Hindawi Publishing Corporation Abstract and Applied Analysis Volume 2010, Article ID 128934, 19 pages doi:10.1155/2010/128934

Research Article

A New Method to Prove and Find Analytic Inequalities

Xiao-Ming Zhang, 1 Bo-Yan Xi, 2 and Yu-Ming Chu1

Correspondence should be addressed to Yu-Ming Chu, chuyuming2005@yahoo.com.cn

Received 19 October 2009; Revised 26 January 2010; Accepted 2 February 2010

Academic Editor: John Rassias

Copyright © 2010 Xiao-Ming Zhang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We present a new method to study analytic inequalities. As for its applications, we prove the well-known Hölder inequality and establish several new analytic inequalities.

1. Monotonicity Theorem

Throughout the paper \mathbb{R} denotes the set of real numbers and \mathbb{R}_+ denotes the set of strictly positive real numbers. Let $n \geq 2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$; the arithmetic mean $A(\mathbf{x})$ and the power mean $M_r(\mathbf{x})$ of order r with respect to the positive real numbers $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ are defined by $A(\mathbf{x}) = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, $M_r(\mathbf{x}) = ((1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^r)^{1/r}$ for $r \neq 0$, and $M_0(\mathbf{x}) = (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i)^{1/n}$, respectively.

In [1], Pachpatte gave many basic methods and tools for researchers working in inequalities. In this section, we present a monotonicity theorem which can be used as powerful tool to prove and find analytic inequalities.

Lemma 1.1. Suppose that m < M, $D = \{(x_1, x_2) \mid m \le x_2 \le x_1 \le M\}$. If $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ has continuous partial derivatives, then $\partial f/\partial x_1 \ge (\le)\partial f/\partial x_2$ holds in D if and only if $f(a,b) \ge (\le) f(a-l,b+l)$ holds for all $(a,b) \in D$ and l > 0 with $b < b+l \le a-l < a$.

Proof. We only prove the case of $\partial f/\partial x_1 \ge \partial f/\partial x_2$.

Necessity. For all $(x_1, x_2) \in D$ and $l \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $m \le x_2 < x_2 + l \le x_1 - l < x_1 \le M$, by the assumption we have $f(x_1 - l, x_2 + l) - f(x_1, x_2) \le 0$. Then from the Langrange's mean value

¹ Department of Mathematics, Huzhou Teachers College, Huzhou 313000, China

² Department of Mathematics, Inner Mongolia University for the Nationalities, Tongliao 028000, China

theorem we know that there exists $\xi_l \in (0, l)$ such that

$$l\left(-\frac{\partial f(x_1 - \xi_l, x_2 + \xi_l)}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f(x_1 - \xi_l, x_2 + \xi_l)}{\partial x_2}\right) \le 0,$$

$$-\frac{\partial f(x_1 - \xi_l, x_2 + \xi_l)}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f(x_1 - \xi_l, x_2 + \xi_l)}{\partial x_2} \le 0.$$
(1.1)

Letting $l \rightarrow 0+$, we get

$$\frac{\partial f(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_1} \ge \frac{\partial f(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_2}.$$
 (1.2)

According to the continuity of partial derivatives, we know that

$$\frac{\partial f(x_1, x_1)}{\partial x_1} \ge \frac{\partial f(x_1, x_1)}{\partial x_2} \tag{1.3}$$

holds also.

Sufficiency. For all (a,b) ∈ D and l > 0 with $b < b + l \le a - l < a$, from the assumption and the Langrange's mean value theorem we know that there exists $\xi_l \in (0,l)$ such that

$$f(a,b) - f(a-l,b+l) = -\left(f(a-l,b+l) - f(a,b)\right)$$

$$= -l\left(-\frac{\partial f(a-\xi_l,b+\xi_l)}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f(a-\xi_l,b+\xi_l)}{\partial x_2}\right)$$

$$= l\left(\frac{\partial f(a-\xi_l,b+\xi_l)}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial f(a-\xi_l,b+\xi_l)}{\partial x_2}\right)$$

$$\geq 0.$$
(1.4)

Therefore the proof of Lemma 1.1 is completed.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a symmetric convex set with nonempty interior, $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ has continuous partial derivatives, and

$$\widetilde{D}_{i} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in D \mid x_{i} = \max_{1 \le j \le n} \{x_{j}\} \right\} - \{\mathbf{x} \in D \mid x_{1} = x_{2} = \dots = x_{n}\},
\widetilde{D}_{i} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in D \mid x_{i} = \min_{1 \le j \le n} \{x_{j}\} \right\} - \{x \in D \mid x_{1} = x_{2} = \dots = x_{n}\},$$
(1.5)

i = 1, 2, ..., n. If for all i, j = 1, 2, ..., n with $i \neq j$,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} > (<) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \tag{1.6}$$

holds in $D_i \cap D_j$, then

$$f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \ge (\le) f(A(\mathbf{a}), A(\mathbf{a}), \dots, A(\mathbf{a}))$$
 (1.7)

for all $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in D$, with equality if only if $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n$.

Proof. If n = 2, then Theorem 1.2 follows from Lemma 1.1 and $l = |a_1 - a_2|/2$. We assume that $n \ge 3$ in the next discussion. Without loss of generality, we only prove the case of $\partial f/\partial x_i > \partial f/\partial x_j$ with $i \ne j$.

If $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_n$, then inequality (1.7) is clearly true. If $\max_{1 \le j \le n} \{a_j\} \ne \min_{1 \le j \le n} \{a_j\}$, then without loss of generality we assume that $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_{n-1} \ge a_n$.

