

LOCAL COHOMOLOGY AND MATLIS DUALITY

BY MICHAEL HELLUS AND JÜRGEN STÜCKRAD

Abstract. Relations between (set-theoretic) complete intersections and local cohomology are studied; it is explained in what sense Matlis duals of certain local cohomology modules carry enough information to decide whether the given ideal is a complete intersection or not. Finally, we present some related results on associated primes of Matlis duals of local cohomology modules.

1. The situation – notation and basic definitions. Let I be an ideal of a (always commutative, noetherian) ring R . For every R -module M one sets

$$\Gamma_I(M) := \{m \in M \mid I^n \cdot m = 0 \forall n \gg 0\}$$

(that is, $\Gamma_I(M)$ is the largest submodule of M whose support is contained in $V(I)$). The (right) derived functors of the (left exact) functor Γ_I are called *local cohomology functors* H_I^i with support in I (for $i \in \mathbb{N}$). One can show that these functors are affine versions of Serre cohomology on sheaves. [3] and [1] are general references for local cohomology.

Usually, we will assume in addition that R is local with maximal ideal m . In this case we denote by $E := E_R(R/m)$ a fixed R -injective hull of the R -module R/m and by D the (contravariant) functor $\text{Hom}_R(\cdot, E)$. Some of the following ideas are contained in the first author's Habilitationsschrift [7].

DEFINITION 1.1. I is a *set-theoretic complete intersection* iff it can be generated by $\text{height}(I)$ many elements up to radical, i.e., iff $\text{ara}(I) = \text{height}(I)$, where $\text{ara}(I)$ is the minimal number of generators of I up to radical.

REMARK 1.2. *It is an easy consequence of Krull's principal ideal theorem that there is always the inequality $\text{ara}(I) \geq \text{height}(I)$.*

From now on we will use “complete intersection” for “set-theoretic complete intersection.”

EXAMPLE 1.3. Let k be a field, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \geq 3$ and let \mathbb{P}_k^n denote projective n -space over k . Furthermore, let C_d be the (projective, smooth) curve which is the image of

$$\mathbb{P}_k^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^3, (u : v) \mapsto (u^d : u^{d-1}v : uv^{d-1} : v^d).$$

It is well-known that C_3 is a complete intersection. In addition, in the case of $\text{char}(k) > 0$ Hartshorne ([4, Theorem*]) resp. Bresinsky, second author and Renschuch ([2]) have shown that C_d is a complete intersection (for every $d \geq 3$). The case $\text{char}(k) = 0$ is open, even for $d = 4$. The curve C_4 is the famous *Macaulay curve*.

2. Results. The following (first) remark is an easy consequence of the fact that one may use Čech cohomology to compute Serre cohomology over an affine scheme:

REMARKS 2.1. (i) I is a complete intersection $\Rightarrow H_I^l(R) = 0$ for every $l > \text{height}(I)$. More generally, for every ideal I , one has $H_I^l(R) = 0$ for every $l > \text{ara}(I)$.

(ii) The reversed statement of (i) does not hold in general, here is an example (later we will refer to this example again): Let $R = k[[x, y, z, w]]$ be a formal power series ring over a base field k in four variables. Set $f := xw - yz$, $g_1 := y^3 - x^2z$, $g_2 := z^3 - u^2w$. It is easy to see that $I := \sqrt{(f, g_1, g_2)}R$ is the height two prime ideal of R which corresponds to the curve C_4 . In particular, $I/fR \subseteq R/fR$ has height one. We claim that both $H_{I/fR}^l(R/fR) = 0$ for every $l > 1$ and $\text{ara}(I/fR) \geq 2$ hold (in particular, I/fR is not a complete intersection, i.e., it is an example, where the reversed statement from (i) does not hold):

