# Nonlinear Equations with Operators Satisfying Generalized Lipschitz Conditions in Scales

### J. Janno

Dedicated to my good collaborator Prof. L. von Wolfersdorf

**Abstract.** By means of the contraction principle we prove existence, uniqueness and stability of solutions for nonlinear equations  $u + G_0[D, u] + L(G_1[D, u], G_2[D, u]) = f$  in a Banach space E, where  $G_0, G_1, G_2$  satisfy Lipschitz conditions in scales of norms, L is a bilinear operator and D is a data parameter. The theory is applicable for inverse problems of memory identification and generalized convolution equations of the second kind.

**Keywords:** Nonlinear operator equations, nonlinear convolution equations, scales of norms, fixed point theorems, existence, uniqueness and stability of solutions of nonlinear equations

AMS subject classification:  $47\,\mathrm{H}\,15,\ 45\,\mathrm{G}\,10,\ 45\,\mathrm{D}\,05$ 

# 0. Introduction

Recently by the author and L.v. Wolfersdorf global solvability and stability with respect to free terms has been proved for nonlinear convolution equations of the second kind [11, 12]. These results were generalized to abstract equations containing operators which are Lipschitz-continuous in scales of norms [9].

On the other hand, in the most important applications of this theory (see [7, 8, 10]) the operators involved are dependent on the data. In the present paper we generalize the results of the work [9] to the case when the Lipschitz operators depend on a data parameter D and derive stability estimates with respect to D and the free term f. In contrast to [9] the stability results obtained in this paper are global. As in [11, 12] we will use the Banach fixed point theorem in scales of norms.

J. Janno: Estonian Academy of Sciences, Institute of Cybernetics, Akadeemia teer 21, EE-0026 Tallinn, Estonia. The paper was written during a stay of the author at the Freiberg University of Mining and Technology with a grant of DFG from September 1997 to December 1997. The author acknowledges also the support of the Estonian Science Foundation.

## 1. Equations with Lipschitz operators in scales

We will study the operator equation

$$u + G_0[D, u] + L(G_1[D, u], G_2[D, u]) = f$$
(1)

in a Banach space E, where  $G_0 \in (\hat{E} \times E \to E)$  and  $G_i \in (\hat{E} \times E \to E_i)$  (i = 1, 2), with  $\hat{E}$  a linear space,  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are normed spaces, and L is a bilinear operator from  $E_1 \times E_2$  into E.

We suppose that the spaces E and  $E_i$  are endowed with scales of norms  $\|\cdot\|_{\sigma}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{i,\sigma}$  ( $\sigma \geq 0$ ), respectively, which satisfy the conditions

$$\kappa(\sigma) \|u\|_0 \le \|u\|_{\sigma} \le \|u\|_0 \qquad (u \in E)$$
 (2)

and

$$||v_i||_{i,\sigma} \le ||v_i||_{i,0} \qquad (v_i \in E_i)$$
 (3)

where

$$\kappa \in C(\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+) \qquad (\kappa > 0),$$
(4)

the linear space  $\hat{E}$  is endowed with a semi-norm  $|\cdot|$  and for the operators  $G_0, G_i$  and L the assumptions

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_0[D_1, u_1] - G_0[D_2, u_2]\|_{\sigma} \\ &\leq M_0(|D_1|, |D_2|, \|u_1\|_{\sigma}, \|u_2\|_{\sigma}, \sigma)(|D_1 - D_2| + \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\sigma}) \end{aligned}$$
(5)

$$||G_{i}[D_{1}, u_{1}] - G_{i}[D_{2}, u_{2}]||_{i,\sigma}$$

$$\leq M_{i}(|D_{1}|, |D_{2}|, ||u_{1}||_{\sigma}, ||u_{2}||_{\sigma})(|D_{1} - D_{2}| + ||u_{1} - u_{2}||_{\sigma})$$
(6)

for  $D_1, D_2 \in \hat{E}$  and  $u_1, u_2 \in E$  and

$$||L(v_1, v_2)||_{\sigma} \le N||v_1||_{1,\sigma}||v_2||_{2,\sigma}$$
(7)

$$||L(v_1, v_2)||_{\sigma} \le \lambda(\sigma) \min \{||v_1||_{1,0} ||v_2||_{2,\sigma}; ||v_1||_{1,\sigma} ||v_2||_{2,0}\}$$
(8)

for  $v_i \in E_i$  (i=1,2) hold. Here  $N \geq 0$  and the functions  $M_0, M_i$  and  $\lambda$  satisfy the conditions

$$M_0 \in C(\mathbb{R}^5_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+), \quad M_0(x_1, ..., x_4, \sigma) \text{ is increasing in } x_1, ..., x_4$$
 (9)