(1) If $a_1 > \max_{2 \le j \le n} \{a_j\}$ and $a_n < \min_{1 \le j \le n-1} \{a_j\}$, then $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \in \overset{\smile}{D}_1 \cap \overset{\smile}{D}_n$. From Lemma 1.1 and the conditions in Theorem 1.2 we know that there exist $a_1^{(1)}$ and $a_n^{(1)}$ such that $l = a_1 - a_1^{(1)} = a_n^{(1)} - a_n > 0$, $a_1^{(1)} = a_2$ or $a_n^{(1)} = a_{n-1}$, and

$$f(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n) \ge f(a_1^{(1)}, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n^{(1)}).$$
 (1.8)

For the sake of convenience, we denote $a_i^{(1)} = a_i$, $2 \le i \le n-1$. Consequently,

$$f(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n) \ge f(a_1^{(1)}, a_2^{(1)}, a_3^{(1)}, \dots, a_n^{(1)}).$$
 (1.9)

If $a_1^{(1)} = a_2^{(1)} = \cdots = a_n^{(1)}$, then Theorem 1.2 holds. Otherwise, for the case of $a_1^{(1)} = a_2^{(1)} > a_n^{(1)}$, $(a_1^{(1)}, a_2^{(1)}, a_3^{(1)}, \dots, a_n^{(1)}) \in D_1 \cap D_n$ and

$$\left. \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} \right|_{\mathbf{x} = (a_1^{(1)}, a_2^{(1)}, \dots, a_n^{(1)})} > \left. \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \right|_{\mathbf{x} = (a_1^{(1)}, a_2^{(1)}, a_2^{(1)}, \dots, a_n^{(1)})}. \tag{1.10}$$

From the continuity of partial derivatives we know that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\left. \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} \right|_{\mathbf{x} = (s, a_2^{(1)}, a_3^{(1)}, \dots, t)} > \left. \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \right|_{\mathbf{x} = (s, a_2^{(1)}, a_3^{(1)}, \dots, t)}, \tag{1.11}$$

where $s \in [a_1^{(1)} - \varepsilon, a_1^{(1)}]$ and $t \in [a_n^{(1)}, a_n^{(1)} + \varepsilon]$. Denote $a_1^{(2)} = a_1^{(1)} - \varepsilon, a_n^{(2)} = a_n^{(1)} + \varepsilon, a_i^{(2)} = a_i^{(1)} (2 \le i \le n - 1)$. By Lemma 1.1, we get

$$f(a_1^{(1)}, a_2^{(1)}, a_3^{(1)}, \dots, a_n^{(1)}) \ge f(a_1^{(2)}, a_2^{(2)}, a_3^{(2)}, \dots, a_n^{(2)}),$$
 (1.12)

and $a_2^{(2)} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \{a_i^{(2)}\}$. For the case of $a_1^{(1)} > a_{n-1}^{(1)} = a_n^{(1)}$, after a similar argument, we get inequality (1.12) with $a_{n-1}^{(2)} = \min_{1 \le i \le n} \{a_i^{(2)}\}$.

Repeating the above steps, we get $\{a_1^{(i)}, a_2^{(i)}, \dots, a_n^{(i)}\}\ (i=1,2,\dots)$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{(i)}$ is a constant and $\{a_j^{(i)}\}\ (i=1,2,\dots)$ are monotone increasing (decreasing) sequences if $a_j \ge (\le) \ A(\mathbf{a}), j=1,2,3,\dots,n$, and

$$f(a_1^{(1)}, a_2^{(1)}, a_3^{(1)}, \dots, a_n^{(1)}) \ge f(a_1^{(i)}, a_2^{(i)}, a_3^{(i)}, \dots, a_n^{(i)}).$$
 (1.13)

If there exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_1^{(i)} = a_2^{(i)} = \cdots = a_n^{(i)}$, then the proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed. Otherwise, we denote $\alpha = \inf_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \{ \max\{a_1^{(i)}, a_2^{(i)}, \dots, a_n^{(i)} \} \}$; without loss of generality, we assume that

$$\max \left\{ a_{1}^{(i_{j})}, a_{2}^{(i_{j})}, \dots, a_{n}^{(i_{j})} \right\} = a_{1}^{(i_{j})} \longrightarrow \alpha,$$

$$\lim_{i \to +\infty} \left(a_{1}^{(i_{j})}, a_{2}^{(i_{j})}, \dots, a_{n}^{(i_{j})} \right) = (\alpha, b_{2}, b_{3}, \dots, b_{n}),$$
(1.14)

where $\{i_j\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$ is a subsequence of \mathbb{N} . Then from the continuity of function f, we get

$$f(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n) \ge f(\alpha, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n).$$
 (1.15)

If $\alpha \neq \min\{b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n\}$, then we repeat the above arguments and get a contradiction to the definition of α . Hence $\alpha = b_2 = b_3 = \dots = b_n$. From $\alpha + \sum_{i=2}^n b_i = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ we get $\alpha = b_2 = b_3 = \dots = b_n = A(\mathbf{a})$; the proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed.

(2) The proof for the case of $a_1 = \max_{2 \le j \le n} \{a_j\}$ or $a_n = \min_{1 \le j \le n-1} \{a_j\}$ is implied in the proof of (1).

In particular, according to Theorem 1.2 the following corollary holds.

Corollary 1.3. Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a symmetric convex set with nonempty interior, $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ is a symmetric function with continuous partial derivatives, and

$$\widetilde{D}_{1} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in D \mid x_{1} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{x_{j}\} \right\} - \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in D \mid x_{1} = x_{2} = \dots = x_{n} \right\},
\widetilde{D}_{2} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in D \mid x_{2} = \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{x_{j}\} \right\} - \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in D \mid x_{1} = x_{2} = \dots = x_{n} \right\},
D^{*} = \widetilde{D}_{1} \cap \widehat{D}_{2}.$$
(1.16)

If $\partial f/\partial x_1 > (<)\partial f/\partial x_2$ holds in D^* , then

$$f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \ge (\le) f(A(\mathbf{a}), A(\mathbf{a}), \dots, A(\mathbf{a}))$$
 (1.17)

for all $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in D$, and equality holds if and only if $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n$.

2. Comparing with Schur's Condition

The Schur convexity was introduced by I. Schur [2] in 1923; the following Definitions 2.1 and 2.2 can be found in [2, 3].

Definition 2.1. For $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots u_n), \mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, without loss of generality one assumes that $u_1 \ge u_2 \ge \dots \ge u_n$ and $v_1 \ge v_2 \ge \dots \ge v_n$. Then \mathbf{u} is said to be majorized by \mathbf{v} (in symbols $\mathbf{u} < \mathbf{v}$) if $\sum_{i=1}^k u_i \le \sum_{i=1}^k v_i$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i$.

