

## Weak- $L^1$ estimates and ergodic theorems

Ciprian Demeter and Anthony Quas

ABSTRACT. We prove that for any dynamical system  $(X, \Sigma, m, T)$ , the maximal operator defined by

$$N^* f(x) = \sup_n \frac{1}{n} \# \left\{ 1 \leq i : \frac{f(T^i x)}{i} \geq \frac{1}{n} \right\}$$

is almost everywhere finite for  $f$  in the Orlicz class  $L \log \log L(X)$ , extending a result of Assani [2]. As an application, a weighted return times theorem is also proved.

### CONTENTS

1. Introduction	169
2. Main results	171
References	174

## 1. Introduction

Let  $T$  be a measure preserving transformation of a probability space  $(X, \Sigma, m)$ . We call  $(X, \Sigma, m, T)$  a dynamical system. The following return times theorem was proved in [4]:

**Theorem 1** (Bourgain). *Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and let  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . For each dynamical system  $(X, \Sigma, m, T)$  and  $f \in L^p(X)$ , there is a set  $X_0 \subset X$  of full measure, such that for any other dynamical system  $(Y, \mathcal{F}, \mu, S)$ ,  $g \in L^q(Y)$  and  $x \in X_0$ , the limit,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f(T^k x) g(S^k y),$$

*exists for  $\mu$  a.e.  $y$ .*

One of the most interesting unanswered questions that emerges from this result is whether or not the fact that  $f$  and  $g$  lie in dual spaces is in general necessary in order to have a positive result. Neither of the existing proofs of Theorem 1 gives any indication on this, since each of them relies on Hölder's inequality.

---

Received August 14, 2003.

*Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37A30, 46E30, 60F15.

*Key words and phrases.* Return times theorem, Orlicz spaces.

The second author's research was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-0200703.

On the other hand, if  $(gS^k)$  is replaced with a sequence  $(\xi_k)$  of independent identically distributed random variables such that  $\mathbb{E}(|\xi_1|) < \infty$ , then the following criterion of B. Jamison, S. Orey and W. Pruitt [5] proves to be an excellent tool to break the duality.

**Theorem 2** (Jamison, Orey and Pruitt). *Let  $(a_k)$  be a sequence of positive real numbers and let  $N^* = \sup_n \frac{1}{n} \#\{k : a_k / \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \geq 1/n\}$ , then the following are equivalent:*

1.  $N^* < \infty$ .
2. For any i.i.d. sequence of random variables  $(\xi_k)$  such that  $\mathbb{E}(|\xi_1|) < \infty$ , defining a new sequence  $(\Xi_n)$  of random variables by

$$\Xi_n(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \xi_k(\omega) / \sum_{k=1}^n a_k,$$

the sequence  $(\Xi_n)$  converges pointwise almost surely.

Motivated by this criterion, Assani [1] introduced the following maximal function: given  $f \in L^1(X)$ , consider

$$N^*f(x) = \sup_n \frac{1}{n} \#\left\{1 \leq i : \frac{f(T^i x)}{i} \geq \frac{1}{n}\right\}.$$

He proved in [2] for  $f \in L \log L(X)$ ,  $N^*f \in L^1$  and in particular  $N^*f(x) < \infty$  for a.e.  $x$ . Based on this and Theorem 2, the following “duality-breaking” version of Theorem 1 follows almost immediately:

**Corollary 3** (Assani). *Let  $(X, \Sigma, m, T)$  be a measure-preserving transformation and let the function  $f$  satisfy  $\int |f| \log^+ |f| dm < \infty$ , that is  $f \in L \log L(X)$ . Then there is a set  $X_0 \subset X$  of full measure, such that for any sequence  $(\xi_k)$  of i.i.d. random variables on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  with  $\xi_1 \in L^1(\Omega)$  and any  $x \in X_0$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f(T^k x) \xi_k(\omega)$$

exists for  $\mu$  a.e.  $\omega$ .

Moreover in [1] it is proved that if Theorem 1 is true for  $p = q = 1$ , then  $N^*f(x)$  must be finite almost everywhere for all  $f \in L^1(X)$ . This connection sheds more light on the importance of the operator  $N^*$  and motivates its further study.

In the next section we will prove the finiteness of  $N^*$  for functions in the larger class  $L \log \log L$ . Note that while Assani shows that  $N^*f \in L^1$  for  $f \in L \log L$ , our result establishes that  $N^*f \in L^{1,\infty}$  for  $f \in L \log \log L$  (i.e., that  $\sup_t tm\{x : N^*f(x) > t\} < \infty$ ) so that while our hypothesis is weaker, so is our conclusion. Note however that since our conclusion implies that  $N^*f(x) < \infty$  for almost every  $x$ , it is sufficient to imply a corollary like Corollary 3 in the case where  $f \in L \log \log L$ .