$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} M_0(x_1, ..., x_4, \sigma) = 0 \quad \text{for any } (x_1, ..., x_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4$$
 (10)

$$M_i \in C(\mathbb{R}^4_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+), \quad M_i(x_1, ..., x_4) \text{ is increasing in } x_1, ..., x_4$$
 (11)

$$\lambda \in C(\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+), \quad \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \lambda(\sigma) = 0.$$
 (12)

where as usual  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ .

**Theorem.** Let assumptions (2) - (12) be fulfilled. Then equation (1) has a unique solution  $u \in E$  for any  $D \in \hat{E}$  and  $f \in E$ . Moreover, for solutions  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  corresponding to data  $D_1$ ,  $f_1$  and  $D_2$ ,  $f_2$ , respectively, the stability estimate

$$||u_1 - u_2||_0 \le \Lambda(Q_1, Q_2) (|D_1 - D_2| + ||f_1 - f_2||_0)$$
(13)

holds where

$$Q_i = \left( |D_i|, ||f_i||_0, ||G_0[D_i, f_i]||_0, ||G_1[D_i, f_i]||_{1,0}, ||G_2[D_i, f_i]||_{2,0} \right) \qquad (i = 1, 2)$$

and  $\Lambda \in C(\mathbb{R}^{10}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+), \Lambda > 0$  and  $\Lambda$  - increasing in  $x_1, ..., x_{10}$ . If the operators  $G_i$  (i = 0, 1, 2) satisfy the conditions  $G_0[0, 0] = G_1[0, 0] = G_2[0, 0] = 0$ , then (13) has the simplified form

$$||u_1 - u_2||_0 \le \Lambda_1(|D_1|, ||f_1||_0, |D_2|, ||f_2||_0)(|D_1 - D_2| + ||f_1 - f_2||_0), \tag{14}$$

where  $\Lambda_1 \in C(\mathbb{R}^4_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $\Lambda_1 > 0$  and  $\Lambda_1$  is increasing in  $x_1, ..., x_4$ .

The proof of Theorem is given in the next section.

The main area of applications of equation (1) and the related Theorem are inverse problems for determining memory kernels in heat flow [7], viscoelasticity [5, 8] and thermo- and poroviscoelasticity [10]. All these problems admit reductions to integral equations or systems of integral equations of the form

$$m(t) + G_0[D, m](t) + K[D, m] * m(t) = f(t)$$
  $(t \in [0, T])$ 

in a Banach space  $E = X^n$ , where X a functional space over the interval [0,T] and  $n \ge 1$ . Here m is the memory kernel, or a vector of independent memory kernels, and

$$G_0[D,\cdot] \in (E \to E)$$
 and  $K[D,\cdot] \in (E \to X^{n \times n})$ 

are operators of m depending on the data vector D of the inverse problem. The bilinear operator L in these cases is the convolution operator

$$L(v_1, v_2)(t) \equiv v_1 * v_2(t) = \int_0^t v_1(t - \tau)v_2(\tau) d\tau$$

and the scales of norms are defined using exponential weights of the form  $e^{-\sigma t}$  ( $\sigma \geq 0$ ).

The technique of scales of norms enables to formulate statements about global existence, uniqueness and stability of solutions of these nonlinear integral equations of the second kind.

It is remarkable that the method of weighted norms applies to inverse problems of memory identification also in the case if one makes use of an approach different from the reduction to integral equations (e.g., a priori estimates [2, 3], the theory of semigroups [1], etc.). This is due to the fact that these problems, if they are constructed from linear constitutive laws, contain only nonlinearities of convolution type.

