

## ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS OF A SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

V. M. EVTUKHOV AND N. G. DRIK

ABSTRACT. Asymptotic properties of proper solutions of a certain class of essentially nonlinear binomial differential equations of the second order are investigated.

### INTRODUCTION

Let us consider a nonlinear differential equation of the second order

$$y'' = \alpha_0 p(t) \exp(\sigma y) |y'|^\lambda, \quad (0.1)$$

where  $\alpha_0 \in \{-1; 1\}$ ;  $\sigma, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sigma \neq 0$ ,  $\lambda \neq 1$ ,  $\lambda \neq 2$ ;  $p : [a, \omega[ \rightarrow ]0, +\infty[$  ( $-\infty < a < \omega \leq +\infty$ ) is a continuously differentiable function. Opposite to the well-studied Emden–Fowler equation of the type

$$y'' = \alpha_0 p(t) |y|^\sigma |y'|^\lambda \operatorname{sign} y, \quad (0.2)$$

the above binomial equation has nonlinearity of another type. The main results about the behavior of the solutions of (0.2) when  $\lambda = 0$  are given in the monograph [1]. Asymptotic behavior of monotonic solutions of (0.2) when  $\lambda \neq 0$  is investigated in [2]–[6].

Equation of type (0.1) as well as of (0.2) are derived while describing different physical processes. In particular, the equation  $\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left( r \frac{d\varphi}{dr} \right) = A \exp(\nu\varphi) + B \exp(-\nu\varphi)$  from electrodynamics and the equation  $u'' = u \exp(\alpha x - u)/2$  from combustion theory reduce to the equation of type (0.1) with the help of some transformations [7].

In this work asymptotic representations of all proper solutions of (0.1) and their first derivatives are obtained when certain conditions on the function  $p$  are satisfied.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34E05.

*Key words and phrases.* Second-order nonlinear differential equation, proper solution, asymptotic representation.

## § 1. FORMULATION OF BASIC RESULTS

A real solution  $y$  of equation (0.1) is said to be proper if it is defined in the left neighborhood of  $\omega$ , and for certain  $t_0$  from this neighborhood  $y'(t) \neq 0$  for  $t \in [t_0; \omega[$ .

Let us introduce the auxiliary notation

$$\Gamma(t) = \frac{\alpha_0 \sigma}{\lambda - 2} \left[ \frac{1}{2 - \lambda} p^{\frac{\lambda-3}{2-\lambda}}(t) p'(t) \int_{\gamma_0}^t p^{\frac{1}{2-\lambda}}(s) ds - 1 \right],$$

$$V(t) = \left| \frac{\sigma}{\lambda - 2} \int_{\gamma_0}^t p^{\frac{1}{2-\lambda}}(s) ds \right|^{\frac{\lambda-2}{\sigma}};$$

$$\gamma_0 = \begin{cases} a, & \text{if } \int_a^\omega p^{\frac{1}{2-\lambda}}(s) ds = +\infty \\ \omega & \text{if } \int_a^\omega p^{\frac{1}{2-\lambda}}(s) ds < +\infty \end{cases}; \quad \beta_0 = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} V(t) = 0 \\ 1, & \text{if } \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} V(t) = +\infty \end{cases}.$$

When the conditions

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \Gamma(t) = \Gamma_0, \quad 0 < |\Gamma_0| < +\infty \quad (1.1)$$

are fulfilled, the following statements hold.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\omega \leq +\infty$ . If  $\Gamma_0 < 0$ , then each proper solution  $y$  of equation (0.1) admits one of the representations*

$$y(t) = c + o(1), \quad t \uparrow \omega \text{ for } \omega < +\infty, \quad (1.2_1)$$

$$y(t) = c_1 t + o(1), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty \text{ for } \omega = +\infty, \quad (1.2_2)$$

where  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $c_1 \sigma \leq 0$ .

If  $\Gamma_0 > 0$  and  $\alpha_0 \sigma > 0$ , then each proper solution  $y$  of (0.1) admits one of the representations (1.2<sub>*i*</sub>) ( $i \in \{1; 2\}$ ) or

$$y(t) = \ln[|\Gamma_0|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} V(t)] + o(1), \quad t \uparrow \omega. \quad (1.3)$$

If  $\Gamma_0 > 0$  and  $\alpha_0 \sigma < 0$ , then each proper solution  $y$  of (0.1) either admits one of the representations (1.2<sub>*i*</sub>) ( $i \in \{1; 2\}$ ), (1.3) or there exists a sequence  $\{t_k\} \uparrow \omega$ ,  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , such that  $y(t_k) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \ln[V^\sigma(t_k) \Gamma(t_k)]$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\omega < \infty$ . The derivative of each proper solution  $y$  of the type (1.2<sub>1</sub>) of equation (0.1) satisfies one of the asymptotic representations*

$$y'(t) = c_0 + o(1), \quad t \uparrow \omega, \quad (1.4)$$

or

$$y'(t) = \left| (1 - \lambda) \exp(\sigma c) \int_{\rho}^t p(s) ds \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}} [\nu + o(1)], \quad t \uparrow \omega, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\rho = \omega$ ,  $\nu = -\text{sign}(1 - \lambda)$  if  $\int_a^{\omega} p(t) dt < iy$ , and  $\rho = a$ ,  $\nu = \alpha_0 \text{sign}(1 - \lambda)$  otherwise.

For a proper solution  $y$  of equation (0.1) admitting one of the representations (1.2<sub>1</sub>), (1.4) ((1.2<sub>1</sub>), (1.5)) to exist, it is necessary and sufficient that  $\int_a^{\omega} p(t) dt < \infty$  ( $\sigma\beta_0(1 - \lambda) > 0$ ).

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $\omega = +\infty$ . For a proper solution of equation (0.1),  $y$  of the type (1.2<sub>2</sub>), where  $c_1 = 0$ , to exist, it is necessary and sufficient that  $\sigma\beta_0(1 - \lambda) > 0$ . The derivative of each of such solutions satisfies (1.5).

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $\omega = +\infty$ . For arbitrary  $c_1$  satisfying the inequality  $\sigma c_1 < 0$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , equation (0.1) possesses a proper solution  $y$  admitting representation (1.2<sub>2</sub>). The derivative of each of such solutions is represented in the form

$$y'(t) = c_1 + o(1), \quad t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

