Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Journal of Applied Mathematics
Volume 2012, Article ID 936140, 17 pages
doi:10.1155/2012/936140

Research Article

Asymptotic Behavior for a Nondissipative and Nonlinear System of the Kirchhoff Viscoelastic Type

Nasser-Eddine Tatar

Department of Mathematics & Statistics, King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals, Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to Nasser-Eddine Tatar, tatarn@kfupm.edu.sa

Received 3 June 2012; Accepted 17 July 2012

Academic Editor: Yongkun Li

A wave equation of the Kirchhoff type with several nonlinearities is stabilized by a viscoelastic damping. We consider the case of nonconstant (and unbounded) coefficients. This is a nondissipative case, and as a consequence the nonlinear terms cannot be estimated in the usual manner by the initial energy. We suggest a way to get around this difficulty. It is proved that if the solution enters a certain region, which we determine, then it will be attracted exponentially by the equilibrium.

1. Introduction

We will consider the following wave equation with a viscoelastic damping term:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i(t)|u|^{p_i}u &= \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_j(t)\|\nabla u\|_2^{2q_j}\right)\Delta u \\ &\quad - \int_0^t h(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbf{R}_+, \\ u &= 0, \quad \text{on } \Gamma \times \mathbf{R}_+, \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), &\quad u_t(x,0) = u_1(x), \quad \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbf{R}^n$ with smooth boundary $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$ and $p_i, q_j > 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, $j = 1, \ldots, k$. The functions $u_0(x)$ and $u_1(x)$ are given initial data, and

the (nonnegative) functions $a_j(t)$, $b_i(t)$, and $h(t)$ are at least absolutely continuous and will be specified later on. This problem arises in viscoelasticity where it has been shown by experiments that when subject to sudden changes, the viscoelastic response not only does depend on the current state of stress but also on all past states of stress. This gives rise to the integral term called the memory term. One may find a rich literature in this regard (with or without the Kirchhoff terms) treating mainly the stabilization of such systems for different classes of functions h. We refer the reader to [1–25] and the references therein. For problems of the Kirchhoff type, one can consult [26–35] and in particular [36–46] where the equations are supplemented by a nonlinear source. Several questions, such as well-posedness and asymptotic behavior, have been discussed in these references, to cite but a few.

As is clear from the equation in (1.1), we consider here several nonlinearities and the relaxation function is not necessarily decreasing or even nonincreasing. These issues are important but do not constitute the main contribution in the present paper. In case that $a_j(t)$ and $b_i(t)$ are not nonincreasing, then we are in a nondissipative situation. This is the case also when the relaxation function oscillates (in case $a_j(t)$, $b_i(t)$ are nonincreasing). Our argument here is simple and flexible. It relies on a Gronwall-type inequality involving several nonlinearities. We prove that there exists a sufficiently large $T > 0$ and a constant U after which (the modified energy of) global solutions are bounded below by U or decay to zero exponentially. We were not able to find conditions directly on the initial data because the Gronwall inequality is applicable only after some large values of time.

For simplicity we shall consider the simpler case $p_1 = p$, $p_i = 0$, $b_1 = b$, $b_i = 0$, $i = 2, \ldots, m$ and $q_1 = q$, $q_j = 0$, $a_1 = a$, $a_j = 0$, $j = 2, \ldots, k$.

The local existence and uniqueness may be found in [36, 37].

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that* $(u_0, u_1) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ *and* $h(t)$ *is a nonnegative summable kernel. If* $0 < p < 2/(n-2)$ *when* $n \geq 3$ *and* $p > 0$ *when* $n = 1, 2$, *then there exists a unique solution* u *to problem* (1.1) *such that*

$$u \in C\left([0,T]; H_0^1(\Omega)\right) \cap C^1\left([0,T]; L^2(\Omega)\right) \tag{1.2}$$

for T small enough.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In the next section we prepare some materials needed to prove our result. Section 3 is devoted to the statement and proof of our theorem.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we define the different functionals we will work with. We prove an equivalence result between two functionals. Further, some useful lemmas are presented. We define the (classical) energy by

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\|u_t\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2\right) + \frac{a(t)}{2(q+1)}\|\nabla u\|_2^{2(q+1)} + \frac{b(t)}{p+2}\|u\|_{p+2}^{p+2}, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_p$ denotes the norm in $L^p(\Omega)$. Then by (1.1) it is easy to see that for $t \geq 0$

$$E'(t) = \int_\Omega \nabla u_t \cdot \int_0^t h(t-s)\nabla u(s)ds\,dx + \frac{a'(t)}{2(q+1)}\|\nabla u\|_2^{2(q+1)} + \frac{b'(t)}{p+2}\|u\|_{p+2}^{p+2}. \tag{2.2}$$

The first term in the right-hand side of (2.2) may be written as the derivative of some expression; namely,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega \nabla u_t \cdot \int_0^t h(t-s)\nabla u(s)ds\,dx = {} & \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega (h'\Box\nabla u)dx - \frac{1}{2}h(t)\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\{\int_\Omega (h\Box\nabla u)dx - \left(\int_0^t h(s)ds\right)\|\nabla u\|_2^2\right\},\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$(h\Box v)(t) := \int_0^t h(t-s)|v(t)-v(s)|^2 ds. \tag{2.4}$$

Therefore, if we modify $E(t)$ to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(t) := {} & \frac{1}{2}\left\{\|u_t\|_2^2 + \left(1 - \int_0^t h(s)ds\right)\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \int_\Omega (h\Box\nabla u)dx\right\} \\ & + \frac{a(t)}{2(q+1)}\|\nabla u\|_2^{2(q+1)} + \frac{b(t)}{p+2}\|u\|_{p+2}^{p+2},\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

we obtain for $t \geq 0$

$$\mathcal{E}'(t) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \left((h'\Box\nabla u) - h(t)|\nabla u|^2\right)dx + \frac{a'(t)}{2(q+1)}\|\nabla u\|_2^{2(q+1)} + \frac{b'(t)}{p+2}\|u\|_{p+2}^{p+2}. \tag{2.6}$$

