

## RECONSTRUCTION OF GRAPHS WITH CERTAIN DEGREE-SEQUENCES

L. STACHO

### INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to present some results concerning the Kelly-Ulam conjecture for graphs whose degree-sequences satisfy some extra conditions. Throughout, the graphs considered are finite, simple and undirected.

A subgraph of  $G$  obtained by deleting a vertex  $v$  together with all edges incident with  $v$  will be referred to as a **vertex-deleted subgraph** and denoted by  $G - v$ .

A graph  $G^*$  will be called a **reconstruction** of a graph  $G$  if there is bijection  $f: V(G) \rightarrow V(G^*)$  such that  $G - u$  is isomorphic to  $G^* - f(u)$  for all  $u \in V(G)$ . A graph  $G$  will be called **reconstructible** if each of its reconstructions is isomorphic to  $G$ . The famous Kelly-Ulam reconstruction conjecture (see [1], [2], [3]) states that any graph with more than two vertices is reconstructible. The conjecture is apparently very hard, and only a few classes of reconstructible graphs are known (cf. [3], [4] for a survey).

It is easily seen that regular graphs are reconstructible. Going a step further, Bondy and Hemminger [3] define a vertex  $v$  of  $G$  to be bad if  $G$  has some vertex of degree  $d(v) - 1$ , and remark that a graph with at least 3 vertices is reconstructible whenever it contains a vertex with no bad neighbours. This result was extended by Širáň in [5] by proving that graph  $G$  with more than two vertices is reconstructible provided that  $G$  contains a vertex  $v$  such that for any its neighbours  $w$  all vertices of  $G$  of degree  $d(w) - 1$  that are distinct from  $v$  are neighbours of  $v$ . It is also obvious that  $G$  is reconstructible if  $\sum_{v \in B} d(v) < n$ , where  $B$  is the set of bad vertices of  $G$  and  $n$  is number of vertices of  $G$ . In [6] this observation was extended by proving that  $G$  is reconstructible if  $\sum_{v \in B} (d(v) - 1/2) < n$ .

The first part of the paper is devoted to proving results of similar flavour as the above. In the second part we introduce the concept of a reconstruction matrix of a graph and establish a Kelly-Ulman type result for graphs with certain reconstruction matrices.

---

Received July 16, 1992.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1991 *Revision*). Primary 05C60.

## 1. RECONSTRUCTION WITH HELP OF CERTAIN DEGREE-SEQUENCES

Let  $G$  be a graph and  $u, v$  be vertices of  $G$ . The vertices  $u$  and  $v$  will be referred to as  $G$ -**similar** if  $G - u$  is isomorphic to  $G - v$ . By the symbol  $N_G(v)$  we will denote the set of neighbours of  $v$  in the graph  $G$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  of degrees  $\deg(u_i) = d_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), such that  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_n$ . Assume that the following two conditions are fulfilled:*

- (1) *For some  $k \leq n$  it holds that  $d_{k-1} + 2 \leq d_k < d_{k+1}$ .*
- (2) *In the vertex-deleted subgraph  $G - u_k$  (where  $k$  is as in (1)), no vertex from  $N_G(u_k)$  is  $(G - u_k)$ -similar to any other vertex in  $G - u_k$ .*

*Then the graph  $G$  is reconstructible.*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be the collection of all the  $n$  vertex-deleted subgraphs of the graph  $G$ . It is easy to reconstruct the degree-sequence of  $G$  (see [3]). Let us identify all the subgraphs  $G - u_k$  from  $S$  where the degree of the vertex  $u_k$  is  $d_k$  and (1) holds for  $k$ . Let  $A$  be the set of those values of  $k$  for which (1) holds. Among these subgraphs  $G - u_k$  we have to find the one for which (2) holds and in this one we have to identify all neighbours of the vertex  $u_k$ . If we show (using (1), (2) and the information contained in  $S$ ) that the set of neighbours of  $u_k$  is uniquely determined in  $G - u_k$ , then it will be obvious that each reconstruction of  $G$  will be isomorphic to  $G$ .

Let us take each subgraph  $G - u_k$ ,  $k \in A$ , one after another, and do the following: Define  $D$  as the set of those vertices from  $G - u_k$  that are  $(G - u_k)$ -similar to some vertices from  $G - u_k$ . With help of the set  $D$  we verify whether some vertices of  $N_G(u_k)$  are  $(G - u_k)$ -similar to some vertices from  $G - u_k$ ; this is done by means of the following procedure. Let us find all the subgraphs  $G - u_i$  from  $S$  where a vertex of degree  $d_k - 1$  exists. Let us put these  $i$  into the set  $B$ . It is easily seen that  $|B| = d_k$ . Similarly, we successively take the subgraphs  $G - u_i$ ,  $i \in B$ , step by step, and do the following: Let  $G^h = (G - u_i) - u_k$  (the degree of the vertex  $u_k$  is  $d_k - 1$  in the subgraph  $G - u_i$ ). Now we successively remove one vertex from  $G - u_k$  and test whether this subgraph is isomorphic to  $G^h$ . If these subgraphs are not isomorphic then we put the vertex back to  $G - u_k$  and remove the next one. If now these subgraphs are isomorphic then we can assume that the removed vertex is the vertex  $u_i$  (we can assume it because if the vertex  $u_i$  was  $(G - u_k)$ -similar to any other vertex in  $G - u_k$  then it would be in the set  $D$ , and then we would take the next subgraph  $G - u_k$ ,  $k \in A$ ). Let us distinguish the vertex  $u_i$  in  $G - u_k$ . Obviously, if we go through all the subgraphs  $G - u_i$ ,  $i \in B$  then we have all neighbours of  $u_k$  distinguished in  $G - u_k$ . The fact that this process necessarily terminates for some  $k \in A$  is guaranteed by the condition (2). The proof is complete.  $\square$