**Theorem 1.5.** Let  $\omega \leq +\infty$ . For a proper solution  $y$  of equation (0.1) of the type (1.3) to exist, it is necessary and sufficient that  $\Gamma_0 > 0$ . The derivative of each of such solutions satisfies the relation

$$y'(t) = \frac{V'(t)}{V(t)} [1 + o(1)], \quad t \uparrow \omega.$$

## § 2. SOME AUXILIARY STATEMENTS

Let us consider the system of differential equations

$$\begin{cases} u'_1 = f_1(\tau) + a_{11}(\tau)u_1 + a_{12}(\tau)u_2 + g_1(\tau)X_1(\tau, u_1, u_2) \\ u'_2 = f_2(\tau) + a_{21}(\tau)u_1 + a_{22}(\tau)u_2 + g_2(\tau)X_2(\tau, u_1, u_2) \end{cases}, \quad (2.1)$$

where the functions  $f_1, g_1 : [T, +\infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ),  $a_{ij} : [T, +\infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$ ) are continuous and the functions  $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are continuous in  $r, u_1, u_2$  in the domain

$$\Omega = [T, +\infty[ \times D, \quad D = \{(u_1, u_2) : |u_1| \leq \delta, |u_2| \leq \delta, \delta > 0\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Introduce the following notation:  $a_i(\tau, t) = \exp \int_t^\tau a_{ii}(s) ds$  ( $i = 1, 2$ );

$$\begin{aligned} A_2(\tau) &= \left| \int_{\alpha_2}^\tau |a_{21}(t)| a_2(\tau, t) dt \right|; & A_1(\tau) &= \left| \int_{\alpha_1}^\tau |a_{12}(t)| A_2(t) a_1(\tau, t) dt \right|; \\ F_2(\tau) &= \left| \int_{\beta_2}^\tau |f_2(t)| a_2(\tau, t) dt \right|; & G_2(\tau) &= \left| \int_{\gamma_2}^\tau |g_2(t)| a_2(\tau, t) dt \right|; \\ F_1(\tau) &= \left| \int_{\beta_1}^\tau |f_1(t)| a_1(\tau, t) dt \right| + \left| \int_{\beta_{12}}^\tau |a_{12}(t)| F_2(t) a_1(\tau, t) dt \right|; \\ G_1(\tau) &= \left| \int_{\gamma_1}^\tau |g_1(t)| a_1(\tau, t) dt \right| + \left| \int_{\gamma_{12}}^\tau |a_{12}(t)| G_2(t) a_1(\tau, t) dt \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where each of the limits of integration  $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ),  $\beta_{12}, \gamma_{12}$  is equal either to  $T$  or to  $+\infty$  and is chosen in a special way: in every integral defining the functions  $F_i, A_i, G_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and having the form

$$I(\mu, \tau) = \int_{\mu}^{\tau} |b(t)| \exp \int_t^{\tau} a(s) ds dt, \quad (2.3)$$

we put  $\mu = +\infty$  if the integral  $I(T, +\infty)$  converges, and  $\mu = T$  otherwise.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let the functions  $X_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) have bounded partial derivatives with respect to the variables  $u_1, u_2$  in the domain  $\Omega$  and let  $X_i(\tau, 0, 0) \equiv 0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) for  $\tau \in [T; +\infty[$ . If*

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} F_i(\tau) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} G_i(\tau) = 0, \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} A_i(\tau) = A_i^o < 1 \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

then (2.1) possesses at least one real solution  $(u_1(\tau), u_2(\tau))$  tending to zero as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $X_i(\tau, 0, 0) \equiv 0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) for  $\tau \geq T$ , and let the functions  $\frac{\partial X_i(\tau, u_1, u_2)}{\partial u_k}$  ( $i, k = 1, 2$ ) tend to zero as  $|u_1| + |u_2| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly with respect to  $\tau \in [T, +\infty[$ . If*

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} F_i(\tau) = 0, \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} A_i(\tau) = A_i^o < 1, \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} G_i(\tau) = \text{const} \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

then (2.1) possesses at least one real solution  $(u_1(\tau), u_2(\tau))$  tending to zero as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 immediately follow from the results of Kostin's work [8].

We will use also the following statements dealing with limit properties of integrals of the type (2.3) ([2], [8]).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let a function  $a : [T, +\infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous and represented in the form  $a(t) = a_0(t) + \alpha(t)$ , where  $a_0 : [T, +\infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function of constant sign (in particular, it can be  $a_0(t) \equiv 0$ ) in a certain neighborhood of  $+\infty$ ;  $\alpha : [T, +\infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is such that  $\int_T^{+\infty} \alpha(t) dt$  converges. If  $b : [T, +\infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and  $\int_T^{+\infty} |b(t)| dt < \infty$ , then  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} I(\mu, \tau) = 0$ , where  $\mu$  is chosen as stated above.*

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let the function  $a$  satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2.1. If  $\left| \int_T^{+\infty} a_0(t) dt \right| = \infty$  and the function  $b : [T, +\infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and satisfies the asymptotic correlation  $|b(t)| = a_0(t)[q + o(1)]$ ,  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  with  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} I(\tau, \mu) = 0$ , where  $\mu$  is chosen as stated above.*

### § 3. INVESTIGATION OF AN AUXILIARY EQUATION

Let us consider a second-order nonlinear differential equation

$$\left( \frac{\xi'(\tau)}{\xi(\tau)} + \beta_0 \right)' + \beta_0 S(\tau) \left( \frac{\xi'(\tau)}{\xi(\tau)} + \beta_0 \right) = \alpha_0 \xi^\sigma(\tau) \left| \frac{\xi'(\tau)}{\xi(\tau)} + \beta_0 \right|^\lambda, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\alpha_0, \beta_0 \in \{-1, 1\}$ ;  $\lambda, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sigma \neq 0$ ,  $\lambda \neq 1$ ,  $\lambda \neq 2$ , and the function  $S : [b, +\infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and satisfies

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} S(\tau) = S_0, \quad 0 < |S_0| < \infty. \quad (3.2)$$

A real solution  $\xi$  of equation (3.1) will be said to be proper if it is defined in a certain neighborhood of  $+\infty$ , and for some  $\tau_0$  from this neighborhood it satisfies the inequalities  $\xi(\tau) > 0$ ,  $\xi'(\tau) + \beta_0 \xi(\tau) \neq 0$  for  $\tau \geq \tau_0$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Each proper solution  $\xi$  of equation (3.1) either has no limit as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ , and then there exists a sequence  $\{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  converging to  $+\infty$  with  $\xi^\sigma(\tau_k) = \alpha_0 S(\tau_k)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  or it possesses one of the properties*

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \xi(\tau) = \xi_0, \quad 0 < \xi_0 < +\infty; \quad (3.3)$$

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \xi'(\tau)/\xi(\tau) = -\beta_0; \quad (3.4)$$

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \xi'(\tau)/\xi(\tau) = \pm\infty. \quad (3.5)$$

*Proof.* Assume that a proper solution  $\xi$  of equation (3.1) has no limit as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{s_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of extremum points of this solution converging to  $+\infty$ . Taking into account that  $\xi'(s_k) = 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , equation (3.1) implies

$$\xi''(s_k) = \xi(s_k)[\xi^\sigma(s_k) - \beta_0 S(s_k)], \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.6)$$

Owing to the continuity of the functions  $S(\tau)$  and  $\xi^\sigma(\tau)$ , if their graphs have no common points, then the right-hand side of equality (3.6) has the same sign when  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . But this is impossible because it means that the solution  $\xi$  has only maximums or only minimums.

