

Conformal restriction and Brownian motion

Hao Wu

NCCR/SwissMAP, Section de Mathématiques, Université de Genève, Switzerland
e-mail: hao.wu.proba@gmail.com

Abstract: This survey paper is based on the lecture notes for the mini course in the summer school at Yau Mathematics Science Center, Tsinghua University, 2014.

We describe and characterize all random subsets K of simply connected domain which satisfy the “conformal restriction” property. There are two different types of random sets: the chordal case and the radial case. In the chordal case, the random set K in the upper half-plane \mathbb{H} connects two fixed boundary points, say 0 and ∞ , and given that K stays in a simply connected open subset H of \mathbb{H} , the conditional law of $\Phi(K)$ is identical to that of K , where Φ is any conformal map from H onto \mathbb{H} fixing 0 and ∞ . In the radial case, the random set K in the upper half-plane \mathbb{H} connects one fixed boundary points, say 0, and one fixed interior point, say i , and given that K stays in a simply connected open subset H of \mathbb{H} , the conditional law of $\Phi(K)$ is identical to that of K , where Φ is the conformal map from H onto \mathbb{H} fixing 0 and i .

It turns out that the random set with conformal restriction property are closely related to the intersection exponents of Brownian motion. The construction of these random sets relies on Schramm Loewner Evolution with parameter $\kappa = 8/3$ and Poisson point processes of Brownian excursions and Brownian loops.

MSC 2010 subject classifications: Primary 60K35, 60K35; secondary 60J69.

Keywords and phrases: Conformal invariance, restriction property, Brownian excursion, Brownian loop, Schramm Loewner evolution.

Received April 2015.

Contents

Foreword	56
Outline	57
Notations	57
1 Brownian intersection exponents and conformal restriction property	57
1.1 Intersection exponents of Brownian motion	57
1.2 From Brownian motion to Brownian excursion	60
1.3 Chordal conformal restriction property	61
1.4 Radial conformal restriction property	62
2 Brownian motion, excursion and loop	63
2.1 Brownian motion	63
2.2 Brownian excursion	67

2.3	Brownian loop	70
3	Chordal SLE	72
3.1	Introduction	72
3.2	Loewner chain	74
3.3	Chordal SLE	75
4	Chordal conformal restriction	78
4.1	Setup for chordal restriction sample	78
4.2	Chordal $SLE_{\kappa}(\rho)$ process	80
4.3	Construction of $\mathbb{P}(\beta)$ for $\beta > 5/8$	84
4.4	Half-plane intersection exponents $\tilde{\xi}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)$	85
5	Radial SLE	88
5.1	Radial Loewner chain	88
5.2	Radial SLE	89
5.3	Radial $SLE_{\kappa}(\rho)$ process	91
5.4	Relation between radial SLE and chordal SLE	93
6	Radial conformal restriction	94
6.1	Setup for radial restriction sample	94
6.2	Proof of Theorem 6.2. Characterization.	95
6.3	Several basic observations of radial restriction property	97
6.4	Construction of radial restriction measure $\mathbb{Q}(\xi(\beta), \beta)$ for $\beta > 5/8$	98
6.5	Whole-plane intersection exponents $\xi(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)$	99
	References	101

Foreword

The goal of these lectures is to review some of the results related to conformal restriction: the chordal case and the radial case. The audience of the summer school of Yau Mathematical Science Center, Tsinghua University, consists of senior undergraduates and graduates. Therefore, I assume knowledge in stochastic calculus (Brownian motion, Itô formula etc.) and basic knowledge in complex analysis (Riemann's Mapping Theorem etc.).

These lecture notes are not a compilation of research papers, thus some details in the proofs are omitted. Also partly because of the limited number of lectures, I chose to focus on the main ideas of the proofs. Whereas, I cite the related papers for interested readers.

Of course, I would like to thank my advisor Wendelin Werner with whom I learned the topic on conformal restriction, SLE and solved conformal restriction problem for the radial case. I want to express my gratitude to all participants of the course, as well as to an anonymous reviewer who have sent me their comments and remarks on the previous draft of these notes.

It has been a great pleasure and a rewarding experience to go back to Tsinghua University and to give a lecture here where I spent four years of undergraduate. I owe my thanks to Prof. Yau and Prof. Poon for giving me the chance.

Outline

In Section 1, I will briefly describe Brownian intersection exponents and conformal restriction property. The results are collected from [LW99, LW00a, LSW01a, LSW01b, LSW02c]. In fact, Brownian intersection exponents have close relation with Quantum Field Theory and the interested readers could consult [LW99, DK88] and references there for more background and motivation. Section 2 is a review on Brownian path: Brownian motion, Brownian excursion and Brownian loop. The results are collected from [LW04, Wer05, Wer08, Wer08, SW12, LW04]. Section 3 is an introduction on chordal SLE. Since I only need $\text{SLE}_{8/3}$ in the following of the lecture, I focus on simple SLE paths, i.e. $\kappa \in [0, 4]$. For a more complete introduction on SLE, I recommend the readers to read the lecture note by Wendelin Werner [Wer04] or the book by Gregory Lawler [Law05]. Section 4 is about the chordal conformal restriction property. The results are collected from [LSW03]. Section 5 is an introduction on radial SLE and again, for a more complete introduction on radial SLE, please read [Wer04, Law05]. Section 6 is about the radial restriction property. The results are contained in [Wu15].

Notations

$$\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Im(z) > 0\}.$$

$$\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}, \quad \mathbb{U}(w, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - w| < r\}.$$

Denote

$$f(\epsilon) \approx g(\epsilon) \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{if } \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log f(\epsilon)}{\log g(\epsilon)} = 1.$$

1. Brownian intersection exponents and conformal restriction property

1.1. Intersection exponents of Brownian motion

Probabilists and physicists are interested in the property of intersection exponents for two-dimensional Brownian motion (BM for short). Suppose that we have $n + p$ independent planar BMs: B^1, \dots, B^n and W^1, \dots, W^p . B^1, \dots, B^n start from the common point $(1, 1)$ and W^1, \dots, W^p start from the common point $(2, 1)$. We want to derive the probability that the paths of

$$B^j, j = 1, \dots, n \text{ up to time } t$$

and the paths of

$$W^l, l = 1, \dots, p \text{ up to time } t$$

do not intersect. Precisely,

$$f_{n,p}(t) := \mathbb{P} \left[\bigcup_{j=1}^n B^j[0, t] \cap \bigcup_{l=1}^p W^l[0, t] = \emptyset \right].$$

We can see that this probability decays as $t \rightarrow \infty$ roughly like a power of t ,¹ and the (n, p) -**whole plane intersection exponent** $\xi(n, p)$ is defined by²

$$f_{n,p}(t) \approx \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^{\xi(n,p)}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

We say that $\xi(n, p)$ is the whole plane intersection exponent between one packet of n BMs and one packet of p BMs.

Similarly, we can define more general intersection exponents between $k \geq 2$ packets of BMs containing p_1, \dots, p_k paths respectively:

$$B_l^j, \quad l = 1, \dots, p_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Each path in j th packet starts from $(j, 1)$ and has to avoid all paths of all other packets. The (p_1, \dots, p_k) -whole-plane intersection exponent $\xi(p_1, \dots, p_k)$ is defined through, as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\bigcup_{u=1}^{p_{j_1}} B_u^{j_1}[0, t] \cap \bigcup_{v=1}^{p_{j_2}} B_v^{j_2}[0, t] = \emptyset, 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq k \right] \approx \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^{\xi(p_1, \dots, p_k)}.$$

Another important quantity is **half-plane intersection exponents** of BMs. They are defined exactly as the whole-plane intersection exponents above except that one adds one more restriction that all BMs (up to time t) remain in the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H} := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y > 0\}$. We denote these exponents by $\tilde{\xi}(p_1, \dots, p_k)$. For instance, $\xi(1, 1)$ is defined by, as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathbb{P} \left[B[0, t] \cap W[0, t] = \emptyset, B[0, t] \subset \mathbb{H}, W[0, t] \subset \mathbb{H} \right] \approx \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^{\tilde{\xi}(1,1)}.$$

These exponents also correspond to the intersection exponents of planar simple random walk [Man83, BL90, LP97, LP00]. Several of these exponents correspond to Hausdorff dimensions of exceptional subsets of the planar Brownian motion or simple random walk [Law96b, Law96a]. Physicists have made some striking conjectures about these exponents [DK88, DLLGL93] and they are proved by mathematicians later [LW99, LSW02a, LW00a, LSW01a, LSW01b, LSW02c]. We list some of the results here.

1. There is a precise natural meaning of the exponents $\xi(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ and $\tilde{\xi}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ for positive real numbers $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$. (See Sections 4 and 6).

¹Why? Hint: $f_{n,p}(ts) \approx f_{n,p}(t)f_{n,p}(s)$.

²Why \sqrt{t} : for BM B , the diameter of $B[0, t]$ scales like \sqrt{t} .

2. These exponents satisfy certain functional relations

(a) Cascade relations:

$$\tilde{\xi}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}, \tilde{\xi}(\lambda_j, \dots, \lambda_k)) = \tilde{\xi}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$$

$$\xi(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{j-1}, \tilde{\xi}(\mu_j, \dots, \mu_k)) = \xi(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$$

(b) Commutation relations:

$$\tilde{\xi}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \tilde{\xi}(\lambda_2, \lambda_1), \quad \xi(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \xi(\mu_2, \mu_1)$$

3. One can define a positive, strictly increasing continuous function U on $[0, \infty)$ by

$$U^2(\lambda) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\tilde{\xi}(\lambda, \dots, \lambda)}_N / N^2.$$

Then we have

$$U(\tilde{\xi}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)) = U(\lambda_1) + \dots + U(\lambda_k).$$

This shows in particular that $\tilde{\xi}$ is encoded in U .

4. The whole-plane intersection exponent ξ can be represented as a function of the half-plane intersection exponent $\tilde{\xi}$:

$$\xi(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) = \eta(\tilde{\xi}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)).$$

The function η is called a generalized disconnection exponent and it is a continuous increasing function.

5. Physicists predict that

$$\tilde{\xi}(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_N) = \frac{1}{3}N(2N+1), \quad \xi(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_N) = \frac{1}{12}(4N^2-1).$$

Combining all these results, we could predict that:

$$U(1) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad U(\lambda) = \frac{\sqrt{24\lambda+1}-1}{\sqrt{24}}$$

$$\tilde{\xi}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) = \frac{1}{24} \left((\sqrt{24\lambda_1+1} + \dots + \sqrt{24\lambda_k+1} - (k-1))^2 - 1 \right) \quad (1.1)$$

$$\eta(x) = \frac{1}{48} ((24x+1)^2 - 4)$$

$$\xi(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) = \frac{1}{48} \left((\sqrt{24\mu_1+1} + \dots + \sqrt{24\mu_k+1} - k)^2 - 4 \right) \quad (1.2)$$

1.2. From Brownian motion to Brownian excursion

Consider the simplest exponent $\tilde{\xi}(1) = 1$. Suppose B is a planar BM started from i , then we have

$$\mathbb{P}[B[0, t] \subset \mathbb{H}] \approx \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^{\tilde{\xi}(1)}.$$

Suppose W is a planar BM started from ϵi , then

$$\mathbb{P}[W[0, t] \subset \mathbb{H}] \approx \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^{\tilde{\xi}(1)},$$

since $(W(\epsilon^2 t)/\epsilon, t \geq 0)$ has the same law as B . Consider the law of W conditioned on the event $W[0, t] \subset \mathbb{H}$, we can see that the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty, \epsilon \rightarrow 0$ exists. We call the limit as Brownian excursion and denote its law as $\mu_{\mathbb{H}}^{\sharp}(0, \infty)$. There is another equivalent way to define $\mu_{\mathbb{H}}^{\sharp}(0, \infty)$: Suppose W is a planar BM started from ϵi , consider the law of W conditioned on the event $[W \text{ hits } \mathbb{R} + iR \text{ before } \mathbb{R}]$. Let $R \rightarrow \infty, \epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the limit is the same as $\mu_{\mathbb{H}}^{\sharp}(0, \infty)$. (We will discuss Brownian excursion in more detail in Section 2).

Suppose Z is a Brownian excursion, A is a bounded closed subset of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ such that $\mathbb{H} \setminus A$ is simply connected and $0 \notin A$. Riemann's Mapping Theorem says that, if we fix three boundary points, there exists a unique conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus A$ onto \mathbb{H} fixing the three points. In our case, since A is bounded closed and $0 \notin A$, any conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus A$ onto \mathbb{H} can be extended continuously around the origin and ∞ . Let Φ_A be the unique conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus A$ onto \mathbb{H} such that

$$\Phi_A(0) = 0, \quad \Phi_A(\infty) = \infty, \quad \Phi_A(z)/z \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } z \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consider the law of $\Phi_A(Z)$ conditioned on $[Z \cap A = \emptyset]$. We have that, for any bounded function F ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[F(\Phi_A(Z)) \mid Z \cap A = \emptyset] \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty, \epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[F(\Phi_A(W)) \mid W \cap A = \emptyset, W \text{ hits } \mathbb{R} + iR \text{ before } \mathbb{R}] \\ & \hspace{15em} (\text{W: BM started from } \epsilon i) \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty, \epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[F(\Phi_A(W)) 1_{\{W \cap A = \emptyset, W \text{ hits } \mathbb{R} + iR \text{ before } \mathbb{R}\}}]}{\mathbb{P}[W \cap A = \emptyset, W \text{ hits } \mathbb{R} + iR \text{ before } \mathbb{R}]} \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty, \epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[F(\Phi_A(W)) 1_{\{W \text{ hits } \mathbb{R} + iR \text{ before } \mathbb{R}\}} \mid W \cap A = \emptyset]}{\mathbb{P}[W \text{ hits } \mathbb{R} + iR \text{ before } \mathbb{R} \mid W \cap A = \emptyset]}. \end{aligned}$$

Conditioned on $[W \cap A = \emptyset]$, the process $\tilde{W} = \Phi_A(W)$ has the same law as a BM started from $\Phi_A(\epsilon i)$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[F(\Phi_A(Z)) \mid Z \cap A = \emptyset] \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty, \epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[F(\tilde{W}) 1_{\{\tilde{W} \text{ hits } \Phi_A(\mathbb{R} + iR) \text{ before } \mathbb{R}\}}]}{\mathbb{P}[\tilde{W} \text{ hits } \Phi_A(\mathbb{R} + iR) \text{ before } \mathbb{R}]} \\ &= \mathbb{E}[F(Z)]. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, the Brownian excursion Z satisfies the following conformal restriction property: the law of $\Phi_A(Z)$ conditioned on $[Z \cap A = \emptyset]$ is the same as Z itself. Conformal restriction property is closely related to the half-plane/whole-plane intersection exponents.

