

## FIXED POINT AND APPROXIMATE FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR NON-AFFINE MAPS

L. GAJEK, J. JACHYMSKI, AND D. ZAGRODNY

*Abstract.* Let  $C$  be a non-empty subset of a linear topological space  $X$ , and  $T$  be a selfmap of  $C$  such that the range of  $I - T$  is convex, where  $I$  denotes the identity map on  $X$ . We give conditions under which a map  $T$  has a fixed point or a  $V$ -fixed point (i.e. a point  $x_0 \in C$  such that  $Tx_0 \in x_0 + V$ , where  $V$  is a neighborhood of the origin). Our theorems generalize the recent results of M. Edelstein and K.-K. Tan ([3], [4]). As an application we provide a simple proof of the Markov–Kakutani theorem. We also establish a common  $V$ -fixed point theorem for commuting affine maps (possibly discontinuous).

**1. Introduction.** In the paper [3] M. Edelstein and K.-K. Tan have obtained some fixed point theorems for affine maps on a normed space. In their recent work [4] the authors have extended these results by considering affine maps on linear topological spaces and linear spaces. Our purpose here is to show that similar results hold for a larger class of maps. These maps need to be neither affine nor defined on the whole space as was required in [3] and [4]. Instead, given a selfmap  $T$  on a subset  $C$  of a linear topological space  $X$  (not necessarily locally convex), we assume that the set  $(I - T)(C)$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47H10, 47A99, 47H99.

*Key words and phrases.* Fixed point,  $V$ -fixed point, convex set, close range, affine map, commuting maps, common fixed point, common  $V$ -fixed point.

is convex, where  $I$  denotes the identity map on  $X$ . Clearly, this assumption is automatically satisfied, if  $C = X$  (more generally, if  $C$  is convex) and  $T$  is affine; this is exactly the case considered by Edelstein and Tan. Further, as an application of our main results we provide a very simple proof of the well-known Markov–Kakutani fixed point theorem. We emphasize that A. Markov’s original proof uses the Schauder–Tychonoff principle (this proof can be found in [2], p. 75) and it is valid only for locally convex spaces. Though an easier proof inspired by F. Riesz’s proof of the ergodic theorem was given by S. Kakutani (see, e.g., [1], p. 109), it seems that our proof is yet simpler. As another application we obtain results on approximate fixed points. Recall that a point  $a$  of a linear topological space  $X$  is said to be a  $V$ -fixed point of a map  $T$  if  $Ta \in a + V$ , where  $V$  is a given neighborhood of the origin (see [2], p. 104). If  $X$  is a normed space, then given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , a point  $a$  is said to be an  $\varepsilon$ -fixed point of  $T$  if  $\|a - Ta\| \leq \varepsilon$  (see [2], p. 56). Our motivation for investigating  $\varepsilon$ -fixed points derives from the fact that a continuous affine selfmap  $T$  on a closed bounded convex subset  $C$  of a Banach space  $X$  need not have fixed points unless  $X$  is reflexive (see Example 1). Nevertheless, our Theorem 4 shows that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $T$  has then an  $\varepsilon$ -fixed point even if  $T$  is discontinuous and the set  $C$  is not closed.

Finally, we prove a common  $V$ -fixed point theorem for affine maps (see Section 5). Throughout the paper any linear topological spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff.

**2. Extensions of theorems by Edelstein and Tan.** We begin with the following generalization of Theorem 1 [3].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $C$  be a non-empty subset of a linear topological space  $X$ , and  $T$  be a selfmap on  $C$  such that the range of  $I - T$  is convex. Then the following alternative holds: either*

- (i) *for any neighborhood  $V$  of the origin, there exists a  $V$ -fixed point for  $T$ , or*
- (ii) *for all  $x \in C$ ,*

$$0 \notin \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl} \left\{ \frac{T^n(x)}{n}, \frac{T^{n+1}(x)}{n+1}, \dots \right\}$$

*(in particular, if  $X$  is a normed space, then  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n x\|/n > 0$  so  $\|T^n x\| \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x \in C$ ).*

*Proof.* If condition (ii) holds, we are done. So assume that (ii) is not satisfied. Then there is an  $x \in X$  such that  $0 \in \text{cl} \left\{ \frac{T^n x}{n} : n \geq m \right\}$ , for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . For any neighborhood  $V$  of the origin there is a neighborhood  $U$  of the origin such that  $U + U \subseteq V$ . So we are able to find  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

such that  $k^{-1}x \in U$  and  $T^kx/k \in -U$ . Since  $T(C) \subseteq C$ , we get that  $T^{n-1}x - T^n x \in (I - T)(C)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $T^0 = I$ . Since  $(I - T)(C)$  is convex and

$$\frac{1}{k}(x - T^k x) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k (T^{i-1}x - T^i x),$$

we may conclude that  $(x - T^kx)/k \in (I - T)(C)$ . Hence, we infer that  $V \cap (I - T)(C) \neq \emptyset$ , which implies (i).

Finally, the first part of (ii) obviously implies the second. □

As an immediate consequence we obtain the following extension of Theorem 2 [3].