Let now  $\xi$  be a proper solution of (3.1), and let  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \xi(\tau)$  (finite or infinite) exist. To prove the theorem it suffices to show that if this limit is equal to zero or  $+\infty$ , then the solution  $\xi$  has one of the properties (3.4) and (3.5). Assume that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \xi^\sigma(\tau) = 0 \quad (3.7)$$

and consider the function  $U_c(\tau) = -\beta_0 c S(\tau) + \alpha_0 |c|^\lambda \xi^\sigma(\tau)$  with  $c \neq 0$ . According to (3.2) and (3.7), the function  $U_c$  retains the sign in a certain interval  $[\tau_c, +\infty[ \subset [\tau_0, +\infty[$ , i.e.,

$$U_c(\tau) > 0 \text{ or } U_c(\tau) < 0 \text{ when } \tau \geq \tau_c. \quad (3.8)$$

If the function  $u(\tau) = \beta_0 + \xi'(\tau)/\xi(\tau)$  has no limit as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ , then there exists a constant  $c \neq 0$  such that for any  $T \geq \tau_c$  there is  $T_1 \geq T$  such that  $u(T_1) = c$ . In view of (3.1) this contradicts (3.8). It means that  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} u(\tau)$  (finite or infinite) exists. Suppose now that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} u(\tau) = u_0. \quad (3.9)$$

Then taking into account (3.2) and (3.7), it follows from (3.1) that  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} u'(\tau) = -\beta_0 S_0 \neq 0$ , but this contradicts (3.9). Hence each proper solution  $\xi$  of (3.1) satisfying (3.7) possesses one of the properties (3.4) and (3.5).

In the case where the solution  $\xi$  instead of (3.7) satisfies the condition  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \xi^\sigma(\tau) = \infty$ , the proof of the theorem is analogous.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.** *If one of the inequalities  $\alpha_0 S_0 < 0$  or  $\alpha_0 \sigma > 0$  is fulfilled, then each proper solution  $\xi$  of (3.1) possesses one of the properties (3.3)–(3.5).*

*Proof.* If  $\alpha_0 S_0 < 0$ , then the validity of the statement is obvious. Let  $\alpha_0 \sigma > 0$ , and let  $\xi$  be a proper solution of (3.1) for which the limit does not exist as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then, according to Theorem 3.1, there exists a sequence  $\{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  tending to  $+\infty$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$  such that  $\xi^\sigma(\tau_k) = \alpha_0 S(\tau_k)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Because of (3.2) it is easy to see that there will be at least one

point of the local maximum  $m_1$  or of the local minimum  $m_2$  of the function  $\xi^\sigma(\tau)$  at which the inequality  $\xi^\sigma(m_1) > \alpha_0 S(m_1)$  or  $\xi^\sigma(m_2) < \alpha_0 S(m_2)$  is respectively fulfilled. From (3.1) we have

$$[\xi^\sigma(\tau)]'' \Big|_{\tau=m_i} = \alpha_0 \sigma \xi^\sigma(m_i) [\xi^\sigma(m_i) - \alpha_0 S(m_i)], \quad i \in \{1, 2\}. \quad (3.10)$$

Because  $\alpha_0 \sigma > 0$ , it follows from (3.10) that  $[\xi^\sigma(\tau)]''|_{\tau=m_1} > 0$  or  $[\xi^\sigma(\tau)]''|_{\tau=m_2} < 0$ . The obtained contradiction completes the proof of the Corollary.  $\square$

Thus, if a proper solution  $\xi$  of (3.1) is such that  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \xi(\tau)$  (finite or infinite) exists, then it possesses one of properties (3.3)–(3.5), and vice versa. Corollary 3.1 shows the conditions under which the limit exists for each proper solution  $\xi$  of (3.1). Using conditions (3.3)–(3.5), these solutions can be divided into three groups. Therefore further investigation will be performed for each group separately.

### 3.1. On Proper Solutions of Equation (3.1) Which Have Finite Different from Zero Limit as $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *For equation (3.1) to have a proper solution  $\xi$  with property (3.3), it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$\alpha_0 S_0 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_0 = |S_0|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}. \quad (3.11)$$

Moreover, each of such solutions admits the representation

$$\xi'(\tau) + \beta_0 \xi(\tau) = \beta_0 \xi_0 + o(1), \quad \tau \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.12)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\xi$  be a proper solution of (3.1) with property (3.3). Since for every fixed value  $c$  which is different from the solutions of the equation  $\alpha_0 |c|^\lambda \xi_0^\sigma - \beta_0 c S_0 = 0$ , the function  $U_c(\tau) = -\beta_0 c S(\tau) + \alpha_0 |c|^\lambda \xi^\sigma(\tau)$  ( $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ) retains the sign in a certain interval  $[c, +\infty[ \subset [\tau_0, +\infty[$ , arguing as in proof of Theorem 3.1, it is not difficult to show that  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \xi'(\tau)/\xi(\tau)$  (finite or infinite) exists. Then, according to (3.3),  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \xi'(\tau)$  also exists and equals zero. Passing to the limit as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$  in (3.1) in which  $\xi$  is the solution in question, we obtain  $S_0 = \alpha_0 \xi_0^\sigma$  which proves (3.11).

Finally, because  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \xi'(\tau)/\xi(\tau) = 0$ , the equality (3.12) is true due to (3.3).

Assume now that (3.11) holds. We shall prove that the equation (3.1) has at least one solution  $\xi$  satisfying the conditions (3.3) and (3.12).

Applying to equation (3.1) the transformation

$$\xi(\tau) = \beta_0 + u_1(\tau), \quad \xi'(\tau) + \beta_0 \xi(\tau) = \xi_0 \beta_0 + u_1(\tau)h + u_2(\tau), \quad (3.13)$$

where  $h$  is a constant which will be defined later on, we obtain the system

$$\begin{cases} u_1' = (h - \beta_0)u_1 + u_2 \\ u_2' = -\xi_0[S(\tau) - S_0] + a_{21}(\tau)u_1 + a_{22}(\tau)u_2 + X(u_1, u_2) \end{cases}, \quad (3.14)$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned} a_{21}(\tau) &= -h^2 + h\beta_0[2 - S(\tau) + \lambda S_0] + S_0(\sigma + 1 - \lambda) - 1, \\ a_{22}(\tau) &= \beta_0 - h - \beta_0[S(\tau) - \lambda S_0], \\ X(u_1, u_2) &= (\xi_0\beta_0 + hu_1 + u_2)^2(\xi_0 + u_1)^{-1} - [\xi_0 + (2\beta_0h - 1)u_1 + \\ &\quad + 2\beta_0u_2] + \alpha_0(|\xi_0\beta_0 + hu_1 + u_2|^\lambda |\xi_0 + u_1|^{\sigma+1-\lambda} - \\ &\quad - \xi_0^\sigma [\xi_0 + (\sigma + 1 - \lambda + \beta_0h\lambda)u_1 + \beta_0\lambda u_2]). \end{aligned}$$

Define  $D$  by  $[S_0(\lambda - 1)/2]^2$  and consider two cases:  $D \geq 0$  and  $D < 0$ .