In a preprint that appeared at around the time this paper was submitted, Assani, Buczolic, and Mauldin [3] show that there exists an  $f \in L^1(X)$  such that  $N^*f(x) = \infty$  almost everywhere.

## 2. Main results

Throughout this section we will denote the natural logarithm of  $x$  by  $\log x$  and the weak- $L^1$  norm of  $f$  by

$$\|f\|_{1,\infty} = \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda m\{x : |f(x)| > \lambda\}.$$

We will also need to refer to the *entropy* of a sequence of positive real numbers. Specifically, for a sequence  $(a_n)$  of nonnegative real numbers (not all 0), define the entropy by

$$H((a_n)) = \sum_n -\frac{a_n}{\sum_j a_j} \log \left( \frac{a_n}{\sum_j a_j} \right),$$

under the convention  $0 \log 0 = 0$ .

We define  $f^*$  to be the ergodic maximal function,  $f^*(x) = \sup_n \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f(T^k x) \right|$ . The maximal ergodic theorem asserts that  $\|f^*\|_{1,\infty} \leq \|f\|_1$  for all  $f \in L^1(X)$ . The following inequality from [7] turns out to be extremely useful to our investigation:

**Lemma 4.** *Suppose that for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $g_i(x)$  is an  $L_{1,\infty}$  function on a measure space such that  $\sum \|g_i\|_{1,\infty} < \infty$ . Then*

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i \right\|_{1,\infty} \leq 2(K+2) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|g_i\|_{1,\infty},$$

where  $K$  is the entropy of the sequence  $(\|g_n\|_{1,\infty})$ .

We can now prove our main result.

**Theorem 5.** *For each dynamical system  $(X, \Sigma, m, T)$  and each  $f \in L \log \log L(X)$  (that is  $f$  satisfying  $\int |f| \log^+ \log^+ |f| dm < \infty$ ),  $N^* f(x) < \infty$  for a.e.  $x$ .*

**Proof.** It is enough to consider  $f$  positive. Making use of the fact that  $f(x) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i \chi_{A_i}(x)$ , where  $A_i = \{x : 2^{i-1} < f(x) \leq 2^i\}$  for  $i \geq 2$  and  $A_1 = \{x : f(x) \leq 2\}$ , it easily follows that for each  $n$ ,

$$\frac{1}{n} \# \left\{ k \geq 1 : \frac{f(T^k x)}{k} \geq 1/n \right\} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n2^i} \chi_{A_i}(T^k x) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i (\chi_{A_i})^*(x).$$

We will show that the last term in the above inequality is finite a.e. by proving that its  $L_{1,\infty}$  norm is finite.

Let  $a_i = 2^i \|\chi_{A_i}\|_{1,\infty}$ . By the maximal ergodic theorem, we see that  $a_i \leq 2^i m(A_i)$ . The fact that  $f \in L \log \log L$  implies that  $\sum_i a_i \log i < \infty$  (and hence clearly  $M$ , which we define to be  $\sum_i a_i$ , is finite). From the lemma above, we see that it is sufficient to show that the entropy of the sequence  $(a_i)$  is finite:  $\sum_i -a_i/M \log(a_i/M) < \infty$ . One quickly sees that this is equivalent to establishing  $\sum_i -a_i \log a_i < \infty$ .

Consider now  $S_1 = \{i : a_i \leq 1/i^2\}$  and  $S_2 = \{i : a_i > 1/i^2\}$ . Now

$$\sum_{i \in S_1} -a_i \log a_i \leq 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \log(j^2)/j^2 < \infty$$

since  $\psi(t) = -t \log t$  is increasing on  $[0, 1/e]$ . On the other hand

$$\sum_{i \in S_2} -a_i \log a_i < 2 \sum_{i \in S_2} a_i \log i < \infty.$$

□

**Corollary 6.** *For each dynamical system  $(X, \Sigma, m, T)$  and nonnegative function  $f \in L \log \log L(X)$ , there is a set  $X_0 \subset X$  of full measure, such that for any sequence  $(\xi_k)$  of i.i.d. random variables on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  with  $\xi_1 \in L^1(\Omega)$  and any  $x \in X_0$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f(T^k x) \xi_k(\omega)$$

exists for  $\mu$  a.e.  $\omega$ .

There does not seem to be a better way of exploiting Lemma 4 in order to extend even more the class of functions for which  $N^*f$  is almost everywhere finite. Moreover, as we show in the following proposition, the inequality in Lemma 4 is sharp up to a constant. We note that a more general version of this proposition appears in work of Kalton [6].

