

## Variational inequalities for the differences of averages over lacunary sequences

Sakin Demir

ABSTRACT. Let  $f$  be a locally integrable function defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $(n_k)$  be a lacunary sequence. Define the operator  $A_{n_k}$  by

$$A_{n_k} f(x) = \frac{1}{n_k} \int_0^{n_k} f(x-t) dt.$$

We prove various types of new inequalities for the variation operator

$$\mathcal{V}_s f(x) = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |A_{n_k} f(x) - A_{n_{k-1}} f(x)|^s \right)^{1/s}$$

when  $2 \leq s < \infty$ .

An increasing sequence  $(n_k)$  of real numbers is called lacunary if there exists a constant  $\beta > 1$  such that  $n_{k+1}/n_k \geq \beta$  for all  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

Let  $f$  be a locally integrable function defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $(n_k)$  be a lacunary sequence and define the operator  $A_{n_k}$  by

$$A_{n_k} f(x) = \frac{1}{n_k} \int_0^{n_k} f(x-t) dt.$$

It is clear that

$$A_{n_k} f(x) = \frac{1}{n_k} \chi_{(0, n_k)} * f(x)$$

where  $*$  stands for convolution. Consider the variation operator

$$\mathcal{V}_s f(x) = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |A_{n_k} f(x) - A_{n_{k-1}} f(x)|^s \right)^{1/s}$$

for  $2 \leq s < \infty$ . The boundedness of the variation operator  $\mathcal{V}_s f$  provides an estimate on the speed (or rate) of convergence of the sequence  $\{A_{n_k} f\}$ .

Various types of inequalities for the two-sided variation operator

$$\mathcal{V}'_s f(x) = \left( \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{2^n} \int_x^{x+2^n} f(t) dt - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \int_x^{x+2^{n-1}} f(t) dt \right|^s \right)^{1/s}$$

Received September 13, 2021.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 26D07, 26D15; Secondary 42B20.

*Key words and phrases*.  $A_p$  weight, weak type  $(1, 1)$ , strong type  $(p, p)$ ,  $H^1$  space, BMO space.

when  $2 \leq s < \infty$  have been proven by the author in Demir, S. [1]. In this research we prove that same types of inequalities are also true for any lacunary sequence  $(n_k)$  for the one-sided variation operator  $\mathcal{V}_s f(x)$  for  $2 \leq s < \infty$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $(n_k)$  be a lacunary sequence with the lacunarity constant  $\beta$ , i.e.,  $n_{k+1}/n_k \geq \beta > 1$  for all  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . If  $1 \leq s < \infty$ , then there exists a sequence  $(m_j)$  such that*

$$\beta^2 \geq \frac{m_{j+1}}{m_j} \geq \beta > 1$$

for all  $j$  and

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |A_{n_k} f(x) - A_{n_{k-1}} f(x)|^s \right)^{1/s} \leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |A_{m_j} f(x) - A_{m_{j-1}} f(x)|^s \right)^{1/s}.$$

**Proof.** Let us start our construction by first choosing  $m_0 = n_0$ . If

$$\beta^2 \geq \frac{n_1}{n_0} \geq \beta,$$

define  $m_1 = n_1$ . If  $n_1/n_0 > \beta^2$ , let  $m_1 = \beta n_0$ . Then we have

$$\beta^2 \geq \frac{m_1}{m_0} = \frac{\beta n_0}{n_0} = \beta \geq \beta.$$

Also,

$$\frac{n_1}{m_1} \geq \frac{\beta^2 n_0}{\beta n_0} = \beta.$$

Again, if  $n_1/m_1 \leq \beta^2$ , then choose  $m_2 = n_1$ . If this is not the case, choose  $m_2 = \beta^2 n_0 \leq n_1$ . By the same calculation as before,  $m_0, m_1, m_2$  are part of a lacunary sequence satisfying

$$\beta^2 \geq \frac{m_{k+1}}{m_k} \geq \beta > 1.$$

To continue the sequence, either  $m_3 = n_1$  if  $n_1/m_2 \leq \beta^2$  or  $m_3 = \beta^3 n_0$  if  $n_1/m_2 > \beta^2$ .

Since  $\beta > 1$ , this process will end at some  $k_0$  such that  $m_{k_0} = n_1$ . The remaining elements  $m_k$  are constructed in the same manner as the original  $n_k$ , with necessary terms added between two consecutive  $n_k$  to obtain the inequality

$$\beta^2 \geq \frac{m_{k+1}}{m_k} \geq \beta > 1.$$

Let now

$$J(k) = \{j : n_{k-1} < m_j \leq n_k\}.$$

Then we have

$$A_{n_k} f(x) - A_{n_{k-1}} f(x) = \sum_{j \in J(k)} (A_{m_j} f(x) - A_{m_{j-1}} f(x))$$

and thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{n_k}f(x) - A_{n_{k-1}}f(x)| &= \left| \sum_{j \in J(k)} (A_{m_j}f(x) - A_{m_{j-1}}f(x)) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in J(k)} |A_{m_j}f(x) - A_{m_{j-1}}f(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |A_{n_k}f(x) - A_{n_{k-1}}f(x)| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in J(k)} |A_{m_j}f(x) - A_{m_{j-1}}f(x)| \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |A_{m_j}f(x) - A_{m_{j-1}}f(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |A_{n_k}f(x) - A_{n_{k-1}}f(x)|^s \right)^{1/s} \leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |A_{m_j}f(x) - A_{m_{j-1}}f(x)|^s \right)^{1/s}.$$

and this completes the proof. □

*Remark 2.* We know from Lemma 1 that

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |A_{n_k}f(x) - A_{n_{k-1}}f(x)|^s \right)^{1/s} \leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |A_{m_j}f(x) - A_{m_{j-1}}f(x)|^s \right)^{1/s}.$$

and the new sequence  $(m_j)$  satisfies

$$\beta^2 \geq \frac{m_{j+1}}{m_j} \geq \beta > 1$$

for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Therefore, we can assume without loss of generality that

$$\beta^2 \geq \frac{n_{k+1}}{n_k} \geq \beta > 1$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  when we are proving any result for  $\mathcal{V}_s(x)$ .

Since

$$\frac{1}{n_k} = \frac{n_1}{n_2} \cdot \frac{n_2}{n_3} \cdot \frac{n_3}{n_4} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{n_{k-1}}{n_k},$$

we can also assume that

$$\frac{1}{n_k} \leq \frac{1}{\beta^{2(k-1)}}$$

for all  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

**Lemma 3.** Let  $(n_k)$  be a lacunary sequence, and let  $\gamma$  denote the smallest positive integer satisfying

$$\frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta^\gamma} \leq 1.$$

If  $i \geq j + \gamma$ ,  $0 < y \leq n_j$  and  $n_j < x < n_{i+1}$ , then

$$\chi_{(y, y+n_k)}(x) - \chi_{(0, n_k)}(x) = 0$$

unless  $k = i$  in which case

$$\chi_{(y, y+n_k)}(x) - \chi_{(0, n_k)}(x) = \chi_{(n_i, y+n_i)}.$$

**Proof.** Since  $(n_k)$  is a lacunary sequence, there exists a constant  $\beta > 1$  such that  $n_{k+1}/n_k \geq \beta$  for all  $k$ . We can assume that

$$\beta^2 \geq \frac{n_{k+1}}{n_k} \geq \beta \quad (1)$$

for all  $k$  by Remark 2. Since we have

$$\frac{n_l}{n_k} = \frac{n_l}{n_{l+1}} \cdot \frac{n_{l+1}}{n_{l+2}} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{n_{k-1}}{n_k}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\beta} \leq \frac{n_k}{n_{k+1}} \leq \frac{1}{\beta^{k-l}}$$

for all  $k$ , we see that

$$\frac{1}{\beta^{2(k-l)}} \leq \frac{n_l}{n_k} \leq \frac{1}{\beta^{k-l}} \quad (2)$$

for all  $k > l$ . Let  $\gamma$  denote the smallest positive integer satisfying

$$\frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta^\gamma} \leq 1.$$

We see from (2) that

$$n_j + n_k \leq n_{k+1} \quad (3)$$

for all  $k \geq j + \gamma - 1$ . It is easy to see that for  $k > i$ ,

$$0 < y \leq n_j \leq n_i < x < n_{i+1} \leq n_k < y + n_k,$$

and this implies that

$$[\chi_{(y, y+n_k)}(x) - \chi_{(0, n_k)}(x)] \cdot \chi_{(n_i, n_{i+1})}(x) = 0.$$

For  $k \leq i - 1$ , we see by (3) that

$$n_k < y + n_k \leq n_j + n_{i-1} \leq n_i.$$

Then we have

$$\chi_{(y, y+n_k)}(x) \cdot \chi_{(n_i, n_{i+1})}(x) = \chi_{(0, n_k)}(x) \cdot \chi_{(n_i, n_{i+1})}(x) = 0.$$

Suppose now that  $k = i$ ; by (3), we have

$$y < n_i < y + n_i \leq n_j + n_i \leq n_{i+1}$$

and this implies that

$$\chi_{(y, y+n_i)}(x) - \chi_{(0, n_i)}(x) = \chi_{(y, y+n_i)} \cdot \chi_{(n_i, n_{i+1})}(x) = \chi_{(n_i, y+n_i)}(x). \quad \square$$

Let

$$\phi_k(x) = \frac{1}{n_k} \chi_{(0, n_k)}(x)$$

and define the kernel operator  $K : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \ell^s(\mathbb{Z}^+)$  as

$$K(x) = \{\phi_k(x) - \phi_{k-1}(x)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+}.$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_s f(x) &= \|K * f(x)\|_{\ell^s(\mathbb{Z}^+)} \\ &= \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\phi_k * f(x) - \phi_{k-1} * f(x)|^s \right)^{1/s} \\ &= \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |A_{n_k} f(x) - A_{n_{k-1}} f(x)|^s \right)^{1/s} \end{aligned}$$

where  $*$  denotes convolution, i.e.,

$$K * f(x) = \int K(x - y) \cdot f(y) dy.$$

Let  $B$  be a Banach space. We say that the  $B$ -valued kernel  $K$  satisfies the  $D_r$  condition, for  $1 \leq r < \infty$ , and write  $K \in D_r$ , if there exists a sequence  $\{c_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$  of positive numbers such that  $\sum_l c_l < \infty$  and such that

$$\left( \int_{S_l(|y|)} \|K(x - y) - K(x)\|_B^r dx \right)^{1/r} \leq c_l |S_l(|y|)|^{-1/r'},$$

for all  $l \geq 1$  and all  $y > 0$ , where  $S_l(|y|)$  denotes the spherical shell  $2^l|y| < |x| < 2^{l+1}|y|$  and  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$ .

When  $K \in D_1$  we have the Hörmander condition:

$$\int_{|x| > 2|y|} \|K(x - y) - K(x)\|_B dx \leq C$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant which does not depend on  $y > 0$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\gamma$  denote the smallest positive integer satisfying*

$$\frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta^\gamma} \leq 1.$$

*and let  $1 \leq r, s < \infty$ ,  $i \geq j + \gamma$ , and  $0 < y \leq n_j$ . Then*

$$\left( \int_{n_i}^{n_{i+1}} \|K(x - y) - K(x)\|_{\ell^s(\mathbb{Z}^+)}^r dx \right)^{1/r} \leq C_i n_i^{1/r-1},$$

*i.e.,  $K$  satisfies the  $D_r$  condition for  $1 \leq r < \infty$ .*

**Proof.** Let

$$\Phi_k(x, y) = \phi_k(x - y) - \phi_k(x).$$

Then it is easy to check that

$$K(x - y) - K(x) = \{\Phi_k(x, y) - \Phi_{k-1}(x, y)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+}.$$

On the other hand, because of a property of the norm we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|K(x - y) - K(x)\|_{\ell^s(\mathbb{Z}^+)} &= \|\Phi_k(x, y) - \Phi_{k-1}(x, y)\|_{\ell^s(\mathbb{Z}^+)} \\ &\leq \|\Phi_k(x, y)\|_{\ell^s(\mathbb{Z}^+)} + \|\Phi_{k-1}(x, y)\|_{\ell^s(\mathbb{Z}^+)} \\ &\leq 2\|\Phi_{k-1}(x, y)\|_{\ell^s(\mathbb{Z}^+)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  are fixed and  $\|\Phi_{k-1}(x, y)\|_{\ell^s(\mathbb{Z}^+)}$  is the  $\ell^s(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ -norm of the sequence whose  $k^{\text{th}}$ -entry is  $\Phi_k(x, y)$ .

We now have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \int_{n_i}^{n_{i+1}} \|K(x - y) - K(x)\|_{\ell^s(\mathbb{Z}^+)}^r dx \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq 2 \left( \int_{n_i}^{n_{i+1}} \|\Phi_{k-1}(x, y)\|_{\ell^s(\mathbb{Z}^+)}^r dx \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq 2 \left( \int_{n_i}^{n_{i+1}} \|\Phi_{k-1}(x, y)\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^+)}^r dx \right)^{1/r} \\ &= 2 \left( \int_{n_i}^{n_{i+1}} \left( \sum_{n_i < n_{k-1}} \frac{1}{n_{k-1}} \chi_{(n_i, y+n_i)}(x) \right)^r dx \right)^{1/r} \\ &= 2 \left( \int_{n_i}^{n_{i+1}} \left( \sum_{n_i < n_{k-1}} \frac{1}{\beta^{2(k-2)}} \chi_{(n_i, y+n_i)}(x) \right)^r dx \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq 2 \left( \beta^2 + \frac{1}{1 - \beta^2} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{n_i} \cdot \left( \int_{n_i}^{n_{i+1}} \chi_{(n_i, y+n_i)}(x) dx \right)^{1/r} \\ &= 2 \left( \beta^2 + \frac{1}{1 - \beta^2} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{n_i} \cdot y^{1/r} \\ &\leq 2 \left( \beta^2 + \frac{1}{1 - \beta^2} \right) \frac{1}{\beta^{(i-j)/r}} n_i^{1/r-1} \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used

$$y \leq n_j \leq \frac{n_i}{\beta^{i-j}}$$

by (2), and this completes our proof with

$$C_i = 2 \left( \beta^2 + \frac{1}{1 - \beta^2} \right) \frac{1}{\beta^{(i-j)/r}}. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\{n_k\}$  be a lacunary sequence. Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\hat{\phi}_k(x) - \hat{\phi}_{k-1}(x)| < C$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\phi_k(x) = \frac{1}{n_k} \chi_{(0, n_k)}(x)$ , and  $\hat{\phi}_k$  is its Fourier transform.

**Proof.** First, note that we have

$$I(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\hat{\phi}_k(x) - \hat{\phi}_{k-1}(x)| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1 - e^{-ixn_k}}{xn_k} - \frac{1 - e^{-ixn_{k-1}}}{xn_{k-1}} \right|.$$

Let

$$I(x) = \sum_{\{k: |x|n_k \geq 1\}} |\hat{\phi}_k(x) - \hat{\phi}_{k-1}(x)| + \sum_{\{k: |x|n_k < 1\}} |\hat{\phi}_k(x) - \hat{\phi}_{k-1}(x)| = I_1(x) + I_2(x).$$

Let us now fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $k_0$  be the first  $k$  such that  $|x|n_k \geq 1$ . Since  $\hat{\phi}_k(x)$  is an even function, we can assume without the loss of generality that  $x \geq 0$ .

We clearly have

$$I_1(x) \leq \sum_{\{k: |x|n_k \geq 1\}} \frac{4}{|x|n_k}.$$

Since the sequence  $\{n_k\}$  is lacunary, there exists a constant  $\beta > 1$  such that  $n_{k+1}/n_k \geq \beta$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also note that in the sum,  $I_1$ , the term with index  $n_{k_0}$  is the term with smallest index, since it is the first term that satisfies condition  $|x|n_k \geq 1$  and the sequence  $\{n_k\}$  is increasing. On the other hand, we have

$$\frac{n_{k_0}}{n_k} = \frac{n_{k_0}}{n_{k_0+1}} \cdot \frac{n_{k_0+1}}{n_{k_0+2}} \cdot \frac{n_{k_0+2}}{n_{k_0+3}} \cdots \frac{n_{k_0-1}}{n_k} \leq \frac{1}{\beta^k}.$$

We now have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x) &\leq \sum_{\{k: |x|n_k \geq 1\}} \frac{4}{|x|n_k} \\ &= \sum_{\{k: |x|n_k \geq 1\}} \frac{4n_{k_0}}{|x|n_{k_0}n_k} \\ &= \frac{4}{|x|n_{k_0}} \sum_{\{k: |x|n_k \geq 1\}} \frac{n_{k_0}}{n_k} \\ &\leq 4 \sum_{\{k: |x|n_k \geq 1\}} \frac{1}{\beta^k} \end{aligned}$$

since  $\frac{1}{|x|n_{k_0}} \leq 1$  and  $\frac{n_{k_0}}{n_k} = \frac{1}{\beta^k}$ . Also, since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\beta^k} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\beta}},$$

we clearly see that  $I_1(x) \leq C_1$  for some constant  $C_1 > 0$ .

To control the summation  $I_2$  let us first define the function  $F$  as

$$F(r) = \frac{1 - e^{-ir}}{r}.$$

Then we have  $\hat{\phi}_k(x) = F(xn_k)$ . Now by the Mean Value Theorem, there exists a constant  $\xi \in (xn_k, xn_{k+1})$  such that

$$|F(xn_{k+1}) - F(xn_k)| = |F'(\xi)| |xn_{k+1} - xn_k|.$$

Also, it is easy to verify that

$$|F'(x)| \leq \frac{x+2}{x^2},$$

for  $x > 0$ .

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F(xn_{k+1}) - F(xn_k)| &= |F'(\xi)| |xn_{k+1} - xn_k| \\ &\leq \frac{\xi+2}{\xi^2} |x|(n_{k+1} - n_k) \\ &\leq \frac{xn_{k+1}+2}{x^2n_k^2} |x|(n_{k+1} - n_k) \\ &= \frac{2n_{k+1}}{n_k^2} (n_{k+1} - n_k). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(x) &= \sum_{\{k: |x|n_k < 1\}} |F(xn_{k+1}) - F(xn_k)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\{k: |x|n_k < 1\}} \frac{2}{|x|n_k} \cdot \frac{2n_{k+1}}{n_k^2} (n_{k+1} - n_k) \\ &\leq \sum_{\{k: |x|n_k < 1\}} \frac{4n_{k+1}^2}{n_k^2|x|} \left( \frac{1}{n_k} - \frac{1}{n_{k+1}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\{k: |x|n_k < 1\}} \frac{16}{|x|} \left( \frac{1}{n_k} - \frac{1}{n_{k+1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{16}{|x|} \left( \frac{1}{n_1} - \frac{1}{n_{k_0+1}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{16}{|x|n_{k_0+1}} \\ &\leq 16. \end{aligned}$$

We thus conclude that

$$I(x) = I_1(x) + I_2(x) \leq C_1 + 16 := C$$



for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and this completes our proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $s \geq 2$  and  $(n_k)$  be a lacunary sequence. Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|\mathcal{V}_s f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

for all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof.** Since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\hat{\phi}_k(x) - \hat{\phi}_{k-1}(x)|^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\hat{\phi}_k(x) - \hat{\phi}_{k-1}(x)|,$$

it is clear from Lemma 5 that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\hat{\phi}_k(x) - \hat{\phi}_{k-1}(x)|^2 < C$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We now obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{V}_s f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\phi_k * f(x) - \phi_{k-1} * f(x)|^{\rho} \right)^{2/\rho} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\phi_k * f(x) - \phi_{k-1} * f(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_k * f(x) - \phi_{k-1} * f(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\phi_k - \phi_{k-1}) * f(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\Delta_k * f(x)|^2 dx \quad (\Delta_k(x) = \phi_k(x) - \phi_{k-1}(x)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{\Delta_k * f}(x)|^2 dx \quad (\text{by Plancherel's theorem}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{\Delta_k}(x)|^2 \cdot |\hat{f}(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\widehat{\Delta_k}(x)|^2 \cdot |\hat{f}(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\hat{\phi}_k(x) - \hat{\phi}_{k-1}(x)|^2 \cdot |\hat{f}(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(x)|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx \quad (\text{by Plancherel's theorem}) \\
&= C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

*Remark 7.* Since for  $s \geq 2$ , we have proved in Lemma 4 that the kernel operator  $K(x) = \{\phi_k(x) - \phi_{k-1}(x)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  satisfies the  $D_r$  condition for  $1 \leq r < \infty$ , it specifically satisfies  $D_1$  condition. We also have proved in Lemma 6 that  $Tf = \{(\phi_k - \phi_{k-1}) * f\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  is a bounded operator from  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  to  $L^2_{\ell^s(\mathbb{Z}^+)}(\mathbb{R})$  since  $\|K * f(x)\|_{\ell^s(\mathbb{Z}^+)} = \mathcal{V}_s f(x)$ . Therefore,  $Tf = \{(\phi_k - \phi_{k-1}) * f\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  is an  $\ell^s$ -valued singular operator of convolution type for  $s \geq 2$ .

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be Banach spaces. A singular integral operator  $T$  mapping  $A$ -valued functions into  $B$ -valued functions can be extended to an operator defined in all  $L^p_A$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and satisfying*

- (i)  $\|Tf\|_{L^p_B} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p_A}$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,
- (ii)  $\|Tf\|_{WL^1_B} \leq C_1 \|f\|_{L^1_A}$ ,
- (iii)  $\|Tf\|_{L^1_B} \leq C_2 \|f\|_{H^1_A}$ ,
- (iv)  $\|Tf\|_{\text{BMO}(B)} \leq C_3 \|f\|_{L^\infty(A)}$ ,  $f \in L^\infty_c(A)$ ,

where  $C_p, C_1, C_2, C_3 > 0$ , and  $L^\infty_c(A)$  is the space of bounded functions with compact support.

**Proof.** This is Theorem 1.3 of Part II in Rubio de Francia, J. L. *et al* [5].  $\square$

The following theorem is our first result:

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $2 \leq s < \infty$ , and let  $(n_k)$  be a lacunary sequence. Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|\mathcal{V}_s f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$$

for all  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof.** This follows from Remark 7 and Lemma 8 (iii) since  $\|K * f(x)\|_{\ell^s(\mathbb{Z}^+)} = \mathcal{V}_s f(x)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 10.* We have proved that  $Tf = \{(\phi_k - \phi_{k-1}) * f\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  is an  $\ell^s$ -valued singular operator of convolution type for  $s \geq 2$ . By applying Lemma 8 to this observation we also provide a different proof for the following known facts for  $s \geq 2$  (see [4]) since  $\|K * f(x)\|_{\ell^s(\mathbb{Z}^+)} = \mathcal{V}_s f(x)$ .

- (i)  $\|\mathcal{V}_s f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,
- (ii)  $\|\mathcal{V}_s f\|_{WL^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_1 \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$ ,
- (iii)  $\|\mathcal{V}_s f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_2 \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$ ,  $f \in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R})$ ,

where  $C_p, C_1, C_2 > 0$ .

Let  $w \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$  be a positive function. We say that  $w$  is an  $A_p$  weight for some  $1 < p < \infty$  if the following condition is satisfied:

$$\sup_I \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w(x) dx \right) \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w(x)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dx \right)^{p-1} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all intervals  $I$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

We say that the function  $w$  is an  $A_\infty$  weight if there exist  $\delta > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that given an interval  $I$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , for any measurable  $E \subset I$ ,

$$|E| < \delta \cdot |I| \implies w(E) < (1 - \epsilon) \cdot w(I).$$

Here

$$w(E) = \int_E w.$$

It is well known and easy to see that  $w \in A_p \implies w \in A_\infty$  if  $1 < p < \infty$ .

We say that  $w \in A_1$  if given an interval  $I$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  there is a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w(y) dy \leq Cw(x)$$

for a.e.  $x \in I$ .

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be Banach spaces, and  $T$  be a singular integral operator mapping  $A$ -valued functions into  $B$ -valued functions with kernel  $K \in D_r$ , where  $1 < r < \infty$ . Then, for all  $1 < \rho < \infty$ , and for all  $(f_j) \in L^p_A(w) \cap L^p_A(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the weighted inequalities*

$$\left\| \left( \sum_j \|Tf_j\|_B^\rho \right)^{1/\rho} \right\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C_{p,\rho}(w) \left\| \left( \sum_j \|f_j\|_A^\rho \right)^{1/\rho} \right\|_{L^p(w)}$$

hold if  $w \in A_{p/r'}$  and  $r' \leq p < \infty$ , or if  $w \in A_p^{r'}$  and  $1 < p \leq r'$ . Likewise, if  $w(x)^{r'} \in A_1$ , then the weak type inequality

$$w \left\{ \left\{ x : \left( \sum_j \|Tf_j(x)\|_B^\rho \right)^{1/\rho} > \lambda \right\} \right\} \leq C_\rho(w) \frac{1}{\lambda} \int \left( \sum_j \|f_j(x)\|_A^\rho \right)^{1/\rho} w(x) dx$$

holds for all  $(f_j) \in L^1_A(w) \cap L^1_A(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Proof.** This is Theorem 1.6 of Part II in Rubio de Francia, J. L. et al [5]. □

Our next result is the following:

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $2 \leq s < \infty$ . Then, for all  $1 < \rho < \infty$ , and for all  $(f_j) \in L^p(w) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , the weighted inequalities*

$$\left\| \left( \sum_j (\mathcal{V}_s f_j)^\rho \right)^{1/\rho} \right\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C_{p,\rho}(w) \left\| \left( \sum_j |f_j|^\rho \right)^{1/\rho} \right\|_{L^p(w)}$$

hold if  $w \in A_{p/r'}$  and  $r' \leq p < \infty$ , or if  $w \in A_p^{r'}$  and  $1 < p \leq r'$ . Likewise, if  $w(x)^{r'} \in A_1$ , then the weak type inequality

$$w \left\{ \left\{ x : \left( \sum_j (\mathcal{V}_s f_j(x))^\rho \right)^{1/\rho} > \lambda \right\} \right\} \leq C_\rho(w) \frac{1}{\lambda} \int \left( \sum_j |f_j(x)|^\rho \right)^{1/\rho} w(x) dx$$

holds for all  $(f_j) \in L^1(w) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof.** We have proved for  $2 \leq s < \infty$  that  $Tf = \{(\phi_k - \phi_{k-1}) * f\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  is an  $\ell^s$ -valued singular integral operator of convolution type and its kernel operator  $K(x) = \{\phi_k(x) - \phi_{k-1}(x)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  satisfies  $D_r$  condition for  $1 \leq r < \infty$ . Thus, the result follows from Lemma 11 and the fact that  $\|K * f(x)\|_{\ell^s(\mathbb{Z}^+)} = \mathcal{V}_s f(x)$ .  $\square$

In particular we have the following corollary:

**Corollary 13.** *Let  $2 \leq s < \infty$ . Then the weighted inequalities*

$$\|\mathcal{V}_s f\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C_{p,\rho}(w) \|f\|_{L^p(w)}$$

hold for all  $(f_j) \in L_A^p(w) \cap L_A^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if  $w \in A_{p/r'}$  and  $r' \leq p < \infty$ , or if  $w \in A_p^{r'}$  and  $1 < p \leq r'$ . Likewise, if  $w(x)^{r'} \in A_1$ , then the weak type inequality

$$w(\{x : \mathcal{V}_s f(x) > \lambda\}) \leq C_\rho(w) \frac{1}{\lambda} \int |f(x)| w(x) dx$$

holds for all  $(f_j) \in L^1(w) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

## References

- [1] DEMIR, SAKIN. Inequalities for the variaton operator. *Bull. of Hellenic Math Soc.* **64** (2020), 92–97. MR4140164, Zbl 1451.26019. arXiv:2001.09316. 1100
- [2] GARCIA-CUERVA, JOSÉ; RUBIO DE FRANCIA, JOSÉ L. Weighted norm inequalities and related topics. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 116. Notas de Matemática, 104. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1985. x+604 pp. ISBN: 0-444-87804-1. MR0807149, Zbl 0578.46046.
- [3] JONES, ROGER L.; KAUFMAN, ROBERT; ROSENBLATT, JOSEPH M.; WIERDL, MÁTÉ. Oscillation in ergodic theory. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **18** (1998), no. 4, 889–935. MR1645330, Zbl 0924.28009, doi: 10.1017/S0143385798108349. 1108
- [4] JONES, ROGER L.; SEEGER, ANDREAS; WRIGHT, JAMES. Strong variational and jump inequalities in harmonic analysis. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **360** (2008), no. 12, 6711–6742. MR2434308, Zbl 1159.42013, doi: 10.1090/S0002-9947-08-04538-8. 1108
- [5] RUBIO DE FRANCIA, JOSÉ L.; RUIZ, FRANCISCO J.; TORREA, JOSÉ L. Calderón–Zygmund theory for operator-valued kernels. *Adv. in Math.* **62** (1986), no. 1, 7–48. MR0859252, Zbl 0627.42008, doi: 10.1016/0001-8708(86)90086-1. 1108, 1109

- [6] MUCKENHOPT, BENJAMIN. Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **165** (1972), 207–226. MR0293384, Zbl 0236.26016, doi: 10.1090/S0002-9947-1972-0293384-6.

(Sakin Demir) DEPARTMENT OF BASIC EDUCATION, FACULTY OF EDUCATION, AGRI IBRAHIM CECEN UNIVERSITY, 04100, AGRI, TURKEY  
sakin.demir@gmail.com

This paper is available via <http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2022/28-46.html>.