Other areas of application of the theory of the present paper are equations of autoconvolution type [1, 6, 11] arising in stochastics and spectroscopy as well as more theoretical examples of equations involving various types of generalized convolutions. Concerning the latter examples we refer the reader to the previous papers of the author and L. v. Wolfersdorf [9, 11, 12].

### 2. Proof of Theorem

The proof uses the contraction principle in balls

$$B_{\rho,\sigma}(w) = \left\{ u \in E : \|u - w\|_{\sigma} \le \rho \right\} \qquad (\rho > 0, \, \sigma \ge 0, \, w \in E). \tag{15}$$

**Step 1.** At first we show that the auxiliary equation

$$g + G_0[D, g] = f \tag{16}$$

has a solution in  $B_{R,\sigma}(f)$ , where  $R = 2||G_0[D,f]||_0$  and  $\sigma$  is chosen large enough. We define the operator  $A_1g = f - G_0[D,g]$ . Then equation (16) reads  $g = A_1g$ . In view of (2), (5) and the monotonicity of  $M_0$  we have

$$||A_{1}g - f||_{\sigma}$$

$$\leq ||G_{0}[D, g] - G_{0}[D, f]||_{\sigma} + ||G_{0}[D, f]||_{\sigma}$$

$$\leq M_{0}(|D|, |D|, ||g - f||_{\sigma} + ||f||_{0}, ||f||_{0}, \sigma) ||g - f||_{\sigma} + ||G_{0}[D, f]||_{0}$$
(17)

and

$$||A_{1}g_{1} - A_{1}g_{2}||_{\sigma}$$

$$= ||G_{0}[D, g_{1}] - G_{0}[D, g_{2}]||_{\sigma}$$

$$\leq M_{0}(|D|, |D|, ||g_{1} - f||_{\sigma} + ||f||_{0}, ||g_{2} - f||_{\sigma} + ||f||_{0}, \sigma) ||g_{1} - g_{2}||_{\sigma}.$$
(18)

In the case  $g, g_1, g_2 \in B_{R,\sigma}(f)$  inequalities (17) and (18) yield

$$||A_1g - f||_{\sigma} \le M_0(|D|, |D|, R + ||f||_0, ||f||_0, \sigma)R + \frac{R}{2}$$
$$||A_1g_1 - A_1g_2||_{\sigma} \le M_0(|D|, |D|, R + ||f||_0, R + ||f||_0, \sigma) ||g_1 - g_2||_{\sigma}.$$

By the continuity of  $M_0$  and the limit condition (10) there exists a quite large  $\sigma = \sigma_0$  depending continuously on  $||f||_0$ , |D| and R, so that

$$A_1B_{R,\sigma_0}(f)\subseteq B_{R,\sigma_0}(f), \qquad A_1 \text{ is a contraction in } B_{R,\sigma_0}(f).$$

Therefore, equation (16) has for every  $f \in E$  a unique solution g in the ball  $B_{R,\sigma_0}(f)$ .

Next we derive some estimates for the solution g of equation (16). To this end we introduce the vector

$$Q = \left( |D|, ||f||_0, ||G_0[D, f]||_0, ||G_1[D, f]||_{1,0}, ||G_2[D, f]||_{2,0} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^5_+.$$

By definition (15) of the ball  $B_{R,\sigma_0}(f)$  the solution g satisfies the inequality

$$||q - f||_{\sigma_0} < R = 2||G_0[D, f]||_0$$

Thus, by means of (2) and (4) we obtain

$$||g||_0 \le \mu_0(Q) \tag{19}$$

where

$$\mu_0(Q) = 2 \|G_0[D, f]\|_0 (\kappa(\sigma_0(Q)))^{-1} + \|f\|_0$$

is a positive continuous function of Q. Further, using (2) and (6) we can estimate

$$||G_i[D,g]||_{i,0} \le ||G_i[D,g] - G_i[D,f]||_{i,0} + ||G_i[D,f]||_{i,0}$$
  
$$\le M_i(|D|,|D|,||g||_0,||f||_0) ||g - f||_0 + ||G_i[D,f]||_{i,0}.$$

Applying here (11) and (19) we obtain

$$||G_i[D,g]||_{i,0} \le \mu_i(Q) \qquad (i=1,2)$$
 (20)

where

$$\mu_i(Q) = 2M_i(|D|, |D|, \mu_0(Q), ||f||_0) \frac{||G_0[D, f]||_0}{\kappa(\sigma_0(Q))} + ||G_i[D, f]||_{i,0}$$

are again positive continuous functions of Q.