**Proposition 7.** *Given positive numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , there exist functions  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  with  $\|g_i\|_{1,\infty} = a_i$  such that  $\|g_1 + \dots + g_n\|_{1,\infty} \geq \frac{1}{6}(2+K) \sum \|g_i\|_{1,\infty}$ , where  $K$  is the entropy of the sequence  $(a_i)$ .*

**Proof.** For each  $i$ , let  $\xi_i$  be a random variable taking the value  $1/n$  with probability  $(1 - a_i)^{n-1} a_i$ . Moreover, the  $\xi_i$ 's will be chosen to be independent. One can then check that  $\mathbb{P}(\xi_i > \lambda) \leq a_i/\lambda$  while  $\mathbb{P}(\xi_i \geq 1 - \epsilon) = a_i$  for  $\epsilon$  small enough, so that  $\|\xi_i\|_{1,\infty} = a_i$ .

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\xi_i) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_i \frac{(1 - a_i)^{n-1}}{n} \\ &= -\frac{a_i}{1 - a_i} \log a_i \geq -a_i \log a_i. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we see that

$$\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2) = a_i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} (1 - a_i)^{n-1} \leq a_i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \leq 2a_i.$$

In particular, setting  $\Xi = \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n$ , we see that  $\mathbb{E}(\Xi) \geq K$  but  $\text{Var}(\Xi) \leq 2$ .

Using Tchebychev's inequality, we see that

$$\mathbb{P}(\Xi \geq K - 2) \geq \mathbb{P}(|\Xi - \mathbb{E}(\Xi)| \leq 2) \geq 1 - \frac{\text{Var}(\Xi)}{2^2} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

If  $K > 4$ , we have  $\mathbb{P}(\Xi \geq K/2) \geq \frac{1}{2}$  so that the weak- $L^1$  norm exceeds  $K/4$ , which in turn exceeds  $(K+2)/6$ . If  $K \leq 4$ , take  $f$  to be any function of weak  $L^1$  norm 1 and let  $f_n = a_n f$ , so that  $\|f_n\|_{1,\infty} = a_n$ . Then  $\sum f_i = f$ , so that  $\|\sum f_i\|_{1,\infty} = 1 \geq \frac{1}{6}(K+2) \sum \|f_i\|_{1,\infty}$ . This completes the proof of the proposition. □

**Remark 8.** Note that although  $f \in L \log \log L$  is sufficient to guarantee that  $N^*f < \infty$  almost everywhere, there are functions  $f$  outside  $L \log \log L(X)$ , for which  $N^*f(x) < \infty$  for a.e.  $x$ . In particular, it is easy to construct functions outside  $L \log \log L$  for which the entropy computed in Theorem 5 is finite, guaranteeing the finiteness of  $N^*f$ .

Further, if we are willing to restrict the system, we see that no condition on the distribution of  $f$  ensures the divergence of  $N^*f(x)$ . Specifically, Lemma 1 of [1] guarantees that whenever  $T^k f$  are independent (identically distributed) random variables with an arbitrary  $L^1$  distribution, then  $N^*f(x) < \infty$  for a.e.  $x$ .

Another consequence of Theorem 5 is the following weighted version of Corollary 3.

**Theorem 9.** *For each dynamical system  $(X, \Sigma, m, T)$  and  $f \in L^1(X)$ , there is a set  $X_0 \subset X$  of full measure, such that for any sequence  $(\xi_k)$  of i.i.d. random variables on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  with  $\xi_1 \in L^1(\Omega)$  and any  $x \in X_0$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n \log \log n} \sum_{k=1}^n f(T^k x) \xi_k(\omega) = 0$$

for  $\mu$  a.e.  $\omega$ .

The proof will be based on the following relative of Theorem 5. Define

$$L^*f(x) = \sup_n \frac{1}{n} \# \left\{ 1 \leq i : \frac{f(T^i x)}{i \log \log i} \geq \frac{1}{n} \right\}.$$

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $(X, \Sigma, m, T)$  be a measure-preserving system. For each  $f \in L_1(X)$ ,  $L^*f(x) < \infty$  for a.e.  $x$ .*

**Proof.** As usual, we can assume  $f$  is positive. Fix an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using the fact that  $f(x) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i \chi_{A_i}(x)$  we get that

$$\frac{1}{n} \# \left\{ 1 \leq k : \frac{f(T^k x)}{k \log \log k} \geq 1/n \right\} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{p_i} \chi_{A_i}(T^k x) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i (\chi_{A_i})^*(x)$$

where  $p_i$  is the largest integer such that  $p_i(\log \log p_i) \leq n2^i$ . Letting  $\phi: (1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the increasing function  $\phi(x) = x \log \log x$ , we see that  $p_i \leq \phi^{-1}(n2^i)$ . We claim that there exists a  $C > 0$  such that  $p_i \leq C \frac{2^i n}{\log(i+1)}$  for all  $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . To see this, we check the existence of a  $C$  such that  $\phi^{-1}(2^x) \leq C \frac{2^x}{\log(x+1)}$  or equivalently  $2^x \leq \phi(C \frac{2^x}{\log(x+1)})$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . Hence

$$\sup_n \frac{1}{n} \# \left\{ 1 \leq i : \frac{f(T^i x)}{i \log \log i} \geq \frac{1}{n} \right\} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2^i}{\log(i+1)} \right) (\chi_{A_i})^*(x).$$