Definition 2.2. Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. A real-valued function $\varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be Schur convex (Schur concave) if $\mathbf{u} \prec \mathbf{v}$ implies that $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) \leq (\geq) \varphi(\mathbf{v})$.

Recall that the following so-called Schur's condition is very useful for determining whether or not a given function is Schur convex or concave.

Theorem 2.3 (see [2, page 57]). Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a symmetric convex set with nonempty interior int Ω . If $\varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous on Ω and differentiable in int Ω , then φ is Schur convex (Schur concave) on Ω if and only if it is symmetric and

$$(u_1 - u_2) \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u_1} - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u_2} \right) \ge (\le) 0 \tag{2.1}$$

holds for any $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in \operatorname{int} \Omega$.

It is well known that a convex function is not necessarily a Schur convex function, and a Schur convex function need not be convex in the ordinary sense either. However, under the assumption of ordinary convexity, f is Schur convex if and only if it is symmetric [4].

Although the Schur convexity is an important tool in researching analytic inequalities, but the restriction of symmetry cannot be used in dealing with nonsymmetric functions. Obviously, Theorem 1.2 is the generalization and development of Theorem 2.3; the following results in Sections 3–5 show that a large number of inequalities can be proved, improved, and found by Theorem 1.2.

3. A Proof for the Hölder Inequality

Using Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3, we can prove some well-known inequalities, for example, power mean inequality, Hölder inequality, and Minkowski inequality. In this section, we only prove the Hölder inequality.

Proposition 3.1 (Hölder inequality). Suppose that

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+.$$
 (3.1)

If p, q > 1 and 1/p + 1/q = 1, then

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{p}\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} y_{k}^{q}\right)^{1/q} \ge \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k} y_{k}.$$
(3.2)

Proof. Let $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and

$$f: \mathbf{b} \longrightarrow \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k\right)^{1/q} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k^{1/q}, \quad b \in \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$
 (3.3)

Then

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial b_{i}} = \frac{1}{q} \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} b_{k}\right)^{1/q-1} a_{i} - \frac{1}{q} \cdot a_{i} b_{i}^{1/q-1},$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial b_{i}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial b_{j}} = \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k} a_{k}}\right)^{1/p} (a_{i} - a_{j}) - \frac{1}{q} \left(a_{i} b_{i}^{-1/p} - a_{j} b_{j}^{-1/p}\right).$$
(3.4)

Let $\mathbf{b} \in \overset{\smile}{D}_i \cap \overset{\frown}{D}_j$ (see (1.5)).

(1) If $a_i \ge a_j$, then

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial b_{i}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial b_{j}} \ge \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}}{b_{i} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}} \right)^{1/p} (a_{i} - a_{j}) - \frac{1}{q} \left(a_{i} b_{i}^{-1/p} - a_{j} b_{j}^{-1/p} \right)
= \frac{1}{q} a_{j} \left(b_{j}^{-1/p} - b_{i}^{-1/p} \right)
> 0.$$
(3.5)

(2) If $a_i \leq a_j$, then

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial b_{i}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial b_{j}} \ge \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}}{b_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}} \right)^{1/p} (a_{i} - a_{j}) - \frac{1}{q} \left(a_{i} b_{i}^{-1/p} - a_{j} b_{j}^{-1/p} \right)
= \frac{1}{q} a_{i} \left(b_{j}^{-1/p} - b_{i}^{-1/p} \right)
> 0.$$
(3.6)

From Theorem 1.2 we get

$$f(\mathbf{b}) \ge f(A(\mathbf{b}), A(\mathbf{b}), \dots, A(\mathbf{b})),\tag{3.7}$$

that is,

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k\right)^{1/q} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k^{1/q} \ge 0.$$
(3.8)

Therefore, the Hölder inequality follows from (3.8) with $a_k = x_k^p$ and $b_k = y_k^q/x_k^p$.

4. Improvement of the Sierpiński Inequality

In the section, we give some improvements of the well-known Sierpiński inequality:

$$[M_{-1}(\mathbf{a})]^{(n-1)/n}[A(\mathbf{a})]^{1/n} \le M_0(\mathbf{a}) \le [M_{-1}(\mathbf{a})]^{1/n}[A(\mathbf{a})]^{(n-1)/n}. \tag{4.1}$$

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that $n \ge 3$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, $\beta > 0 > \alpha$. If $\lambda = -2\alpha/n(\beta - \alpha)$ for $\beta + \alpha > 0$ and $\lambda = 1/n$ for $\beta + \alpha \le 0$, then

$$[M_{\alpha}(\mathbf{a})]^{1-\lambda} \cdot [M_{\beta}(\mathbf{a})]^{\lambda} \le M_0(\mathbf{a}). \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Let $f(\mathbf{x}) = (1/n\beta) \ln(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i) - ((1-\lambda)/\alpha) \ln((1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{\alpha/\beta})$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$. Then

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{j}} = \frac{1}{n\beta x_{j}} - \frac{1-\lambda}{\beta} \frac{x_{j}^{\alpha/\beta-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{\alpha/\beta}}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{2}} = \frac{x_{2} - x_{1}}{n\beta x_{1} x_{2}} - \frac{1-\lambda}{\beta} \frac{x_{1}^{\alpha/\beta-1} - x_{2}^{\alpha/\beta-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{\alpha/\beta}}.$$
(4.3)

Case 1. $\alpha + \beta > 0$. Let

$$g(t) = \frac{\beta + \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} t^{\beta - \alpha} - t^{\beta} + t^{-\alpha} - \frac{\beta + \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}, \quad t \in (1, +\infty).$$
 (4.4)

Then

$$t^{\alpha+1}g'(t) = (\beta + \alpha)t^{\beta} - \beta t^{\beta+\alpha} - \alpha,$$

$$\left[t^{\alpha+1}g'(t)\right]' = (\beta + \alpha)\beta t^{\beta+\alpha-1}(t^{-\alpha} - 1) > 0.$$
(4.5)