$1^0$ . Let  $D \geq 0$ . In this case we choose a constant  $h$  so that  $h^2 - h\beta_0[2 + S_0(\lambda - 1)] - S_0(\sigma + 1 - \lambda) + 1 = 0$ . Note that now

$$h - \beta_0[1 + S_0(\lambda - 1)] \neq 0, \quad h - \beta_0 \neq 0. \quad (3.15)$$

Consider the system (3.14) in the domain  $\Omega$  (see (2.2), where  $T = b$ ,  $0 < \delta < xi_0(|h| + 1)$ ). Partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial X(u_1, u_2)}{\partial u_i}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) tend to zero as  $|u_1| + |u_2| \rightarrow 0$  and  $X(0, 0) = 0$ . The functions  $A_i, F_i, G_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) defined for (3.14) in §2 are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} A_2(\tau) &= \left| \int_{\alpha_2}^{\tau} a_{21}(t) \exp \int_t^{\tau} a_{22}(s) ds dt \right|; \\ A_1(\tau) &= \left| \int_{\alpha_1}^{\tau} A_2(t) \exp \int_t^{\tau} (h - \beta_0) ds dt \right|; \\ F_2(\tau) &= \left| \int_{\beta_2}^{\tau} \xi_0 |S(t) - S_0| \exp \int_t^{\tau} a_{22}(s) ds dt \right|; \\ F_1(\tau) &= \left| \int_{\beta_1}^{\tau} F_2(t) \exp \int_t^{\tau} (h - \beta_0) ds dt \right|; \\ G_2(\tau) &= \left| \int_{\gamma_2}^{\tau} \exp \int_t^{\tau} a_{22}(s) ds dt \right|; \quad G_1(\tau) = \left| \int_{\gamma_{12}}^{\tau} G_2(t) \exp \int_t^{\tau} (h - \beta_0) ds dt \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.2 and taking into account (3.2), (3.11), and (3.15), we can easily verify that  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} A_i(\tau) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} F_i(\tau) = 0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ),  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} G_2(\tau) = |h - \beta_0| \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} G_1(\tau) = 1/|h - \beta_0[1 + S_0(1 - \lambda)]|$ .

Thus system (3.14) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.2; hence it has at least one real solution  $(u_1(\tau), u_2(\tau))$  tending to zero as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ . Because of the transformation (3.13), this implies that there exists a solution  $\xi$  of (3.1) satisfying conditions (3.3), (3.12).

2<sup>o</sup>. Let  $D < 0$ . We use the following notation:  $q = \sqrt{-D}$ ,  $p = \beta_0 S_0(\lambda - 1)/2$ ,

$$M(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(q\tau) & \sin(q\tau) \\ (p + \beta_0) \cos(q\tau) - q \sin(q\tau) & q \cos(q\tau) + (p + \beta_0) \sin(q\tau) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta_{11}(\tau) & \delta_{12}(\tau) \\ \delta_{21}(\tau) & \delta_{22}(\tau) \end{pmatrix} = M^{-1}(\tau) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\beta_0[S(\tau) - S_0] \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.17)$$

Putting  $h = 0$  in (3.14) and applying the transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1(\tau) \\ u_2(\tau) \end{pmatrix} = M(\tau) \begin{pmatrix} z_1(\tau) \\ z_2(\tau) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.18)$$

we obtain a system

$$\begin{cases} z_1' = f_1(\tau) + [p + \delta_{11}(\tau)]z_1 + \delta_{12}(\tau)z_2 + X_1(\tau, z_1, z_2) \\ z_2' = f_2(\tau) + \delta_{21}(\tau)z_1 + [p + \delta_{22}(\tau)]z_2 + X_2(\tau, z_1, z_2) \end{cases}, \quad (3.19)$$

in which  $f_1(\tau) = \frac{\xi_0}{q}[S(\tau) - S_0] \sin(q\tau)$ ,  $f_2(\tau) = -\frac{\xi_0}{q}[S(\tau) - S_0] \cos(q\tau)$ ,  $X_1(\tau, z_1, z_2) = -\frac{1}{q} \sin(q\tau)X(u_1, u_2)$ ,  $X_2(\tau, z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{q} \cos(q\tau)X(u_1, u_2)$ .

Partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial X_i(\tau, z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_k}$  ( $i, k = 1, 2$ ) tend to zero as  $|z_1| + |z_2| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly with respect to  $\tau \in [b, +\infty[$ , and  $X_i(\tau, 0, 0) \equiv 0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) on  $[b, +\infty[$ .

Consider system (3.19) in the domain  $\Omega$  (see (2.2), where  $T = b$ ,  $0 < \delta < \frac{\xi_0}{2} \min\{1, 1/(|p + \beta_0| + q)\}$ ). The functions  $a_i, A_i, F_i, G_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) defined for the system (3.19) in §2 are of the form

$$a_i(\tau, t) = \exp \int_t^\tau [\delta_{ii}(s) + p] ds \quad (i = 1, 2);$$

$$A_2(\tau) = \left| \int_{\alpha_2}^\tau |\delta_{21}(t)| a_2(\tau, t) dt \right|; \quad A_1(\tau) = \left| \int_{\alpha_1}^\tau |\delta_{12}(t)| A_2(t) a_1(\tau, t) dt \right|;$$

$$F_2(\tau) = \left| \int_{\beta_2}^\tau |f_2(t)| a_1(\tau, t) dt \right|; \quad G_2(\tau) = \left| \int_{\gamma_2}^\tau a_2(\tau, t) dt \right|;$$

$$F_1(\tau) = \left| \int_{\beta_1}^\tau |f_1(t)| a_1(\tau, t) dt \right| + \left| \int_{\beta_{12}}^\tau |\delta_{12}(t)| F_2(t) a_1(\tau, t) dt \right|;$$

$$G_1(\tau) = \left| \int_{\gamma_1}^{\tau} a_1(\tau, t) dt \right| + \left| \int_{\gamma_{12}}^{\tau} |\delta_{12}(t)| G_2(t) a_1(\tau, t) dt \right|,$$

It follows from (3.2), (3.16), and (3.17) that  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \delta_{ik}(\tau) = 0$  ( $i, k = 1, 2$ ), hence  $\int_b^{+\infty} [p + \delta_{ii}(\tau)] d\tau = +\infty$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Then using Lemma 2.2 it is easy to make sure that  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} A_i(\tau) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} F_i(\tau) = 0$ ;  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} G_i(\tau) = \frac{1}{|p|}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

Thus system (3.19) satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.2. Therefore it has at least one real solution  $(u_1(\tau), u_2(\tau))$  tending to zero as  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ . Because of transformations (3.13) and (3.18) this implies that there exists a solution  $\xi$  of (3.1) satisfying (3.3) and (3.12).  $\square$

**3.2. On the Proper Solutions of Equation (3.1) with the Property (3.4).** We use the following notation:

$$H(\tau) = \int_b^{\tau} S(t) dt; \quad \theta(\tau) \exp(-\delta\beta_0\tau + (1-\lambda)H(\tau)).$$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Each proper solution  $\xi$  of equation (3.1) with property (3.4) admits the asymptotic representation*

$$\xi(\tau) = c \exp(-\beta_0\tau)[1 + o(1)], \quad \tau \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (3.20)$$

where  $c > 0$ , and its derivative satisfies one of the equalities

$$\frac{\xi'(\tau)}{\xi(\tau)} + \beta_0 = c_0 \exp(-H(\tau))[1 + o(1)], \quad \tau \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (3.21)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\xi'(\tau)}{\xi(\tau)} + \beta_0 &= \nu \exp(-H(\tau)) \left| c^\sigma (1-\lambda) \times \right. \\ &\times \left. \int_{\gamma}^{\tau} \theta(t) dt \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}} [1 + o(1)], \quad \tau \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where  $\nu = -\alpha_0 \operatorname{sign}(1-\lambda)$ ,  $\gamma = +\infty$  if  $\int_b^{+\infty} \theta(t) dt < \infty$  and  $\nu = -\alpha_0 \operatorname{sign}(1-\lambda)$ ,  $\gamma = b$  otherwise,  $c_0 \neq 0$ .

Equation (3.1) has a proper solution  $\xi$  with property (3.4) which satisfies both asymptotic equalities (3.20), (3.21) if and only if

$$\beta_0 S_0 > 0, \quad \int_b^{+\infty} \theta(\tau) d\tau < +\infty, \quad (3.23)$$

and equalities (3.20), (3.22) if and only if

$$\sigma\beta_0(1-\lambda) > 0. \quad (3.24)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\xi$  be a proper solution of (3.1) with property (3.4). Set

$$u(\tau) = \frac{\xi'(\tau)}{\xi(\tau)} + \beta_0, \quad \varphi(\tau) = \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} u(t)dt, \quad \Phi(\tau) = \int_r^{\tau} \theta(t) \exp \varphi(t)dt,$$

where  $r = +\infty$  if  $\int_{\tau_0}^{+\infty} \theta(t) \exp \varphi(t)dt$  converges, and  $r = \tau_0$  otherwise. Then

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} u(\tau) = 0 \quad (3.25)$$

$$\xi(\tau) = \xi_0 \exp(-\beta_0\tau + \varphi(\tau)), \quad (3.26)$$

where  $\xi_0$  is a certain constant. Substituting (3.26) into the right-hand side of (3.1), we find

$$|u(\tau)|^{1-\lambda} = \exp(-(1-\lambda)H(\tau))[c_1 + \alpha_0\xi_0^\sigma(1-\lambda)\nu_0\Phi(\tau)], \quad (3.27)$$

where  $\nu_0 = \text{sign } u(\tau)$ ,  $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is clear from (3.27) that either

$$u(\tau) = c_0 \exp(-H(\tau))[1 + o(1)], \quad \tau \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (3.28)$$

where  $c_0 \neq 0$  or

$$u(\tau) \sim \nu \exp(-H(\tau))|\xi_0^\sigma(1-\lambda)\Phi(\tau)|^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}}, \quad \tau \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.29)$$

Moreover, (3.28) happens to be the case only if  $r = \tau_0$ .

Assume that the solution of (3.1) in question satisfies (3.28). This does not contradict (3.25) only if  $\beta_0 S_0 > 0$ . It is easy to see that if this inequality holds, then  $\int_{\tau_0}^{+\infty} \theta(\tau)d\tau < \infty$ , and the solution  $\xi$  satisfies asymptotic equalities (3.20), (3.21) by (3.26), (3.28).

Suppose now that the solution in question satisfies (3.29). According to (3.25), since for any  $\rho \in [0, \rho^*[$ , where  $\rho^* = \min \left\{ \left| \frac{\sigma}{1-\lambda} \right|, |S_0| \right\}$ ,  $\varphi(\tau) = o(\tau)$ ,  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\Phi(\tau)}{\exp((1-\lambda)[H(\tau) - \rho\tau])} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sigma\beta_0 > 0 \\ \pm\infty & \text{if } \sigma\beta_0 < 0 \end{cases}, \quad (3.30)$$

which (for  $\rho = 0$ ) implies that (3.29) does not contradict (3.25) only if  $\sigma\beta_0(1-\lambda) > 0$ . Moreover, if this inequality holds, then

$$[\Phi(\tau)]^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}} = o(\exp(H(\tau) - \rho\tau)), \quad \tau \rightarrow +\infty,$$

and therefore

$$\int_{\tau_0}^{+\infty} \exp(-H(\tau)) |\Phi(\tau)|^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}} d\tau < \infty. \quad (3.31)$$

Next, (3.26), (3.29), and (3.31) imply that the solution  $\xi$  admits representation (3.20), where  $c > 0$  is a certain constant. Substituting (3.20) into the right-hand side of (3.1) and integrating the obtained equation, it is not difficult to make sure that  $\xi$  satisfies (3.22).

Let conditions (3.23) be fulfilled, and let  $c > 0$ ,  $c_0 \neq 0$  be arbitrary fixed numbers. We shall prove that there exists at least one solution  $\xi$  of equation (3.1) satisfying representations (3.20), (3.21).

Using

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\tau) &= c \exp(-\beta_0 \tau) [1 + u_1(\tau)], \\ \frac{\xi'(\tau)}{\xi(\tau)} + \beta_0 &= c_0 \exp(-H(\tau)) [1 + u_2(\tau)], \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

equation (3.1) is transformed into the differential system

$$\begin{cases} u_1' = c \exp(-H(\tau)) [1 + u_1 + u_2 + X_1(u_1, u_2)] \\ u_2' = m\theta(\tau) [1 + \sigma u_1 + \lambda u_2 + X_2(u_1, u_2)] \end{cases} \quad (3.33)$$

where  $m = \alpha_0 c_0 |c_0|^{\lambda-2} c^\sigma$ ,  $X_1(u_1, u_2) = u_1 u_2$ ,  $X_2(u_1, u_2) = (1 + u_1)^\sigma \times |1 + u_2|^\lambda - 1 - \sigma u_1 - \lambda u_2$ . Consider system (3.33) in the domain  $\Omega$  (see (2.2), where  $T = b$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ ). The functions  $A_i, F_i, G_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) defined in §2 for system (3.33) are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} A_2(\tau) &= \left| m\sigma \int_{\alpha_2}^{\tau} \theta(t) \exp(\lambda m \int_t^{\tau} \theta(s) ds) dt \right|; \\ A_1(\tau) &= \left| c_0 \int_{\alpha_1}^{\tau} A_2(t) \exp(-H(t) + c_0 \int_t^{\tau} \exp(-H(s)) ds) dt \right|; \\ F_1(\tau) &= \left| c_0 \int_{\beta_1}^{\tau} \exp(-H(t) + c_0 \int_t^{\tau} \exp(-H(s)) ds) dt \right| + \frac{1}{|\sigma|} A_1(\tau); \\ F_2(\tau) = G_2(\tau) &= \frac{1}{|\sigma|} A_2(\tau); \quad G_1(\tau) = F_1(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 and (3.23) that  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} A_i(\tau) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} F_i(\tau) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} G_i(\tau) = 0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Furthermore, partial derivatives of  $X_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) with respect to  $u_1, u_2$  are bounded in the domain  $\Omega$ , and  $X_i(0, 0) = 0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Thus the system (3.33) satisfies the

conditions of Theorem 2.1 and has at least one real solution  $(u_1(\tau), u_2(\tau))$  tending to zero as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$  to which, due to the transformation (3.32), there corresponds a proper solution  $\xi$  of (3.1) satisfying asymptotic equalities (3.20), (3.21).

Let now inequality (3.24) hold, and let  $c > 0$  be an arbitrary fixed number. We shall prove that equation (3.1) has at least one solution  $\xi$  satisfying representations (3.20), (3.22).

Applying the transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\tau) &= c \exp(-\beta_0 \tau) [1 + u_1(\tau)], \\ \frac{\xi'(\tau)}{\xi(\tau)} + \beta_0 &= N(\tau) [1 + hu_1(\tau) + u_2(\tau)], \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

where  $N(\tau) = \nu \exp(-H(\tau)) \left| c^\sigma (1-\lambda) \int_\gamma^\tau \theta(t) dt \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}}$ ,  $h = \sigma/(1-\lambda)$ , we get the system

$$\begin{cases} u_1' = N(\tau) [1 + (h+1)u_1 + u_2 + X_1(u_1, u_2)] \\ u_2' = -hN(\tau) - h(h+1)N(\tau)u_1 - [hN(\tau) + \\ + (1-\lambda)Q(\tau)]u_2 + Q(\tau)X_2(\tau, u_1, u_2), \end{cases} \quad (3.35)$$

where  $Q(\tau) = \theta(\tau) \left[ (1-\lambda) \int_\gamma^\tau \theta(t) dt \right]^{-1}$  ( $\nu, \gamma$  are the same as in (3.22))  $X_1(u_1, u_2) = hu_1^2 + u_1u_2$ ,  $X_2(\tau, u_1, u_2) = |1 + hu_1 + u_2|^\lambda (1 + u_1)^\sigma - 1 - (h\lambda + \sigma)u_1 - \lambda u_2 - hN(\tau)Q^{-1}(\tau)X_1(u_1, u_2)$ .

Consider system (3.35) in the domain  $\Omega$  (see (2.2), where  $T = b$   $0 < \delta < 1/(|h| + 1)$ ). The functions  $a_i, A_i, F_i, G_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) defined in §2 for system (3.35) are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} a_2(\tau, t) &= \exp \int_t^\tau [-hN(s) - (1-\lambda)Q(s)] ds; \\ a_1(\tau, t) &= \exp \left( (h+1) \int_t^\tau N(s) ds \right); \\ A_2(\tau) &= \left| h(h+1) \int_{\alpha_2}^\tau N(t) a_2(\tau, t) dt \right|; \quad F_2(\tau) = \frac{1}{|h+1|} A_2(\tau); \\ G_2(\tau) &= \left| \int_{\gamma_2}^\tau Q(t) a_2(\tau, t) dt \right|; \quad A_1(\tau) = \left| \int_{\alpha_1}^\tau N(t) A_2(t) a_1(\tau, t) dt \right|; \end{aligned}$$

$$F_1(\tau) = \left| \int_{\beta_1}^{\tau} N(t)[1 + F_2(t)]a_1(\tau, t)dt \right|;$$

$$G_1(\tau) = \left| \int_{\gamma_1}^{\tau} N(t)[1 + G_2(t)]a_1(\tau, t)dt \right|.$$

Since (3.30) is fulfilled for any function  $\varphi(\tau) = o(\tau)$ ,  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have

$$N(\tau) = o(\exp(\rho_0\tau)), \quad \tau \rightarrow +\infty \quad (3.36)$$

for arbitrary  $\rho_0 \in ]0, \rho^*[$ . Using L'Hospital's rule it is easy to make sure that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_b^{\tau} \theta(t)dt}{\theta(\tau) \exp(\rho_0\tau)} = 0. \text{ Therefore, taking into consideration (3.36), we have}$$

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} N(\tau)Q^{-1}(\tau) = 0. \quad (3.37)$$

It follows from Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and (3.36), (3.37) that  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} A_i(\tau) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} F_i(\tau) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} G_1(\tau) = 0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ),

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} G_2(\tau) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \int_b^{+\infty} \theta(\tau)d\tau < +\infty \\ \frac{1}{|1-\lambda|} & \text{if } \int_b^{+\infty} \theta(\tau)d\tau = +\infty \end{cases}.$$

Partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial X_i}{\partial u_k}$  ( $i, k = 1, 2$ ) tend to zero as  $|u_1| + |u_2| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly with respect to  $\tau \in [T, +\infty[$ . Furthermore,  $X_2(\tau, 0, 0) \equiv 0$  for  $\tau \geq T$ ,  $X_1(0, 0) = 0$ .

Thus by Theorem 2.2 system (3.35) has at least one real solution  $(u_1(\tau), u_2(\tau))$  tending to zero as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$  to which, due to transformation (3.34), there corresponds a proper solution  $\xi$  of (3.1) satisfying (3.20), (3.22).  $\square$

**3.3. On the Proper Solutions of Equation (3.1) with the Property (3.5).** Below we shall use the following simple statement whose validity can be easily verified.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $f : [T, +\infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuously differentiable function such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |f(t)| = +\infty$ . If for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f'(t)/|f(t)|^{1+\varepsilon}$ , then this limit equals zero.*

Consider first the solutions of (3.1) for which

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \xi'(\tau)/\xi(\tau) = +\infty. \quad (3.38)$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\xi$  be a proper solution of (3.1) with the property (3.33). Then*

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \xi^\sigma(\tau) u^{\lambda-2}(\tau) = +\infty \text{ when } \sigma > 0. \quad (3.39)$$

and

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \xi^\sigma(\tau) u^{\lambda-1}(\tau) = 0 \text{ when } \sigma < 0. \quad (3.40)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\xi$  be a proper solution of (3.1) with property (3.38). Obviously,

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \xi(\tau) = +\infty. \quad (3.41)$$

First we shall show that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  the function  $z(\tau) = u(\tau)\xi^{-\varepsilon}(\tau)$  has the limit as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ , and this limit equals zero.

Assume on the contrary that  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} z(\tau)$  does not exist. Then there exists a constant  $\bar{c}$  different from zero and  $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{\lambda-2}}$ , such that the graph of the function  $z = z(\tau)$  intersects the straight line  $z = \bar{c}$  at  $\tau = t_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , and the sequence  $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  tends to infinity. Since by (3.1),  $z'(\tau) \equiv z(\tau)\beta_0[\varepsilon - S(\tau)]$  for  $\tau \geq t_0$ , this implies that due to (3.2) and (3.41) the values  $z'(t_k)$ ,  $k = N, N+1, \dots$  for some  $N$  are of the same sign, which is impossible. Hence  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} z(\tau)$  exists, and because of the fact that  $z(\tau) \sim \xi'(\tau)/\xi^{1+\varepsilon}(\tau)$  as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ , (3.41), and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} z(\tau) = 0. \quad (3.42)$$

By virtue of (3.38) and (3.41) the validity of (3.39) and (3.40) is obvious if  $\lambda > 2$  and  $\lambda < 1$ , respectively.