**Step 2.** Let us return to equation (1). In view of (16) we write it in the operator form u = Au, where

$$Au = g - L(G_1[D, u], G_2[D, u]) + G_0[D, g] - G_0[D, u].$$

We are going to show that equation (1) has a solution in the ball  $B_{\rho,\sigma}(g)$ , where  $\rho$  is small enough and  $\sigma$  is large enough.

Observing estimates (2), (3) and (5) - (8), the bilinearity of L as well as the monotonicity of the functions  $M_0, M_1$  and  $M_2$  we obtain

$$||Au - g||_{\sigma}$$

$$\leq ||L(G_{1}[D, u] - G_{1}[D, g], G_{2}[D, u] - G_{2}[D, g])||_{\sigma}$$

$$+ ||L(G_{1}[D, u] - G_{1}[D, g], G_{2}[D, g])||_{\sigma}$$

$$+ ||L(G_{1}[D, g], G_{2}[D, u] - G_{2}[D, g])||_{\sigma}$$

$$+ ||L(G_{1}[D, g], G_{2}[D, g])||_{\sigma}$$

$$+ ||G_{0}[D, g] - G_{0}[D, u]||_{\sigma}$$

$$\leq NM_{1}(|D|, |D|, ||u - g||_{\sigma} + ||g||_{0}, ||g||_{0})$$

$$\times M_{2}(|D|, |D|, ||u - g||_{\sigma} + ||g||_{0}, ||g||_{0}) ||u - g||_{\sigma}^{2}$$

$$+ \lambda(\sigma) \sum_{i=1}^{2} M_{i}(|D|, |D|, ||u - g||_{\sigma} + ||g||_{0}, ||g||_{0}) ||G_{j_{i}}[D, g]||_{j_{i}, 0} ||u - g||_{\sigma}$$

$$+ \lambda(\sigma) ||G_{1}[D, g]||_{1, 0} ||G_{2}[D, g]||_{2, 0}$$

$$+ M_{0}(|D|, |D|, ||u - g||_{\sigma} + ||g||_{0}, ||g||_{0}, \sigma) ||u - g||_{\sigma}$$

$$+ M_{0}(|D|, |D|, ||u - g||_{\sigma} + ||g||_{0}, ||g||_{0}, \sigma) ||u - g||_{\sigma}$$

and

$$||Au_{1} - Au_{2}||_{\sigma}$$

$$\leq ||L(G_{1}[D, u_{1}] - G_{1}[D, u_{2}], G_{2}[D, u_{1}] - G_{2}[D, g] + G_{2}[D, g])||_{\sigma}$$

$$+ ||L(G_{1}[D, u_{2}] - G_{1}[D, g] + G_{1}[D, g], G_{2}[D, u_{1}] - G_{2}[D, u_{2}])||_{\sigma}$$

$$+ ||G_{0}[D, u_{1}] - G_{0}[D, u_{2}]||_{\sigma}$$

$$\leq \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{2} M_{i} \left( |D|, |D|, ||u_{1} - g||_{\sigma} + ||g||_{0}, ||u_{2} - g||_{\sigma} + ||g||_{0} \right) \right.$$

$$\times \left[ NM_{j_{i}} \left( |D|, |D|, ||u_{i} - g||_{\sigma} + ||g||_{0}, ||g||_{0} \right) ||u_{i} - g||_{\sigma} + \lambda(\sigma) ||G_{j_{i}}[D, g]||_{j_{i}, 0} \right]$$

$$+ M_{0} \left( |D|, |D|, ||u_{1} - g||_{\sigma} + ||g||_{0}, ||u_{2} - g||_{\sigma} + ||g||_{0}, \sigma \right) \right\} ||u_{1} - u_{2}||_{\sigma}$$