1.3. Chordal conformal restriction property

Definition 1.1. Let \mathcal{A}_c be the collection of all bounded closed subset $A \subset \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ such that

$$0 \notin A, \quad A = \overline{A \cap \mathbb{H}}, \quad \text{and } \mathbb{H} \setminus A \text{ is simply connected.}$$

Denote by Φ_A the conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus A$ onto \mathbb{H} such that

$$\Phi_A(0) = 0, \quad \Phi_A(\infty) = \infty, \quad \Phi_A(z)/z \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } z \rightarrow \infty.$$

We are interested in closed random subset K of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ such that

- (1) $K \cap \mathbb{R} = \{0\}$, K is unbounded, K is connected and $\mathbb{H} \setminus K$ has two connected components
- (2) $\forall \lambda > 0$, λK has the same law as K
- (3) For any $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$, we have that the law of $\Phi_A(K)$ conditioned on $[K \cap A = \emptyset]$ is the same as K .

The combination of the above properties is called **chordal conformal restriction property**, and the law of such a random set is called **chordal restriction measure**. From Section 1.2, we see that the Brownian excursion satisfies the chordal conformal restriction property only except Condition (1). Consider a Brownian excursion path Z , it divides \mathbb{H} into many connected components and there is one, denoted by C_+ , that has \mathbb{R}_+ on the boundary and there is one, denoted by C_- , that has \mathbb{R}_- on the boundary. We define the “fill-in” of e to be the closure of the subset $\mathbb{H} \setminus (C_- \cup C_+)$. Then the “fill-in” of Z satisfies Condition (1), and the “fill-in” of the Brownian excursion satisfies chordal conformal restriction property. Moreover, for $n \geq 1$, the the union of the “fill-in” of n independent Brownian excursions also satisfies the chordal conformal restriction property. Then one has a natural question: except Brownian excursions, do there exist other chordal restriction measure, and what are all of them?

It turns out that there exists only a one-parameter family $\mathbb{P}(\beta)$ of such probability measures for $\beta \geq 5/8$ [LSW03] and there are several papers related to this problem [LW00b, Wer05]. More detail in Sections 3 and 4. The complete answer to this question relies on the introduction of SLE process [Sch00]. In particular, an important ingredient is that $\text{SLE}_{8/3}$ satisfies chordal conformal restriction property. It is worthwhile to spend a few words on the specialty for $\text{SLE}_{8/3}$. In [LW00a], the authors predicted a strong relation between Brownian motion, self-avoiding walks, and critical percolation. The boundary of the critical percolation interface satisfies conformal restriction property and the computations of its exponents yielded the Brownian intersection exponents. It is proved that the

scaling limits of critical percolation interface is SLE_6 for triangle lattice [Smi01] and the boundary of SLE_6 is locally $\text{SLE}_{8/3}$. Self-avoiding walk also exhibits conformal restriction property. It is conjectured [LSW02b] that the scaling limit of self-avoiding walk is $\text{SLE}_{8/3}$. All these observations indicate that $\text{SLE}_{8/3}$ is a key object in describing conformal restriction property.

As expected, for $n \geq 1$, the union of the “fill-in” of n independent Brownian excursions corresponds to $\mathbb{P}(n)$ and, for $\beta \geq 5/8$, the measure $\mathbb{P}(\beta)$ can be viewed as the law of a packet of β independent Brownian excursions. The chordal restriction measures are closely related to half-plane intersection exponent (will be proved in Section 4.4): Suppose K_1, \dots, K_p are p independent chordal restriction samples of parameters β_1, \dots, β_p respectively. The “fill-in” of the union of these sets

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^p K_j$$

conditioned on the event (viewed as a limit)

$$[K_{j_1} \cap K_{j_2} = \emptyset, 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq p]$$

has the same law as a chordal restriction sample of parameter $\tilde{\xi}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)$.

1.4. Radial conformal restriction property

Definition 1.2. Let \mathcal{A}_r be the collection of all compact subset $A \subset \overline{\mathbb{U}}$ such that

$$0 \notin A, 1 \notin A, \quad A = \overline{A \cap \mathbb{U}}, \quad \text{and } \mathbb{U} \setminus A \text{ is simply connected.}$$

Denote by Φ_A the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus A$ onto \mathbb{U} such that³

$$\Phi_A(0) = 0, \quad \Phi_A(1) = 1.$$

We are interested in closed random subset K of $\overline{\mathbb{U}}$ such that

- (1) $K \cap \partial\mathbb{U} = \{1\}$, $0 \in K$, K is connected and $\mathbb{U} \setminus K$ is connected
- (2) For any $A \in \mathcal{A}_r$, the law of $\Phi_A(K)$ conditioned on $[K \cap A = \emptyset]$ is the same as K .

The combination of the above properties is called **radial conformal restriction property**, and the law of such a random set is called **radial restriction measure**. It turns out there exists only a two-parameter family $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$ of such probability measures (more detail in Sections 5 and 6) for

$$\beta \geq 5/8, \quad \alpha \leq \xi(\beta).$$

The radial restriction measures are closely related to whole-plane intersection exponent (will be proved in Section 6.5): Suppose K_1, \dots, K_p are p independent

³Riemann’s Mapping Theorem asserts that, if we have one interior point and one boundary point, there exists a unique conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus A$ onto \mathbb{U} that fixes the interior point and the boundary point.

radial restriction samples of parameters $(\xi(\beta_1), \beta_1), \dots, (\xi(\beta_p), \beta_p)$ respectively. The “fill-in” of the union of these sets

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^p K_j$$

conditioned on the event (viewed as a limit)

$$[K_{j_1} \cap K_{j_2} = \emptyset, 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq p]$$

has the same law as a radial restriction sample of parameter $(\xi(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p), \tilde{\xi}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p))$.

2. Brownian motion, excursion and loop

2.1. Brownian motion

Suppose that W^1, W^2 are two independent 1-dimensional BMs, then $B := W^1 + iW^2$ is a complex BM.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose B is a complex BM and u is a harmonic function, then $u(B)$ is a local martingale.*

Proof. By Itô's Formula,

$$\begin{aligned} du(B_t) &= \partial_x u(B_t) dW_t^1 + \partial_y u(B_t) dW_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{xx} u(B_t) + \partial_{yy} u(B_t)) dt \\ &= \partial_x u(B_t) dW_t^1 + \partial_y u(B_t) dW_t^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose D is a domain and $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a conformal map. Let B be a complex BM starting from $z \in D$, stopped at*

$$\tau_D := \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t \notin D\}.$$

Then the time-changed process $f(B)$ has the same law as a complex BM starting from $f(z)$ stopped at $\tau_{f(D)}$. Namely, define

$$S(t) = \int_0^t |f'(B_u)|^2 du, \quad 0 \leq t < \tau_D,$$

$$\sigma(s) = S^{-1}(s), \quad \text{i.e.} \int_0^{\sigma(s)} |f'(B_u)|^2 du = s.$$

Then $(Y_s = f(B_{\sigma(s)}), 0 \leq s \leq S_{\tau_D})$ has the same law as BM starting from $f(z)$ stopped at $\tau_{f(D)}$.

Proof. Write $f = u + iv$ where u, v are harmonic and

$$\partial_x u = \partial_y v, \quad \partial_y u = -\partial_x v.$$

We have

$$du(B_t) = \partial_x u(B_t)dW_t^1 + \partial_y u(B_t)dW_t^2, \quad dv(B_t) = \partial_x v(B_t)dW_t^1 + \partial_y v(B_t)dW_t^2.$$

Thus the two coordinates of $f(B)$ are local martingales and the quadratic variation is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u(B) \rangle_t &= \langle v(B) \rangle_t = \int_0^t (\partial_x u^2(B_s) + \partial_y u^2(B_s)) ds = \int_0^t |f'(B_s)|^2 ds, \\ \langle u(B), v(B) \rangle_t &= (\partial_x u(B_t)\partial_x v(B_t) + \partial_y u(B_t)\partial_y v(B_t)) dt = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the two coordinates of Y are independent local martingales with quadratic variation t which implies that Y is a complex BM. \square

We introduce some notations about measures on continuous curves. Let \mathcal{K} be the set of all parameterized continuous planar curves γ defined on a time interval $[0, t_\gamma]$. \mathcal{K} can be viewed as a metric space

$$d_{\mathcal{K}}(\gamma, \eta) = \inf_{\theta} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t_\gamma} |s - \theta(s)| + |\gamma(s) - \eta(\theta(s))|$$

where the inf is taken over all increasing homeomorphisms $\theta : [0, t_\gamma] \rightarrow [0, t_\eta]$. Note that \mathcal{K} under this metric does not identify curves that are the same modulo time-reparametrization.

If μ is any measure on \mathcal{K} , let $|\mu| = \mu(\mathcal{K})$ denote the total mass. If $0 < |\mu| < \infty$, let $\mu^\sharp = \mu/|\mu|$ be μ normalized to be a probability measure. Let M denote the set of finite Borel measures on \mathcal{K} . This is a metric space under Prohorov metric [Bil99, Section 6]. To show that a sequence of finite measures μ_n converges to a finite measure μ , it suffices to show that

$$|\mu_n| \rightarrow |\mu|, \quad \mu_n^\sharp \rightarrow \mu^\sharp.$$

If D is a domain, we say that γ is in D if $\gamma(0, t_\gamma) \subset D$, and let $\mathcal{K}(D)$ be the set of $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}$ that are in D . Note that, we do not require the endpoints of γ to be in D . Suppose $f : D \rightarrow D'$ is a conformal map and $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}(D)$. Let

$$S(t) = \int_0^t |f'(\gamma(s))|^2 ds. \tag{2.1}$$

If $S(t) < \infty$ for all $t < t_\gamma$, define $f \circ \gamma$ by

$$(f \circ \gamma)(S(t)) = f(\gamma(t)).$$

If μ is a measure supported on the set of γ in $\mathcal{K}(D)$ such that $f \circ \gamma$ is well-defined and in $\mathcal{K}(D')$, then $f \circ \mu$ denotes the measure

$$f \circ \mu(V) = \mu[\gamma : f \circ \gamma \in V].$$

From interior point to interior point

Let $\mu(z, \cdot; t)$ denote the law of complex BM $(B_s, 0 \leq s \leq t)$ starting from z . We can write

$$\mu(z, \cdot; t) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \mu(z, w; t) dA(w)$$

where $dA(w)$ denotes the area measure and $\mu(z, w; t)$ is a measure on continuous curve from z to w . The total mass of $\mu(z, w; t)$ is

$$|\mu(z, w; t)| = \frac{1}{2\pi t} \exp\left(-\frac{|z-w|^2}{2t}\right). \quad (2.2)$$

The normalized measure $\mu^\sharp(z, w; t) = \mu(z, w; t)/|\mu(z, w; t)|$ is a probability measure, and it is called a **Brownian bridge** from z to w in time t . The total mass $|\mu(z, w; t)|$ is also called heat kernel and Equation (2.2) can be obtained through

$$|\mu(z, D; t)| = \mathbb{P}^z[B_t \in D] = \int_D \frac{1}{2\pi t} \exp\left(-\frac{|z-w|^2}{2t}\right) dA(w).$$

The measure $\mu(z, w)$ is defined by

$$\mu(z, w) = \int_0^\infty \mu(z, w; t) dt.$$

This is a σ -finite infinite measure. If D is a domain and $z, w \in D$, define $\mu_D(z, w)$ to be $\mu(z, w)$ restricted to curves stayed in D . If $z \neq w$, and D is a domain such a BM in D eventually exits D , then $|\mu_D(z, w)| < \infty$. Define **Green's function**

$$G_D(z, w) = \pi |\mu_D(z, w)|.$$

In particular, $G_{\mathbb{U}}(0, z) = -\log |z|$.

Proposition 2.3 (Conformal Invariance). *Suppose $f : D \rightarrow D'$ is a conformal map, z, w are two interior points in D . Then*

$$f \circ \mu_D(z, w) = \mu_{f(D)}(f(z), f(w)).$$

In particular,

$$G_{f(D)}(f(z), f(w)) = G_D(z, w), \quad (f \circ \mu_D)^\sharp(z, w) = \mu_{f(D)}^\sharp(f(z), f(w)).$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.2. □

From interior point to boundary point

Suppose D is a connected domain. Let B be a BM starting from $z \in D$ and stopped at

$$\tau_D = \inf\{t : B_t \notin D\}.$$

Define $\mu_D(z, \partial D)$ to be the law of $(B_s, 0 \leq s \leq \tau_D)$. If D has nice boundary (i.e. ∂D is piecewise analytic), we can write

$$\mu_D(z, \partial D) = \int_{\partial D} \mu_D(z, w) dw$$

where dw is the length measure and $\mu_D(z, w)$ is a measure on continuous curves from z to w . Define **Poisson's kernel**

$$H_D(z, w) = |\mu_D(z, w)|.$$

In particular, $H_{\mathbb{U}}(0, w) = 1/(2\pi)$. The measure $|\mu_D(z, w)|dw$ on ∂D is called the harmonic measure seen from z , and the Poisson's kernel is the density of this harmonic measure.