Assuming that

$$1 - \int_0^{+\infty} h(s)ds =: 1 - \kappa > 0 \tag{2.7}$$

makes $\mathcal{E}(t)$ a nonnegative functional. The following functionals

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_1(t) &:= \int_\Omega u_t u\,dx, \\ \Phi_2(t) &:= -\int_\Omega u_t \int_0^t h(t-s)(u(t)-u(s))ds\,dx\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

are standard and will be used here. The next ones have been introduced by the present author in [24]

$$\Phi_3(t) := \int_0^t H_\gamma(t-s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds, \qquad \Phi_4(t) := \int_0^t \Psi_\gamma(t-s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds, \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$H_\gamma(t) := \gamma(t)^{-1}\int_t^\infty h(s)\gamma(s)ds, \quad \Psi_\gamma(t) := \gamma(t)^{-1}\int_t^\infty \xi(s)\gamma(s)ds, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{2.10}$$

and $\gamma(t)$ and $\xi(t)$ are two nonnegative functions which will be precised later (see (H2), (H3)). The functional

$$L(t) := \mathcal{E}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^4 \lambda_i \Phi_i(t) \tag{2.11}$$

for some $\lambda_i > 0$, $i = 1,2,3,4$, to be determined is equivalent to $\mathcal{E}(t) + \Phi_3(t) + \Phi_4(t)$.

Proposition 2.1. *There exist $\rho_i > 0$, $i = 1,2$ such that*

$$\rho_1[\mathcal{E}(t) + \Phi_3(t) + \Phi_4(t)] \leq L(t) \leq \rho_2[\mathcal{E}(t) + \Phi_3(t) + \Phi_4(t)] \tag{2.12}$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and small λ_i, $i = 1,2$.

Proof. By the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1(t) &= \int_\Omega u_t u\, dx \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{C_p}{2}\|\nabla u\|_2^2, \\ \Phi_2(t) &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{C_p\kappa}{2}\int_\Omega (h\square\nabla u)dx, \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where C_p is the Poincaré constant, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(t) \leq{}& \frac{1}{2}(1+\lambda_1+\lambda_2)\|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_0^t h(s)ds + \lambda_1 C_p\right)\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{b(t)}{p+2}\|u\|_{p+2}^{p+2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(1+\lambda_2 C_p\kappa)\int_\Omega (h\square\nabla u)dx + \frac{a(t)}{2(q+1)}\|\nabla u\|_2^{2(q+1)} + \lambda_3\Phi_3(t) + \lambda_4\Phi_4(t), \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

On the other hand,

$$2L(t) \geq (1-\lambda_1-\lambda_2)\|u_t\|_2^2 + (1-\lambda_2 C_p\kappa)\int_\Omega (h\Box\nabla u)dx + \frac{2b(t)}{p+2}\|u\|_{p+2}^{p+2} + \frac{a(t)}{q+1}\|\nabla u\|_2^{2(q+1)} + \left[1-\kappa-\lambda_1 C_p\right]\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + 2\lambda_3\Phi_3(t) + 2\lambda_4\Phi_4(t). \tag{2.15}$$

Therefore, $\rho_1[\mathcal{E}(t)+\Phi_3(t)+\Phi_4(t)] \leq L(t) \leq \rho_2[\mathcal{E}(t)+\Phi_3(t)+\Phi_4(t)]$ for some constant $\rho_i > 0$, $i = 1,2$ and small λ_i, $i = 1,2$ such that $\lambda_1 < \min\{1,(1-\kappa)/C_p\}$ and $\lambda_2 < \min\{1/C_p\kappa, 1-\lambda_1\}$.

The identity to follow is easy to justify and is helpful to prove our result. □

Lemma 2.2. *One has for $h \in C(0,\infty)$ and $v \in C((0,\infty);L^2(\Omega))$*

$$\int_\Omega v(t)\int_0^t h(t-s)v(s)ds\,dx = \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_0^t h(s)ds\right)\|v(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t h(t-s)\|v(s)\|_2^2 ds - \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega (h\Box v)dx, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{2.16}$$

The next lemma is crucial in estimating (partially) our nonlinear terms. It can be found in [47].

Let $I \subset \mathbf{R}$, and let $g_1, g_2 : I \to \mathbf{R}\setminus\{0\}$. We write $g_1 \propto g_2$ if g_2/g_1 is nondecreasing in I.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $a(t)$ be a positive continuous function in $J := [\alpha,\beta)$, $k_j(t)$, $j = 1,\ldots,n$ are nonnegative continuous functions, $g_j(u)$, $j = 1,\ldots,n$ are nondecreasing continuous functions in $\mathbf{R}_+$, with $g_j(u) > 0$ for $u > 0$, and $u(t)$ is a nonnegative continuous functions in J. If $g_1 \propto g_2 \propto \cdots \propto g_n$ in $(0,\infty)$, then the inequality*

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_\alpha^t k_j(s)g_j(u(s))ds, \quad t \in J, \tag{2.17}$$

implies that

$$u(t) \leq c_n(t), \quad \alpha \leq t < \beta_0, \tag{2.18}$$

where $c_0(t) := \sup_{0\leq s\leq t} a(s)$,

$$c_j(t) := G_j^{-1}\left[G_j(c_{j-1}(t)) + \int_\alpha^t k_j(s)ds\right], \quad j = 1,\ldots,n, \qquad G_j(u) := \int_{u_j}^u \frac{dx}{g_j(x)}, \quad u > 0\ (u_j > 0,\ j = 1,\ldots,n), \tag{2.19}$$

and β_0 is chosen so that the functions $c_j(t)$, $j = 1,\ldots,n$ are defined for $\alpha \leq t < \beta_0$.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that* $2 \le q < +\infty$ *if* $n = 1, 2$ *or* $2 \le q < 2n/(n-2)$ *if* $n \ge 3$. *Then there exists a positive constant* $C_e = C_e(\Omega, q)$ *such that*

$$\|u\|_q \le C_e \|\nabla u\|_2 \tag{2.20}$$

for $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

3. Asymptotic Behavior

In this section we state and prove our result. To this end we need some notation. For every measurable set $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbf{R}^+$, we define the probability measure $\widehat{h}$ by

$$\widehat{h}(\mathcal{A}) := \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{\mathcal{A}} h(s)ds. \tag{3.1}$$

The nondecreasingness set and the non-decreasingness rate of h are defined by

$$Q_h := \left\{ s \in \mathbf{R}^+ : h(s) > 0,\ h'(s) \ge 0 \right\}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_h := \widehat{h}(Q_h), \tag{3.3}$$

respectively.