Based on Lemma 4 and on the maximal ergodic theorem, it suffices to prove that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2^i \|\chi_{A_i}\|_1}{\log(i+1)} \right) \log \left( \frac{2^i \|\chi_{A_i}\|_1}{\log(i+1)} \right) < \infty$ . By splitting the sum in two parts depending on whether or not  $\frac{2^i \|\chi_{A_i}\|_1}{\log(i+1)} < \frac{1}{i^2}$  and reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 5, it easily follows that the sum from above is finite.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 9.** It suffices to assume that both  $f$  and  $\xi_1$  are positive. According to the previous lemma, let  $X_0$  the subset of full measure of  $X$  containing all the points  $x$  for which  $L^*f(x) < \infty$ . For a fixed  $x \in X_0$  denote  $w_k := f(T^k x)$  and also  $W_k := k \log \log k$ . The argument of Jamison, Orey and Pruitt from [5] can be extended with really no essential changes to this case, to conclude that since

$$\sup_n \frac{1}{n} \# \left\{ 1 \leq i : \frac{w_i}{W_i} \geq \frac{1}{n} \right\} < \infty,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{W_n} \sum_{k=1}^n w_k \xi_k(\omega) = 0$$

for  $\mu$  a.e.  $\omega$ . □

**Remark 11.** It is not known whether in Theorem 9 the weight  $n \log \log n$  can be replaced with a smaller one, like  $n \log \log \log n$ . Any improvement on this weight will necessarily have behind it an extension of the result of Theorem 5 to a larger Orlicz class. On the other hand, a combination of Theorem 2 and the result from [3], shows that this weight can not be chosen to be  $n$ .

**Remark 12.** It would be interesting to find the largest Orlicz class that would guarantee that  $N^*f(x) < \infty$  almost everywhere. The above establishes that such an Orlicz class would contain  $L \log \log L$ .

A careful examination of the proof of [3] demonstrates that in any Orlicz class with an essentially smaller weight than the class  $L \log \log \log L$ , there exists a function  $f$  such that  $N^*f(x) = \infty$  almost everywhere.

In particular, these two results demonstrate that the largest Orlicz class that would guarantee that  $N^*f(x) < \infty$  almost everywhere lies between  $L \log \log L$  and  $L \log \log \log L$ .

## References

- [1] I. Assani, *Strong laws for weighted sums of independent identically distributed random variables*, Duke Math. J. **88** (1997), 217–246, [MR 1455518](#) (98g:60059), [Zbl 0883.60023](#).
- [2] I. Assani, *Convergence of the  $p$ -Series for stationary sequences*, New York J. Math. **3A** (1997), 15–30, [MR 1604577](#) (99c:60061), [Zbl 0915.28009](#).
- [3] I. Assani, Z. Buczolich, and R. Mauldin, *An  $L^1$  counting problem in ergodic theory*, [arXiv math.DS/0307384](#).
- [4] J. Bourgain, H. Furstenberg, Y. Katznelson and D. Ornstein, *Return times of dynamical systems* (appendix to Bourgain’s ‘Pointwise ergodic theorems for arithmetic sets’), Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **69** (1989), 47–50, [MR 1019960](#) (90k:28030), [Zbl 0705.28008](#).
- [5] B. Jamison, S. Orey, and W. Pruitt, *Convergence of weighted averages of independent random variables*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete **4** (1965), 40–44, [MR 0182044](#) (31 #6268), [Zbl 0141.16404](#).
- [6] N. J. Kalton, *Sequences of random variables in  $L_p$  for  $p < 1$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **329** (1981), 204–214, [MR 0636454](#) (83a:46040), [Zbl 0461.60020](#).
- [7] E. M. Stein and N. J. Weiss, *On the convergence of Poisson integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **140** (1969), 35–54, [MR 0241685](#) (39 #3024), [Zbl 0182.10801](#).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA, URBANA, IL 61801  
[demeter@math.uiuc.edu](mailto:demeter@math.uiuc.edu)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF MEMPHIS, MEMPHIS, TN 38152-6429  
[aquas@memphis.edu](mailto:aquas@memphis.edu)

This paper is available via <http://nyjm.albany.edu:8000/j/2004/10-10.html>.