (\Lambda^k G)^*$. We shall explicitly represent $U^* = \text{Ker } \tilde{\tau}^*$ as a submodule of $\Lambda^2 G^*$.

PROPOSITION 2. *The elements*

$$r_{ij} = \varphi \wedge ((-1)^j x_i e_{n-j+1}^* + (-1)^{i+1} x_j e_{n-i+1}^*),$$

$i, j = 1, \dots, n$, generate U^* .

PROOF. Since $\varphi \wedge \eta$ vanishes on $\text{Im } \tau$ for all $\eta \in G^*$, we have $r_{ij} \circ \tau = 0$. Moreover,

$$r_{ij}(\tilde{\tau}(1, 0)) = (x_j x_i (-1)^j e_j^* \wedge e_{n-j+1}^* + (-1)^{i+1} x_i x_j e_i^* \wedge e_{n-i+1}^*)(\tilde{\tau}(1, 0)) = 0.$$

So $r_{ij} \in U^*$ for all i, j .

Let $\alpha = \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} a_{kl} e_k^* \wedge e_l^* \in U^*$. Then, in particular,

$$(2) \quad a_{1n} - a_{2,n-1} + \dots + (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}+1} a_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+1} = 0.$$

Since $\alpha \circ \tau(e_k \wedge e_l \wedge e_m) = 0$ for all k, l, m , we have in addition, that $a_{kl} \in Rx_k + Rx_l$ for all k, l .

Next we claim that there is an element $\beta = \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} b_{kl} e_k^* \wedge e_l^* \in \sum_{i,j} R \cdot r_{ij}$, such that $a_{kl} = b_{kl}$ for $k+l = n+1$. To prove this let $1 \leq k < n/2$, $k+l = n+1$, and $a_{st} = 0$ if $s < k$, $s+t = n+1$. We show that there is a β which satisfies $b_{st} = 0$ for $s < k$, $s+t = n+1$, and $b_{kl} = a_{kl}$. Because of (2) this will prove our claim. First we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} a_{kl} &\in (Rx_k + Rx_l) \cap (Rx_{k+1} + \dots + Rx_{l-1}) \\ &= Rx_k x_{k+1} + \dots + Rx_k x_{l-1} + Rx_l x_{k+1} + \dots + Rx_l x_{l-1}, \end{aligned}$$

since x_1, \dots, x_n is a regular sequence in R . Consider r_{kj} , r_{jl} for $j = k+1, \dots, l-1$. Using the canonical isomorphism $G \rightarrow G^{**}$, we get

$$(e_s \wedge e_t)(r_{kj}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 1 \leq s < k, \quad s+t = n+1, \\ \pm x_k x_j & \text{if } (s, t) = (k, l), \end{cases}$$

and

$$(e_s \wedge e_t)(r_{jl}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 1 \leq s < k, \quad s+t = n+1, \\ \pm x_j x_l & \text{if } (s, t) = (k, l). \end{cases}$$

So we can find an appropriate $b \in \sum_{i,j} R \cdot r_{ij}$.

In proving the proposition, namely $\alpha \in \sum_{i,j} R \cdot r_{ij}$, we may now assume that $a_{kl} = 0$ whenever $k+l = n+1$. We then show that there is an element $\gamma = \sum c_{kl} e_k^* \wedge e_l^* \in \sum_{i,j} R \cdot r_{ij}$ with $c_{kl} = 0$ for $k+l = n+1$ and $c_{1l} = a_{1l}$ for $l = 2, \dots, n/2$. Since

$$(3) \quad x_1 a_{ln} - x_l a_{1n} + x_n a_{1l} = 0 = x_1 a_{l,n-l+1} - x_l a_{1,n-l+1} + x_{n-l+1} a_{1l}$$

(which follows from $\alpha \circ \tau(e_1 \wedge e_l \wedge e_n) = 0 = \alpha \circ \tau(e_1 \wedge e_l \wedge e_{n-l+1})$), we obtain $a_{1l} \in Rx_1 x_l$. Obviously

$$(e_s \wedge e_t)(r_{l,n-l+1}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s+t = n+1 \text{ or } s=1, t < l, \\ (-1)^{l+1} x_1 x_l & \text{if } s=1, t=l. \end{cases}$$

So there is an appropriate $c \in \sum_{i,j} R \cdot r_{ij}$.