If  $\overline{G}$  is the complement of  $G$ , we have the following obvious consequence.

**Corollary.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  with degree  $\deg(u_i) = d_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), such that  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_n$ . Assume that the following two conditions are fulfilled:*

- (1) *For some  $k \leq n$  it holds that  $d_{k-1} < d_k \leq d_{k+1} - 2$ .*
- (2) *In the vertex-deleted subgraph  $\overline{G} - u_k$  (where  $k$  is as in (1)), no vertex from  $N_{\overline{G}}(u_k)$  is  $(\overline{G} - u_k)$ -similar to any other vertex in  $\overline{G} - u_k$ .*

*Then the graph  $G$  is reconstructible.*

**Remark 1.** The reader can easily see that if the condition (1) from Theorem 1 is replaced by either  $d_1 < d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_n$  or  $d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_{n-1} < d_n$  and the condition (2) remains unchanged, then  $G$  is reconstructible as well.

The subgraph of  $G$  obtained by deleting a set of vertices  $M$  (where  $|M| = k$ ), together with all the edges incident to at least one of the vertices in  $M$  will be referred to as a  **$k$ -vertex-deleted subgraph** and denoted by  $G - M$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  of degrees  $\deg(u_i) = d_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), such that  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_n$ . Assume that the following two conditions are fulfilled:*

- (1) *For some  $k \leq n$  it holds that*

$$d_k + 2 \leq d_{k+1} = d_{k+2} = \dots = d_{k+q} \leq d_{k+q+1} - 2.$$

- (2) *Let  $M = \{u_{k+1}, u_{k+2}, \dots, u_{k+q}\}$  where  $k$  is as in (1). Then in the  $q$ -vertex-deleted subgraph  $G - M$  at least for one  $u_i$ ,  $i = k+1, k+2, \dots, k+q$  no vertex from  $N_G(u_i)$  is  $(G - M)$ -similar to any other vertex in  $G - M$ .*

*Then the graph  $G$  is reconstructible.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1, therefore we give here only a sketch. Instead of one subgraph  $G - u_k$  we have subgraphs  $G - u_{k^*}$ ,  $k^* = k + 1, k + 2, \dots, k + q$  from which we take one, for example  $G - u_{k+j}$ , in which we remove the vertices  $u_{k+1}, u_{k+2}, \dots, u_{k-j}, u_{k+j}, \dots, u_{k+q}$  so that we obtain the subgraph  $G - M$ . Instead of the subgraphs  $G - u_i$  we have subgraphs  $G - u_{i^*}$  in which at least one vertex of degree  $d_{k+1} - 1$  exists and  $u_{i^*} \neq u_{k^*}$ ,  $k^* = k + 1, k + 2, \dots, k + q$ . Let us put these  $i^*$  into the set  $B$ . In the subgraph  $G - u_{i^*}$ ,  $i^* \in B$  we remove all vertices of degree  $d_{k+1}$  and  $d_{k+1} - 1$  (these will be the vertices  $u_{k+1}, u_{k+2}, \dots, u_{k+q}$ ), and we denote these subgraphs by  $G^h$  (with the same meaning as in Theorem 1). Now it holds that  $G^h$  and  $G - u_{k+j}$  differ only in the fact that the subgraph  $G - u_{k+j}$  contains the vertex  $u_{i^*}$  while subgraph  $G^h$  does not. In the way described in Theorem 1 we can find out whether the vertices  $u_{i^*}$  and  $u_{k+j}$  are adjacent. The only difference will be the following: even if the subgraph  $G - u_{i^*}$  contains the vertex of degree  $d_{k+1} - 1$ , it still does not have to

mean that the vertex  $u_{i^*}$  is adjacent with the vertex  $u_{k+j}$  (it can be adjacent with some other vertex of degree  $d_{k+1}$ ). If the removed vertex from  $G - u_{k+j}$  has the same degree as the vertex  $u_{i^*}$  (which is removed from  $G - u_{i^*}$ ), then the vertices considered above are not adjacent. Further it is necessary to mention that we can uniquely determine each vertex of degree  $d_{k+1}$  which is adjacent to  $u_{k+j}$  in the subgraph  $G - u_{k+j}$  (its degree is  $d_{k+1} - 1$ ). The remaining parts of this proof are similar to the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