Let  $\sigma > 0$  and  $\lambda < 2$ . Choosing  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\sigma + (\lambda - 2)\varepsilon > 0$  and taking into account (3.38), (4.42), we obtain

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \xi^\sigma(\tau) u^{\lambda-2}(\tau) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \xi^{\sigma+(\lambda-2)\varepsilon}(\tau) z^{\lambda-2}(\tau) = +\infty,$$

i.e., (3.39) holds when  $\lambda < 2$ .

If  $\sigma < 0$  and  $\lambda > 1$  we choose  $\varepsilon$  so that  $\sigma + (\lambda - 1)\varepsilon < 0$ . Then because of (3.38), (3.42) we have

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \xi^\sigma(\tau) u^{\lambda-1}(\tau) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \xi^{\sigma+(\lambda-1)\varepsilon}(\tau) z^{\lambda-1}(\tau) = 0,$$

i.e., (3.40) holds when  $\lambda > 1$ . Thus Lemma 3.2 is proved.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Equation (3.1) has solutions with the property (3.38) if and only if*

$$\sigma < 0, \quad \beta_0 S_0 < 0. \quad (3.43)$$

Furthermore, each of such solutions admits asymptotic representations

$$\xi(\tau) = c \exp\left(-\beta_0\tau + c_0 \int_b^\tau \exp(-H(t))dt\right)[1 + o(1)], \quad \tau \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (3.44)$$

$$\frac{\xi'(\tau)}{\xi(\tau)} + \beta_0 = c_0 \exp(-H(\tau))[1 + o(\exp(-k\tau))], \quad \tau \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (3.45)$$

where  $c > 0$ ,  $c_0 > 0$ ,  $k > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\xi$  be a proper solution of (3.1) with property (3.38) and  $u(\tau) = \beta_0 + \xi'(\tau)/\xi(\tau)$ . When  $\sigma > 0$ , it follows from (3.1), (3.2), (3.38), and Lemma 3.2 that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_0 u'(\tau)}{u^2(\tau)} = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} [-\alpha_0 \beta_0 S(\tau) u^{-1}(\tau) + u^{\lambda-2}(\tau) \xi^\sigma(\tau)] = +\infty,$$

which contradicts Lemma 3.1.

When  $\sigma < 0$  and  $\beta_0 S_0 > 0$ , it follows from (3.1), (3.2) and Lemma 3.2 that  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{u'(\tau)}{u(\tau)} = -\beta_0 S_0 < 0$ , which contradicts (3.38).

Thus equation (3.1) can have a proper solution with property (3.38) provided only that (3.43) holds. Let inequalities (3.43) be fulfilled, and let  $\xi$  be such a solution. Put  $\varepsilon(\tau) = \beta_0 S(\tau) + u'(\tau)/u(\tau)$ ,  $\psi(\tau) = \int_{\tau_0}^\tau \varepsilon(t)dt$ . Then  $u(\tau) = c_1 \exp(-H(\tau) + \psi(\tau))$ ,

$$\xi(\tau) = \xi_0 \exp\left(-\beta_0\tau + c_1 \int_{\tau_0}^\tau \exp(-H(t) + \psi(t))dt\right), \quad (3.46)$$

where  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ ,  $c_1 > 0$ . It follows from (3.1), (3.2), (3.43), and Lemma 3.2 that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \varepsilon(\tau) = 0. \quad (3.47)$$

Substituting (3.46) into the right-hand side of (3.1) and taking into account (3.38), (3.43), and (3.47), we find that

$$u(\tau) = \exp(-H(\tau)) \left[ \bar{c}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \alpha_0 \xi_0^\sigma \times \right. \\ \left. \times \int_{\infty}^\tau \theta(t) \exp\left(\sigma c_1 \int_{\tau_0}^t \exp(-H(s) + \psi(s))ds\right) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}}, \quad (3.48)$$

where  $\bar{c}_1 \geq 0$ . Because of (3.42), (3.46)–(3.48) by using L'Hospital's rule, it is not difficult to verify that if  $\bar{c}_1 = 0$ , then  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} u(\tau) = 0$  when  $\lambda < 1$ , and

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \xi^\sigma(\tau) u^{\lambda-1}(\tau) = +\infty \text{ when } \lambda > 1,$$

which contradicts (3.38) and (3.39), respectively. Consequently,  $\bar{c}_1 > 0$ .

Note that owing to (3.43) and (3.47),

$$\int_{\tau}^{+\infty} \theta(t) \exp\left(\sigma c_1 \int_{\tau_0}^t \exp(-H(s) + \psi(s)) ds\right) dt = o(\exp(-k\tau)), \quad \tau \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (3.49)$$

for any  $k > 0$ . Therefore, representation (3.48) can be expressed in the form (3.45) which implies that  $\xi$  satisfies (3.44) with certain constants  $c > 0$ ,  $c_0 > 0$ ,  $k > 0$ .

Next we shall prove that conditions (3.43) are sufficient for (3.1) to have a proper solution  $\xi$  satisfying (3.44), (3.45).

Let  $c, c_0, k$  be arbitrary fixed numbers satisfying inequalities  $c > 0$ ,  $c_0 > 0$ ,

$$k > -\beta_0 S_0. \quad (3.50)$$

Applying to (3.1) the transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\tau) &= c \exp\left(-\beta_0 \tau + c_0 \int_b^{\tau} \exp(-H(t)) dt\right) [1 + u_1(\tau)], \\ \frac{\xi'(\tau)}{\xi(\tau)} + \beta_0 &= c_0 \exp(-H(\tau)) [1 + \exp(-k\tau) u_2(\tau)], \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

we obtain the system

$$\begin{cases} u_1' = c_0 \exp(-H(\tau) - k\tau) [u_2 + X_1(u_1, u_2)] \\ u_2' = k u_2 + \alpha_0 c_0^{\lambda-1} c^{\sigma} \theta(\tau) \times \\ \quad \times \exp\left(\sigma c_1 \int_b^{\tau} \exp(-H(t)) dt + k\tau\right) [1 + X_2(\tau, u_1, u_2)], \end{cases} \quad (3.52)$$

where  $X_1(u_1, u_2) = u_1 u_2$ ,  $X_2(\tau, u_1, u_2) = (1 + u_1)^{\sigma} |1 + \exp(-k\tau) u_2|^{\lambda} - 1$ .