Finally suppose that $a_{kl} = 0$ for $k+l = n+1$ and $a_{1l} = 0$ for $l = 2, \dots, n/2$. Then, because of (3), $a_{1j} = 0$ for $j = 2, \dots, n$. Let $1 < i < j \leq n$. Since $x_1 a_{ij} - x_i a_{1j} + x_j a_{1i} = 0$, we get $a_{ij} = 0$. The proof is complete now. \square

PROPOSITION 3. With the above notation, for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $1 \leq k < l \leq n$

$$(*) \quad (e_k \wedge e_l)(r_{ij}) = -(e_i \wedge e_j)(r_{kl})$$

holds. Furthermore, $r_{ii} = 0$, $r_{ij} = -r_{ji}$, and

$$(**) \quad r_{1n} - r_{2n-1} + \dots + (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}+1} r_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+1} = 0.$$

Consequently, U^* is minimally generated by the elements r_{ij} for which $i < j$ and $(i, j) \neq (1, n)$, and is represented by the skewsymmetric matrix

$$((e_k \wedge e_l)(r_{ij})), \quad 1 \leq k < l \leq n, 1 \leq i < j \leq n, (k, l) \neq (1, n) \neq (i, j).$$

PROOF. Equation (*) is obtained by a straightforward computation, and (**) is a direct consequence of (*) since for all k, l , $k < l$:

$$\begin{aligned} (e_k \wedge e_l) \sum_{\substack{i < j \\ i+j=n+1}} (-1)^{i+1} r_{ij} &= - \left(\sum_{\substack{i < j \\ i+j=n+1}} (-1)^{i+1} e_i \wedge e_j \right) (r_{kl}) \\ &= r_{kl}(\tilde{\tau}(1, 0)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The remaining assertions follow from Proposition 2, the definition of the r_{ij} , and equations (*), (**). \square

In the simplest case $n = 4$, the matrix representing $U^* \cong U$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_1^2 & x_1x_2 & x_2^2 & -x_1x_4 + x_2x_3 \\ -x_1^2 & 0 & x_1x_3 & x_1x_4 + x_2x_3 & x_3^2 \\ -x_1x_2 & -x_1x_3 & 0 & x_2x_4 & x_3x_4 \\ -x_2^2 & -x_1x_4 - x_2x_3 & -x_2x_4 & 0 & x_4^2 \\ x_1x_4 - x_2x_3 & -x_3^2 & -x_3x_4 & -x_4^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

REMARKS 4. Suppose that $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is the polynomial ring in n indeterminates over a field K . In case $x_i = X_i$, the module U considered above seems to have already been studied in [4]; this is definitely true for $n = 4$. In this case it also coincides with the rank $n - 2$ module M_n constructed in [5] which likewise satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of Proposition 1. For $n > 4$, the two modules are definitely different: from [2] we know that $\text{projdim } U = (\text{projdim } U^*) = n - 2$, while $\text{projdim } M_n^* = 2$ for all n .

Besides the fact that M_n is defined for arbitrary $n \geq 2$, its dual has, in contrast to U , the remarkable property to be "optimal" in view of the Evans-Griffith syzygy theorem (cf. [1], 9.5.6 for example) because M_n^* is an $(n - 2)$ -th syzygy of rank $n - 2$. A concrete description of M_n^* similar to that we gave for U

in Proposition 3, seems to be more complicated. An attempt with SINGULAR [3] for $n = 5, 6$ leads to the supposition that the entries of a representing matrix are homogeneous of degree $n - 2$ if the characteristic of K is $\neq 2$.

References

1. Bruns W., Herzog J., *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Revised edition, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
2. Bruns W., Vetter U., *The Koszul complex in projective dimension one*, In: Geometric and Combinatorial Aspects of Commutative Algebra, J. Herzog and G. Restuccia eds., Marcel Dekker 2001, 89–98.
3. Greuel G.-M., Pfister G., Schönemann H., *Singular version 1.2*, University of Kaiserslautern, Centre for Computer Algebra 1986–1998.
4. Trautmann G., *Darstellung von Vektorraumbündeln über $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$* , Arch. Math. **24** (1973), 303–313.
5. Vetter U., *Zu einem Satz von G. Trautmann über den Rang gewisser kohärenter analytischer Garben*, Arch. Math. **24** (1973), 158–161.

Received March 15, 2001

Universität Oldenburg
Fachbereich Mathematik
D-26111 Oldenburg
Germany
e-mail: `vetter@mathematik.uni-oldenburg.de`