**Corollary 1.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, if  $X$  is a normed space, the range of  $I - T$  is closed and the map  $T$  is fixed-point free, then for all  $x \in C$ ,  $\|T^n x\| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that condition (i) of Theorem 1 cannot hold; for otherwise, by hypothesis  $0 \in (I - T)(C)$ , which means that  $T$  has a fixed point, a contradiction. □

The next result generalizes Theorem 3 [3] and Theorem 3.3 [4] in that the assumptions on a map  $T$  are weakened and a new equivalent condition is added.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $C$  be a non-empty subset of a linear topological space  $X$ , and  $T$  be a selfmap on  $C$  such that the range of  $I - T$  is convex and closed. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T$  has a fixed point;
- (ii) there is an  $x \in C$  such that the sequence  $\{T^n x\}_{n=1}^\infty$  has a convergent subsequence;
- (iii) there is an  $x \in C$  such that the sequence  $\{T^n x\}_{n=1}^\infty$  contains a bounded subsequence;
- (iv) there is an  $x \in C$  such that the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{T^n x}{n} \right\}$  contains a subsequence convergent to 0;
- (v) there is an  $x \in C$  such that

$$0 \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl} \left\{ \frac{T^n(x)}{n}, \frac{T^{n+1}(x)}{n+1}, \dots \right\}.$$

*Proof.* The implications (i) $\implies$ (ii) $\implies$ (iii) $\implies$ (iv) $\implies$ (v) are obvious. That (v) implies (i), follows from Theorem 1 and the fact that  $(I - T)(C)$  is closed. □

As an application of Theorem 2 we establish a fixed point theorem. We want to emphasize here that its assumptions deal with the sets only (the domain of  $T$  and the range of  $I - T$ ) and not with the map itself.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $C$  be a non-empty bounded subset of a linear topological space  $X$ , and  $T$  be a selfmap of  $C$  such that the range of  $I - T$  is convex and closed. Then  $T$  has a fixed point.*

*Proof.* Clearly, condition (iii) of Theorem 2 is fulfilled so it suffices to apply Theorem 2.  $\square$

Theorem 3 and the Schauder–Tychonoff principle yield the following result.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $C$  be a compact subset of a locally convex space  $X$ , and  $T$  be a continuous selfmap on  $C$ . If one of the sets  $C$  or  $(I - T)(C)$  is convex, then  $T$  has a fixed point.*

We close this section with an approximate fixed point theorem, which immediately follows from Theorem 1 and its proof. The set of all  $V$ -fixed points of  $T$  is denoted by  $\text{Fix}_V T$ .

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $C$  be a non-empty bounded subset of a linear topological space  $X$ , and  $T$  be a selfmap on  $C$  such that the range of  $I - T$  is convex. Then, given a neighborhood  $V$  of the origin, there is an  $n_V \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$(I - T)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} (I - T^n)(C) \right) \subseteq \text{Fix}_V T, \quad \text{for } n \geq n_V.$$

*In particular, if  $X$  is a normed space, then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $T$  has an  $\varepsilon$ -fixed point; equivalently,  $\inf_{x \in C} \|x - Tx\| = 0$ .*

*Remark 1.* Following the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n} (I - T^n)(C) \subseteq (I - T)(C).$$

Therefore the set  $(I - T)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} (I - T^n)(C) \right)$  (and hence  $\text{Fix}_V T$ ) is non-empty.

### 3. A short proof of the Markov–Kakutani theorem.

**THEOREM (MARKOV–KAKUTANI).** *Let  $C$  be a compact convex subset of a linear topological space  $X$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a commuting family of continuous affine selfmaps on  $C$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  has a common fixed point.*

*Proof.* We divide the proof into two parts.

1<sup>0</sup>. We show that each  $T \in \mathcal{F}$  has a fixed point. Since  $T$  is affine and  $C$  is convex, the set  $(I - T)(C)$  is convex. Since  $T$  is continuous and  $C$

is compact, the set  $(I - T)(C)$  is compact, hence closed because  $X$  is a Hausdorff space. So it suffices to apply Theorem 3.

2<sup>0</sup>. Now we take pattern by a part of the proof presented in [2], p. 75. By hypothesis, given  $T \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\text{Fix } T$ , the set of all fixed points of  $T$ , is convex and compact. Moreover, given  $S, T \in \mathcal{F}$ , the set  $\text{Fix } T$  is  $S$ -invariant, i.e.,  $S(\text{Fix } T) \subset \text{Fix } T$  since  $S$  and  $T$  commute. Therefore, by part 1<sup>0</sup> with  $C := \text{Fix } T$ , the map  $S|_{\text{Fix } T}$  has a fixed point, which means that  $\text{Fix } S \cap \text{Fix } T \neq \emptyset$ . An easy induction shows that the family  $\{\text{Fix } T : T \in \mathcal{F}\}$  has the finite intersection property. By compactness argument, we infer that  $\bigcap_{T \in \mathcal{F}} \text{Fix } T \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.* A unified approach to several common fixed point theorems including the Markov–Kakutani result has been presented in [5].