PROOF OF (II). Let y_0, \dots, y_3 be new variables and set $S := k[[y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3]]$. Denote by R_1 the three-dimensional subring $R_1 := k[[y_0y_1, y_0y_2, y_1y_3, y_2y_3]]$ of S . The ring homomorphism

$$R \rightarrow R_1, x \mapsto y_0y_1, y \mapsto y_0y_2, z \mapsto y_1y_3, w \mapsto y_2y_3$$

clearly induces an isomorphism

$$R/fR \cong R_1(\subseteq S).$$

Now consider the k -linear map

$$k[y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3] \xrightarrow{\varphi} R_1$$

that sends a term $y_0^{\alpha_0}y_1^{\alpha_1}y_2^{\alpha_2}y_3^{\alpha_3}$ to $y_0^{\alpha_0}y_1^{\alpha_1}y_2^{\alpha_2}y_3^{\alpha_3} \in R_1$ if $\alpha_0 + \alpha_3 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ holds, and to zero otherwise. Note that φ is well-defined by construction and naturally induces a map

$$S = k[[y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3]] \xrightarrow{\hat{\varphi}} R_1.$$

Now it is easy to see that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is R_1 -linear and makes R_1 into a direct summand in S (as an R_1 -submodule). Thus $H_I^2(R/fR)$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of $H_{I_S}^2(S)$. We have

$$IS = (g_1, g_2)S = ((y_0y_2^3 - y_1^3y_3) \cdot y_0^2, (y_0y_2^3 - y_1^3y_3) \cdot (-y_3^2))S$$

and

$$\sqrt{IS} = (y_0y_2^3 - y_1^3y_3)S.$$

This implies $H_{I_S}^2(S) = 0$ and thus, by what we have seen above, $H_I^2(R/fR) = 0$. Now we show $\text{ara}(I(R/fR)) = 2$: We assume $\text{ara}(I(R/fR)) \neq 2$; then we clearly have $\text{ara}(I(R/fR)) = 1$. Let $h \in R$ be such that

$$I(R/fR) = \sqrt{h(R/fR)}$$

holds. This implies

$$\sqrt{IS} = \sqrt{hS}.$$

We have seen before that

$$\sqrt{IS} = (y_0y_2^3 - y_1^3y_3)S$$

holds. S is a unique factorization domain and so there exist $N \geq 1$ and $s \in S$ such that

$$h = (y_0y_2^3 - y_1^3y_3)^N \cdot s \text{ and } (y_0y_2^3 - y_1^3y_3) \nmid s$$

hold. From $h \in R_1 \subseteq S$ it follows that all terms $y_0^{\alpha_0} y_1^{\alpha_1} y_2^{\alpha_2} y_3^{\alpha_3}$ in $h \in S$ have the property $\alpha_0 + \alpha_3 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$; on the other hand, all terms $y_0^{\alpha_0} y_1^{\alpha_1} y_2^{\alpha_2} y_3^{\alpha_3}$ of $(y_0y_2^3 - y_1^3y_3)^N$ have the property $(\alpha_0 + \alpha_3) - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = -2N$. So we can assume that all terms $y_0^{\alpha_0} y_1^{\alpha_1} y_2^{\alpha_2} y_3^{\alpha_3}$ of s have the property $(\alpha_0 + \alpha_3) - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = 2N$. But then s cannot be a unit in S and so

$$(y_0y_2^3 - y_1^3y_3)S = \sqrt{hS} = (y_0y_2^3 - y_1^3y_3)S \cap \sqrt{sS}$$

clearly leads to a contradiction. \square

Thus the implication from Remark 2.1 (i) is not an equivalence, in general; the next result answers the question what additional condition is required to get equivalence:

THEOREM 2.2. *Set $h := \text{height}(I)$ and let $f_1, \dots, f_h \in I$ be an R -regular sequence. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\sqrt{(f_1, \dots, f_h)R} = \sqrt{I}$; in particular, I is a complete intersection.
- (ii) $H_I^l(R) = 0$ for every $l > h$ and f_1, \dots, f_h is a $D(H_I^h(R))$ -regular sequence.
- (iii) $H_I^l(R) = 0$ for every $l > h$ and f_1, \dots, f_h is a $D(H_I^h(R))$ -quasiregular sequence.