The following assumptions on the kernel $h(t)$ will be adopted.

(H1) $h(t) \ge 0$ for all $t \ge 0$ and $0 < \kappa = \int_0^{+\infty} h(s)ds < 1$.

(H2) h is absolutely continuous and of bounded variation on $(0, \infty)$ and $h'(t) \le \xi(t)$ for some nonnegative summable function $\xi(t)$ $(= \max\{0, h'(t)\}$ where $h'(t)$ exists) and almost all $t > 0$.

(H3) There exists a nondecreasing function $\gamma(t) > 0$ such that $\gamma'(t)/\gamma(t) = \eta(t)$ is a nonincreasing function: $\int_0^{+\infty} h(s)\gamma(s)ds < +\infty$ and $\int_0^{+\infty} \xi(s)\gamma(s)ds < +\infty$.

Note that a wide class of functions satisfies the assumption (H3). In particular, exponentially and polynomially (or power type) decaying functions are in this class.

Let $t_* > 0$ be a number such that $\int_0^{t_*} h(s)ds = h_* > 0$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_t$ the set $\mathcal{B}_t := \mathcal{B} \cap [0, t]$.

Lemma 3.1. *One has for* $t \ge t_*$ *and* $\delta_i > 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, 5$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_2'(t) \le{}& (1 - h_*)\left[\delta_1 + \frac{3}{2}\int_{Q_t} h(t-s)ds\right]\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + (\delta_3 - h_*)\|u_t\|_2^2 \\ &+ \left[(1-h_*)\frac{\kappa}{4\delta_1} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta_2}\right)\kappa\right]\int_\Omega \int_{\mathcal{A}_t} h(t-s)|\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)|^2 ds\, dx\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{1}{2}(1-h_*)\int_{Q_t} h(t-s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds - \frac{C_p}{4\delta_3} BV[h]\int_\Omega (h'\square\nabla u)dx \\
&+(1+\delta_2)\left(\int_{Q_t} h(t-s)ds\right)\int_\Omega\int_{Q_t} h(t-s)|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(s)|^2 ds\,dx \\
&+\frac{2^{p+1}\delta_4 C_e b^2(t)}{(1-\kappa)^{p+1}}\mathcal{E}^{p+1}(t)+\frac{C_p\kappa}{4\delta_4}\int_\Omega (h\square\nabla u)dx+\delta_5 a(t)\|\nabla u\|_2^{2(q+1)}+\frac{2^{q-1}\kappa a(t)}{\delta_5(1-\kappa)^q}\mathcal{E}^{q+1}(t) \\
&+\frac{C_p}{4\delta_3}\left(\int_{Q_t}\xi(t-s)ds\right)\int_\Omega\int_{Q_t}\xi(t-s)|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(s)|^2 ds\,dx,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.4}
$$

where $BV[h]$ is the total variation of h.

Proof. This lemma is proved by a direct differentiation of $\Phi_2(t)$ along solutions of (1.1) and estimation of the different terms in the obtained expression of the derivative. Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_2'(t) = &-\int_\Omega u_{tt}\int_0^t h(t-s)(u(t)-u(s))ds\,dx \\
&-\int_\Omega u_t\left[\int_0^t h'(t-s)(u(t)-u(s))ds+u_t\int_0^t h(s)ds\right]dx
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.5}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_2'(t) = &-\int_\Omega\left[\left(1-\int_0^t h(s)ds\right)\Delta u-b(t)|u|^p u+a(t)\|\nabla u\|_2^{2q}\Delta u\right. \\
&\left.+\int_0^t h(t-s)(\Delta u(t)-\Delta u(s))ds\right]\int_0^t h(t-s)(u(t)-u(s))ds\,dx \\
&-\left(\int_0^t h(s)ds\right)\|u_t\|_2^2-\int_\Omega u_t\int_0^t h'(t-s)(u(t)-u(s))ds\,dx, \qquad t\geq 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.6}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_2'(t) = &\left(1-\int_0^t h(s)ds+a(t)\|\nabla u\|_2^{2q}\right) \\
&\times\int_\Omega \nabla u\cdot\int_0^t h(t-s)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(s))ds\,dx-\left(\int_0^t h(s)ds\right)\|u_t\|_2^2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+ b(t)\int_\Omega |u|^p u \int_0^t h(t-s)(u(t)-u(s))ds\,dx - \int_\Omega u_t \int_0^t h'(t-s)(u(t)-u(s))ds\,dx + \int_\Omega \left| \int_0^t h(t-s)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(s))ds \right|^2 dx, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{3.7}$$

For all measurable sets $\mathcal{A}$ and Q such that $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{R}^+ \setminus Q$, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \int_0^t h(t-s)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(s))ds\,dx \\ &\quad = \int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \int_{\mathcal{A}\cap[0,t]} h(t-s)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(s))ds\,dx \\ &\qquad + \int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \int_{Q\cap[0,t]} h(t-s)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(s))ds\,dx \\ &\quad = \int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \int_{\mathcal{A}\cap[0,t]} h(t-s)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(s))ds\,dx \\ &\qquad + \left(\int_{Q\cap[0,t]} h(t-s)ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \int_{Q\cap[0,t]} h(t-s)\nabla u(s)ds\,dx, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