Therefore, $t^{\alpha+1}g'(t)$ is monotone increasing in $(1, +\infty)$. From

$$\lim_{t \to 1+} t^{\alpha+1} g'(t) = \lim_{t \to 1+} \left[\left(\beta + \alpha \right) t^{\beta} - \beta t^{\beta+\alpha} - \alpha \right] = 0, \tag{4.6}$$

we know that $t^{\alpha+1}g'(t) > 0$, g'(t) > 0. Then $\lim_{t \to 1+} g(t) = 0$ leads to g(t) > 0 and

$$\frac{\beta + \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} t^{\beta - \alpha} - t^{\beta} + t^{-\alpha} - \frac{\beta + \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} > 0,$$

$$\frac{\beta + \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} t^{\beta} - \left(1 + \frac{2\alpha}{\beta - \alpha}\right) t^{\alpha} - t^{\alpha + \beta} + 1 > 0,$$

$$\frac{\beta + \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} t^{\beta} - \left(n - 1 + \frac{2\alpha}{\beta - \alpha}\right) t^{\alpha} - t^{\alpha + \beta} + (n - 1) > 0,$$

$$(1 - n\lambda) t^{\beta} - (n - 1 - n\lambda) t^{\alpha} - t^{\alpha + \beta} + (n - 1) > 0,$$

$$(1 - \lambda) \frac{1 - t^{\alpha - \beta}}{t^{\alpha} + (n - 1)} > \frac{t^{\beta} - 1}{nt^{\beta}}.$$
(4.8)

We assume that $x \in D^*$ (see (1.16)). Let $t = (x_1/x_2)^{1/\beta}$. Then inequality (4.8) becomes

$$(1-\lambda)\frac{x_{2}^{\alpha/\beta-1}-x_{1}^{\alpha/\beta-1}}{x_{1}^{\alpha/\beta}+(n-1)x_{2}^{\alpha/\beta}} > \frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{nx_{1}x_{2}},$$

$$\frac{1-\lambda}{\beta}\frac{x_{2}^{\alpha/\beta-1}-x_{1}^{\alpha/\beta-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}^{\alpha/\beta}} > \frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{n\beta x_{1}x_{2}}.$$

$$(4.9)$$

Combining inequalities (4.3) and (4.9) yields that $\partial f(\mathbf{x})/\partial x_1 - \partial f(\mathbf{x})/\partial x_2 > 0$. Using Corollary 1.3 we have

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \ge f(A(\mathbf{x}), A(\mathbf{x}), \dots, A(\mathbf{x})),$$

$$\frac{1}{n\beta} \ln \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right) - \frac{1-\lambda}{\alpha} \ln \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{\alpha/\beta} \right) \ge \frac{\lambda}{\beta} \ln \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right).$$
(4.10)

Letting $a_i = x_i^{1/\beta}$, i = 1, 2, ..., n, we get

$$[M_{\alpha}(\mathbf{a})]^{1-\lambda} \cdot [M_{\beta}(\mathbf{a})]^{\lambda} \le M_0(\mathbf{a}). \tag{4.11}$$

Case 2. $\alpha + \beta < 0$. Let t > 1. Then from $\alpha < 0$ and $\alpha + \beta < 0$, one has

$$(n-1) > (n-2)t^{\alpha} + t^{\alpha+\beta}.$$
 (4.12)

Hence inequality (4.8) holds. The rest is similar to above, so we omit it.

The proof of Theorem 4.2 is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, and so we omit it. \Box

Theorem 4.2. Suppose that $n \ge 3$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, $\beta > 0 > \alpha$. If $\theta = (n-1)/n$ for $\beta + \alpha > 0$ and $\theta = 1 - 2\beta/n(\beta - \alpha)$ for $\beta + \alpha \le 0$, then

$$M_0(\mathbf{a}) \le [M_{\alpha}(\mathbf{a})]^{1-\theta} \cdot [M_{\beta}(\mathbf{a})]^{\theta}. \tag{4.13}$$

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that* $n \ge 3$, **a** = $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$. *If* $r = -\ln n/(n-1)[\ln n - \ln(n-1)]$, *then* r < -1 *and*

$$[M_{1/r}(\mathbf{a})]^{(n-1)/n}[A(\mathbf{a})]^{1/n} \le M_0(\mathbf{a}) \le [M_r(\mathbf{a})]^{1/n}[A(\mathbf{a})]^{(n-1)/n}. \tag{4.14}$$

Proof. Let $n \ge 3$ and

$$f: \mathbf{x} \in (0, +\infty)^n \longrightarrow \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^{1/r}}{n} \left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k}{n}\right)^{1/r(n-1)} - \left(\prod_{k=1}^n x_k\right)^{1/r(n-1)}.$$
 (4.15)

Then

$$r = -\frac{\ln n}{\ln (1 + 1/(n-1))^{n-1}} < -\frac{\ln n}{\ln e} = -\ln n < -1,$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = \frac{x_1^{(1-r)/r}}{rn} \left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k}{n}\right)^{1/r(n-1)} + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^{1/r}}{rn^2(n-1)} \left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k}{n}\right)^{(1/r(n-1))-1}$$

$$-\frac{1}{r(n-1)x_1} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n x_k\right)^{1/r(n-1)}.$$
(4.16)

Therefore, we get

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = \frac{1}{rn} \left(x_1^{(1-r)/r} - x_2^{(1-r)/r} \right) \left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k}{n} \right)^{1/r(n-1)} - \frac{1}{r(n-1)} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n x_k \right)^{1/r(n-1)} \left(\frac{1}{x_1} - \frac{1}{x_2} \right),$$