The (technical) proof can be found in [7, Corollary 1.1.4]. Note that a sequence $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$ is called *M-quasiregular* for a given R -module M if multiplication by every x_i is injective on $M/(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}M)$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Due to the importance of $D(H_I^h(R))$ -regular sequences (in the above situation) contained in I , one might be tempted to define a notion of depth in the following sense:

DEFINITION 2.3. For every ideal I of R and every $l \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\text{depth}(I, D(H_I^l(R)))$ be the maximal length of a $D(H_I^l(R))$ -regular sequence inside I .

But this notion is not well-behaved in the sense that, in general, not all maximal regular sequences have the same length; here is a concrete example:

Again, like in Remark 2.1 (ii), let $I \subseteq k[[x, y, z, w]]$ be the ideal corresponding to the curve C_4 ; assume $\text{char}(k) > 0$. As we mentioned before, I is a complete intersection. Therefore, because of Theorem 2.2, $\text{depth}(I, D(H_I^2(R))) = 2$. On the other hand, one can show that $f := xw - yz \in I$ is a regular sequence (of length one) on $D(H_I^2(R))$ (this follows e.g. from calculations in Remark 2.1 (ii)). But there is no $h \in I$ such that $\sqrt{(f, h)R} = \sqrt{I}$, because I/fR is not a complete intersection. Therefore, again because of Theorem 2.2, the sequence consisting solely of f is already maximal.

Nevertheless, Theorem 2.2 suggests to study $D(H_I^h(R))$ -regular sequences contained in I ; this problem is related to the study of the set $\text{Ass}_R(D(H_I^h(R)))$ of associated primes of $D(H_I^h(R))$.

The general idea that associated primes of $D(H_I^h(R))$ tend to be “small” becomes concrete in the following special

EXAMPLE 2.4. Let $R = k[[X, Y]]$ be a formal power series ring over a field k in two variables and set $I := XR$. Čech cohomology shows $H_I^1(R) = k[[Y]][X^{-1}]$. A tedious calculation based on this description shows $D(H_I^1(R)) = k[Y^{-1}][[X]]$. Note that, for any ring S , an expression like $S[X^{-1}]$ stands for the direct sum over all $S \cdot X^{-l}$ for $l \leq -1$. Also note that $k[Y^{-1}][[X]]$ is bigger than $k[[X]][Y^{-1}]$.

Using the above description of $D(H_I^1(R))$ we consider the element $Y^{-1}X + Y^{-4}X^2 + Y^{-9}X^3 + \dots \in D(H_I^1(R))$. It is not too difficult to see that its annihilator in R is zero; in particular, $\{0\} \in \text{Ass}_R(D(H_I^1(R)))$.

A generalization of the preceding example is

THEOREM 2.5. Let $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$. For an arbitrary sequence $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_i$ of elements of R one has

$$(1) \quad \{p \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \underline{x} \text{ is part of a s. o. p. of } R/p\} \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(D(H_{(\underline{x})R}^i(R))).$$

A proof can be found in [7, Theorem 3.1.3]. On the other hand, it was shown also in [7, Remark 1.2.1] that

REMARK 2.6. *In the above situation*

$$(2) \quad \text{Ass}_R(D(H_{(\underline{x})R}^i(R))) \subseteq \{p \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid H_{(\underline{x})R}^i(R/p) \neq 0\}$$

holds.

But while one can show that, in general, (1) is not an equality, it is conjectured that (2) is an equality; this is conjecture (*) from [5, 7, 8].

THEOREM 2.7. *The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *Conjecture (*) holds, i. e. for every noetherian local ring (R, m) , every $i > 0$ and every sequence x_1, \dots, x_i of elements of R the equality*

$$\text{Ass}_R(D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(R))) = \{p \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(R/p) \neq 0\}$$

holds.

(ii) *For every noetherian local ring (R, m) , every $i > 0$ and every sequence x_1, \dots, x_i of elements of R the set*

$$Y := \text{Ass}_R(D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(R)))$$

is stable under generalization, i. e., the implication

$$p_0, p_1 \in \text{Spec}(R), p_0 \subseteq p_1, p_1 \in Y \implies p_0 \in Y$$

holds.

(iii) *For every noetherian local domain (R, m) , every $i > 0$ and every sequence x_1, \dots, x_i of elements of R the implication*

$$H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(R) \neq 0 \implies \{0\} \in \text{Ass}_R(D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(R)))$$

holds.