For $\delta_1 > 0$, the first term in the right-hand side of (3.8) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \int_{\mathcal{A}_t} h(t-s)(\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(s))ds\,dx \\ &\qquad \leq \delta_1 \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{\kappa}{4\delta_1} \int_\Omega \int_{\mathcal{A}_t} h(t-s)|\nabla u(t)-\nabla u(s)|^2 ds\,dx, \quad t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

and the third one fulfills

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \int_{Q_t} h(t-s)\nabla u(s)ds\,dx \\ &\qquad \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{Q_t} h(t-s)ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_{Q_t} h(t-s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Back to (3.8) we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \int_0^t h(t-s)(\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s))ds\,dx \\
&\quad \le \delta_1 \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{\kappa}{4\delta_1} \int_\Omega \int_{\mathscr{A}_t} h(t-s)|\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)|^2 ds\,dx \\
&\quad\quad + \frac{3}{2}\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \int_{Q_t} h(t-s)ds + \frac{1}{2}\int_{Q_t} h(t-s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds, \quad t \ge 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

The last term in the right-hand side of (3.7) will be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \left| \int_0^t h(t-s)(\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s))ds \right|^2 dx \\
&\quad \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta_2}\right)\kappa \int_\Omega \int_{\mathscr{A}_t} h(t-s)|\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)|^2 ds\,dx \\
&\quad\quad + (1+\delta_2)\left(\int_{Q_t} h(t-s)ds\right)\int_\Omega \int_{Q_t} h(t-s)|\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)|^2 ds\,dx, \quad \delta_2 > 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

For the fourth term in (3.7), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_\Omega u_t \int_0^t h'(t-s)(u(t) - u(s))ds\,dx \\
&\quad \le \delta_3 \|u_t\|_2^2 - \frac{C_p}{4\delta_3} BV[h] \int_\Omega (h' \square \nabla u)dx \\
&\quad\quad + \frac{C_p}{4\delta_3}\left(\int_{Q_t} \xi(t-s)ds\right)\int_\Omega \int_{Q_t} \xi(t-s)|\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)|^2 ds\,dx, \quad \delta_3 > 0,\ t \ge 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Moreover, from Lemma 2.4, for $p > 0$ if $n = 1, 2$ and $0 < p < 2/(n-2)$ if $n \ge 3$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&b(t)\int_\Omega |u|^p u \int_0^t h(t-s)(u(t) - u(s))ds\,dx \\
&\quad \le \delta_4 b^2(t)\|u\|_{2(p+1)}^{2(p+1)} + \frac{C_p}{4\delta_4}\left(\int_0^t h(s)ds\right)\int_\Omega (h \square \nabla u)dx \\
&\quad \le \delta_4 C_e b^2(t)\|\nabla u\|_2^{2(p+1)} + \frac{C_p \kappa}{4\delta_4}\int_\Omega (h \square \nabla u)dx \\
&\quad \le \frac{2^{p+1}\delta_4 C_e b^2(t)}{(1-\kappa)^{p+1}}\mathscr{E}^{p+1}(t) + \frac{C_p\kappa}{4\delta_4}\int_\Omega (h \square \nabla u)dx, \quad \delta_4 > 0,\ t \ge 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

The definition of $\mathcal{E}(t)$ in (2.5) allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned} a(t)\|\nabla u\|_2^{2q} \int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \int_0^t h(t-s)(\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s))ds\,dx \\ \leq a(t)\|\nabla u\|_2^{2q}\left\{\delta_5\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{\kappa}{4\delta_5}\int_\Omega (h\square\nabla u)dx\right\} \\ \leq \delta_5 a(t)\|\nabla u\|_2^{2(q+1)} + \frac{2^{q-1}\kappa a(t)}{\delta_5(1-\kappa)^q}\mathcal{E}^{q+1}(t), \quad \delta_5 > 0,\ t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Gathering all the relations (3.11)–(3.15) together with (3.7), we obtain for $t \geq t_*$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_2'(t) \leq (1-h_*)\left[\delta_1 + \frac{3}{2}\int_{Q_t} h(t-s)ds\right]\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + (\delta_3 - h_*)\|u_t\|_2^2 \\ + \left[(1-h_*)\frac{\kappa}{4\delta_1} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta_2}\right)\kappa\right]\int_\Omega\int_{\mathcal{A}_t} h(t-s)|\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)|^2 ds\,dx \\ + \frac{1}{2}(1-h_*)\int_{Q_t} h(t-s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds - \frac{C_p}{4\delta_3}BV[h]\int_\Omega (h'\square\nabla u)dx \\ + (1+\delta_2)\left(\int_{Q_t} h(t-s)ds\right)\int_\Omega\int_{Q_t} h(t-s)|\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)|^2 ds\,dx \\ + \frac{2^{p+1}\delta_4 C_e b^2(t)}{(1-\kappa)^{p+1}}\mathcal{E}^{p+1}(t) + \frac{C_p\kappa}{4\delta_4}\int_\Omega (h\square\nabla u)dx + \delta_5 a(t)\|\nabla u\|_2^{2(q+1)} + \frac{2^{q-1}\kappa a(t)}{\delta_5(1-\kappa)^q}\mathcal{E}^{q+1}(t) \\ + \frac{C_p}{4\delta_3}\left(\int_{Q_t}\xi(t-s)ds\right)\int_\Omega\int_{Q_t}\xi(t-s)|\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)|^2 ds\,dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

□

In the following theorem we will assume that $p < q$ just to fix ideas. The result is also valid for $p > q$. It suffices to interchange $p \leftrightarrow q$ and $A(t) \leftrightarrow B(t)$ in the proof following it. The case $p = q$ is easier.

We will make use of the following hypotheses for some positive constants A, B, U, and V to be determined.

(A) $a(t)$ is a continuously differentiable function such that $a'(t) < Aa(t)$, $t \geq 0$.

(B) $b(t)$ is a continuously differentiable function such that $b'(t) < Bb(t)$, $t \geq 0$.

(C) $p > 0$ if $n = 1, 2$ and $0 < p < 2/(n-2)$ if $n \geq 3$.