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right)^{1/(-r(n-1))} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\right) = \frac{x_{1}^{(1-r)/(-r)} - x_{2}^{(1-r)/(-r)}}{-rnx_{1}^{(1-r)/(-r)}x_{2}^{(1-r)/(-r)}} \left(\frac{n\prod_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}}\right)^{1/(-r)(n-1)} + \frac{x_{1} - x_{2}}{r(n-1)x_{1}x_{2}}$$

$$= \frac{x_{1}^{(1-r)/(-r)} - x_{2}^{(1-r)/(-r)}}{-rnx_{1}^{(1-r)/(-r)}x_{2}^{(1-r)/(-r)}} \left(\frac{n}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1, \neq k}^{n} x_{i}^{-1}}\right)^{1/(-r)(n-1)} + \frac{x_{1} - x_{2}}{r(n-1)x_{1}x_{2}}.$$

$$(4.17)$$

We assume that $x \in D^*$ (see (1.16)). Then we have

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right)^{1/-r(n-1)} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\right) \\
\geq \frac{x_{1}^{(1-r)/(-r)} - x_{2}^{(1-r)/(-r)}}{-rnx_{1}^{(1-r)/(-r)}x_{2}^{(1-r)/(-r)}} \left(\frac{n}{x_{2}^{-(n-1)} + (n-1)x_{1}^{-1}x_{2}^{-(n-2)}}\right)^{1/(-r)(n-1)} + \frac{x_{1} - x_{2}}{r(n-1)x_{1}x_{2}}.$$
(4.18)

Letting $x_1/x_2 = t > 1$, from $n^{1+1/r(n-1)} = n^{1-(\ln n - \ln(n-1))/\ln n} = n - 1$, we get

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right)^{1/-r(n-1)} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\right)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \frac{x_{1}^{(1-r)/(-r)} - x_{2}^{(1-r)/(-r)}}{-rx_{1}^{(1-r)/(-r)} x_{2}^{(1-r)/(-r)}} \left(\frac{x_{1}x_{2}^{n-1}}{x_{1} + (n-1)x_{2}}\right)^{(\ln n - \ln(n-1))/\ln n}$$

$$+ \frac{x_{1} - x_{2}}{r(n-1)x_{1}x_{2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{-r(n-1)x_{2}} \left[\frac{t^{(1-r)/(-r)} - 1}{t^{(1-r)/(-r)}} \left(\frac{t}{t + n - 1}\right)^{(\ln n - \ln(n-1))/\ln n} - \frac{t-1}{t}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{-r(n-1)x_{2}} \left[\frac{t^{(1-r)/(-r)} - 1}{t^{(1-r)/(-r)}} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{n-1}{t}\right)^{-(\ln n - \ln(n-1))/\ln n} - \frac{t-1}{t}\right].$$

According to Bernoulli's inequality $(1 + x)^{\alpha} < 1 + \alpha x$ with $x \ge -1$, $x \ne 0$, and $0 < \alpha < 1$, one has

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}\right)^{1/-r(n-1)} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\right)
> \frac{1}{-r(n-1)x_{2}} \left[\frac{t^{(1-r)/(-r)} - 1}{t^{(1-r)/(-r)}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + (\ln n - \ln(n-1))/\ln n \cdot (n-1)/t} - \frac{t-1}{t}\right]
= \frac{1}{-r(n-1)x_{2}} \left[\frac{t^{(1-r)/(-r)} - 1}{t^{(1-r)/(-r)} - t^{1/(-r)}/r} - \frac{t-1}{t}\right]
= \frac{1}{-r(n-1)x_{2}} \cdot \frac{(1 + 1/r)t^{1/(-r)} - 1/r \cdot t^{(1+r)/(-r)} - 1}{t^{(1-r)/(-r)} - t^{1/(-r)}/r}.$$
(4.20)

For 0 < s < 1 and t > 1, it is not difficult to verify that $(1 - s)t^s + st^{s-1} - 1 > 0$. Letting s = -1/r, we have

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{r}\right)t^{1/(-r)} - \frac{1}{r} \cdot t^{(1+r)/(-r)} - 1 > 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} > 0.$$
(4.21)

Using Corollary 1.3, we know that

$$f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \ge f(A(\mathbf{x}), A(\mathbf{x}), ..., A(\mathbf{x})),$$

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^{1/r}}{n} \left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k}{n}\right)^{1/r(n-1)} \ge \left(\prod_{k=1}^n x_k\right)^{1/r(n-1)}.$$
(4.22)

Letting $a_i = x_i^{1/r}$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n), we get

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k}{n} \left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^r}{n}\right)^{1/r(n-1)} \ge \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} a_k\right)^{1/(n-1)},\tag{4.23}$$

$$[A(\mathbf{a})]^{(n-1)/n}[M_r(\mathbf{a})]^{1/n} \ge M_0(\mathbf{a}). \tag{4.24}$$

From (4.23), we get

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}\right)^{r} \ge \left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}}{n}\right)^{(n-1)r} \cdot \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{r}}{n}.$$
(4.25)

Letting $a_i \rightarrow a_i^{1/r}$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n), we have

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n} a_k \ge \left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^{1/r}}{n}\right)^{(n-1)r} \cdot \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k}{n},$$

$$M_0(\mathbf{a}) \ge [M_{1/r}(\mathbf{a})]^{(n-1)/n} [A(\mathbf{a})]^{1/n}.$$
(4.26)

Inequality (4.14) is proved.

5. Five New Inequalities

Let $n \ge 3$ and $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$. Then

$$\prod_{n}^{k}(\mathbf{a}) = \left(\prod_{1 \le i_{1} < \dots < i_{k} \le n} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i_{j}}\right)^{1/\binom{n}{k}} \tag{5.1}$$

References [5, 6] is the third symmetric mean of **a**.

Theorem 5.1. *If* $2 \le k \le n-1$, p = (k-1)/(n-1), then

$$\prod_{p}^{k} (\mathbf{a}) \ge [A(\mathbf{a})]^{p} [M_{0}(\mathbf{a})]^{1-p}$$
(5.2)

with the best possible constant p = (k-1)/(n-1).

Proof. Let $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and

$$f(\mathbf{a}) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i\right]^{-(n-k) \cdot \binom{n}{k} / n(n-1)} \cdot \prod_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le n} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i_j}.$$
 (5.3)

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a_{1}} = -\frac{(n-k) \cdot \binom{n}{k}}{n(n-1)a_{1}} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \right]^{-(n-k) \cdot \binom{n}{k}/n(n-1)} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{k} \leq n} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i_{j}} + \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \right]^{-(n-k) \cdot \binom{n}{k}/n(n-1)} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{k} \leq n} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i_{j}} \cdot \left(\sum_{2 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{k-1} < n} \frac{1}{a_{1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{i_{i}}} \right), \tag{5.4}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a_{1}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_{2}} = -\frac{(n-k) \cdot \binom{n}{k}}{n(n-1)} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \right]^{-(n-k) \cdot \binom{n}{k}/n(n-1)} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{k} \leq n} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i_{j}} \left(\frac{1}{a_{1}} - \frac{1}{a_{2}} \right) \right] \\
+ \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \right]^{-(n-k) \cdot \binom{n}{k}/n(n-1)} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{k} \leq n} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i_{j}} \\
\cdot \left(\sum_{3 \leq i_{1} < \dots : i_{k-1} \leq n} \left(\frac{1}{a_{1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{i_{j}}} - \frac{1}{a_{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{i_{j}}} \right) \right) \\
= (a_{1} - a_{2}) \cdot \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \right]^{-(n-k) \cdot \binom{n}{k}/n(n-1)} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{k} \leq n} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i_{j}} \\
\cdot \left[\frac{(n-k) \cdot \binom{n}{k}}{n(n-1)a_{1}a_{2}} - \sum_{3 \leq i_{1} < \dots : i_{k-1} \leq n} \frac{1}{(a_{1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{i_{i}}) \left(a_{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{i_{i}} \right)} \right].$$
(5.5)

If $\mathbf{a} \in D^*$ (see (1.16)), then

$$a_{1} + (k-1)a_{2} > a_{1},$$

$$\frac{(n-k) \cdot {n \choose k}}{n(n-1)a_{1}a_{2}} > \frac{{n-2 \choose k-1}}{ka_{2}(a_{1} + (k-1)a_{2})},$$

$$\frac{(n-k) \cdot {n \choose k}}{n(n-1)a_{1}a_{2}} > \sum_{3 \le i_{1} < \cdots i_{k-1} \le n} \frac{1}{(a_{1} + (k-1)a_{2})ka_{2}},$$

$$\frac{(n-k) \cdot {n \choose k}}{n(n-1)a_{1}a_{2}} > \sum_{3 \le i_{1} < \cdots i_{k-1} \le n} \frac{1}{(a_{1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{i_{i}}) \left(a_{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{i_{j}}\right)}.$$

$$(5.6)$$

Combining inequalities (5.5) and (5.6) yields that $\partial f/\partial a_1 - \partial f/\partial a_2 > 0$. Then from Corollary 1.3 we have

$$f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \ge f(A(\mathbf{a}), A(\mathbf{a}), \dots, A(\mathbf{a})) \tag{5.7}$$

for all $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, which implies that

$$\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\right]^{-(n-k)\cdot\binom{n}{k}/n(n-1)} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{k} \leq n} k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i_{j}} \geq \left[A(\mathbf{a})\right]^{(k-1)\cdot\binom{n}{k}/(n-1)}.$$
 (5.8)

Therefore, inequality (5.2) is proved.

Taking $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_{n-1} = 1$ and $a_n = x$ in inequality (5.2), we get

$$\left(\frac{x+k-1}{k}\right)^{\binom{n-1}{k-1}/\binom{n}{k}} \ge \left(\frac{x+n-1}{n}\right)^p (\sqrt[n]{x})^{1-p},
p \le \frac{(k/n)\ln((x+k-1)/k) - (1/n)\ln x}{\ln(x+n-1) - \ln(n\sqrt[n]{x})}.$$
(5.9)

Letting $x \to +\infty$, we get

$$p \le \lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{k/n \cdot 1/(x+k-1) - 1/nx}{1/(x+n-1) - 1/nx} = \lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{kx/(x+k-1) - 1}{nx/(x+n-1) - 1} = \frac{k-1}{n-1}.$$
 (5.10)

So p = (k-1)/(n-1) is the best possible constant.

For $n \ge 2$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, Alzer [7] established the following inequality:

$$\frac{n-1}{n}A(\mathbf{a}) + \frac{1}{n}M_{-1}(\mathbf{a}) \ge M_0(\mathbf{a}). \tag{5.11}$$

Theorems 5.2 and 5.3 are the improvements of Alzer's inequality.

Theorem 5.2. *If* $p = n^2/(n^2 + 4n - 4)$, then

$$pA(\mathbf{a}) + (1-p)M_{-1}(\mathbf{a}) \ge M_0(\mathbf{a}).$$
 (5.12)

Proof. Firstly, let $p > n^2/(n^2 + 4n - 4)$, and

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = p/n \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{x_i} + (1-p)n \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{-x_i}\right)^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
 (5.13)

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} = \frac{p}{n} e^{x_{1}} + (1 - p) \frac{n}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{-x_{i}}\right)^{2}} e^{-x_{1}},$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}} = \frac{p}{n} (e^{x_{1}} - e^{x_{2}}) - (1 - p) \frac{n}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{-x_{i}}\right)^{2}} (e^{-x_{2}} - e^{-x_{1}}).$$
(5.14)

If $x_1 = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \{x_i\} > x_2 = \min_{1 \le i \le n} \{x_i\}$, $t = e^{x_1 - x_2} > 1$, then

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}} \ge \frac{p}{n} (e^{x_{1}} - e^{x_{2}}) - (1 - p) \frac{n}{((n - 1)e^{-x_{1}} + e^{-x_{2}})^{2}} (e^{-x_{2}} - e^{-x_{1}})$$

$$= \frac{(e^{x_{1}} - e^{x_{2}})}{n((n - 1)e^{x_{2}} + e^{x_{1}})^{2}} \left[p((n - 1)e^{x_{2}} + e^{x_{1}})^{2} - (1 - p)n^{2}e^{x_{1}}e^{x_{2}} \right]$$

$$= \frac{e^{3x_{2}}(t - 1)}{n((n - 1)e^{x_{2}} + e^{x_{1}})^{2}} \left[p(n - 1 + t)^{2} - n^{2}t + pn^{2}t \right]$$

$$> \frac{e^{3x_{2}}(t - 1)}{n((n - 1)e^{x_{2}} + e^{x_{1}})^{2}} \left[\frac{n^{2}}{n^{2} + 4n - 4}(n - 1 + t)^{2} - n^{2}t + \frac{n^{2}}{n^{2} + 4n - 4}n^{2}t \right]$$

$$= \frac{ne^{3x_{2}}(t - 1)(t - n + 1)^{2}}{(n^{2} + 4n - 4)((n - 1)e^{x_{2}} + e^{x_{1}})^{2}} \ge 0.$$
(5.15)