(iv) *For every noetherian local ring (R, m) , every finitely generated R -module M , every $i > 0$ and every sequence x_1, \dots, x_i of elements of R the equality*

$$(3) \quad \text{Ass}_R(D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(M))) = \{p \in \text{Supp}_R(M) \mid H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(M/pM) \neq 0\}$$

holds.

PROOF. First we show that (i) – (iii) are equivalent.

(i) \implies (ii): In the given situation we have

$$\text{Hom}_R(R/p_1, D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(R))) \neq 0;$$

this implies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\neq \text{Hom}_R(R/p_0, D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(R))) \\ &= \text{Hom}_R(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(R) \otimes_R (R/p_0), E_R(R/m)) \\ &= D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(R/p_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus conjecture (*) implies that p_0 is associated to $D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(R))$.

(ii) \implies (iii): We assume that $H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(R) \neq 0$. This implies

$$D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(R)) \neq 0$$

and hence $\text{Ass}_R(D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(R))) \neq \emptyset$; now (ii) shows

$$\{0\} \in \text{Ass}_R(D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(R))).$$

(iii) \implies (i): We know that \subseteq holds always; we take a prime ideal p of R such that $H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(R/p) \neq 0$ and we have to show $p \in \text{Ass}_R(D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(R)))$: We apply (iii) to the domain R/p and get an R -linear injection

$$\begin{aligned} R/p &\rightarrow D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)(R/p)}^i(R/p)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_R(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(R/p), E_R(R/m)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_R(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(R) \otimes_R R/p, E_R(R/p)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_R(R/p, D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(R))) \\ &\subseteq D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(R)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that we used $H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)(R/p)}^i(R/p) = H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(R/p)$ and the fact that $\text{Hom}_R(R/p, E_R(R/m))$ is an R/p -injective hull of R/m . Now it is clearly sufficient to show that (i) implies (iv): " \subseteq ": Every element p of the left-hand side of identity (3) must contain $\text{Ann}_R(M)$ and hence is an element of $\text{Supp}_R(M)$; furthermore, it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\neq \text{Hom}_R(R/p, D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(M))) \\ &= \text{Hom}_R(R/p \otimes_R H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(M), E_R(R/m)) \\ &= D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(M/pM)). \end{aligned}$$

" \supseteq ": Let p be an element of the support of M such that $H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(M/pM)$ is not zero. We set $\bar{R} := R/\text{Ann}_R(M)$, M is an \bar{R} -module. $p \supseteq \text{Ann}_R(M)$, we set $\bar{p} := p/\text{Ann}_R(M)$. Clearly, our hypothesis implies that $H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)\bar{R}}^i(\bar{R}) \neq 0$. We apply (i) to \bar{R} and deduce

$$\bar{p} \in \text{Ass}_{\bar{R}}(D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)\bar{R}}^i(\bar{R}))).$$

Hence there is an R -linear injection

$$0 \rightarrow R/p = \bar{R}/\bar{p} \rightarrow D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)\bar{R}}^i(\bar{R})),$$

which induces an R -linear injection

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, R/p) \\
&\rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)\overline{R}}^i(\overline{R}))) \\
&= \operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(M, D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)\overline{R}}^i(\overline{R}))) \\
&= D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)\overline{R}}^i(M)) \\
&= D(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(M)).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for the second equality we have used Hom-Tensor adjointness and for the last equality the facts that M is an \overline{R} -module and that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\overline{R}, E_R(R/m))$ is an \overline{R} -injective hull of R/m ; It is sufficient to show $p \in \operatorname{Ass}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, R/p))$; but M is finite and so we have

$$(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, R/p))_p = \operatorname{Hom}_{R_p}(M_p, R_p/pR_p) \neq 0,$$

which shows that pR_p is associated to the R_p -module $(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, R/p))_p$. Thus $p \in \operatorname{Ass}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, R/p))$. \square

Even in the following special case it seems to be very difficult to completely calculate the set of associated primes:

EXAMPLE 2.8. Let $R = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ be a formal power series ring in $n \geq 2$ (to avoid trivial cases) variables and set $I := (x_1, \dots, x_i)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let m denote the maximal ideal of R .