The normalized measure $\mu_D^\sharp(z, w) = \mu_D(z, w)/|\mu_D(z, w)|$ can also be viewed as the law of BM conditioned to exit D at w when w is a nice boundary point (i.e. ∂D is analytic in a neighborhood of w):

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}^z[\cdot | B_{\tau_D} \in \mathbb{U}(w, \epsilon)] \\ &= \frac{\mu_D(z, \mathbb{U}(w, \epsilon))[\cdot]}{|\mu_D(z, \mathbb{U}(w, \epsilon))|} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{U}(w, \epsilon)} \mu_D(z, u)[\cdot] du}{\int_{\mathbb{U}(w, \epsilon)} |\mu_D(z, u)| du} \rightarrow \mu_D^\sharp(z, w), \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.4 (Conformal Covariance). *Suppose D is a connected domain with nice boundary, $z \in D, w \in \partial D$ is a nice boundary point. Let $f : D \rightarrow D'$ be a conformal map. Then*

$$f \circ \mu_D(z, w) = |f'(w)|\mu_{f(D)}(f(z), f(w)).$$

In particular,

$$|f'(w)|H_{f(D)}(f(z), f(w)) = H_D(z, w), \quad (f \circ \mu_D)^\sharp(z, w) = \mu_{f(D)}^\sharp(f(z), f(w)).$$

Relation between the two

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose D is a connected domain with nice boundary, $z \in D$, and $w \in \partial D$ is a nice boundary point. Let \mathbf{n}_w denote the inward normal at w , then*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \mu_D(z, w + \epsilon \mathbf{n}_w) = \mu_D(z, w).$$

In particular,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\epsilon} G_D(z, w + \epsilon \mathbf{n}_w) \rightarrow H_D(z, w), \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0;$$

$$\mu_D^\sharp(z, w_n) \rightarrow \mu_D^\sharp(z, w) \quad \text{as } w_n \in D \rightarrow w.$$

Proof. Note that $G_{\mathbb{U}}(0, z) = -\log |z|$ and $H_{\mathbb{U}}(0, w) = 1/2\pi$, thus

$$G_{\mathbb{U}}(0, (1 - \epsilon)w) = -\log(1 - \epsilon) \approx \epsilon = 2\pi\epsilon H_{\mathbb{U}}(0, w).$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \mu_{\mathbb{U}}(0, (1 - \epsilon)w) = \mu_{\mathbb{U}}(0, w).$$

The conclusion for general domain D can be obtained via conformal invariance/covariance. \square

2.2. Brownian excursion

Suppose D is a connected domain with nice boundary and z, w are two distinct nice boundary points. Define the measure on Brownian path from z to w in D :

$$\mu_D(z, w) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mu_D(z + \epsilon \mathbf{n}_z, w) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} \mu_D(z + \epsilon \mathbf{n}_z, w + \epsilon \mathbf{n}_w).$$

Denote

$$H_D(z, w) = |\mu_D(z, w)|.$$

The normalized measure $\mu_D^\sharp(z, w)$ is called Brownian excursion measure in D with two end points $z, w \in \partial D$. Note that

$$H_D(z, w) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} H_D(z + \epsilon \mathbf{n}_z, w), \quad H_{\mathbb{H}}(0, x) = \frac{1}{\pi x^2}.$$

Proposition 2.6 (Conformal Covariance). *Suppose that $f : D \rightarrow D'$ is a conformal map, and $z, w \in \partial D$, $f(z), f(w) \in \partial f(D)$ are nice boundary points. Then*

$$f \circ \mu_D(z, w) = |f'(z)f'(w)| \mu_{f(D)}(f(z), f(w)).$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(z)f'(w)| H_{f(D)}(f(z), f(w)) &= H_D(z, w), \\ (f \circ \mu_D)^\sharp(z, w) &= \mu_{f(D)}^\sharp(f(z), f(w)). \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition is an equivalent expression of the conformal restriction property of Brownian excursion we discussed in Subsection 1.2.

Proposition 2.7. *Suppose $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$ and Φ_A is the conformal map defined in Definition 1.1. Let e be a Brownian excursion whose law is $\mu_{\mathbb{H}}^\sharp(0, \infty)$. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}[e \cap A = \emptyset] = \Phi'_A(0).$$

Proof. Although $\mu_{\mathbb{H}}(0, \infty)$ has zero total mass, the normalized measure can still be defined through the limit procedure:

$$\mu_{\mathbb{H}}^\sharp(0, \infty) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\mathbb{H}}^\sharp(0, x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\mathbb{H}}(0, x) / |\mu_{\mathbb{H}}(0, x)|.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}[e \cap A = \emptyset] &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\mathbb{H}}(0, x)[e \cap A = \emptyset] / |\mu_{\mathbb{H}}(0, x)| \\
&= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |\mu_{\mathbb{H} \setminus A}(0, x)| / |\mu_{\mathbb{H}}(0, x)| \\
&= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} H_{\mathbb{H} \setminus A}(0, x) / H_{\mathbb{H}}(0, x) \\
&= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi'_A(0) \Phi'_A(x) H_{\mathbb{H}}(0, \Phi_A(x)) / H_{\mathbb{H}}(0, x) = \Phi'_A(0). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Note that, the excursion measure $\mu_{\mathbb{H}}^{\sharp}(0, \infty)$ introduced in this section does coincide with the one we introduced in Section 1.2: by Proposition 2.5 and the continuous dependence of Brownian bridge measure on the end points, we have

$$\mu_{\mathbb{H}}^{\sharp}(0, \infty) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0, R \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\mathbb{H}}^{\sharp}(\epsilon i, R + i\mathbb{R}).$$

Corollary 2.8. *Suppose e_1, \dots, e_n are n independent Brownian excursion with law $\mu_{\mathbb{H}}^{\sharp}(0, \infty)$, denote $\Sigma = \cup_{j=1}^n e_j$, then for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$,*

$$\mathbb{P}[\Sigma \cap A = \emptyset] = \Phi'_A(0)^n.$$

Corollary 2.9. *Let e be a Brownian excursion with law $\mu_{\mathbb{H}}^{\sharp}(x, y)$ where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, x \neq y$. Then, for any closed subset $A \subset \bar{\mathbb{H}}$ such that $x, y \notin A$ and $\mathbb{H} \setminus A$ is simply connected, we have that*

$$\mathbb{P}[e \cap A = \emptyset] = \Phi'(x) \Phi'(y)$$

where Φ is any conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus A$ onto \mathbb{H} that fixes x and y . Note that the quantity $\Phi'(x) \Phi'(y)$ is unique although Φ is not unique.

Definition 2.10. *Suppose D has nice boundary, then **Brownian excursion measure** is defined as*

$$\mu_{D, \partial D}^{exc} = \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} \mu_D(z, w) dz dw.$$

Generally, if I is a subset of ∂D , define

$$\mu_{D, I}^{exc} = \int_I \int_I \mu_D(z, w) dz dw.$$

Proposition 2.11 (Conformal Invariance). *Suppose D, D' have nice boundaries and $f : D \rightarrow D'$ is a conformal map. Then*

$$f \circ \mu_{D, I}^{exc} = \mu_{f(D), f(I)}^{exc}, \quad f \circ \mu_{D, \partial D}^{exc} = \mu_{f(D), \partial f(D)}^{exc}.$$

Proof.

$$f \circ \mu_{D, I}^{exc} = \int_I \int_I f \circ \mu_D(z, w) dz dw$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_I \int_I |f'(z)f'(w)| \mu_{f(D)}(f(z), f(w)) dz dw \\
 &= \int_{f(I)} \int_{f(I)} \mu_{f(D)}(z, w) dz dw = \mu_{f(D), f(I)}^{exc}. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.12. *Let $(e_j, j \in J)$ be a Poisson point process with intensity $\pi\beta\mu_{\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R}_-}^{exc}$ for some $\beta > 0$. Set $\Sigma = \cup_j e_j$. For any $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$ such that $A \cap \mathbb{R} \subset (0, \infty)$, we have that*

$$\mathbb{P}[\Sigma \cap A = \emptyset] = \Phi'_A(0)^\beta.$$

Proof. Denote by N_A the number of excursions in $(e_j, j \in J)$ that intersect A , then we see that $\{\Sigma \cap A = \emptyset\}$ is equivalent to $\{N_A = 0\}$ where N_A has the law of Poisson distribution with parameter $\pi\beta\mu_{\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R}_-}^{exc}[e \cap A \neq \emptyset]$. Thus

$$\mathbb{P}[\Sigma \cap A = \emptyset] = \exp(-\pi\beta\mu_{\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R}_-}^{exc}[e \cap A \neq \emptyset]).$$

We only need to show that

$$\mu_{\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R}_-}^{exc}[e \cap A \neq \emptyset] = -\frac{1}{\pi} \log \Phi'_A(0).$$

This will be obtained by two steps: First, there exists a constant c such that

$$\mu_{\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R}_-}^{exc}[e \cap A \neq \emptyset] = c \log \Phi'_A(0). \quad (2.3)$$

Second,

$$c = -1/\pi. \quad (2.4)$$

For the first step, we need to introduce a set $A \cdot B$: Suppose $A, B \in \mathcal{A}_c$ such that $A \cap \mathbb{R} \subset (0, \infty)$ and $B \cap \mathbb{R} \subset (0, \infty)$. Define (see Figure 2.1)

$$A \cdot B = \Phi_B^{-1}(A) \cup B.$$

Then clearly, $\Phi_{A \cdot B} = \Phi_A \circ \Phi_B$, and

$$\log \Phi'_{A \cdot B}(0) = \log \Phi'_A(0) + \log \Phi'_B(0). \quad (2.5)$$




FIG 2.1. The set $A \cdot B$ is the union of $\Phi_B^{-1}(A)$ and B .

For the Brownian excursion measure, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\mathbb{H},\mathbb{R}_-}^{exc}[e \cap A \cdot B \neq \emptyset] &= \mu_{\mathbb{H},\mathbb{R}_-}^{exc}[e \cap B \neq \emptyset] + \mu_{\mathbb{H},\mathbb{R}_-}^{exc}[e \cap B = \emptyset, e \cap A \cdot B \neq \emptyset] \\ &= \mu_{\mathbb{H},\mathbb{R}_-}^{exc}[e \cap B \neq \emptyset] + \mu_{\mathbb{H} \setminus B, \mathbb{R}_-}^{exc}[e \cap \Phi_B^{-1}(A) \neq \emptyset] \\ &= \mu_{\mathbb{H},\mathbb{R}_-}^{exc}[e \cap B \neq \emptyset] + \mu_{\mathbb{H},\mathbb{R}_-}^{exc}[e \cap A \neq \emptyset]\end{aligned}$$

In short, we have

$$\mu_{\mathbb{H},\mathbb{R}_-}^{exc}[e \cap A \cdot B \neq \emptyset] = \mu_{\mathbb{H},\mathbb{R}_-}^{exc}[e \cap B \neq \emptyset] + \mu_{\mathbb{H},\mathbb{R}_-}^{exc}[e \cap A \neq \emptyset].$$

Combining with Equation (2.5), we have Equation (2.3).⁴ Generally, if $I = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}_-$, we have

$$\mu_{\mathbb{H},I}^{exc}[e \cap A \neq \emptyset] = c \log(\Phi'_A(a)\Phi'_A(b)). \quad (2.6)$$

Next, we will find the constant. Suppose $I = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}_-$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$ such that $A \cap \mathbb{R} \subset (0, \infty)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\mathbb{H},I}^{exc}[e \cap A \neq \emptyset] &= \int_I \int_I \mu_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y)[e \cap A \neq \emptyset] dx dy \\ &= \int_I \int_I H_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y) \mu_{\mathbb{H}}^{\sharp}(x, y)[e \cap A \neq \emptyset] dx dy \\ &= \int_I \int_I H_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y) (1 - \Phi'_{x,y}(x)\Phi'_{x,y}(y)) dx dy, \quad (\text{By Corollary 2.9})\end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi_{x,y}$ is any conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus A$ onto \mathbb{H} that fixes x and y . Define the Mobius transformation

$$m(z) = \left(\frac{x-y}{\Phi_A(x) - \Phi_A(y)} \right) (z-x) + x,$$

then $\Phi_{x,y} = m \circ \Phi_A$ would do the work. Thus

$$\mu_{\mathbb{H},I}^{exc}[e \cap A \neq \emptyset] = \int_I \int_I \frac{1}{\pi|x-y|^2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{x-y}{\Phi_A(x) - \Phi_A(y)} \right)^2 \Phi'_A(x)\Phi'_A(y) \right) dx dy.$$

It is not clear to see how this double integral would give $c \log(\Phi'_A(a)\Phi'_A(b))$. However, we only need to decide the the constant c which is much easier. Suppose $I = [-\epsilon, 0]$, and set $a_1 = \Phi'_A(0)$ and $a_2 = \Phi''_A(0)/2$, we have that

$$\mu_{\mathbb{H},I}^{exc}[e \cap A \neq \emptyset] = \frac{a_2^2}{\pi a_1^2} \epsilon^2 + o(\epsilon^2), \quad \log(\Phi'_A(0)\Phi'_A(-\epsilon)) = -\frac{a_2^2}{a_1^2} \epsilon^2 + o(\epsilon^2).$$

Combining these two expansions, we obtain that the constant $c = -1/\pi$. \square

2.3. Brownian loop

Suppose $(\gamma(t), 0 \leq t \leq t_\gamma) \in \mathcal{K}$ is a loop, i.e. $\gamma(0) = \gamma(t_\gamma)$. Such a γ can be considered as a function defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$ satisfying $\gamma(s) = \gamma(s + t_\gamma)$ for any

⁴Idea: $F(t+s) = F(t) + F(s) \rightsquigarrow F(t) = ct$. For precise proof, see [Wer05, Theorem 8].