where  $j_i$  is defined so that  $j_1 = 2$  and  $j_2 = 1$ . Further, assuming that  $u, u_1, u_2 \in B_{\rho,\sigma}(g)$  and applying estimates (19) and (20) in (21) and (22) we have

$$||Au - g||_{\sigma} \leq \rho^{2} N M_{1}(|D|, |D|, \rho + \mu_{0}(Q), \mu_{0}(Q)) M_{2}(|D|, |D|, \rho + \mu_{0}(Q), \mu_{0}(Q))$$

$$+ \rho \lambda(\sigma) \sum_{i=1}^{2} M_{i}(|D|, |D|, \rho + \mu_{0}(Q), \mu_{0}(Q)) \mu_{j_{i}}(Q)$$

$$+ \lambda(\sigma) \mu_{1}(Q) \mu_{2}(Q) + \rho M_{0}(|D|, |D|, \rho + \mu_{0}(Q), \mu_{0}(Q), \sigma)$$

$$(23)$$

and

$$||Au_{1} - Au_{2}||_{\sigma}$$

$$\leq \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{2} M_{i}(|D|, |D|, \rho + \mu_{0}(Q), \rho + \mu_{0}(Q)) \right\}$$

$$\times \left[ \rho N M_{j_{i}}(|D|, |D|, \rho + \mu_{0}(Q), \mu_{0}(Q)) + \lambda(\sigma)\mu_{j_{i}}(Q) \right]$$

$$+ M_{0}(|D|, |D|, \rho + \mu_{0}(Q), \rho + \mu_{0}(Q), \sigma) \right\} ||u_{1} - u_{2}||_{\sigma}.$$
(24)

By virtue of the limit conditions (10) and (12) and the monotonicity of  $M_0, M_1$  and  $M_2$  there exist a quite small but positive  $\rho = \rho_1$  and quite large  $\sigma = \sigma_1$  so that

$$AB_{\rho_1,\sigma}(g) \subseteq B_{\rho_1,\sigma}(g), \qquad A \text{ is a contraction in } B_{\rho_1,\sigma}(g)$$

for every  $\sigma \geq \sigma_1$ .

Therefore, equation (1) has a unique solution in every ball  $B_{\rho_1,\sigma}(g)$ , where  $\sigma \geq \sigma_1$ . Particularly, this proves the existence assertion of Theorem. Since the functions

 $\mu_0, \mu_1, \mu_2, M_0, M_1, M_2$  and  $\lambda$  are continuous, the quantities  $\rho_1$  and  $\sigma_1$  depend also continuously on Q.

**Step 3.** Let us show the uniqueness of the solution of equation (1) in the whole space E. Suppose that  $u_1 \in E$  and  $u_2 \in E$  are two arbitrary solutions of (1). Then from (1) in view of (2), (3), (5), (8) and (16) we obtain

$$||u_{i} - g||_{\sigma} \leq ||L(G_{1}[D, u_{i}], G_{2}[D, u_{i}])||_{\sigma} + ||G_{0}[D, u_{i}] - G_{0}[D, g]||_{\sigma} \leq \lambda(\sigma) ||G_{1}[D, u_{i}]||_{1,0} ||G_{2}[D, u_{i}]||_{2,0} + M_{0}(|D|, |D|, ||u_{i}||_{0}, ||g||_{0}, \sigma) ||u_{i} - g||_{0}.$$

Due to the limit conditions (10) and (12) the relations  $\lim_{\sigma\to\infty} \|u_i - g\|_{\sigma} = 0$  hold for i=1,2. Thus, there exists a quite large  $\sigma \geq \sigma_1$  so that  $\|u_i - g\|_{\sigma} \leq \rho_1$  for i=1,2. Observing definition (15) we see that  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  belong to a ball  $B_{\rho_1,\sigma}(g)$ , where the uniqueness has already been show. Consequently,  $u_1 = u_2$ .