(D) $\left[\int_0^\infty a(s)e^{-qs}ds\right]^{1/q}\left[\int_0^\infty b^2(s)e^{-ps}ds\right]^{1/p} < U$.

(E) $\left[\int_0^\infty a(s)e^{-q\int_0^s \eta(\tau)d\tau}ds\right]^{1/q}\left[\int_0^\infty b^2(s)e^{-p\int_0^s \eta(\tau)d\tau}ds\right]^{1/p} < V$.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that the hypotheses (H1)–(H3), (A)–(C) hold and* $\mathcal{R}_h < 1/4$. *If* $\lim_{t\to\infty}\eta(t) = \overline{\eta} \neq 0$, *then, for global solutions and small* $\int_Q \xi(s)ds$, *there exist* $T_1 > 0$ *and* $U > 0$ *such that* $L(t) > U$, $t \geq T_1$ *or*

$$E(t) \leq M_1 e^{-\nu_1 t}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{3.17}$$

for some positive constants M_1 *and* ν_1 *as long as (D) holds. If* $\overline{\eta} = 0$, *then there exist* $T_2 > 0$ *and* $V > 0$ *such that* $L(t) > V$, $t \geq T_2$ *or*

$$E(t) \leq M_2 e^{-\nu_2 \int_0^t \eta(s)ds}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{3.18}$$

for some positive constants M_2 *and* ν_2 *as long as (E) holds.*

Proof. A differentiation of $\Phi_1(t)$ with respect to t along trajectories of (1.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_1'(t) := \|u_t\|_2^2 - \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \int_0^t h(t-s)\nabla u(s)ds\,dx \\ - a(t)\|\nabla u\|_2^{2(q+1)} - b(t)\|u\|_{p+2}^{p+2},\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

and Lemma 2.2 implies

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_1'(t) \leq \|u_t\|_2^2 - \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{2}\right)\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t h(t-s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds \\ - \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega (h\square\nabla u)dx - a(t)\|\nabla u\|_2^{2(q+1)} - b(t)\|u\|_{p+2}^{p+2}, \quad t \geq 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Next, a differentiation of $\Phi_3(t)$ and $\Phi_4(t)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_3'(t) &= H_\gamma(0)\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \int_0^t H_\gamma'(t-s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds \\ &= H_\gamma(0)\|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \int_0^t \frac{\gamma'(t-s)}{\gamma(t-s)} H_\gamma(t-s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds - \int_0^t h(t-s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds \\ &\leq H_\gamma(0)\|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \eta(t)\Phi_3(t) - \int_0^t h(t-s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds, \quad t \geq 0, \\ \Phi_4'(t) &= \Psi_\gamma(0)\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \int_0^t \Psi_\gamma'(t-s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds \\ &= \Psi_\gamma(0)\|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \int_0^t \frac{\gamma'(t-s)}{\gamma(t-s)} \Psi_\gamma(t-s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds - \int_0^t \xi(t-s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds \\ &\leq \Psi_\gamma(0)\|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \eta(t)\Phi_4(t) - \int_0^t \xi(t-s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds, \quad t \geq 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Taking into account Lemma 3.1 and the relations (2.6), (3.20)-(3.21), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
L'(t) \le{}& \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{C_p}{4\delta_3}\lambda_2 BV[h]\right)\int_\Omega (h'\Box\nabla u)dx + [\lambda_1 + (\delta_3 - h_*)\lambda_2]\|u_t\|_2^2 \\
&+ \left\{\lambda_2(1-h_*)\left[\delta_1 + \frac{3}{2}\int_{Q_t} h(t-s)ds\right] + \lambda_3 H_\gamma(0) + \lambda_4\Psi_\gamma(0) - \lambda_1\left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{2}\right)\right\} \\
&\times \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2} + \frac{\lambda_2(1-h_*)}{2} - \lambda_3\right)\int_0^t h(t-s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds \\
&+ \left[\frac{a'(t)}{2(q+1)} + \delta_5\lambda_2 a(t) - \lambda_1 a(t)\right]\|\nabla u\|_2^{2(q+1)} + \left[(1+\delta_2)\lambda_2\int_{Q_t} h(t-s)ds - \frac{\lambda_1}{2}\right] \\
&\times \int_\Omega (h\Box\nabla u)dx + \lambda_2\kappa\left[1 + \frac{1-h_*}{4\delta_1} + \frac{1}{\delta_2}\right]\int_\Omega\int_{\mathcal{A}_t} h(t-s)|\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)|^2 ds\,dx \\
&- \lambda_3\eta(t)\Phi_3(t) - \lambda_4\eta(t)\Phi_4(t) + \left[\frac{b'(t)}{p+2} - \lambda_1 b(t)\right]\|u\|_{p+2}^{p+2} + \frac{\lambda_2 C_p\kappa}{4\delta_4}\int_\Omega (h\Box\nabla u)dx \\
&+ \frac{2^{q-1}\kappa a(t)}{\delta_5(1-\kappa)^q}\lambda_2\mathcal{E}^{q+1}(t) + \frac{2^{p+1}\delta_4 C_e b^2(t)}{(1-\kappa)^{p+1}}\lambda_2\mathcal{E}^{p+1}(t) - \lambda_4\int_0^t \xi(t-s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds \\
&+ \frac{\lambda_2 C_p}{4\delta_3}\left(\int_{Q_t}\xi(t-s)ds\right)\int_{Q_t}\xi(t-s)\|\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds, \qquad t \ge t_*.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Next, as in [17], we introduce the sets

$$\mathcal{A}_n := \{s \in \mathbf{R}^+ : nh'(s) + h(s) \le 0\}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}, \tag{3.23}$$

and observe that

$$\bigcup_n \mathcal{A}_n = \mathbf{R}^+ \setminus \{Q_h \cup \mathcal{N}_h\}, \tag{3.24}$$

where $\mathcal{N}_h$ is the null set where h' is not defined and Q_h is as in (3.2). Furthermore, if we denote $Q_n := \mathbf{R}^+ \setminus \mathcal{A}_n$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty}\widehat{h}(Q_n) = \widehat{h}(Q_h)$ because $Q_{n+1} \subset Q_n$ for all n and $\bigcap_n Q_n = Q_h \cup \mathcal{N}_h$. Moreover, we designate by $\widetilde{A}_{nt}$ the sets

$$\widetilde{A}_{nt} := \{s \in \mathbf{R}^+ : 0 \le s \le t,\ nh'(t-s) + h(t-s) \le 0\}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}. \tag{3.25}$$

In (3.22), we take $\mathcal{A}_t := \widetilde{A}_{nt}$ and $Q_t := \widetilde{Q}_{nt}$. Choosing $\lambda_1 = (h_* - \varepsilon)\lambda_2$, it is clear that

$$(1+\delta_2)\lambda_2\kappa\widehat{h}(Q_n) - \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \le 0 \tag{3.26}$$

for small ε and δ_2, large n and t_*, if $\widehat{h}(Q) < 1/4$. We deduce that

$$(1+\delta_2)\lambda_2 \int_{\tilde{Q}_{nt}} h(t-s)ds - \frac{\lambda_1}{2} < 0. \tag{3.27}$$

Furthermore, if $\widehat{h}(Q) < 1/4$, then

$$\frac{3(1-h_*)}{2} \int_{\tilde{Q}_{nt}} h(t-s)ds < \delta h_* \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{2}\right) \tag{3.28}$$

with

$$\delta = \frac{3(1-h_*)\kappa}{4(2-\kappa)h_*} + \beta \tag{3.29}$$

and a small $\beta > 0$. Pick

$$\lambda_3 = \frac{1}{2}[\lambda_1 + \lambda_2(1-h_*)] \tag{3.30}$$

and $H_\gamma(0)$ such that

$$\lambda_3 H_\gamma(0) < \lambda_2 \frac{(1-\delta)h_*(2-\kappa)}{2}. \tag{3.31}$$

Note that this is possible if t_* is so large that $h_* > 7\kappa/(8-\kappa)$ even though

$$H_\gamma(0) = \gamma(0)^{-1} \int_0^\infty h(s)\gamma(s)ds \geq \int_0^\infty h(s)ds = \kappa. \tag{3.32}$$

Taking the relations (3.22)–(3.30) into account and selecting $\lambda_2 < \delta_3/C_p BV[h]$ so that

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{C_p\lambda_2}{4\delta_3} BV[h] \geq \frac{1}{4}, \tag{3.33}$$

and small enough so that

$$\lambda_2\kappa\left(1 + \frac{1-h_*}{4\delta_1} + \frac{1}{\delta_2} + \frac{C_p}{4\delta_4}\right) < \frac{1}{4n}, \tag{3.34}$$

we find for $\delta_3 = \varepsilon/2$, large δ_4, small $\Psi_\gamma(0)$, and $t \geq t_*$

$$\begin{aligned} L'(t) \leq & -C_1\left\{\|u_t\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \int_\Omega (h\square\nabla u)dx\right\} + \frac{2^{p+1}\delta_4 C_e b^2(t)}{(1-\kappa)^{p+1}}\lambda_2\mathcal{E}^{p+1}(t) \\ & + \left[\frac{a'(t)}{2(q+1)} + \delta_5\lambda_2 a(t) - \lambda_1 a(t)\right]\|\nabla u\|_2^{2(q+1)} + \frac{2^{q-1}\kappa a(t)}{\delta_5(1-\kappa)^q}\lambda_2\mathcal{E}^{q+1}(t) - \lambda_3\eta(t)\Phi_3(t) \\ & - \lambda_4\eta(t)\Phi_4(t) + \frac{1}{2}\left[1 + \frac{\lambda_2 C_p}{2\delta_3}\int_{Q_t}\xi(t-s)ds\right]\int_\Omega\int_{Q_{nt}} h'(t-s)|\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)|^2 ds\,dx \\ & + \left[\frac{b'(t)}{p+2} - \lambda_1 b(t)\right]\|u\|_{p+2}^{p+2} - \lambda_4\int_0^t \xi(t-s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

for some positive constant C_1. Take $\lambda_4 > 1 + (\lambda_2 C_p/2\delta_3)\int_Q \xi(s)ds$, δ_5, $\int_Q \xi(s)ds$ small, and

$$\frac{a'(t)}{2(q+1)} < (\lambda_1 - \alpha)a(t) \tag{3.36}$$

(i.e., $A = 2(q+1)(\lambda_1 - \alpha)$ for some $0 < \alpha < \lambda_1$) and

$$\frac{b'(t)}{p+2} < (\lambda_1 - \beta)b(t) \tag{3.37}$$

(i.e., $B = (p+2)(\lambda_1 - \beta)$ for some $0 < \beta < \lambda_1$) to derive that

$$\begin{aligned} L'(t) \leq & -C_2\mathcal{E}(t) + \frac{2^{p+1}\delta_4 C_e b^2(t)}{(1-\kappa)^{p+1}}\lambda_2\mathcal{E}^{p+1}(t) + \frac{2^{q-1}\kappa a(t)}{\delta_5(1-\kappa)^q}\lambda_2\mathcal{E}^{q+1}(t) \\ & - \lambda_3\eta(t)\Phi_3(t) - \lambda_4\eta(t)\Phi_4(t) \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

for some positive constant C_2.

If $\lim_{t\to\infty}\eta(t) \neq 0$, then there exist a $\widehat{t} \geq t_*$ and $C_3 > 0$ such that $\eta(t) \geq C_3$ for $t \geq \widehat{t}$. Thus, in virtue of Proposition 2.1, for $C_3 > 0$, we have

$$L'(t) \leq -C_3 L(t) + B(t)L^{p+1}(t) + A(t)L^{q+1}(t), \tag{3.39}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B(t) &:= \frac{2^{p+1}\delta_4 C_e \lambda_2}{(1-\kappa)^{p+1}\rho_1^{p+1}}b^2(t), \\ A(t) &:= \frac{2^{q-1}\kappa\lambda_2}{\delta_5(1-\kappa)^q\rho_1^{q+1}}a(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

If there exists a $T \geq \hat{t}$ such that $L(T) < \left[p\int_0^\infty \widetilde{B}(s)ds\right]^{-1/p}$, where $\widetilde{B}(t) := B(t)e^{-pC_3(t-T)}$, then from (3.39)

$$\left[e^{C_3(t-T)}L(t)\right]' \leq e^{C_3(t-T)}B(t)L^{p+1}(t) + e^{C_3(t-T)}A(t)L^{q+1}(t), \tag{3.41}$$

and it follows that for $t \geq T$

$$\widetilde{L}(t) \leq L(T) + \int_T^t e^{-pC_3(s-T)}B(s)\widetilde{L}^{p+1}(s)ds + \int_T^t e^{-qC_3(s-T)}A(s)\widetilde{L}^{q+1}(s)ds \tag{3.42}$$

with $\widetilde{L}(t) := e^{C_3(t-T)}L(t)$. Now we apply Lemma 2.3 to get

$$\widetilde{L}(t) \leq \left[N^{-q} - q\int_0^t \widetilde{A}(s)ds\right]^{-1/q}, \quad t \geq T, \tag{3.43}$$

where $\widetilde{A}(t) := e^{-qC_3(t-T)}A(t)$ and $N := \left[L(T)^{-p} - p\int_0^\infty \widetilde{B}(s)ds\right]^{-1/p}$. If, in addition, $q\int_0^\infty \widetilde{A}(s)ds < L(T)^{-q}$, then $\widetilde{L}(t)$ is uniformly bounded by a positive constant C_4. Thus

$$L(t) \leq C_4 e^{-C_3 t}, \quad t \geq T, \tag{3.44}$$

and by continuity (3.44) holds for all $t \geq 0$.

If $\lim_{t\to\infty}\eta(t) = 0$, then for any $C > 0$ there exists a $\bar{t}(C) \geq t_*$ such that $\eta(t) \leq C$ for $t \geq \bar{t}(C)$. Therefore,

$$L'(t) \leq -C_5\eta(t)L(t) + B(t)L^{p+1}(t) + A(t)L^{q+1}(t), \quad t \geq \bar{t} = \bar{t}(C_2) \tag{3.45}$$

for some $C_5 > 0$. The previous argument carries out with $e^{C_3(t-T)}$ replaced by $e^{C_5\int_T^t \eta(d)ds}$.

In case that $q < p$, we reverse the roles of p and q in the argument above. The case $p = q$ is clear. □

Remark 3.3. The case where the derivative of the kernel does not approach zero on $\mathscr{A}$ (as is the case, for instance, when $h' \leq -Ch$ on $\mathscr{A}$) is interesting. Indeed, the right-hand side in condition (3.34) will be replaced by $C/4$ with a possibly large constant C.

Remark 3.4. The argument clearly works for all kinds of kernels previously treated where derivatives cannot be positive or even take the value zero. In these cases there will be no need for the smallness conditions on the kernels. This work shows that derivatives may be positive (i.e., kernels may be increasing) on some "small" subintervals and open the door for (optimal) estimations and improvements of these sets.

Remark 3.5. The assumptions $a'(t) < 2(q+1)(\lambda_1 - \alpha)a(t)$ and $b'(t) < (p+2)(\lambda_1 - \beta)b(t)$ may be relaxed to $a'(t) < 2(q+1)\lambda_1 a(t)$ and $b'(t) < (p+2)\lambda_1 b(t)$, respectively. In this case $\alpha = \alpha(t)$ and $\beta = \beta(t)$ would depend on t.

Remark 3.6. The assertion in Theorem 3.2 is an "alternative" statement. As a next step it would be nice to discuss the (sufficient conditions of) occurrence of each case in addition to the global existence.

Acknowledgment

The author is grateful for the financial support and the facilities provided by the King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals.

References

[1] M. Aassila, M. M. Cavalcanti, and J. A. Soriano, "Asymptotic stability and energy decay rates for solutions of the wave equation with memory in a star-shaped domain," *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 1581–1602, 2000.

[2] F. Alabau-Boussouira and P. Cannarsa, "A general method for proving sharp energy decay rates for memory-dissipative evolution equations," *Comptes Rendus Mathématique*, vol. 347, no. 15-16, pp. 867–872, 2009.

[3] J. A. D. Appleby, M. Fabrizio, B. Lazzari, and D. W. Reynolds, "On exponential asymptotic stability in linear viscoelasticity," *Mathematical Models & Methods in Applied Sciences*, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 1677–1694, 2006.

[4] M. M. Cavalcanti, V. N. Domingos Cavalcanti, and P. Martinez, "General decay rate estimates for viscoelastic dissipative systems," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 177–193, 2008.

[5] M. Fabrizio and S. Polidoro, "Asymptotic decay for some differential systems with fading memory," *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 81, no. 6, pp. 1245–1264, 2002.

[6] K. M. Furati and N.-E. Tatar, "Uniform boundedness and stability for a viscoelastic problem," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 167, no. 2, pp. 1211–1220, 2005.

[7] X. S. Han and M. X. Wang, "Global existence and uniform decay for a nonlinear viscoelastic equation with damping," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 70, no. 9, pp. 3090–3098, 2009.

[8] W. Liu, "Uniform decay of solutions for a quasilinear system of viscoelastic equations," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 71, no. 5-6, pp. 2257–2267, 2009.

[9] W. Liu, "General decay rate estimate for a viscoelastic equation with weakly nonlinear time-dependent dissipation and source terms," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 50, no. 11, Article ID 113506, 17 pages, 2009.

[10] M. Medjden and N.-E. Tatar, "On the wave equation with a temporal non-local term," *Dynamic Systems and Applications*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 665–671, 2007.

[11] M. Medjden and N.-E. Tatar, "Asymptotic behavior for a viscoelastic problem with not necessarily decreasing kernel," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 167, no. 2, pp. 1221–1235, 2005.