- Case $i = n$: It is easy to see that (*) holds.
- Case $i = n - 1$: Conjecture (*) holds (this follows e.g. from Theorem 2.10 below).
- Case $i = n - 2$: It is easy to see (e.g. from Remark 2.6) that for every prime ideal p of R one has $p \in \operatorname{Ass}_R(D(H_I^{n-2}(R))) \Rightarrow \operatorname{height}(p) \leq 2$; in addition, for every height two prime ideal p of R , one has

$$p \in \operatorname{Ass}_R(D(H_I^{n-2}(R))) \iff I + p \text{ is } m\text{-primary.}$$

For every prime element $p \in I \setminus mI$ one has

$$pR \notin \operatorname{Ass}_R(D(H_I^{n-2}(R)))$$

(this can be deduced from $H_I^{n-2}(R/pR) = 0$). But note that, in general, there are prime elements $p \in I$ such that $pR \in \operatorname{Ass}_R(D(H_I^{n-2}(R)))$. For example, take $n = 5, h = 3, k = \mathbb{Q}$ and $p := -X_2X_4^2 + X_3X_4X_5 - X_1X_5^2 + 4X_1X_2 - X_3^2 \in I$. Then pR is (even maximal) in $\operatorname{Ass}_R(D(H_I^3(R)))$ (this is explained and proven in [7, Remarks 4.3.2]).

Finally, if $p \in R$ is a prime element that is not contained in I , one has

$$pR \in \operatorname{Ass}_R(D(H_I^{n-2}(R)))$$

(this follows from Theorem 2.5).

Finally, there are two results on the set $\text{Ass}_R(D(H_J^{\dim(R)}(R)))$ for one-dimensional ideals $J \subseteq R$:

THEOREM 2.9. *Let $J \subseteq R$ be an ideal of the local ring R such that $\dim(R/J) = 1$ and $H_J^{\dim(R)}(R) = 0$. Then*

$$\text{Assh}(D(H_J^{\dim(R)-1}(R))) = \text{Assh}(R)$$

(here Assh stands for those associated primes of highest dimension) holds.

THEOREM 2.10. *Let $J \subseteq R$ be an ideal of the local complete ring R such that $\dim(R/J) = 1$ and $H_J^{\dim(R)}(R) = 0$. Then*

$$\text{Ass}_R(D(H_J^{\dim(R)-1}(R)))$$

$= \{P \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \dim(R/P) = \dim(R) - 1, \dim(R/(P + J)) = 0\} \cup \text{Assh}(R)$
holds.

Proofs of the preceding two results can be found in [7, Theorems 3.2.6 and 3.2.7].

References

1. Brodmann M. P., Sharp R. J., *Local Cohomology*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, **60**, (1998).
2. Bresinsky H., Stückrad J., Renschuch B., *Mengentheoretisch vollständige Durchschnitte verschiedener rationaler Raumkurven im \mathbf{P}^3* , Math. Nachr., **104** (1981), 147–169.
3. Grothendieck A., *Local Cohomology*, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer Verlag, 1967.
4. Hartshorne R., *Complete intersections in characteristic $p > 0$* , Amer. J. Math., **101** (1979).
5. Hellus M., *On the associated primes of Matlis duals of top local cohomology modules*, Comm. Algebra, **33**, No. 11 (2005), 3997–4009.
6. Hellus M., *Matlis duals of top local cohomology modules and the arithmetic rank of an ideal*, to appear in Comm. Algebra.
7. Hellus M., *Local Cohomology and Matlis duality*, Habilitationsschrift, Leipzig, 2006.
8. Hellus M., Stückrad J., *Matlis duals of top Local Cohomology Modules*, submitted to Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.

Received December 18, 2006

Both authors:
 Mathematisches Institut
 Universitaet Leipzig
 Postfach 10 09 20
 D-04009 Leipzig
 Germany
e-mail: hellus@math.uni-leipzig.de
e-mail: stueckrad@math.uni-leipzig.de