**Step 4.** Finally, let us derive the stability estimates (13) and (14). To this end we need a bound for  $||u||_0$  in terms Q. In the second part of the proof we have shown that the solution of equation (1) belongs to the ball  $B_{\rho_1,\sigma_1}(g)$ . Thus, by definition (15) we get the inequality  $||u-g||_{\sigma_1} \leq \rho_1$ . Using here (2) and (19) we obtain

$$||u||_0 \le \mu(Q) \tag{25}$$

where  $\mu(Q) = \rho_1(Q)\kappa(\sigma_1(Q))^{-1} + \mu_0(Q)$ .

Since  $\rho_1, \sigma_1, \kappa$  and  $\mu_0$  are positive continuous functions of Q,  $\mu$  is also positive and continuous.

Further we denote the solutions of equation (16) corresponding the data  $D_i$  and  $f_i$  by  $g_i$  (i = 1, 2), respectively, and subtract the equations (1) with  $D_1, f_1$  and  $D_2, f_2$ . We get the relation

$$u_1 - u_2 = f_1 - f_2 + G_0[D_2, u_2] - G_0[D_1, u_1]$$

$$+ L(G_1[D_2, u_2] - G_1[D_1, u_1], G_2[D_2, u_2] - G_2[D_2, g_2] + G_2[D_2, g_2])$$

$$+ L(G_1[D_1, u_1] - G_1[D_1, g_1] + G_1[D_1, g_1], G_2[D_2, u_2] - G_2[D_1, u_1]).$$

By means of assumptions (2), (5), (6), (8) and the monotonicity of  $M_0, M_1, M_2$  we derive the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{1} - u_{2}\|_{\sigma} \\ &\leq M_{0} (|D_{2}|, |D_{1}|, \|u_{2}\|_{0}, \|u_{1}\|_{0}, \sigma) (|D_{1} - D_{2}| + \|u_{1} - u_{2}\|_{\sigma}) \\ &+ \lambda(\sigma) \sum_{i=1}^{2} M_{i} (|D_{j_{i}}|, |D_{i}|, \|u_{j_{i}}\|_{0}, \|u_{i}\|_{0}) \\ &\times \left[ M_{j_{i}} (|D_{j_{i}}|, |D_{j_{i}}|, \|u_{j_{i}}\|_{0}, \|g_{j_{i}}\|_{0}) \|u_{j_{i}} - g_{j_{i}}\|_{0} + \|G_{j_{i}}[D_{j_{i}}, g_{j_{i}}\|_{j_{i}, 0}] \\ &\times (|D_{1} - D_{2}| + \|u_{1} - u_{2}\|_{\sigma}) + \|f_{1} - f_{2}\|_{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying here inequalities (19), (20) and (25) we have

$$\|u_{1} - u_{2}\|_{\sigma}$$

$$\leq \left\{ M_{0}(|D_{2}|, |D_{1}|, \mu(Q_{2}), \mu(Q_{1}), \sigma) + \lambda(\sigma) \sum_{i=1}^{2} M_{i}(|D_{j_{i}}|, |D_{i}|, \mu(Q_{j_{i}}), \mu(Q_{i})) \right.$$

$$\times \left[ M_{j_{i}}(|D_{j_{i}}|, |D_{j_{i}}|, \mu(Q_{j_{i}}), \mu_{0}(Q_{j_{i}})) (\mu(Q_{j_{i}}) + \mu_{0}(Q_{j_{i}})) + \mu_{j_{i}}(Q_{j_{i}}) \right] \right\} \|u_{1} - u_{2}\|_{\sigma}$$

$$+ \left\{ M_{0}(|D_{2}|, |D_{1}|, \mu(Q_{2}), \mu(Q_{1}), \sigma) + \lambda(\sigma) \sum_{i=1}^{2} M_{i}(|D_{j_{i}}|, |D_{i}|, \mu(Q_{j_{i}}), \mu(Q_{i})) + \mu_{0}(Q_{j_{i}}) \right.$$

$$\times \left[ M_{j_{i}}(|D_{j_{i}}|, |D_{j_{i}}|, \mu(Q_{j_{i}}), \mu(Q_{j_{i}})) (\mu(Q_{j_{i}}) + \mu_{0}(Q_{j_{i}})) + \mu_{j_{i}}(Q_{j_{i}}) \right] \right\} |D_{1} - D_{2}| + \|f_{1} - f_{2}\|_{0}$$