$s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} \subset \mathcal{K}$ be the collection of such loops. Define, for $r \in \mathbb{R}$, the shift operator θ_r on loops:

$$\theta_r \gamma(s) = \gamma(r + s).$$

We say that two loops γ, γ' are equivalent if for some r , we have $\gamma' = \theta_r \gamma$. Denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_u$ the set of unrooted loops, i.e. the equivalent classes. We will define Brownian loop measure on unrooted loops.

Recall that $\mu(z, \cdot; t)$ denotes the law of complex BM $(B_s, 0 \leq s \leq t)$ and

$$\mu(z, \cdot; t) = \int \mu(z, w; t) dA(w).$$

Now we are interested in loops, i.e. $\mu(z, z; t)$ where the path starts from z and returns back to z . We have that

$$|\mu(z, z; t)| = \frac{1}{2\pi t}, \quad \mu(z, z) = \int_0^\infty \mu(z, z; t) dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2\pi t} \mu^\sharp(z, z; t) dt.$$

We define **Brownian loop measure** μ^{loop} by

$$\mu^{loop} = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{1}{t_\gamma} \mu(z, z) dA(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2\pi t^2} \mu^\sharp(z, z; t) dt dA(z). \quad (2.7)$$

The term $1/t_\gamma$ corresponds to averaging over the root and μ^{loop} is defined on unrooted loops. If D is a domain, define μ_D^{loop} to be μ^{loop} restricted to the curves totally contained in D .

Proposition 2.13 (Conformal Invariance). *If $f : D \rightarrow D'$ is a conformal map, then*

$$f \circ \mu_D^{loop} = \mu_{f(D)}^{loop}.$$

Proof. We call a Borel measurable function $F : \tilde{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a unit weight if, for any $\gamma \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}$, we have

$$\int_0^{t_\gamma} F(\theta_r \gamma) = 1.$$

One example is $F(\gamma) = 1/t_\gamma$. For any unit weight F , since μ^{loop} is defined on unrooted loops, we have that

$$\mu^{loop} = \int_{\mathbb{C}} F \mu(z, z) dA(z). \quad (2.8)$$

Define a function F_f on $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ in the following way: for any $\gamma \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}$,

$$F_f(\gamma) = |f'(\gamma(0))|^2 / t_{f \circ \gamma}.$$

Recall the time change in Equation (2.1), we can see that F_f is a unit weight:

$$\int_0^{t_\gamma} F_f(\theta_r \gamma) dr = \int_0^{t_\gamma} |f'(\gamma(r))|^2 / t_{f \circ \gamma} dr = 1.$$

Thus,

$$\mu_D^{loop} = \int_D F_f \mu_D(z, z) dA(z) = \int_D \frac{1}{t_{f \circ \gamma}} |f'(z)|^2 \mu_D(z, z) dA(z).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ \mu_D^{loop} &= \int_D \frac{1}{t_{f \circ \gamma}} |f'(z)|^2 f \circ \mu_D(z, z) dA(z) \\ &= \int_D \frac{1}{t_{f \circ \gamma}} |f'(z)|^2 \mu_{f(D)}(f(z), f(z)) dA(z) \\ &= \int_{f(D)} \frac{1}{t_\eta} \mu_{f(D)}(w, w) dA(w) = \mu_{f(D)}^{loop}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.14. Denote by $\mu_{\mathbb{U},0}^{loop}$ the measure $\mu_{\mathbb{U}}^{loop}$ restricted to the loops surrounding the origin. Let $(l_j, j \in J)$ be a Poisson point process with intensity $\alpha \mu_{\mathbb{U},0}^{loop}$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Set $\Sigma = \cup_j l_j$. For any closed subset $A \subset \bar{\mathbb{U}}$ such that $0 \notin A$, $\mathbb{U} \setminus A$ is simply connected, we have that

$$\mathbb{P}[\Sigma \cap A = \emptyset] = \Phi'_A(0)^{-\alpha},$$

where Φ_A is the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus A$ onto \mathbb{U} with $\Phi_A(0) = 0$, $\Phi'_A(0) > 0$.

Proof. Since

$$\mathbb{P}[\Sigma \cap A = \emptyset] = \exp(-\alpha \mu_{\mathbb{U},0}^{loop}[l \cap A \neq \emptyset]),$$

we only need to show that

$$\mu_{\mathbb{U},0}^{loop}[l \cap A \neq \emptyset] = \log \Phi'_A(0).$$

Similar as in the proof of Theorem 2.12, this can be obtained by two steps: First, there exists a constant c such that

$$\mu_{\mathbb{U},0}^{loop}[l \cap A \neq \emptyset] = c \log \Phi'_A(0). \quad (2.9)$$

Second,

$$c = 1. \quad (2.10)$$

For the first step, it can be proved in the similar way as the proof of the first step of Theorem 2.12, and the precise proof can be found in [Wer08, Lemma 4]. But for the second step, it is more complicate. We omit this part and the interested readers can consult [Wer08, SW12, LW04]. \square

3. Chordal SLE

3.1. Introduction

Schramm Lowner Evolution (SLE for short) was introduced by Oded Schramm in 1999 [Sch00] as the candidates of the scaling limits of discrete statistical physics models. We will take percolation as an example. Suppose D is a domain and we have a discrete lattice of size ϵ inside D , say the triangular lattice $\epsilon\mathbb{T} \cap D$. The critical percolation on the discrete lattice is the following: At each vertex of the lattice, there is a random variable which is black or white with equal probability $1/2$. All these random variables are independent. We can see that




FIG 3.1. There exists a unique interface from the left-bottom corner to right-top corner separating black vertices from white vertices. (Picture by Julien Dubédat, from [Wer07])

there are interfaces separating black vertices from white vertices. To be precise, let us fix two distinct boundary points $a, b \in \partial D$. Denote by ∂_L (resp. ∂_R) the part of the boundary from a to b clockwise (resp. counterclockwise). We fix all vertices on ∂_L (resp. ∂_R) to be white (resp. black). And then sample independent black/white random variables at the vertices inside D . Then there exists a unique interface from a to b separating black vertices from white vertices (see Figure 3.1). We denote this interface by γ^ϵ , and call it the critical percolation interface in D from a to b .

It is worthwhile to point out the domain Markov property in this discrete model: Starting from a , we move along γ^ϵ and stopped at some point $\gamma^\epsilon(n)$. Given $L = (\gamma^\epsilon(1), \dots, \gamma^\epsilon(n))$, the future part of γ^ϵ has the same law as the critical percolation interface in $D \setminus L$ from $\gamma^\epsilon(n)$ to b .

People believe that the discrete interface γ^ϵ will converge to some continuous path in D from a to b as ϵ goes to zero. Assume this is true and suppose γ is the limit continuous curve in D from a to b . Then we would expect that the limit should satisfies the following two properties: Conformal Invariance and Domain Markov Property which is the continuous analog of discrete domain Markov property. SLE curves are introduced from this motivation: chordal SLE curves are random curves in simply connected domains connecting two boundary points such that they satisfy: (see Figure 3.2)

- **Conformal Invariance:** γ is an SLE curve in D from a to b , φ is a conformal map, then $\varphi(\gamma)$ has the same law as an SLE curve in $\varphi(D)$ from $\varphi(a)$ to $\varphi(b)$.
- **Domain Markov Property:** γ is an SLE curve in D from a to b , given $\gamma([0, t])$, $\gamma([t, \infty))$ has the same law as an SLE curve in $D \setminus \gamma[0, t]$ from $\gamma(t)$ to b .

The following of section is organized as follows: In Subsection 3.2, we introduce one time parameterization of continuous curves, called Loewner chain, that is suitable to describe the domain Markov property of the curves. In Subsection 3.3, we introduce the definition of chordal SLE and discuss its basic properties. Without loss of generality, we choose to work in the upper half-plane \mathbb{H} and suppose the two boundary points are 0 and ∞ .




FIG 3.2. Characterization of SLE.

3.2. Loewner chain

Half-plane capacity

We call a compact subset K of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ a hull if $H = \mathbb{H} \setminus K$ is simply connected. Riemann's mapping theorem asserts that there exists a conformal map Ψ from H onto \mathbb{H} that $\Psi(\infty) = \infty$. In fact, if Ψ is such a map, then $c\Psi + c'$ for $c > 0, c' \in \mathbb{R}$ is also a map from H onto ∞ fixing ∞ . We choose to fix the two-degree freedom in the following way. The map Ψ can be expanded near ∞ : there exist b_1, b_0, b_{-1}, \dots

$$\Psi(z) = b_1 z + b_0 + \frac{b_{-1}}{z} + \dots + \frac{b_{-n}}{z^n} + o(z^{-n}), \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty.$$

Furthermore, since Ψ preserves the real axis near ∞ , all coefficients b_j are real. Hence, for each K , there exists a unique conformal map Ψ from $H = \mathbb{H} \setminus K$ onto \mathbb{H} such that

$$\Psi(z) = z + 0 + O(1/z), \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty.$$

We call such a conformal map the conformal map from $H = \mathbb{H} \setminus K$ onto \mathbb{H} normalized at ∞ , and denote it by Ψ_K . In particular, there exists a real $a = a(K)$ such that

$$\Psi(z) = z + 2a/z + o(1/z), \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty.$$

We also denote $a(K)$ by $a(\Psi_K)$. This number $a(K)$ can be viewed as the size of K :

Lemma 3.1. *The quantity $a(K)$ is a non-negative increasing function of the set K .*

Proof. We first show that a is non-negative. Suppose that $Z = X + iY$ is a complex BM starting from $Z_0 = iy$ for some $y > 0$ large (so that $iy \in H = \mathbb{H} \setminus K$) and stopped at its first exit time τ from H . Let Ψ be the conformal map from H onto \mathbb{H} normalized at the infinity, then $\Im(\Psi(z) - z)$ is a bounded harmonic function in H . The martingale stopping theorem therefore shows that

$$\mathbb{E}[\Im(\Psi(Z_\tau)) - Y_\tau] = \Im(\Psi(iy) - iy) = -\frac{2a}{y} + o\left(\frac{1}{y}\right), \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $\Psi(Z_\tau)$ is real, we have that

$$2a = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} y\mathbb{E}[Y_\tau] \geq 0.$$

Next we show that a is increasing. Suppose K, K' are hulls and $K \subset K'$. Let $\Psi_1 = \Psi_K$, and let Ψ_2 be the conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus \Psi_K(K' \setminus K)$ onto \mathbb{H} normalized at infinity. Then $\Psi_{K'} = \Psi_2 \circ \Psi_1$, and

$$a(K') = a(K) + a(\Psi_2) \geq a(K). \quad \square$$

We call $a(K)$ the capacity of K in \mathbb{H} seen from ∞ or **half-plane capacity**. Here are several simple facts:

- When K is vertical slit $[0, iy]$, we have $\Psi_K(z) = \sqrt{z^2 + y^2}$. In particular, $a(K) = y^2/4$.
- If $\lambda > 0$, then $a(\lambda K) = \lambda^2 a(K)$

Loewner chain

Suppose that $(W_t, t \geq 0)$ is a continuous real function with $W_0 = 0$. For each $z \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$, define the function $g_t(z)$ as the solution to the ODE

$$\partial_t g_t(z) = \frac{2}{g_t(z) - W_t}, \quad g_0(z) = z.$$

This is well-defined as long as $g_t(z) - W_t$ does not hit 0. Define

$$T(z) = \sup\{t > 0 : \min_{s \in [0, t]} |g_s(z) - W_s| > 0\}.$$

This is the largest time up to which $g_t(z)$ is well-defined. Set

$$K_t = \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{H}} : T(z) \leq t\}, \quad H_t = \mathbb{H} \setminus K_t.$$

We can check that g_t is a conformal map from H_t onto \mathbb{H} normalized at ∞ . For each t , we have

$$g_t(z) = z + 2t/z + o(1/z), \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty.$$

In other words, $a(K_t) = t$. The family $(K_t, t \geq 0)$ is called the **Loewner chain** driven by $(W_t, t \geq 0)$.

3.3. Chordal SLE

Definition

Chordal SLE_κ for $\kappa \geq 0$ is the Loewner chain driven by $W_t = \sqrt{\kappa}B_t$ where B is a 1-dimensional BM with $B_0 = 0$.

Lemma 3.2. *Chordal SLE_κ is scale-invariant.*




FIG 3.3. The map g_t is the conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma[0, t]$ onto \mathbb{H} normalized at ∞ . And the tip of the curve $\gamma(t)$ is the preimage of W_t under g_t : $\gamma(t) = g_t^{-1}(W_t)$.

Proof. Since W is scale-invariant, i.e. for any $\lambda > 0$, the process $W_t^\lambda = W_{\lambda t}/\sqrt{\lambda}$ has the same law as W . Set $g_t^\lambda(z) = g_{\lambda t}(\sqrt{\lambda}z)/\sqrt{\lambda}$, we have

$$\partial_t g_t^\lambda(z) = \frac{2}{g_t^\lambda - W_t^\lambda}, \quad g_0^\lambda(z) = z.$$

Thus $(K_{\lambda t}/\sqrt{\lambda}, t \geq 0)$ has the same law as K . \square

For general simply connected domain D with two boundary points x and y , we define SLE_κ in D from x to y to be the image of chordal SLE_κ in \mathbb{H} from 0 to ∞ under any conformal map from \mathbb{H} to D sending the pair $0, \infty$ to x, y . Since SLE_κ is scale-invariant, the SLE in D from x to y is well-defined.

Lemma 3.3. *Chordal SLE_κ satisfies domain Markov property. Moreover, the law of SLE_κ is symmetric with respect to the imaginary axis.*

Proof. Proof of domain Markov property: Since BM is a strong Markov process with independent increments, for any stopping time T , the process $(g_T(K_{t+T} \setminus K_T) - W_T, t \geq 0)$ is independent of $(K_s, 0 \leq s \leq T)$ and has the same law as K . Thus, for any stopping time T and given K_T , the conditional law of $(K_{t+T}, t \geq 0)$ is the same as an SLE in $\mathbb{H} \setminus K_T$.

Proof of symmetry: Suppose that K is a Loewner chain driven by W . Let \tilde{K} be the image of K under the reflection with respect to the imaginary axis. Define $(\tilde{g}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ to be the corresponding sequence of conformal maps for \tilde{K} . Then we could check that \tilde{K} is a Loewner chain driven by $-W$. Since $-W$ has the same law as W , we know that \tilde{K} has the same law as K . This implies that the law of SLE_κ is symmetric with respect to the imaginary axis. \square

Proposition 3.4. *For all $\kappa \in [0, 4]$, chordal SLE_κ is almost surely a simple continuous curve, i.e. there exists a simple continuous curve γ such that $K_t = \gamma[0, t]$ for all $t \geq 0$. See Figure 3.3. Moreover, almost surely, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(t) = \infty$.*

The proof of this proposition is difficult, we will omit it in the lecture. The interested readers could consult [RS05].

Restriction property of $\text{SLE}_{8/3}$

In this part, we will compute the probability of $\text{SLE}_{8/3}$ process γ to avoid a set $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$. To this end, we need to analyze the behavior of the image $\tilde{\gamma} = \Phi_A(\gamma)$.




FIG 3.4. The map Φ_A is the conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus A$ onto \mathbb{H} with $\Phi_A(0) = 0$, $\Phi_A(\infty) = \infty$, and $\Phi_A(z)/z \rightarrow 1$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. The map g_t is the conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma[0, t]$ onto \mathbb{H} normalized at infinity. The map \tilde{g}_t is the conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus \tilde{\gamma}[0, t]$ onto \mathbb{H} normalized at infinity. The map h_t is the conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus g_t(A)$ onto \mathbb{H} such that $h_t \circ g_t = \tilde{g}_t \circ \Phi_A$.

Define $T = \inf\{t : \gamma(t) \in A\}$, and for $t < T$, set

$$\tilde{\gamma}[0, t] := \Phi_A(\gamma[0, t]).$$

Recall that Φ_A is the conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus A$ onto \mathbb{H} with $\Phi_A(0) = 0$, $\Phi_A(\infty) = \infty$, and $\Phi_A(z)/z \rightarrow 1$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, and that g_t is the conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma[0, t]$ onto \mathbb{H} normalized at infinity. Define \tilde{g}_t to be the conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus \tilde{\gamma}[0, t]$ onto \mathbb{H} normalized at infinity and h_t the conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus g_t(A)$ onto \mathbb{H} such that Equation (3.1) holds. See Figure 3.4.

$$h_t \circ g_t = \tilde{g}_t \circ \Phi_A. \quad (3.1)$$

Proposition 3.5. *When $\kappa = 8/3$, the process*

$$M_t = h'_t(W_t)^{5/8}, \quad t < T$$

is a local martingale.

Proof. Define

$$a(t) = a(\gamma[0, t] \cup A) = a(A) + a(\tilde{\gamma}[0, t]).$$

Let $t(u)$ be the inverse of a : for any $u > 0$, define $t(u) = \inf\{t : a(t) = u\}$. Note that $a(t(u)) = u$. In other words, the curve $(\tilde{\gamma}(t(u)), u > 0)$ is parameterized by half-plane capacity. Therefore,

$$\partial_u \tilde{g}_{t(u)}(z) = \frac{2}{\tilde{g}_{t(u)}(z) - \tilde{W}_{t(u)}}.$$

Since $\partial_t a \partial_u t = 1$, we have

$$\partial_t \tilde{g}_t(z) = \frac{2\partial_t a}{\tilde{g}_t(z) - \tilde{W}_t}.$$

Plugging Equation (3.1), we have that

$$(\partial_t h_t)(z) + h'_t(z) \frac{2}{z - W_t} = \frac{2\partial_t a}{h_t(z) - h_t(W_t)}. \quad (3.2)$$

We can first find $\partial_t a$: multiply $h_t(z) - h_t(W_t)$ to both sides of Equation (3.2), and then let $z \rightarrow W_t$, we have

$$\partial_t a = h_t'(W_t)^2.$$

Then Equation (3.2) becomes

$$(\partial_t h_t)(z) = \frac{2h_t'(W_t)^2}{h_t(z) - h_t(W_t)} - \frac{2h_t'(z)}{z - W_t}. \quad (3.3)$$

Differentiate Equation (3.3) with respect to z , we have

$$(\partial_t h_t)'(z) = \frac{-2h_t'(W_t)^2 h_t'(z)}{(h_t(z) - h_t(W_t))^2} + \frac{2h_t'(z)}{(z - W_t)^2} - \frac{2h_t''(z)}{z - W_t}.$$

Let $z \rightarrow W_t$, we have

$$(\partial_t h_t')(W_t) = h_t''(W_t)dW_t + \left(\frac{h_t''(W_t)^2}{2h_t'(W_t)} + \left(\frac{\kappa}{2} - \frac{4}{3} \right) h_t'''(W_t) \right) dt.$$

When $\kappa = 8/3$,

$$d(h_t'(W_t))^{5/8} = \frac{5h_t''(W_t)}{8h_t'(W_t)^{3/8}} dW_t. \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose γ is a chordal SLE $_{8/3}$ in \mathbb{H} from 0 to ∞ . For any $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}[\gamma \cap A = \emptyset] = \Phi'_A(0)^{5/8}.$$

Proof. Since we can approximate any compact hull by compact hulls with smooth boundary, we may assume that A has smooth boundary. Set

$$M_t = (h_t'(W_t))^{5/8}.$$

If e is a Brownian excursion with law $\mu_{\mathbb{H}}^{\sharp}(W_t, \infty)$, then $h_t'(W_t)$ is the probability of e to avoid $g_t(A)$. See Proposition 2.7. Thus, for $t < T$, we have $h_t'(W_t) \leq 1$ and M is bounded.

If $T = \infty$, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h_t'(W_t) = 1$.

If $T < \infty$, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow T} h_t'(W_t) = 0$.

Roughly speaking, when $T = \infty$, $g_t(A)$ will be far away from W_t as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and thus the probability for e to avoid $g_t(A)$ converges to 1; whereas, when $T < \infty$, the set $g_t(A)$ will be very close to W_t as $t \rightarrow T$ and the probability for e to avoid $g_t(A)$ converges to 0. (See [LSW03] for details.)

Since M converges in L^1 and a.s. when $t \rightarrow T$, we have that

$$\mathbb{P}[\gamma \cap A = \emptyset] = \mathbb{P}[T = \infty] = \mathbb{E}[M_T] = \mathbb{E}[M_0] = \Phi'_A(0)^{5/8}. \quad \square$$

4. Chordal conformal restriction

4.1. Setup for chordal restriction sample

Let Ω be the collection of closed sets K of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ such that

$K \cap \mathbb{R} = \{0\}$, K is unbounded, K is connected

and $\mathbb{H} \setminus K$ has two connected components.

Recall that \mathcal{A}_c is defined in Definition 1.1. We endow Ω with the σ -field generated by the events $[K \cap \Omega : K \cap A = \emptyset]$ where $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$. This family of events is closed under finite intersection, so that a probability measure on Ω is characterized by the values of $\mathbb{P}[K \cap A = \emptyset]$ for $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$: Let \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P}' are two probability measures on Ω . If $\mathbb{P}[K \cap A = \emptyset] = \mathbb{P}'[K \cap A = \emptyset]$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$, then $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}'$.

Definition 4.1. *A probability measure \mathbb{P} on Ω is said to satisfy chordal conformal restriction property, if the following is true:*

- (1) For any $\lambda > 0$, λK has the same law as K ;
- (2) For any $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$, $\Phi_A(K)$ conditioned on $[K \cap A = \emptyset]$ has the same law as K .

Theorem 4.2. *Chordal restriction measures have the following description.*

- (1) (Characterization) A chordal restriction measure is fully characterized by a positive real $\beta > 0$ such that, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$,

$$\mathbb{P}[K \cap A = \emptyset] = \Phi'_A(0)^\beta. \quad (4.1)$$

We denote the corresponding chordal restriction measure by $\mathbb{P}(\beta)$.

- (2) (Existence) The measure $\mathbb{P}(\beta)$ exists if and only if $\beta \geq 5/8$.

Remark 4.3. *We already know that $\mathbb{P}(\beta)$ exist for $\beta = 1$ (by Proposition 2.7), $\beta = 5/8$ (by Theorem 3.6), and $\beta = 5/8m + n$ for $m \geq 1, n \geq 1$.*

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Characterization. Suppose that K is scale-invariant and satisfies Equation (4.1) for every $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$, then we could check that K does satisfy chordal conformal restriction property. Thus we only need to show that chordal restriction measures have only one degree of freedom.

Fix $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. We claim that the probability

$$\mathbb{P}[K \cap B(x, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset]$$

decays like ϵ^2 as ϵ goes to zero, and the limit

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \mathbb{P}[K \cap B(x, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset]$$

exists which we denote by $\lambda(x)$ (The detail of the proof of this argument could be found in [Wu15]).

Furthermore, $\lambda(x) \in (0, \infty)$. Since K is scale-invariant, we have that, for any $y > 0$,

$$\lambda(yx) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \mathbb{P}[K \cap B(yx, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset] = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \mathbb{P}[K \cap B(x, \epsilon/y) \neq \emptyset] = y^{-2} \lambda(x).$$

Since λ is an even function, there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\lambda(x) = cx^{-2}.$$

Since there is only one-degree of freedom, when K satisfies chordal restriction property, we must have that Equation (4.1) holds for some $\beta > 0$.

Denote $f_{x,\epsilon} = \Phi_{\bar{U}(x,\epsilon)}$. In fact,

$$f_{x,\epsilon}(z) = z + \frac{\epsilon^2}{z-x} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{x}.$$

Note that,

$$\mathbb{P}[K \cap U(x, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset] \approx \lambda(x)\epsilon^2,$$

and that

$$1 - f'_{x,\epsilon}(0)^\beta \approx \beta \frac{\epsilon^2}{x^2}.$$

This implies that $\beta = c$. □

In the following of this section, we will first show that $\mathbb{P}(\beta)$ does not exist for $\beta < 5/8$ and then construct all $\mathbb{P}(\beta)$ for $\beta > 5/8$.

4.2. Chordal $SLE_\kappa(\rho)$ process

Definition

Suppose $\kappa > 0, \rho > -2$. Chordal $SLE_\kappa(\rho)$ process is the Loewner chain driven by W which is the solution to the following SDE:

$$dW_t = \sqrt{\kappa}dB_t + \frac{\rho dt}{W_t - O_t}, \quad dO_t = \frac{2dt}{O_t - W_t}, \quad W_0 = O_0 = 0, \quad O_t \leq W_t. \quad (4.2)$$

The evolution is well-defined at times when $W_t > O_t$, but a bit delicate when $W_t = O_t$. We first show the existence of the solution to this SDE.

Define Z_t to be the solution to the Bessel equation

$$dZ_t = \sqrt{\kappa}dB_t + (\rho + 2)\frac{dt}{Z_t}, \quad Z_0 = 0.$$

In other words, Z is $\sqrt{\kappa}$ times a Bessel process of dimension

$$d = 1 + 2(\rho + 2)/\kappa.$$

This process is well-defined for all $\rho > -2$, and for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^t \frac{du}{Z_u} = (Z_t - \sqrt{\kappa}B_t)/(\rho + 2) < \infty.$$

Then define

$$O_t = -2 \int_0^t \frac{du}{Z_u}, \quad W_t = Z_t + O_t.$$

Clearly, (W_t, O_t) is a solution to Equation (4.2). When $\rho = 0$, we get the ordinary SLE_κ .

Second, we explain the geometric meaning of the process (O_t, W_t) . Recall

$$\partial_t g_t(z) = \frac{2}{g_t(z) - W_t}, \quad g_0(z) = z.$$

Suppose $(K_t, t \geq 0)$ is the Loewner chain generated by W , then g_t is the conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus K_t$ onto \mathbb{H} normalized at ∞ . The point W_t is the image




FIG 4.1. Geometric meaning of (O_t, W_t) in $SLE_\kappa(\rho)$ process. The preimage of W_t under g_t is the tip of the curve, the preimage of O_t under g_t is the leftmost point of $K_t \cap \mathbb{R}$.

of the tip, and O_t is the image of the leftmost point of $\mathbb{R} \cap K_t$. See Figure 4.1. Basic properties of $SLE_\kappa(\rho)$ process: Fix $\kappa \in [0, 4]$, $\rho > -2$,

- It is scale-invariant: for any $\lambda > 0$, $(\lambda^{-1}K_{\lambda^2 t}, t \geq 0)$ has the same law as K .
- $(K_t, t \geq 0)$ is generated by a continuous curve $(\gamma(t), t \geq 0)$ in $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ from 0 to ∞ .
- If $\rho \geq \kappa/2 - 2$, the dimension of the Bessel process $Z_t = W_t - O_t$ is greater than 2 and Z does not hit zero, thus almost surely $\gamma \cap \mathbb{R} = \{0\}$. If $\rho \in (-2, \kappa/2 - 2)$, almost surely $\gamma \cap \mathbb{R} \neq \{0\}$ and $K_\infty \cap \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, 0]$.⁵

Theorem 4.4. Fix $\rho > -2$. Let $(K_t, t \geq 0)$ be the hulls of chordal $SLE_{8/3}(\rho)$ and $K = \cup_{t \geq 0} K_t$. Then K satisfies the right-sided restriction property with exponent

$$\beta = \frac{3\rho^2 + 16\rho + 20}{32}. \quad (4.3)$$

In other words, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$ such that $A \cap \mathbb{R} \subset (0, \infty)$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[K \cap A = \emptyset] = \Phi'_A(0)^\beta.$$

Proof. The definitions of g_t, \tilde{g}_t, h_t are recalled in Figure 4.2. Set $T = \inf\{t : K_t \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$, and define, for $t < T$,

$$M_t = h'_t(W_t)^{5/8} h'_t(O_t)^{\rho(3\rho+4)/32} \left(\frac{h_t(W_t) - h_t(O_t)}{W_t - O_t} \right)^{3\rho/8}.$$

Then $(M_t, t < T)$ is a local martingale [LSW03, Lemma 8.9]:

⁵When $\rho > 0$, the process W_t gets a push away from O_t , the curve is repelled from \mathbb{R}_- . When $\rho < 0$, the curve is attracted to \mathbb{R}_- . When $\rho < \kappa/2 - 2$, the attraction is strong enough so that the curve touches \mathbb{R}_- .




FIG 4.2. The map Φ_A is the conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus A$ onto \mathbb{H} with $\Phi_A(0) = 0$, $\Phi_A(\infty) = \infty$, and $\Phi_A(z)/z \rightarrow 1$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. The map g_t is the conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma[0, t]$ onto \mathbb{H} normalized at infinity. \tilde{g}_t is the conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus \tilde{\gamma}[0, t]$ onto \mathbb{H} normalized at infinity. The map h_t is the conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus g_t(A)$ onto \mathbb{H} such that $h_t \circ g_t = \tilde{g}_t \circ \Phi_A$.

$$\begin{aligned} dh_t(W_t) &= \left(\frac{\rho h_t'(W_t)}{W_t - O_t} - (5/3)h_t''(W_t) \right) dt + \sqrt{8/3}h_t'(W_t)dB_t, \\ dh_t'(W_t) &= \left(\frac{\rho h_t''(W_t)}{W_t - O_t} + \frac{h_t''(W_t)^2}{2h_t'(W_t)} \right) dt + \sqrt{8/3}h_t''(W_t)dB_t, \\ dh_t(O_t) &= \frac{2h_t'(W_t)^2}{h_t(O_t) - h_t(W_t)} dt, \\ dh_t'(O_t) &= \left(\frac{2h_t'(O_t)}{(O_t - W_t)^2} - \frac{2h_t'(W_t)^2 h_t'(O_t)}{(h_t(O_t) - h_t(W_t))^2} \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these identities, we see that M is a local martingale.

Since h_t' is decreasing in $(-\infty, W_t]$, we have

$$0 \leq h_t'(W_t) \leq \frac{h_t(W_t) - h_t(O_t)}{W_t - O_t} \leq h_t'(O_t) \leq 1.$$

In fact, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $M_t \leq h_t'(W_t)^\delta$. (We omit the proof of this point, details could be found in [LSW03, Lemma 8.10]). In particular, we have $M_t \leq 1$ and $(M_t, t < T)$ is a bounded martingale.

If $T = \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h_t'(W_t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M_t = 1.$$

If $T < \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} h_t'(W_t) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow T} M_t = 0.$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{P}[K \cap A = \emptyset] = \mathbb{P}[T = \infty] = \mathbb{E}[M_T] = M_0 = \Phi_A'(0)^\beta$$

where β is the same as in Equation (4.3):

$$\beta = \frac{5}{8} + \frac{\rho(3\rho + 4)}{32} + \frac{3\rho}{8} = \frac{3\rho^2 + 16\rho + 20}{32}. \quad \square$$

Setup for right-sided restriction property

Let Ω^+ be the collection of closed sets K of $\bar{\mathbb{H}}$ such that

$$K \cap \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, 0], K \text{ is connected and } \mathbb{H} \setminus K \text{ is connected.}$$

Recall \mathcal{A}_c in Definition 1.1. Let \mathcal{A}_c^+ denote the set of $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$ such that $A \cap \mathbb{R} \subset (0, \infty)$. We endow Ω^+ with the σ -field generated by the events $[K \in \Omega^+ : K \cap A = \emptyset]$ where $A \in \mathcal{A}_c^+$.

Definition 4.5. A probability measure \mathbb{P} on Ω^+ is said to satisfy right-sided restriction property, if the following is true.

- (1) For any $\lambda > 0$, λK has the same law as K ;
- (2) For any $A \in \mathcal{A}_c^+$, $\Phi_A(K)$ conditioned on $[K \cap A = \emptyset]$ has the same law as K .

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.2, we know that, if \mathbb{P} satisfies the right-sided restriction property, then there exists $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}[K \cap A = \emptyset] = \Phi'_A(0)^\beta, \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{A}_c^+.$$

Remark 4.6. Theorem 4.4 states that $SLE_{8/3}(\rho)$ has the same law as the right boundary of the right-sided restriction sample with exponent β which is related to ρ through Equation (4.3). Note that when ρ spans $(-2, \infty)$, the quantity β spans $(0, \infty)$. We could solve ρ in terms of β through Equation (4.3):

$$\rho = \rho(\beta) = \frac{1}{3}(-8 + 2\sqrt{24\beta + 1}). \quad (4.4)$$

In particular, Theorem 4.4 also states the existence of right-sided restriction measure for all $\beta > 0$.

Remark 4.7. If $\beta \geq 5/8$, the right boundary of (two-sided) restriction measure $\mathbb{P}(\beta)$ has the same law as $SLE_{8/3}(\rho)$ where $\rho = \rho(\beta)$ is given through Equation (4.4). In particular, the right boundary of a Brownian excursion has the law of $SLE_{8/3}(2/3)$, the right boundary of the union of two independent Brownian excursions has the law of $SLE_{8/3}(2)$.

Remark 4.8. Recall Theorem 2.12, suppose $(e_j, j \in J)$ is a Poisson point process with intensity $\pi\beta\mu_{\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R}_-}^{exc}$, and set $\Sigma = \cup_j e_j$, then the right boundary of Σ has the same law as chordal $SLE_{8/3}(\rho)$ where $\rho = \rho(\beta)$ given by Equation (4.4) for all $\beta > 0$.

Proof of Theorem 4.2, $\mathbb{P}(\beta)$ does not exist for $\beta < 5/8$. We prove by contradiction. Assume that the two-sided chordal restriction measure $\mathbb{P}(\beta)$ exists for some $\beta < 5/8$. Then the right boundary γ of K is $SLE_{8/3}(\rho)$ for $\rho = \rho(\beta) < 0$ by Remark 4.6.

On the one hand, the two-sided chordal restriction sample K is symmetric with respect to the imaginary axis, thus the probability of i staying to the right of γ is less than $1/2$. On the other hand, since $\rho < 0$, the probability of i

staying to the right of γ is strictly larger than the probability of i staying to the right of $\text{SLE}_{8/3}$ which equals $1/2$, since $\text{SLE}_{8/3}$ is symmetric with respect to the imaginary axis and it is a simple continuous curve. These two facts give us a contradiction. \square

4.3. Construction of $\mathbb{P}(\beta)$ for $\beta > 5/8$

In the previous definition of $\text{SLE}_\kappa(\rho)$ process, there is a repulsion (when $\rho > 0$) or attraction (when $\rho < 0$) from \mathbb{R}_- . We will denote this process by $\text{SLE}_\kappa^L(\rho)$. Symmetrically, we denote by $\text{SLE}_\kappa^R(\rho)$ the same process only except that the repulsion or attraction is from \mathbb{R}_+ . Namely, $\text{SLE}_\kappa^R(\rho)$ is the Loewner chain driven by W which is the solution to the following SDE:

$$dW_t = \sqrt{\kappa}dB_t + \frac{\rho dt}{W_t - O_t}, \quad dO_t = \frac{2dt}{O_t - W_t}, \quad W_0 = O_0 = 0, \quad O_t \geq W_t. \quad (4.5)$$

Please compare it with Equation (4.2) and note that the only difference is $O_t \geq W_t$. The process $\text{SLE}_\kappa^R(\rho)$ can be viewed as the image of $\text{SLE}_\kappa^L(\rho)$ under the reflection with respect to the imaginary axis.

From Theorem 4.4, we know that $\text{SLE}_{8/3}^L(\rho)$ satisfies right-sided restriction property, thus similarly $\text{SLE}_{8/3}^R(\rho)$ satisfies left-sided restriction property. The idea to construct K whose law is $\mathbb{P}(\beta)$ for $\beta > 5/8$ is the following: we first run an $\text{SLE}_{8/3}^L(\rho)$ as the right-boundary of K , and then given the right boundary, we run the left boundary according to the conditional law.

Proposition 4.9. *Fix $\beta > 5/8$, and $\rho = \rho(\beta) > 0$ where $\rho(\beta)$ is given by Equation (4.4). Suppose γ^R is a chordal $\text{SLE}_{8/3}^L(\rho)$ process in $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ from 0 to ∞ . Given γ^R , in the left-connected component of $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma^R$, sample an $\text{SLE}_{8/3}^R(\rho - 2)$ from 0 to ∞ which is denoted by γ^L . Let K be the closure of the union of the domains between γ^L and γ^R . Then K has the law of $\mathbb{P}(\beta)$.*

Proof. We only need to check, for all $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$,

$$\mathbb{P}[K \cap A = \emptyset] = \Phi'_A(0)^\beta.$$

Since γ^R is an $\text{SLE}_{8/3}^L(\rho)$ process and it satisfies the right-sided restriction property by Theorem 4.4, we know that this is true for $A \in \mathcal{A}_c^+$. We only need to prove it for $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$ such that $A \cap \mathbb{R} \subset (-\infty, 0)$. Let $(g_t, t \geq 0)$ be the solution of the Loewner chain for the process γ^R and $(O_t, W_t, t \geq 0)$ be the solution of the SDE (4.2). Set $T = \inf\{t : \gamma^R(t) \in A\}$. For $t < T$, let h_t be the conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus g_t(A)$ onto \mathbb{H} normalized at ∞ . See Figure 4.3. Recall that

$$M_t = h'_t(W_t)^{5/8} h'_t(O_t)^{\rho(3\rho+4)/32} \left(\frac{h_t(W_t) - h_t(O_t)}{W_t - O_t} \right)^{3\rho/8}$$

is a local martingale, and that, since h'_t is increasing on $[O_t, \infty)$,

$$0 \leq h'_t(O_t) \leq \frac{h_t(W_t) - h_t(O_t)}{W_t - O_t} \leq h'_t(W_t) \leq 1.$$




FIG 4.3. The map g_t is the conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma^R[0, t]$ onto \mathbb{H} normalized at ∞ . The map h_t is the conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus g_t(A)$ onto \mathbb{H} normalized at ∞ .

Since $\rho > 0$, we have that $M_t \leq h'_t(W_t)^\beta \leq 1$ and thus M is a bounded martingale.

If $T < \infty$, then

$$h'_t(W_t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{and } M_t \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow T.$$

If $T = \infty$, then

$$h'_t(W_t) \rightarrow 1, \quad \frac{h_t(W_t) - h_t(O_t)}{W_t - O_t} \rightarrow 1,$$

and we have (apply Theorem 4.4 to $\text{SLE}_{8/3}^R(\rho - 2)$)

$$h'_t(O_t)^{\rho(3\rho+4)/32} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}[\gamma^L \cap A = \emptyset \mid \gamma^R] \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}[K \cap A = \emptyset] = E[1_{T=\infty} E[1_{K \cap A = \emptyset} \mid \gamma^R]] = E[M_T] = M_0. \quad \square$$

4.4. Half-plane intersection exponents $\tilde{\xi}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)$

Recall that

$$\tilde{\xi}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p) = \frac{1}{24} \left((\sqrt{24\beta_1 + 1} + \dots + \sqrt{24\beta_p + 1} - (p-1))^2 - 1 \right),$$

and define

$$\hat{\xi}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p) = \tilde{\xi}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p) - \beta_1 - \dots - \beta_p. \quad (4.6)$$

We will see in Proposition 4.10 that, the function $\hat{\xi}$ is the exponent for the restriction samples to avoid each other, or the exponent for “non-intersection”.

For $x \in \mathbb{C}$ and a subset $K \subset \mathbb{C}$, denote

$$x + K = \{x + z : z \in K\}.$$

Proposition 4.10. *Suppose K_1, \dots, K_p are p independent chordal restriction samples with exponents $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p \geq 5/8$ respectively. Fix $R > 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be small. Set $x_j = j\epsilon$ for $j = 1, \dots, p$. Then, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}[(x_{j_1} + K_{j_1} \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R)) \cap (x_{j_2} + K_{j_2} \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R)) = \emptyset, 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq p] \approx \epsilon^{\hat{\xi}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)}.$$

In the following theorem, we will consider the law of K_1, \dots, K_p conditioned on “non-intersection”. Since the event of “non-intersection” has zero probability, we need to explain the precise meaning: the conditioned law would be obtained through a limiting procedure: first consider the law of K_1, \dots, K_p conditioned on

$$[(x_{j_1} + K_{j_1} \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R)) \cap (x_{j_2} + K_{j_2} \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R)) = \emptyset, 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq p],$$

and then let $R \rightarrow \infty$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 4.11. *Fix $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p \geq 5/8$. Suppose K_1, \dots, K_p are p independent chordal restriction samples with exponents β_1, \dots, β_p respectively. Then the “fill-in” of the union of these p sets conditioned on “non-intersection” has the same law as chordal restriction sample of exponent $\hat{\xi}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)$.*

For Proposition 4.10 and Theorem 4.11, we only need to show the results for $p = 2$ and other p can be proved by induction. Proposition 4.10 for $p = 2$ is a direct consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 4.12. *Suppose K is a right-sided restriction sample with exponent $\beta > 0$. Let γ be an independent chordal $SLE_{8/3}^R(\rho)$ process for some $\rho > -2$. Fix $t > 0$ and let $\epsilon > 0$ be small, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}[\gamma[0, t] \cap (K - \epsilon) = \emptyset] \approx \epsilon^{\frac{3}{16}\bar{\rho}(\rho+2)} \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$\bar{\rho} = \frac{2}{3}(\sqrt{24\beta + 1} - 1).$$

Note that, if $\beta_1 = \beta$, $\beta_2 = (3\rho^2 + 16\rho + 20)/32$, we have

$$\frac{3}{16}\bar{\rho}(\rho + 2) = \hat{\xi}(\beta_1, \beta_2).$$

Proof. Let $(g_t, t \geq 0)$ be the Loewner chain for γ and (O_t, W_t) be the solution to the SDE. Precisely,

$$\partial_t g_t(z) = \frac{2}{g_t(z) - W_t}, \quad g_0(z) = z;$$

$$dW_t = \sqrt{\kappa} dB_t + \frac{\rho dt}{W_t - O_t}, \quad dO_t = \frac{2dt}{O_t - W_t}, \quad W_0 = O_0 = 0, \quad O_t \geq W_t.$$

Given $\gamma[0, t]$, since K satisfies right-sided restriction property, we have that

$$\mathbb{P}[\gamma[0, t] \cap (K - \epsilon) = \emptyset \mid \gamma[0, t]] = g'_t(-\epsilon)^\beta.$$

Define

$$M_t = g'_t(-\epsilon)^{\bar{\rho}(3\bar{\rho}+4)/32} (W_t - g_t(-\epsilon))^{3\bar{\rho}/8} (O_t - g_t(-\epsilon))^{3\bar{\rho}/16}.$$

One can check that M is a local martingale and $\beta = \bar{\rho}(3\bar{\rho} + 4)/32$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[(K - \epsilon) \cap \gamma[0, t] = \emptyset] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}[\gamma[0, t] \cap (K - \epsilon) = \emptyset \mid \gamma[0, t]]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[g'_t(-\epsilon)^\beta] \\ &\approx \mathbb{E}[M_t] \\ &= M_0 = \epsilon^{\frac{3}{16}\bar{\rho}(\rho+2)}. \end{aligned}$$

In this equation, the sign \approx means that the ratio $\mathbb{E}[g'_t(-\epsilon)^\beta]/\mathbb{E}[M_t]$ corresponds to e^{err} where the error term in the exponent err goes to zero as ϵ goes to zero. In fact, we need more work to make this precise, we only show the key idea that how we get the correct exponent, and the details are left to interested readers. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.11. Assume $p = 2$. For any $A \in \mathcal{A}_c$, we need to estimate the following probability for $\epsilon > 0$ small:

$$\mathbb{P}[K_1 \cap A = \emptyset, K_2 \cap A = \emptyset \mid (K_1 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + \epsilon) \cap (K_2 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + 2\epsilon) = \emptyset].$$

For $i = 1, 2$, since K_i satisfies chordal conformal restriction property, we know that the probability of $\{K_i \cap A = \emptyset\}$ is $\Phi'_A(0)^{\beta_i}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}[K_1 \cap A = \emptyset, K_2 \cap A = \emptyset \mid (K_1 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + \epsilon) \cap (K_2 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + 2\epsilon) = \emptyset] \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}[K_1 \cap A = \emptyset, K_2 \cap A = \emptyset, (K_1 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + \epsilon) \cap (K_2 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + 2\epsilon) = \emptyset]}{\mathbb{P}[(K_1 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + \epsilon) \cap (K_2 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + 2\epsilon) = \emptyset]} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}[(K_1 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + \epsilon) \cap (K_2 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + 2\epsilon) = \emptyset \mid K_1 \cap A = \emptyset, K_2 \cap A = \emptyset]}{\mathbb{P}[(K_1 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + \epsilon) \cap (K_2 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + 2\epsilon) = \emptyset]} \\ &\quad \times \Phi'_A(0)^{\beta_1} \Phi'_A(0)^{\beta_2}. \end{aligned}$$

For $i = 1, 2$, conditioned on $[K_i \cap A = \emptyset]$, the conditional law of $\Phi_A(K_i)$ has the same law as K_i . Combining this with Proposition 4.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}[(K_1 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + \epsilon) \cap (K_2 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + 2\epsilon) = \emptyset \mid K_1 \cap A = \emptyset, K_2 \cap A = \emptyset]}{\mathbb{P}[(K_1 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + \epsilon) \cap (K_2 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + 2\epsilon) = \emptyset]} \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}[(K_1 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + \Phi_A(\epsilon)) \cap (K_2 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + \Phi_A(2\epsilon)) = \emptyset]}{\mathbb{P}[(K_1 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + \epsilon) \cap (K_2 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + 2\epsilon) = \emptyset]} \\ &\approx \frac{\Phi_A(\epsilon)^{\hat{\xi}(\beta_1, \beta_2)}}{\epsilon^{\hat{\xi}(\beta_1, \beta_2)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty, \epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}[K_1 \cap A = \emptyset, K_2 \cap A = \emptyset \mid (K_1 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + \epsilon) \cap (K_2 \cap \mathbb{U}(0, R) + 2\epsilon) = \emptyset] \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Phi'_A(0)^{\beta_1} \Phi'_A(0)^{\beta_2} \frac{\Phi_A(\epsilon)^{\hat{\xi}(\beta_1, \beta_2)}}{\epsilon^{\hat{\xi}(\beta_1, \beta_2)}} \\ &= \Phi'_A(0)^{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \hat{\xi}(\beta_1, \beta_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that, conditioned on “non-intersection”, the union $K_1 \cup K_2$ satisfies chordal conformal restriction with exponent $\tilde{\xi}(\beta_1, \beta_2)$. \square

5. Radial SLE

5.1. Radial Loewner chain

Capacity

Consider a compact subset K of $\overline{\mathbb{U}}$ such that $0 \in \mathbb{U} \setminus K$ and $\mathbb{U} \setminus K$ is simply connected. Then there exists a unique conformal map g_K from $\mathbb{U} \setminus K$ onto \mathbb{U} normalized at the origin, i.e. $g_K(0) = 0, g'_K(0) > 0$. We call $a(K) := \log g'_K(0)$ the capacity of K in \mathbb{U} seen from the origin.

Lemma 5.1. *The quantity a is non-negative increasing function.*

Proof. The quantity a is non-negative: Denote $U = \mathbb{U} \setminus K$. Note that $\log g_K(z)/z$ is an analytic function on $U \setminus \{0\}$ and the origin is removable: we can define the function equals $\log g'_K(0)$ at the origin. Then $h(z) = \log |g_K(z)/z|$ is a harmonic function on U . Thus it attains its min on ∂U . For $z \in \partial U$, $h(z) \geq 0$. Therefore $h(z) \geq 0$ for all $z \in U$. In particular, $h(0) \geq 0$.

The quantity a is increasing: Suppose $K \subset K'$. Define $g_1 = g_K$ and let g_2 be the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus g_K(K' \setminus K)$ onto \mathbb{U} normalized at the origin. Then $g_{K'} = g_2 \circ g_1$. Thus

$$a(K') = \log g'_2(0) + \log g'_1(0) \geq \log g'_1(0) = a(K). \quad \square$$

Remark 5.2. *If we denote by $d(0, K)$ the Euclidean distance from the origin to K , by Koebe 1/4-Theorem, we have that*

$$\frac{1}{4}e^{-a(K)} \leq d(0, K) \leq e^{-a(K)}.$$

Loewner chain

Suppose $(W_t, t \geq 0)$ is a continuous real function with $W_0 = 0$. Define for $z \in \overline{\mathbb{U}}$, the function $g_t(z)$ as the solution to the ODE

$$\partial_t g_t(z) = g_t(z) \frac{e^{iW_t} + g_t(z)}{e^{iW_t} - g_t(z)}, \quad g_0(z) = z.$$

The solution is well-defined as long as $e^{iW_t} - g_t(z)$ does not hit zero. Define

$$T(z) = \sup\{t > 0 : \min_{s \in [0, t]} |e^{iW_s} - g_s(z)| > 0\}.$$

This is the largest time up to which $g_t(z)$ is well-defined. Set

$$K_t = \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{U}} : T(z) \leq t\}, \quad U_t = \mathbb{U} \setminus K_t.$$

We can check that the map g_t is a conformal map from U_t onto \mathbb{U} normalized at the origin, and that, for each t , $g'_t(0) = e^t$. In other words, $a(K_t) = t$. The family $(K_t, t \geq 0)$ is called the **radial Loewner chain** driven by $(W_t, t \geq 0)$.




FIG 5.1. The map g_t is the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus \gamma[0, t]$ onto \mathbb{U} normalized at the origin, and the tip of the curve $\gamma(t)$ is the preimage of e^{iW_t} under g_t .

5.2. Radial SLE

Definition

Radial SLE_κ for $\kappa \geq 0$ is the radial Loewner chain driven by $W_t = \sqrt{\kappa}B_t$ where B is a 1-dimensional BM starting from $B_0 = 0$. This defines radial SLE_κ in \mathbb{U} from 1 to the origin. For general simply connected domain D with a boundary point x and an interior point z , the radial SLE_κ in D from x to z is the image of radial SLE_κ in \mathbb{U} from 1 to the origin under the conformal map from \mathbb{U} to D sending the pair $1, 0$ to x, z .

Lemma 5.3. *Radial SLE satisfies domain Markov property: For any stopping time T , the process $(g_T(K_{t+T} \setminus K_T)e^{-iW_T}, t \geq 0)$ is independent of $(K_s, 0 \leq s \leq T)$ and has the same law as K .*

Proposition 5.4. *For $\kappa \in [0, 4]$, radial SLE_κ is almost surely a simple continuous curve. Moreover, almost surely, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(t) = 0$.*

Restriction property of radial $SLE_{8/3}$

Recall Definition 1.2. Suppose $A \in \mathcal{A}_r$, and Φ_A is the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus A$ onto \mathbb{U} such that $\Phi_A(0) = 0, \Phi_A(1) = 1$. Let γ be a radial $SLE_{8/3}$, we will compute the probability $\mathbb{P}[\gamma \cap A = \emptyset]$. Similar as the chordal case, we need to study the image $\tilde{\gamma} = \Phi_A(\gamma)$. Define $T = \inf\{t : \gamma(t) \in A\}$, and For $t < T$, let $\tilde{\gamma}[0, t] := \Phi_A(\gamma[0, t])$. Note that Φ_A is the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus A$ onto \mathbb{U} with $\Phi_A(0) = 0, \Phi_A(1) = 1$ and that g_t is the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus \gamma[0, t]$ onto \mathbb{U} normalized at the origin. Define \tilde{g}_t to be the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus \tilde{\gamma}[0, t]$ onto \mathbb{U} normalized at the origin and h_t the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus g_t(A)$ onto \mathbb{U} such that Equation (5.1) holds. See Figure 5.2.

$$h_t \circ g_t = \tilde{g}_t \circ \Phi_A. \quad (5.1)$$

Proposition 5.5. *When $\kappa = 8/3$, the process*

$$M_t = |h'_t(0)|^{5/48} |h'_t(e^{iW_t})|^{5/8}, \quad t < T$$

is a local martingale.




FIG 5.2. The map Φ_A is the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus A$ onto \mathbb{U} with $\Phi_A(0) = 0, \Phi_A(1) = 1$. The map g_t is the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus \gamma[0, t]$ onto \mathbb{U} normalized at the origin. Define \tilde{g}_t to be the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus \tilde{\gamma}[0, t]$ onto \mathbb{U} normalized at the origin and h_t the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus g_t(A)$ onto \mathbb{U} such that $h_t \circ g_t = \tilde{g}_t \circ \Phi_A$.