[12] S. A. Messaoudi, "General decay of solutions of a viscoelastic equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 341, no. 2, pp. 1457–1467, 2008.

[13] S. A. Messaoudi and N.-E. Tatar, "Global existence and uniform stability of solutions for a quasilinear viscoelastic problem," *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 665–680, 2007.

[14] S. A. Messaoudi and N.-E. Tatar, "Exponential and polynomial decay for a quasilinear viscoelastic equation," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 785–793, 2008.

[15] S. A. Messaoudi and N.-E. Tatar, "Exponential decay for a quasilinear viscoelastic equation," *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 282, no. 10, pp. 1443–1450, 2009.

[16] J. E. Muñoz Rivera and M. G. Naso, "On the decay of the energy for systems with memory and indefinite dissipation," *Asymptotic Analysis*, vol. 49, no. 3-4, pp. 189–204, 2006.

[17] V. Pata, "Exponential stability in linear viscoelasticity," *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 499–513, 2006.

[18] N.-E. Tatar, "On a problem arising in isothermal viscoelasticity," *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2003.

[19] N.-E. Tatar, "Long time behavior for a viscoelastic problem with a positive definite kernel," *The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 1, no. 1, article 5, pp. 1–11, 2004.

[20] N.-E. Tatar, "Polynomial stability without polynomial decay of the relaxation function," *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, vol. 31, no. 15, pp. 1874–1886, 2008.

[21] N.-E. Tatar, "How far can relaxation functions be increasing in viscoelastic problems?" *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 336–340, 2009.
[22] N.-E. Tatar, "Exponential decay for a viscoelastic problem with a singular kernel," *Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Physik*, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 640–650, 2009.
[23] N.-E. Tatar, "On a large class of kernels yielding exponential stability in viscoelasticity," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 215, no. 6, pp. 2298–2306, 2009.
[24] N.-E. Tatar, "Oscillating kernels and arbitrary decays in viscoelasticity," *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 285, no. 8-9, pp. 1130–1143, 2012.
[25] S. Q. Yu, "Polynomial stability of solutions for a system of non-linear viscoelastic equations," *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 88, no. 7, pp. 1039–1051, 2009.
[26] M. Abdelli and A. Benaissa, "Energy decay of solutions of a degenerate Kirchhoff equation with a weak nonlinear dissipation," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 69, no. 7, pp. 1999–2008, 2008.
[27] M. M. Cavalcanti, V. N. Domingos Cavalcanti, J. S. Prates Filho, and J. A. Soriano, "Existence and exponential decay for a Kirchhoff-Carrier model with viscosity," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 226, no. 1, pp. 40–60, 1998.
[28] M. M. Cavalcanti, V. N. Domingos Cavalcanti, J. A. Soriano, and J. S. Prates Filho, "Existence and asymptotic behaviour for a degenerate Kirchhoff-Carrier model with viscosity and nonlinear boundary conditions," *Revista Matemática Complutense*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 177–203, 2001.
[29] M. Hosoya and Y. Yamada, "On some nonlinear wave equations II: global existence and energy decay of solutions," *Journal of the Faculty of Science, The University of Tokyo IA*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 239–250, 1991.
[30] R. Ikehata, "A note on the global solvability of solutions to some nonlinear wave equations with dissipative terms," *Differential and Integral Equations*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 607–616, 1995.
[31] L. A. Medeiros and M. A. Milla Miranda, "On a nonlinear wave equation with damping," *Revista Matemática de la Universidad Complutense de Madrid*, vol. 3, no. 2-3, pp. 213–231, 1990.
[32] J. E. Muñoz Rivera and F. P. Quispe Gómez, "Existence and decay in non linear viscoelasticity," *Bollettino della Unione Matematica Italiana B*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–37, 2003.
[33] K. Ono, "Global solvability for degenerate Kirchhoff equations with weak dissipation," *Mathematica Japonica*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 409–413, 1999.
[34] K. Ono, "On global existence, asymptotic stability and blowing up of solutions for some degenerate non-linear wave equations of Kirchhoff type with a strong dissipation," *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 151–177, 1997.
[35] G. A. P. Menzala, "On global classical solutions of a nonlinear wave equation," *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 179–195, 1980.
[36] A. Arosio and S. Garavaldi, "On the mildly degenerate Kirchhoff string," *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 177–195, 1991.
[37] T. Kobayashi, H. Pecher, and Y. Shibata, "On a global in time existence theorem of smooth solutions to a nonlinear wave equation with viscosity," *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 296, no. 2, pp. 215–234, 1993.
[38] L. A. Medeiros, J. Limaco, and C. L. Frota, "On wave equations without global a priori estimates," *Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matemática 3*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 19–32, 2012.
[39] S. Mimouni, A. Benaissa, and N.-E. Amroun, "Global existence and optimal decay rate of solutions for the degenerate quasilinear wave equation with a strong dissipation," *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 89, no. 6, pp. 815–831, 2010.
[40] J. E. Muñoz Rivera, "Global solution on a quasilinear wave equation with memory," *Bollettino della Unione Matematica Italiana B*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 289–303, 1994.
[41] K. Narashima, "Nonlinear vibration of an elastic string," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 134–146, 1968.
[42] K. Ono, "Global existence, decay, and blowup of solutions for some mildly degenerate nonlinear Kirchhoff strings," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 137, no. 2, pp. 273–301, 1997.
[43] K. Ono, "On global solutions and blow-up solutions of nonlinear Kirchhoff strings with nonlinear dissipation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 216, no. 1, pp. 321–342, 1997.
[44] J.-Y. Park and J.-J. Bae, "On the existence of solutions of strongly damped nonlinear wave equations," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 369–382, 2000.
[45] R. M. Torrejón and J. M. Yong, "On a quasilinear wave equation with memory," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 61–78, 1991.
[46] S.-T. Wu and L.-Y. Tsai, "On global existence and blow-up of solutions for an integro-differential equation with strong damping," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 979–1014, 2006.
[47] M. Pinto, "Integral inequalities of Bihari-type and applications," *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 387–403, 1990.