where, as before,  $j_1 = 2$  and  $j_2 = 1$ . Due to the limit conditions (10) and (12) there exists a quite large  $\sigma_3$  so that the coefficient of  $||u_1 - u_2||_{\sigma}$  in the right-hand side of (26) becomes less than one if  $\sigma = \sigma_3$ . Hence, there holds the relation

$$||u_1 - u_2||_{\sigma_3} \le \mu_3(Q_1, Q_2)(|D_1 - D_2| + ||f_1 - f_2||_0)$$
(27)

with some coefficient  $\mu_3$ . Since  $\mu, \mu_0, \mu_1, \mu_2, M_0, M_1, M_2$  and  $\lambda$  are continuous, the quantities  $\sigma_3$  and  $\mu_3$  are positive continuous functions of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ . Applying in (27) the left inequality (2) we derive the stability estimate (13) with

$$\Lambda(Q_1, Q_2) = \mu_3(Q_1, Q_2) \kappa(\sigma_3(Q_1, Q_2))^{-1}.$$

Since  $\mu_3, \sigma_3$  and  $\kappa$  are positive and continuous functions of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ , the coefficient  $\Lambda$ is also positive and continuous. Without loss of generality we may assume  $\Lambda(x_1,...,x_{10})$ to be increasing in each of its arguments.

In order to prove estimate (14) we observe that inequalities (5) and (6) in view of the assumptions  $G_i[0,0]=0$  (i=0,1,2) yield the following bounds for components of the vectors  $Q_i$ :

$$||G_0[D_j, f_j]||_0 \le M_0(|D_j|, 0, ||f_j||_0, 0, 0)(|D_j| + ||f_j||_0)$$
  
$$||G_i[D_j, f_j]||_{i,0} \le M_i(|D_j|, 0, ||f_j||_0, 0)(|D_j| + ||f_j||_0) \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Using these relations in (13) we obtain assertion (14). Theorem is proved

### References

- [1] Bukhgeim, A. L.: Inverse problems of memory reconstruction. J. Inv. Ill-Posed Probl. 1 (1993), 193 205.
- [2] Cavaterra, C. and M. Grasselli: On an inverse problem for a model of linear viscoelastic Kirchhoff plate. J. Int. Equ. Appl. 9 (1997), 179 218.
- [3] Cavaterra, C.: An inverse problem for a viscoelastic Timoshenko beam model. Z. Anal. Anw. 17 (1998), 67 87.
- [4] Gripenberg, G., Londen, S.-O. and O. Staffans: Volterra Integral and Functional Equations. Cambridge: Univ. Press 1990.
- [5] Janno, J.: On an inverse problem for a model of radially wave propagation in the media with memory. In: Numerical Methods and Optimization, Vol.2 (eds.: G.Vainikko et al.). Tallinn: Estonian Acad. Sci. 1990, pp. 4 19.
- [6] Janno, J.: On a regularization method for the autoconvolution equation. Z. Ang. Math. Mech. (ZAMM) 77 (1997), 393 394.
- [7] Janno, J. and L. v. Wolfersdorf: Inverse problems for identification of memory kernels in heat flow. J. Inv. Ill-Posed Problems 4 (1996), 39 66.
- [8] Janno, J. and L. v. Wolfersdorf: Inverse problems for identification of memory kernels in viscoelasticity. Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 20 (1997), 291 314.
- [9] Janno, J. and L. v. Wolfersdorf: On a class of multilinear operator equations. Z. Anal. Anw. 15 (1996), 935 948.
- [10] Janno, J. and L. v. Wolfersdorf: Inverse problems for identification of memory kernels in thermo- and poroviscoelasticity. Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 21 (1998), 1495 1517.
- [11] Wolfersdorf, L. von: A class of multidimensional nonlinear Volterra equations of convolution type. Demonstratio Math. 28 (1995), 807 820.
- [12] Wolfersdorf, L. von and J. Janno: On a class of nonlinear convolution equations. Z. Anal. Anw. 14 (1995), 497 508.

Received 19.03.1998