Consider system (3.52) in the domain  $\Omega$  (see (2.2), where  $T = b$ ,  $0 < \delta < \min\{1, \exp(kT)\}$ ). Partial derivatives of  $X_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) with respect to  $u_i, u_2$  are bounded in the domain  $\Omega$ , and  $X_1(0, 0) = 0$ ,  $X_2(\tau, 0, 0) \equiv 0$  for  $\tau \geq T$ . The functions  $A_i, F_i, G_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) defined in §2 for system (3.52) are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} A_2(\tau) \equiv A_1(\tau) \equiv 0; \quad F_2(\tau) &= c_0^{\lambda-1} c^{\sigma} \exp(k\tau) \left| \int_{\beta_2}^{\tau} \theta(\tau) \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \exp\left(\sigma c_0 \int_b^t \exp(-H(s)) ds\right) dt \right|; \end{aligned}$$

$$F_1(\tau) = c_0 \left| \int_{\beta_{12}}^{\tau} \exp(-H(t) - kt) F_2(t) dt \right|; \quad G_2(\tau) \equiv F_2(\tau);$$

$$G_1(\tau) = c_0 \left| \int_{\gamma_1}^{\tau} \exp(-H(t) - kt) dt \right| + F_1(\tau).$$

It is easily seen that asymptotic equality (3.49) under the conditions (3.43) remains true if we set  $\varphi(\tau) \equiv 0$ . It follows that  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} F_2(\tau) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} G_2(\tau) = 0$ . This implies  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} F_1(\tau) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} G_1(\tau) = 0$  due to (3.51). Thus, by Theorem 2.1 system (3.52) has at least one real solution  $(u_1(\tau), u_2(\tau))$  tending to zero as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ . Taking into account transformation (3.51), we complete the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

Consider now the solutions of (3.1) satisfying

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \xi'(\tau)/\xi(\tau) = -\infty. \quad (3.53)$$

We make the substitution  $1/\xi(\tau) = \mu(\tau)$  to obtain the equation

$$\left( \frac{\mu'(\tau)}{\mu(\tau)} - \beta_0 \right)' + \beta_0 S(\tau) \left( \frac{\mu'(\tau)}{\mu(\tau)} - \beta_0 \right) = -\alpha_0 \mu^{-\sigma}(\tau) \left| \frac{\mu'(\tau)}{\mu(\tau)} - \beta_0 \right|^\lambda. \quad (3.54)$$

Clearly, a proper solution  $\xi$  of (3.1) with property (3.53) corresponds to the solution  $\mu$  of (3.54) with the property  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \mu'(\tau)/\mu(\tau) = +\infty$ , and vice versa. Since equations (3.1) and (3.54) are of the same form, using the above arguments it is not difficult to see that the following statement is true.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Equation (3.10) has solutions with the property (3.53) if and only if  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $\beta_0 S_0 < 0$ . Furthermore, each of such solutions  $\xi$  admits asymptotic representations*

$$\xi(\tau) = c \exp \left( -\beta_0 \tau - c_0 \int_b^{\tau} \exp(-H(t)) dt \right) [1 + o(1)], \quad \tau \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$\frac{\xi'(\tau)}{\xi(\tau)} + \beta_0 = -c_0 \exp(-H(\tau)) [1 + (\exp(-k\tau))], \quad \tau \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where  $c > 0$ ,  $c_0 > 0$ ,  $k > 0$ .

## § 4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1–1.5

Applying to (0.1) the transformation

$$y(t) = \ln[V(t)\xi(\tau)], \quad \tau = \beta_0 \ln V(t), \quad (4.1)$$

we get  $\tau'(t) > 0$  for  $t \in [a_1, \omega[$  ( $a_1$  is a certain number in the interval  $]a, \omega[$ ), and  $\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \tau(t) = +\infty$ . The transformation (4.1) yields equation (3.1) in which  $S(\tau) = S(\tau(t)) = \alpha_0 \Gamma(t)$ ,  $b = \beta_0 \ln V(a)$ . Moreover, proper solution  $\xi$  of (3.1) corresponds to each proper solution  $y$  of (0.1), and vice versa. Taking into account transformation (4.1) and the notation introduced in §§1 and 3, it is easy to see that

$$H(\tau) = H(\tau(t)) = \ln \left| \frac{V'(t)}{V(t)} \right| + \ln \left| \frac{V(a)}{V'(a)} \right|,$$

$$\int_{\gamma}^{\tau(t)} \theta(s) ds = \left| \frac{V'(a)}{V(a)} \right|^{1-\lambda} \int_{\rho}^t p(s) ds,$$

where  $\rho = \omega$  if  $\int_a^\omega p(t) dt < +\infty$ , and  $\rho = a$  otherwise,

$$\int_b^{+\infty} \exp \left( -\beta_0 \int_b^\tau S(t) dt \right) d\tau = \left| \frac{V'(a)}{V(a)} \right| \int_a^\omega dt. \quad (4.2)$$

Because of (1.1), the function  $S$  satisfies the condition (3.2), and  $S_0 = \alpha_0 \Gamma_0$ . Therefore it follows from (4.2) that if either  $\alpha_0 \beta_0 \Gamma_0 > 0$  or  $\alpha_0 \beta_0 \Gamma_0 < 0$ , then  $\omega < +\infty$  or  $\omega = +\infty$ , respectively.

Taking into consideration the above arguments, it is easily seen that Theorems 3.1–3.6 result in Theorems 1.1–1.5.

*Remark 1.* The results dealing with the asymptotic behavior of proper solutions of (0.1) in the case  $\lambda = 1$  may be found in [9].

In the case  $\lambda = 2$ , Theorems 1.1–1.5 in which

$$\Gamma_0 = -\alpha_0 \sigma \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{p(t)p''(t)}{[p'(t)]^2}, \quad V(t) = p^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}}(t)$$

are valid under an additional assumption that  $p$  is twice continuously differentiable function satisfying one of the conditions  $\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} p(t) = 0$  or  $\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} p(t) = +\infty$ .

*Remark 2.* Paper [10] contains results on the asymptotic properties of proper solutions of (0.1) in the case where  $\Gamma_0 = \pm\infty$ .

## REFERENCES

1. I. T. Kiguradze and T. A. Chanturia, Asymptotic properties of solutions of nonautonomous ordinary differential equations. (Russian) *Nauka, Moscow*, 1990; *English translation: Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht*, 1993.
2. A. V. Kostin and V. M. Evtukhov, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of a nonlinear differential equation. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **231**(1976), No. 5, 1059–1062.
3. V. M. Evtukhov, On a second-order nonlinear differential equation. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **233**(1977), No. 4, 531–534.
4. V. M. Evtukhov, Asymptotic properties of solutions of a certain class of second-order differential equations. (Russian) *Math. Nachr.* **115**(1984), 215–236.
5. V. M. Evtukhov, Asymptotic representations of solutions of a certain class of second-order nonlinear differential equations. (Russian) *Bull. Acad. Sci. Georgian SSR* **106**(1982), No. 3, 473–476.
6. V. M. Evtukhov, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of a half-linear differential equation of the second-order. (Russian) *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **26**(1990), No. 5, 776–787.
7. V. M. Evtukhov and N. G. Drik, Asymptotic representations of solutions of a nonlinear differential equation of the second-order. (Russian) *Reports of Enlarged Sessions of Seminar of the I. N. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics* **7**(1992), No. 3, 39–42.
8. A. V. Kostin, On the existence of boundary particular solutions tending to zero as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  of systems of ordinary differential equations. (Russian) *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **1**(1965), No. 5, 585–604.
9. V. M. Evtukhov and N. G. Drik, Asymptotic representations of solutions of a certain class of second-order nonlinear differential equations. (Russian) *Bull. Acad. Sci. Georgian SSR* **133**(1989), No. 1, 29–32.
10. N. G. Drik, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of a second-order nonlinear differential equation in a special case. (Russian) *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **25**(1989), No. 1, 1071–1072.

(Received 17.08.1994)

Authors' address:  
Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics  
I. Mechnikov Odessa State University  
2, Petra Velikogo St., Odessa 270057  
Ukraine