**4. Another applications to affine maps.** Theorems 3 and Corollary 3 yield the following result for affine maps.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $C$  be a non-empty bounded and convex (not necessarily closed) subset of a linear topological space  $X$ , and  $T$  be an affine (not necessarily continuous) selfmap on  $C$ . Then, given a neighborhood  $V$  of the origin,  $T$  has a  $V$ -fixed point. Moreover, if the range of  $I - T$  is closed, then  $T$  has a fixed point.*

The following example (see [2], p. 35) shows that the assumption of Theorem 4 that  $(I - T)(C)$  be closed cannot be omitted even if  $C$  is closed and  $T$  is continuous.

*Example 1.* Let  $X := c_0$ , the Banach space of all sequences convergent to 0, equipped with the sup-norm. Let  $C$  be the closed unit ball in  $X$ . For  $x \in C$ ,  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ , define

$$Tx := (1, x_1, x_2, \dots).$$

Clearly,  $T(C) \subset C$ ,  $T$  is affine and continuous, but  $T$  has no fixed point. Nevertheless, according to the first part of Theorem 4,  $T$  has an  $\varepsilon$ -fixed point  $x_\varepsilon$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We can ensure about it directly: given  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , it suffices to put

$$x_\varepsilon := (1 - \varepsilon, 1 - 2\varepsilon, \dots, 1 - n_\varepsilon\varepsilon, 0, \dots),$$

where  $n_\varepsilon := \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} : 1 - n\varepsilon > 0\}$ .

### 5. A common $V$ -fixed point theorem.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $C$  be a non-empty bounded and convex subset of a linear topological space  $X$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a finite family of commuting affine selfmaps on  $C$ . Then, given a neighborhood  $V$  of the origin,  $\mathcal{F}$  has a common  $V$ -fixed point.*

*Proof.* We employ the idea of Kakutani's proof (see [1], p. 106). Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{T_k : 1 \leq k \leq p\}$ . For  $1 \leq k \leq p$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in C$ , define

$$T_{kn}x := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T_k^{i-1}x.$$

Then  $T_{kn}(C) \subseteq C$  so if  $y := T_{kn}x$ ,  $x \in C$ , then  $y \in C$  and  $y - T_k y = (x - T_k^n x)/n$  since  $T_k$  is affine. Therefore, we have the inclusion:

$$T_{kn}(C) \subseteq (I - T_k)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} (I - T_k^n)(C) \right), \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } 1 \leq k \leq p.$$

Hence and by Corollary 3, given a neighborhood  $V$  of the origin, there is an  $n_V \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$T_{kn}(C) \subseteq \text{Fix}_V T_k, \quad \text{for } n \geq n_V \text{ and } 1 \leq k \leq p. \quad (1)$$

It is easy to verify that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the family  $\{T_{kn} : 1 \leq k \leq p\}$  is commuting. Hence, if

$$A_n := (T_{1n} \circ \dots \circ T_{pn})(C),$$

then given  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq p$ ,  $A_n = (T_{kn} \circ S_{kn})(C)$ , where  $S_{kn}$  denotes the superposition of all  $T_{in}$  with  $i \neq k$ . Since  $S_{kn}(C) \subseteq C$ , we get using (1) that

$$A_n \subseteq T_{kn}(C) \subseteq \text{Fix}_V T_k \quad \text{for } n \geq n_V.$$

Hence, we conclude that  $A_n \subseteq \bigcap_{k=1}^p \text{Fix}_V T_k$  for  $n \geq n_V$ . Since  $A_n$  is non-empty, so is the set  $\bigcap_{k=1}^p \text{Fix}_V T_k$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.* It can be easily shown that for any convex neighborhood  $V$  of the origin and affine map  $T$ , the set  $\text{Fix}_V T$  is convex. This fact could suggest to prove Theorem 5 by a slight modification of the proof presented in Section 3; that is, by considering the restriction  $S|_{\text{Fix}_V T}$ , where  $S$  is another affine map, which commutes with  $T$ . However, while the set  $\text{Fix } T$  is  $S$ -invariant, that is not the case with  $\text{Fix}_V T$  unless  $S$  is nonexpansive. Moreover, the local convexity of  $X$  is necessary for the validity of such a modified proof. Consequently, we would obtain then a weaker result than Theorem 5.

## REFERENCES

- [1] DAY, M.M., *Normed Linear Spaces*, 3rd ed., Springer-Verlag (1973).
- [2] DUGUNDJI, J. and GRANAS, A., *Fixed Point Theory*, PWN — Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw (1982).
- [3] EDELSTEIN, M. and TAN, K.-K., *Fixed point theorems for affine operators*, Math. Japon. 38 (1993), 325–332.

- [4] EDELSTEIN, M. and TAN, K.-K., *Fixed point theorems for affine operators on vector spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 181 (1994), 181–187.
- [5] JACHYMSKI, J., *An abstract fixed point principle and its applications*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 53 (1996), 13–19 (to appear).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ  
AL. POLITECHNIKI 11  
90-924 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND