## Predicting Emergence and Seedling Growth of Barley Seed by Using Seed Vigour Indices

Nattasak Krittigamas and Suchada Vearasilp

Department of Agronomy Faculty of Agriculture, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, 50200, Thailand Email : aginkrtt@cmu.chiangmai.ac.th

#### Abstract

Predicting emergence and seedling growth of barley BRB. 2 and BRB. 9 varieties was carried out at department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Chiang Mai University. Sample of BRB. 2 and BRB. 9 barley seed were artificially aged by storing in 100% RH at 40°C for 0, 2, 4, 6 and 8 day to provide variability in seed quality for the experiment. Seed vigor were evaluated by standard germination test, cold germination test, plumule length test, seedling dry weight determination, electroconductivity test, tetrazolium test and accelerated aging test along with field emergence test. Linear correlation among seed vigor indices and field emergence were significant at P≤ 0.05. Using cold germination test (**X**<sub>1</sub>), electroconductivity test (**X**<sub>2</sub>), germination index (**X**<sub>3</sub>), germination 7th day test (**X**<sub>4</sub>), germination 4th day (**X**<sub>5</sub>), tetrazolium test (**X**<sub>6</sub>), accelerated aging test (**X**<sub>7</sub>), seedling dry weight 4th day (**X**<sub>8</sub>), seedling dry weight 7th day (**X**<sub>9</sub>) cold germination index (**X**<sub>10</sub>) and plumule length test (**X**<sub>11</sub>) as the predictors in stepwise regression analysis to predict field emergence end up with the best equation for BRB. 2 was Eq.1 and BRB. 9 was Eq.2

$$\mathbf{Y} = 0.5492\mathbf{X}_{1} - 0.0975\mathbf{X}_{2} + 1.075\mathbf{X}_{3} + 0.071\mathbf{X}_{4} + 0.4487\mathbf{X}_{5} - 0.049\mathbf{X}_{6}(\text{Eq.1})$$

$$(\mathbf{R}^{2} = 0.9929)$$

$$\mathbf{Y} = 0.611\mathbf{X}_{1} + 0.235\mathbf{X}_{3} + 0.242\mathbf{X}_{4} - 0.086\mathbf{X}_{5} + 0.066\mathbf{X}_{7}$$

$$(\text{Eq.2})$$

$$(\mathbf{R}^{2} = 0.9984)$$

However, using only cold germination test as a predictor gave equally good which were Eq.3 for BRB. 2 and Eq.4 for BRB. 9

$$Y = 1.072X_1$$
 (Eq.3)  
( $R^2 = 0.9840$ )  
 $Y = 1.0208X_1$ . (Eq.4)  
( $R^2 = 0.9926$ )

#### Deutscher Tropentag 1999 in Berlin Session: Sustainable Technology Development in Crop Production

Keywords: Germination, Emergence, Seed Vigor, Equation

## Introduction

The results from seed quality testing in the seed-testing laboratory sometimes differ from the field test. Preventing the unprecised results could be done by many ways. One of the suggested method is to predict the seed qualities by analyzing all kinds of physiological, biochemical and environmental data from the seed lot to predict the quality of the seed (**Edje and Burris, 1971; Ching et al.,1977; Kim et al.,1989**). Therefore, this experiment was conducted to determine the correlation of various vigor tests method both in the laboratory and in the field by using correlation equation.

### **Material and Methods**

Two varieties of Barley BRB.2 and BRB.9 were multiplied as foundation seed at Faculty of Agriculture research station Chiang Mai Thailand. 15 Kg. Seed sample was taken and each of the working sample was 1 Kg. The sample seeds were kept in the basket containers, stored in simulated environment of 100% RH and were sampled for following testing.

*Viability test* (**ISTA, 1985**) : Standard Germination test Tetrazolium test

Vigor test (ISTA, 1985) : Plumule length test Vigor index and Seedling dry weight determination Cold germination test Electroconductivity test Accelerated aging test

Field emergence test (ISTA, 1985)

*Statistical analysis* Data was analyzed using model specific for a CRD (**Steel and Torrie**, **1960**) and Multiple regression analysis by Stepwise

# **Result and Conclusion**

Linear correlation among seed vigor indices and field emergence were significant at  $P \le 0.05$ . Using cold germination test (X<sub>1</sub>), electroconductivity test (X<sub>2</sub>), germination index (X<sub>3</sub>), germination 7<sup>th</sup> day test (X<sub>4</sub>), germination 4<sup>th</sup> day (X<sub>5</sub>), tetrazolium test (X<sub>6</sub>), accelerated aging test (X<sub>7</sub>), seedling dry weight 4<sup>th</sup> day (X<sub>8</sub>), seedling dry weight 7<sup>th</sup> day (X<sub>9</sub>) cold germination index (X<sub>10</sub>) and plumule length test (X<sub>11</sub>) as the predictors in stepwise regression analysis to predict field emergence end up with the best equation for BRB. 2 was  $Y = 0.5492X_1 - 0.0975X_2 + 1.075X_3 + 0.071X_4 + 0.4487X_5 - 0.049X_6$  (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.9929) and BRB. 9 was  $Y = 0.611X_1 + 0.235X_3 + 0.242X_4 - 0.086X_5 + 0.066X_7$  (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.9984). However, using only cold germination test as a predictor gave equally good which were  $Y = 1.072X_1(R^2 = 0.9840)$  for BRB. 2 and  $Y = 1.0208X_1$  ( $R^2 = 0.9926$ ) for BRB. 9.

#### References

- Anderson, J.D., (1970). Physiological and biological differences in deteriorating barley seed. Crop Science.10, pp.36-39.
- Ching I.M., Hedtke S., Boulger M.C. and Kronstad W.E., (1977). Correlation of field emergence rate and seed vigor criteria in barley cultivars. Crop Science.17, pp.312-314.
- DasGupta, P.R. and Austenson H.M., (1973). Analysis of interrelationships among seedling vigor, field emergence and yield in wheat. Agronomy Journal.65, pp.417-422.
- Delouche, J.D. and Caldwell W.P., (1980). Seed vigor and vigor tests. Proceeding of the Association of Official Seed Analysis .50, pp124-129.
- Edje, O.T. and Burris J.S., (1971). Effect of soybean seed vigor on field performance. Agronomy Journal. 63, pp. 536-538.
- ISTA.(1985). International rules of seed testing Rules 1985. Seed Science and Technology Journal.13, pp.356-513.
- Kim, S.H., Bin Y.H. and Choe, Z.R., (1989). The use of multiple seed vigor indices to predict field emergence and grain yield of naked and malting barley. Korean Journal of Crop Science. 34(2), pp.134-141.
- Steel R.G.D.and Torrie, J.H., (1960). Principle and procedure of statistical. Mc Graw-Hill Comp.Inc. New York.

	Linear	correlation v	with Field em	ergence by 7	Time of			
Method	storage(day)							
	0	2	4	6	8			
Germination 4 <sup>Th</sup> Day (%)	0.438 NS	-0.350 NS	-0.132 NS	-0.626 NS	0.992 **			
Germination 7 <sup>Th</sup> Day (%)	-0.307 NS	0.881 *	0.662 NS	0.683 NS	0.998 **			
Germination Index	0.197 NS	0.183 NS	-0.064 NS	0.444 NS	0.994 **			
Plumule length (cm.)	0.896 *	0.643 NS	0.579 NS	0.340 NS	0.276 NS			
Seedling dryweight 4 <sup>Th</sup>	0.221 NS	0.844 NS	0.550 NS	0.557 NS	0.921 **			
Day (g/100seeds)								
Seedling dryweight 7 <sup>Th</sup>	0.221 NS	0.844 NS	0.550 NS	0.557 NS	0.921 **			
Day (g/100seeds)								
Cold germination (%)	0.899 *	0.820 *	0.866 *	0.909 *	0.896 *			
Cold germination index	0.406 NS	0.345 NS	-0.533 NS	0.918 *	0.878 *			
Electroconductivity	-0.879 *	-0.901 *	-0.799 NS	-0.077 NS	-0.442 NS			
(µhos/g)								
Tetrazolium index	-0.238 NS	-0.313 NS	0.463 NS	0.681 NS	0.356 NS			
Accelerate aging test (%)	-0.920 *	0.883 *	0.990 NS	-0.681 NS	0.088 NS			

**Table 1.** Linear correlation of various vigor tests and field emergence of Barley varietyBRB.2

NS : non- significant \* : significant(<0.05) \*\* : significant(P<0.01)

Table 2.	Linear	correlation	of	various	vigor	tests	and	field	emergence	of	Barley	variety
BRB.9												

	Linear	correlation v	with Field en	nergence by T	Time of			
Method	storage(day)							
	0	2	4	6	8			
Germination4 <sup>Th</sup> Day (%)	0.541 NS	0.025 NS	-0.138 NS	-0.005 NS	0.435 NS			
Germination7 <sup>Th</sup> Day (%)	0.641 NS	0.960 **	0.541 NS	0.883 *	0.964 **			
Germination Index	0.986 **	0.998 **	0.989 **	0.884 *	0.997 **			
Plumule length (cm.)	0.834 NS	0.894 *	0.806 NS	0.650 NS	0.301 NS			
Seedling dryweight 4 <sup>Th</sup>	0.228 NS	0.334 NS	0.843 NS	0.821 NS	0.993 **			
Day (g/100seeds)								
Seedling dryweight 7 <sup>Th</sup>	0.795 NS	0.816 NS	0.352 NS	0.293 NS	0.938 *			
Day (g/100seeds)								
Cold germination(%)	0.984 **	0.991 **	0.993 **	0.991 **	0.940 *			
Cold germination index	-0.717 NS	-0.157 NS	0.775 NS	0.956 *	0.927 *			
Electroconductivity	-0.884 *	-0.932 NS	-0.682 NS	-0.611 NS	0.008 NS			
(µhos/g)								
Tetrazolium index	0.399 NS	-0.275 NS	-0.406 NS	-0.637 NS	-0.753 NS			
Accelerate aging test(%)	0.950 NS	0.925 *	0.194 NS	0.677 NS	0.388 NS			

NS : Non- significant \* : Significant(<0.05) \*\* : Highly significant(P<0.01)

Method	$\mathbf{R}^2$	Predicting equation of field emergence	
Cold germination $(\mathbf{X}_1)$	0.9840	$Y=1.0726 X_1$	
Electroconductivity(	0.9869	Y=0.9880 X <sub>1</sub> -0.0722X <sub>2</sub>	
<b>X</b> <sub>2</sub> )			
Germination	0.9893	$Y = 16.4696 + 0.6914 X_1 - 0.0879 X_2 + 0.3468 X_3$	
$Index(X_3)$			
Germination	0.9922	$Y = 0.6153X_1 - 0.0640X_2 - 0.0746X_3 + 0.3770X_4$	
$7^{\mathrm{Th}}\mathrm{Day}(\mathbf{X_4})$			
Germination	0.9928	Y=0.6033X <sub>1</sub> -0.0644X <sub>2</sub> +0.1947X <sub>3</sub> +0.3070 X <sub>4</sub> -	
$4^{\text{Th}}\text{Day}(\mathbf{X}_5)$		0.1249X <sub>5</sub>	
Tetrazolium	0.9936	Y=0.5492X <sub>1</sub> -0.09757X <sub>2</sub> +1.0750 X <sub>3</sub> +0.0712X <sub>4</sub> +0.448	
$index(X_6)$		$X_{5}-0.0491X_{6}$	

**Table 3.** Regression Analysis of various vigor tests and field emergence of Barley varietyBRB.2

**Table 4.** Regression Analysis of various vigor tests and field emergence of Barley varietyBRB.9

Method	R <sup>2</sup>	Predicting equation of field emergence
Cold germination $(\mathbf{X}_1)$	0.9926	$Y=1.0208 X_1$
Germination Index(X <sub>3</sub> )	0.9962	$Y = 0.7329X_1 + 0.0466X_3$
Germination $7^{\text{Th}}\text{Day}(\mathbf{X}_4)$	0.9973	$Y{=}0.6012X_{1}{+}0.2875X_{3}{+}0.1939X_{4}$
Germination $4^{\text{Th}}\text{Day}(\mathbf{X}_5)$	0.9980	$Y{=}0.5670X_{1}{+}0.2551X_{3}{+}0.3525X_{4}{-}0.10X_{5}$
Accelerate aging test( <b>X</b> <sub>7</sub> )	0.9984	$Y{=}0.6612X_{1}{+}0.2352X_{3}{+}0.2421X_{4}{-}0.0862X_{5}{+}0.066X_{7}$