Then from Corollary 1.3, we get

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \ge f(A(\mathbf{x}), A(\mathbf{x}), \dots, A(\mathbf{x})),$$

$$\frac{p}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{x_i} + (1-p) \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{-x_i}} \ge e^{A(\mathbf{x})} = \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{x_i}}.$$
(5.16)

Let $e^{x_i} = a_i$ in above inequality. Then we know that inequality (5.12) holds. From continuity we know that inequality (5.12) holds also for $p = n^2/(n^2 + 4n - 4)$.

Theorem 5.3. *If* $p = (1 - n - \sqrt{5n^2 - 6n + 1})/(2n)$, then

$$\frac{n-1}{n}A(\mathbf{a}) + \frac{1}{n}M_p(\mathbf{a}) \ge M_0(\mathbf{a}). \tag{5.17}$$

Proof. Let

$$f(\mathbf{a}) = \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i^{1/p}} - \frac{(n-1)}{n^2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^{1/p}, \quad \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$
 (5.18)

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a_1} = \frac{1}{npa_1} \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{1/p}} - \frac{n-1}{n^2 p} a_1^{1/p-1},$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a_1} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_2} = -\frac{a_1 - a_2}{npa_1 a_2} \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{1/np} - \frac{n-1}{n^2 p} \left(a_1^{1/p-1} - a_2^{1/p-1} \right).$$
(5.19)

If $a_1 = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \{a_i\} > a_2 = \min_{1 \le i \le n} \{a_i\} > 0$ and $a_1/a_2 = t > 1$, then from p < 0 and $-(a_1 - a_2)/npa_1a_2 > 0$ we get

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a_{1}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_{2}} \leq -\frac{a_{1} - a_{2}}{npa_{1}a_{2}} a_{1}^{1/(np)} a_{2}^{(n-1)/(np)} - \frac{n-1}{n^{2}p} \left(a_{1}^{1/p-1} - a_{2}^{1/p-1} \right)
= \frac{a_{1}^{1/p-1}}{n^{2}p} \left[-n \frac{t-1}{t} t^{1-(n-1)/(np)} - (n-1)(1-t^{1-1/p}) \right].$$
(5.20)

Let $g(t) = -nt^{1-(n-1)/(np)} + nt^{-(n-1)/(np)} + (n-1)t^{1-1/p} - (n-1), t > 1$. Then

$$g'(t) = \left(-n + \frac{n-1}{p}\right) t^{-(n-1)/(np)} - \frac{n-1}{p} t^{-1-(n-1)/(np)} + (n-1)\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) t^{-1/p},$$

$$t^{1+(n-1)/(np)} g'(t) = \left(-n + \frac{n-1}{p}\right) t - \frac{n-1}{p} + (n-1)\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) t^{1-1/(np)},$$

$$\left(t^{1+(n-1)/(np)} g'(t)\right)' = \left(-n + \frac{n-1}{p}\right) + (n-1)\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{np}\right) t^{-1/(np)}$$

$$> \left(-n + \frac{n-1}{p}\right) + (n-1)\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{np}\right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{p^2} \left[p^2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)p - 1 + \frac{1}{n}\right]$$

$$= 0.$$

$$(5.21)$$

Thus $t^{1+(n-1)/(np)}g'(t)$ is a monotone increasing function. This monotonicity and

$$\lim_{t \to 1+} t^{1+(n-1)/(np)} g'(t) = \lim_{t \to 1+} \left[\left(-n + \frac{n-1}{p} \right) t - \frac{n-1}{p} + (n-1) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) t^{1-(1/np)} \right]$$

$$= -1 - \frac{n-1}{p}$$

$$\geq 0$$
(5.22)

lead to $t^{1+(n-1)/(np)}g'(t) > 0$. Therefore g'(t) > 0 and g(t) is a monotone increasing function. From $\lim_{t \to 1+} g(t) = 0$ and the monotonicity of g(t) we know that g(t) > 0. By (5.20), we know that $\partial f/\partial a_1 - \partial f/\partial a_2 < 0$. According to Corollary 1.3 we get

$$f(\mathbf{a}) \le f(A(\mathbf{a}), A(\mathbf{a}), \dots, A(\mathbf{a})),$$

$$\sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i^{1/p} - \frac{n-1}{n^2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^{1/p}} \le \frac{1}{n} \cdot A^{1/p}(\mathbf{a}).$$
(5.23)

Finally, let $a_i \rightarrow a_i^p$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n) in the above inequality. Then we know that Theorem 5.3 holds.

If $n \ge 2$ and $0 < a_1 \le a_2 \le \cdots \le a_n$, then the following inequalities can be found in [8–10]:

$$\frac{\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} (a_i - a_j)^2}{2n^2 a_n} \le A(\mathbf{a}) - M_0(\mathbf{a}) \le \frac{\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} (a_i - a_j)^2}{2n^2 a_1},$$

$$\frac{a_1^3}{2n^2 a_n^4} \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le n} (a_i - a_j)^2 \le M_0(\mathbf{a}) - M_{-1}(\mathbf{a}) \le \frac{a_n^3}{2n^2 a_1^4} \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le n} (a_i - a_j)^2.$$
(5.24)

Theorems 5.4 and 5.5 are the improvements of inequalities (5.24).