2. RECONSTRUCTION OF SIMPLE, CONNECTED  
GRAPHS WITH HELP OF RECONSTRUCTION MATRIX

Let  $G$  be a graph on  $n$  vertices  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  and let  $S$  be a collection of vertex-deleted subgraphs of the graph  $G$ . We define the  $n \times n$  reconstruction matrix of  $G$  as follows. The elements of its first row are the subgraphs  $G - u_1, G - u_2, \dots, G - u_n$ . Let  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$  be the collections of vertex-deleted subgraphs of the graphs  $G - u_1, G - u_2, \dots, G - u_n$ . In this way we obtain the subgraphs  $(G - u_i) - u_j$ . To the  $i$ -th column we add subgraphs  $(G - u_i) - u_j$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, i - 1, i + 1, \dots, n$ . The above considerations can be illustrated in the following picture.

$G - u_1$	$G - u_2$	$G - u_3$		$G - u_n$
$(G - u_1) - u_2$	$(G - u_2) - u_1$	$(G - u_3) - u_1$		
$(G - u_1) - u_3$	$(G - u_2) - u_3$	$(G - u_3) - u_2$		
$(G - u_1) - u_4$				
$(G - u_1) - u_n$				

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $G$  be a graph on  $n$  vertices. Let at least one pair of vertices  $u_i, u_j$  exist in  $G$  so that the following two conditions are fulfilled:*

- (1) *If  $M = \{u_i, u_j\}$ , a subgraph isomorphic to  $G - M$  appears in the reconstruction matrix exactly twice.*
- (2) *At least for one of the vertices  $u_i, u_j$  the following holds: either the vertices in its neighbourhood are not  $(G - M)$ -similar to any other vertices in  $G - M$  or, if some of them are  $(G - M)$ -similar to  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p$ , then the vertices  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p$  lie in its neighbourhood.*

*Then the graph  $G$  is reconstructible.*

*Proof.* Let us construct the reconstruction matrix of the graph  $G$ . There are all possible subgraphs  $G - \{u_k, u_1\}$  in its columns. The reader will easy realise that the vertices for which (1) is fulfilled can be found with help of isomorphism

of the subgraphs  $G - \{u_k, u_1\}$ . It is obvious that if two vertices suitable for (1) are found, then the subgraph  $G - \{u_i, u_j\}$  will occur in the reconstruction matrix exactly twice (in two different columns). Let us assume that the vertices  $u_i, u_j$  are chosen so that (1) holds. Then, the corresponding subgraphs appear in the matrix as  $(G - u_i) - u_j$  (in the  $i$ -th column) and  $(G - u_j) - u_i$  (in the  $j$ -th column). We can unambiguously determine the neighbours of  $u_j$  because we know this vertex (it is the one removed from  $G - u_i$ ) and similarly we can determine the neighbours of  $u_i$  in  $(G - u_j) - u_i$ . Now let us construct the collections of vertex-deleted subgraphs of  $(G - u_i) - u_j$  and  $(G - u_j) - u_i$  and denote them by  $S_{ij}$  and  $S_{ji}$ . With help of them we find out, whether or not for  $u_j$  from  $(G - u_i) - u_j$  or for  $u_i$  from  $(G - u_j) - u_i$  the condition (2) holds (we do not go into details because they are described in Theorem 1). Let us assume that (2) holds for  $u_i$  (the considerations in other case are similar). We can uniquely determine the neighbours of  $u_i$  in  $(G - u_j) - u_i$ . With help of the isomorphism between  $(G - u_i) - u_j$  and  $(G - u_j) - u_i$  we can determine the neighbours of  $u_i$  in  $(G - u_i) - u_j$ . Further we must find out whether the edge  $u_i u_j$  exists in  $G$ . If the degree of the vertex  $u_j$  in  $G - u_i$  is the same as the degree of  $u_j$  in  $G$ , then the edge  $u_i u_j$  does not exist. By identifying all neighbours of  $u_i$  in  $G - u_i$  it is obvious that each reconstruction of  $G$  is isomorphic to  $G$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### References

1. Ulam S. M., *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Wiley, New York, 1960.
2. Harary F., *Graph Theory*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.
3. Bondy J. A. and Hemminger R. L., *Graph Reconstruction - a survey.*, J. Graph Theory **1** (1977), 227-268.
4. Nash-Williams C. St. J. A., *The Reconstruction Problem*, Selected Topics in Graph Theory (Beineke and Wilson, eds.), Acad. Press, 1978, pp. 205-236.
5. Širáň J., *Reconstruction of graphs with special degree sequences*, Math. Slovaca **32** No. 4 (1982), 403-404.
6. Nash-Williams C. St. J. A., *Reconstruction using degree sequences*, Unpublished, but cited in [3].

L. Stacho, 941 33 Kolta 381, Czechoslovakia