Theorem 5.4. *If* $n \ge 2$ *and* $0 < m \le a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \le M$, then

$$\frac{\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} (a_i - a_j)^2}{2n^2 \cdot M^{(n-1)/n} \cdot A^{1/n}(\mathbf{a})} \le A(\mathbf{a}) - M_0(\mathbf{a}) \le \frac{\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} (a_i - a_j)^2}{2n^2 \cdot M^{(n-1)/n} \cdot A^{1/n}(\mathbf{a})}.$$
 (5.25)

Proof. Let

$$f: \mathbf{a} \in [m, M]^{n} \longrightarrow \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}\right)^{1/n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} - \sqrt[n]{\prod_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}}\right) - \frac{\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \left(a_{i} - a_{j}\right)^{2}}{2n^{2} M^{(n-1)/n}}.$$
(5.26)

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a_{1}} = \frac{1}{n^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} \right)^{1/n-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} - \sqrt{\frac{n}{n}} \prod_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} \right)^{1/n} \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n a_{1}} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n}} \prod_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} \right) - \frac{\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n} (a_{1} - a_{i})}{n^{2} M^{(n-1)/n}},$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a_{1}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_{2}} = \frac{a_{1} - a_{2}}{n a_{1} a_{2}} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n}} \prod_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} \right)^{1/n} - \frac{a_{1} - a_{2}}{n M^{(n-1)/n}}$$

$$= \frac{a_{1} - a_{2}}{n a_{1} a_{2} M^{(n-1)/n}} \left[M^{(n-1)/n} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n}} \prod_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} \right)^{1/n} - a_{1} a_{2} \right].$$
(5.27)

We assume that $\mathbf{a} \in D^*$ (see (1.16)). Then

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a_{1}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_{2}} > \frac{a_{1} - a_{2}}{na_{1}a_{2}M^{(n-1)/n}} \left[M^{(n-1)/n} a_{1}^{1/n} a_{2}^{(n-1)/n} \cdot a_{2}^{1/n} - a_{1}a_{2} \right]
\geq \frac{a_{1} - a_{2}}{na_{1}a_{2}M^{(n-1)/n}} \left[a_{1}^{(n-1)/n} \cdot a_{1}^{1/n} a_{2}^{(n-1)/n} \cdot a_{2}^{1/n} - a_{1}a_{2} \right]
= 0.$$
(5.28)

According to Corollary 1.3, we get

$$f(\mathbf{a}) \ge f(A(\mathbf{a}), A(\mathbf{a}), \dots, A(\mathbf{a})),$$

$$A(\mathbf{a}) - M_0(\mathbf{a}) \ge \frac{\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} (a_i - a_j)^2}{2n^2 M^{(n-1)/n} A^{1/n}(\mathbf{a})}.$$
(5.29)

Let

$$g: \mathbf{a} \in [m, M] \longrightarrow \frac{\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} (a_i - a_j)^2}{2n^2 m^{(n-1)/n}} - \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k\right]^{1/n} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k - \sqrt[n]{\prod_{k=1}^n a_k}\right].$$
 (5.30)

A similar argument as above leads to

$$A(\mathbf{a}) - M_0(\mathbf{a}) \le \frac{\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} (a_i - a_j)^2}{2n^2 m^{(n-1)/n} A^{1/n}(\mathbf{a})}.$$
 (5.31)

The proof of Theorem 5.4 is completed.

Let

$$f: \mathbf{x} \in \left[\frac{1}{M}, \frac{1}{m}\right] \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2n^2} \cdot \frac{M^{(n-3)/n}}{m^{(2n-3)/n}} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \left(\frac{1}{x_i} - \frac{1}{x_j}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{M_0(\mathbf{x})},$$

$$g: \mathbf{x} \in \left[\frac{1}{M}, \frac{1}{m}\right] \longrightarrow \frac{1}{M_0(\mathbf{x})} - \frac{m^{(n-1)/n}}{2n^2 M^{(2n-1)/n}} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \left(\frac{1}{x_i} - \frac{1}{x_j}\right)^2.$$
(5.32)

The proof of Theorem 5.5 is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.4, and so we omit it.

Theorem 5.5. *Let* $n \ge 2$, $0 < m \le a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \le M$. *Then*

$$\frac{m^{(n-1)/n}}{2n^2M^{(2n-1)/n}} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} (a_i - a_j)^2 \le M_0(\mathbf{a}) - M_{-1}(\mathbf{a})$$

$$\le \frac{M^{(n-3)/n}}{2n^2m^{(2n-3)/n}} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} (a_i - a_j)^2. \tag{5.33}$$

Remark 5.6. More applications for Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 were shown in [11].

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the anonymous referees for their very careful reading of the manuscript and fruitful comments and suggestions. This research is partly supported by the N. S. Foundation of China under Grant no. 60850005, N. S. Foundation of Zhejiang Province under Grants nos. D7080080 and Y607128, and the Innovation Team Foundation of the Department of Education of Zhejiang Province under Grant no. T200924.

References

- [1] B. G. Pachpatte, Integral and Finite Difference Inequalities and Applications, vol. 205 of North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2006.
- [2] A. W. Marshall and I. Olkin, Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications, vol. 143 of Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1979.
- [3] D. S. Mitrinović, Analytic Inequalities, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1970.
- [4] A. W. Roberts and D. E. Varberg, Convex Functions, vol. 57 of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1973.
- [5] J. J. Wen, "Hardy means and inequalities for them," Journal of Mathematics, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 447–450, 2007.
- [6] J. Wen and W.-L. Wang, "The optimization for the inequalities of power means," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2006, Article ID 46782, 25 pages, 2006.
- [7] H. Alzer, "Sierpiński's inequality," Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de Belgique. Série B, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 139–144, 1989.
- [8] K. S. Williams and P. R. Beesack, "Problem 247," Crux Mathematicorum, vol. 4, pp. 37–39, 1978.
- [9] K. S. Williams and P. R. Beesack, "Problem 395," Crux Mathematicorum, vol. 5, pp. 232–233, 1979.
- [10] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić, and A. M. Fink, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, vol. 61 of *Mathematics and Its Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1993.
- [11] X.-M. Zhang and Y.-M. Chu, New Discussion to Analytic Inequality, HarBin Institute of Technology Press, Harbin, China, 2009.