Proof. Define

$$\phi_t(z) = -i \log h_t(e^{iz})$$

where \log denotes the branch of the logarithm such that $-i \log h_t(e^{iW_t}) = W_t$. Then

$$h_t(e^{iz}) = e^{i\phi_t(z)}, \quad h'_t(e^{iW_t}) = \phi'_t(W_t).$$

Define

$$a(t) = a(K_t \cup A) = a(A) + a(\tilde{K}_t).$$

A similar time change argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.5 shows that

$$\partial_t \tilde{g}_t(z) = \partial_t a \tilde{g}_t(z) \frac{e^{i\tilde{W}_t} + \tilde{g}_t(z)}{e^{i\tilde{W}_t} - \tilde{g}_t(z)}.$$

Plugging $h_t \circ g_t = \tilde{g}_t \circ \Phi_A$, we have

$$\partial_t h_t(z) + h'_t(z) z \frac{e^{iW_t} + z}{e^{iW_t} - z} = \partial_t a h_t(z) \frac{e^{iW_t} + h_t(z)}{e^{iW_t} - h_t(z)}. \quad (5.2)$$

We can first find $\partial_t a$: multiply $e^{iW_t} - h_t(z)$ to both sides of Equation (5.2) and then let $z \rightarrow e^{iW_t}$. We have

$$\partial_t a = h'_t(e^{iW_t})^2 = \phi'_t(W_t)^2.$$

Denote

$$X_1 = \phi'_t(W_t), \quad X_2 = \phi''_t(W_t), \quad X_3 = \phi'''_t(W_t).$$

Then Equation (5.2) becomes

$$\partial_t h_t(z) = X_1^2 h_t(z) \frac{e^{iW_t} + h_t(z)}{e^{iW_t} - h_t(z)} - h'_t(z) z \frac{e^{iW_t} + z}{e^{iW_t} - z}.$$

Plugin the relation $h_t(e^{iz}) = e^{i\phi_t(z)}$, we have that

$$\partial_t \phi_t(z) = X_1^2 \cot\left(\frac{\phi_t(z) - W_t}{2}\right) - \phi_t'(z) \cot\left(\frac{z - W_t}{2}\right). \quad (5.3)$$

Differentiate Equation (5.3) with respect to z , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \phi_t'(z) &= -\frac{1}{2} X_1^2 \phi_t'(z) \csc^2\left(\frac{\phi_t(z) - W_t}{2}\right) - \phi_t''(z) \cot\left(\frac{z - W_t}{2}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \phi_t'(z) \csc^2\left(\frac{z - W_t}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $z \rightarrow W_t$,

$$\partial_t \phi_t'(W_t) = \frac{X_2^2}{2X_1} - \frac{4}{3} X_3 + \frac{X_1 - X_1^3}{6}.$$

Thus

$$dh_t'(e^{iW_t}) = d\phi_t'(W_t) = \sqrt{\frac{8}{3}} X_2 dB_t + \left(\frac{X_2^2}{2X_1} + \frac{X_1 - X_1^3}{6}\right) dt. \quad (5.4)$$

For the term $h_t'(0)$, we have that

$$h_t'(0) = \exp(a(g_t(A))) = \exp(a(t) - t) = \exp(a(A) + \int_0^t \phi_s'(W_s)^2 ds - t),$$

thus

$$dh_t'(0) = h_t'(0)(X_1^2 - 1)dt. \quad (5.5)$$

Combining Equations (5.4) and (5.5), we have that

$$dM_t = \frac{5}{8} M_t \frac{X_2}{X_1} dW_t. \quad \square$$

Theorem 5.6. *Suppose γ is a radial $SLE_{8/3}$ in \mathbb{U} from 1 to 0. Then for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_r$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}[\gamma \cap A = \emptyset] = |\Phi_A'(0)|^{5/48} \Phi_A'(1)^{5/8}.$$

Proof. Suppose M is the local martingale defined in Proposition 5.5. Note that

$$M_0 = |\Phi_A'(0)|^{5/48} \Phi_A'(1)^{5/8}.$$

Define $T = \inf\{t : K_t \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$. In fact, $|\Phi_A'(0)|\Phi_A'(1)^2 \leq 1$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_r$, thus M is a bounded martingale.

If $T = \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h_t'(e^{iW_t}) = 1, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h_t'(0) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M_t = 1.$$

If $T < \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} h_t'(e^{iW_t}) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow T} M_t = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}[\gamma \cap A = \emptyset] = \mathbb{P}[T = \infty] = E[M_T] = M_0. \quad \square$$

5.3. Radial $SLE_\kappa(\rho)$ process

Fix $\kappa > 0$, $\rho > -2$. Radial $SLE_\kappa(\rho)$ process is the radial Loewner chain driven by W which is the solution to the following SDE:




FIG 5.3. Geometric meaning of (O_t, W_t) in radial $SLE_\kappa(\rho)$ process. The preimage of e^{iW_t} under g_t is the tip of the curve, the preimage of e^{iO_t} under g_t is the last point on the curve that is on the boundary.

$$dW_t = \sqrt{\kappa} dB_t + \frac{\rho}{2} \cot\left(\frac{W_t - O_t}{2}\right) dt, \quad dO_t = -\cot\left(\frac{W_t - O_t}{2}\right) dt, \quad (5.6)$$

with initial value $W_0 = 0$, $O_0 = x \in (0, 2\pi)$. When $\kappa > 0$, $\rho > -2$, there exists a piecewise unique solution to the SDE (5.6). There exists almost surely a continuous curve γ in $\bar{\mathbb{U}}$ from 1 to 0 so that $(K_t, t \geq 0)$ is generated by γ . When $\kappa \in [0, 4]$ and $\rho \geq \kappa/2 - 2$, γ is a simple curve and $K_t = \gamma[0, t]$. When $\kappa \in [0, 4]$, $\rho \in (-2, \kappa/2 - 2)$, γ almost surely hits the boundary. The tip $\gamma(t)$ is the preimage of e^{iW_t} under g_t , and e^{ix} (when it is not swallowed by K_t) is the preimage of e^{iO_t} under g_t . When e^{ix} is swallowed by K_t , then the preimage of e^{iO_t} under g_t is the last point (before time t) on the curve that is on the boundary. See Figure 5.3.

Let $x \rightarrow 0+$ (resp. $x \rightarrow 2\pi-$), the process has a limit, and we call this limit the radial $SLE_\kappa^R(\rho)$ (resp. $SLE_\kappa^L(\rho)$) in $\bar{\mathbb{U}}$ from 1 to 0. Suppose γ is an $SLE_{\kappa/3}^L(\rho)$ process for some $\rho > -2$. For any $A \in \mathcal{A}_r$, we want to analyze the image of γ under Φ_A . Define $T = \inf\{t : \gamma(t) \in A\}$. For $t < T$, note that Φ_A is the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus A$ onto \mathbb{U} with $\Phi_A(0) = 0$, $\Phi_A(1) = 1$, and that g_t is the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus \gamma[0, t]$ onto \mathbb{U} normalized at the origin. Define \tilde{g}_t to be the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus \tilde{\gamma}[0, t]$ onto \mathbb{U} normalized at the origin and h_t the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus g_t(A)$ onto \mathbb{U} such that $h_t \circ g_t = \tilde{g}_t \circ \Phi_A$. See Figure 5.4. Denote

$$\theta_t = \frac{W_t - O_t}{2}, \quad \vartheta_t = \frac{1}{2} \arg(h_t(e^{iW_t})/h_t(e^{iO_t})).$$

Proposition 5.7. Define

$$M_t = |h'_t(0)|^\alpha \times |h'_t(e^{iW_t})|^{5/8} \times |h'_t(e^{iO_t})|^{\rho(3\rho+4)/32} \times \left(\frac{\sin \vartheta_t}{\sin \theta_t}\right)^{3\rho/8}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{5}{48} + \frac{3}{64}\rho(\rho + 4).$$

Then M is a local martingale. Note that, if we set

$$\beta = \frac{5}{8} + \frac{1}{32}\rho(3\rho + 4) + \frac{3}{8}\rho,$$

we have $\alpha = \xi(\beta)$.




FIG 5.4. The map Φ_A is the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus A$ onto \mathbb{U} with $\Phi_A(0) = 0, \Phi_A(1) = 1$. The map g_t is the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus \gamma[0, t]$ onto \mathbb{U} normalized at the origin. Define \tilde{g}_t to be the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus \tilde{\gamma}[0, t]$ onto \mathbb{U} normalized at the origin and h_t the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus g_t(A)$ onto \mathbb{U} such that $h_t \circ g_t = \tilde{g}_t \circ \Phi_A$.

Proof. Define $\phi_t(z) = -i \log h_t(e^{iz})$ where \log denotes the branch of the logarithm such that $-i \log h_t(e^{iW_t}) = W_t$. Then

$$|h'_t(e^{iW_t})| = \phi'_t(W_t), \quad |h'_t(e^{iV_t})| = \phi'_t(V_t), \quad \vartheta_t = (\phi_t(W_t) - \phi_t(V_t))/2.$$

To simplify the notations, we set $X_1 = \phi'_t(W_t), X_2 = \phi''_t(W_t), Y_1 = \phi'_t(V_t)$. By Itô's Formula, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi_t(W_t) &= \sqrt{8/3}X_1dB_t + \left(-\frac{5}{3}X_2 + \frac{\rho}{2}X_1 \cot \theta_t\right) dt, \\ d\phi_t(V_t) &= -X_1^2 \cot \vartheta_t dt, \\ d\phi'_t(W_t) &= \sqrt{8/3}X_2dB_t + \left(\frac{\rho}{2}X_2 \cot \theta_t + \frac{X_2^2}{2X_1} + \frac{X_1 - X_1^3}{6}\right) dt, \\ d\phi'_t(V_t) &= \left(-\frac{1}{2}X_1^2Y_1 \frac{1}{\sin^2 \vartheta_t} + \frac{1}{2}Y_1 \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta_t}\right) dt, \\ d\theta_t &= \frac{\sqrt{8/3}}{2}dB_t + \frac{\rho + 2}{4} \cot \theta_t dt, \\ d\vartheta_t &= \frac{\sqrt{8/3}}{2}X_1dB_t + \left(-\frac{5}{6}X_2 + \frac{1}{2}X_1^2 \cot \vartheta_t + \frac{\rho}{4}X_1 \cot \theta_t\right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these identities, we see that M is a local martingale. \square

5.4. Relation between radial SLE and chordal SLE

Roughly speaking, chordal SLE is the limit of radial SLE when we let the interior target point go towards a boundary target point. Precisely, for $z \in \mathbb{H}$, suppose

φ^z is the Mobius transformation from \mathbb{U} onto \mathbb{H} that sends 0 to z and 1 to 0. We define radial SLE in \mathbb{H} from 0 to z as the image of radial SLE in \mathbb{U} from 1 to 0 under φ^z . Then, as $y \rightarrow \infty$, radial SLE $_{\kappa}$ in \mathbb{H} from 0 to iy will converge to chordal SLE $_{\kappa}$ (under an appropriate topology).

Proof. Fix $R > 0$, suppose $y > 0$ large. Let γ^{iy} be a radial SLE $_{\kappa}$ in \mathbb{H} from 0 to iy and let γ be a chordal SLE $_{\kappa}$ in \mathbb{H} from 0 to ∞ . Let τ_R be the first time that the curve exits $\mathbb{U}(0, R)$. Set $\rho = 6 - \kappa$, and define

$$M_t(iy) = |g'_t(iy)|^{\rho(\rho+8-2\kappa)/(8\kappa)} (\Im g_t(iy))^{\rho^2/(8\kappa)} |g_t(iy) - W_t|^{\rho/\kappa}.$$

One can check that M is a local martingale under the law of γ (see [SW05, Theorem 6]). Moreover, the measure weighted by $M(iy)/M_0(iy)$ is the same as the law of γ^{iy} (after time-change). In particular, the Radon-Nikodym between the law of $\gamma^{iy}[0, \tau_R]$ and the law of $\gamma[0, \tau_R]$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & M_{\tau_R}(iy)/M_0(iy) \\ &= |g'_{\tau_R}(iy)|^{\rho(\rho+8-2\kappa)/(8\kappa)} \left(\frac{\Im g_{\tau_R}(iy)}{y} \right)^{\rho^2/(8\kappa)} \left(\frac{|g_{\tau_R}(iy) - W_{\tau_R}|}{y} \right)^{\rho/\kappa} \end{aligned}$$

which converges to 1 as $y \rightarrow \infty$. \square

6. Radial conformal restriction

6.1. Setup for radial restriction sample

Let Ω be the collection of compact subset K of $\overline{\mathbb{U}}$ such that

$$K \cap \partial\mathbb{U} = \{1\}, 0 \in K, K \text{ is connected and } \mathbb{U} \setminus K \text{ is connected.}$$

Recall \mathcal{A}_r in Definition 1.2. Endow Ω with the σ -field generated by the events $[K \in \Omega : K \cap A = \emptyset]$ where $A \in \mathcal{A}_r$. Clearly, a probability measure \mathbb{P} on Ω is characterized by the values of $\mathbb{P}[K \cap A = \emptyset]$ for $A \in \mathcal{A}_r$.

Definition 6.1. A probability measure \mathbb{P} on Ω is said to satisfy radial restriction property if the following is true. For any $A \in \mathcal{A}_r$, $\Phi_A(K)$ conditioned on $[K \cap A = \emptyset]$ has the same law as K .

Theorem 6.2. Radial restriction measure have the following description.

- (1) (Characterization) A radial restriction measure is characterized by a pair of real numbers (α, β) such that, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}_r$,

$$\mathbb{P}[K \cap A = \emptyset] = |\Phi'_A(0)|^{\alpha} \Phi'_A(1)^{\beta}. \quad (6.1)$$

We denote the corresponding radial restriction measure by $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$.

- (2) (Existence) The measure $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$ exists if and only if

$$\beta \geq 5/8, \alpha \leq \xi(\beta) = \frac{1}{48} ((\sqrt{24\beta + 1} - 1)^2 - 4).$$

Remark 6.3. We already know the existence of $\mathbb{Q}(5/48, 5/8)$ when K is radial $SLE_{8/3}$. Recall Theorem 2.14, if we take an independent Poisson point process with intensity $\alpha\mu_{\mathbb{U},0}^{loop}$, the “fill-in” of the union of the Poisson point process and radial $SLE_{8/3}$ would give $\mathbb{Q}(5/48 - \alpha, 5/8)$.

Remark 6.4. In Equation (6.1), we have that $|\Phi'_A(0)| \geq 1$ and $\Phi'_A(1) \leq 1$. Since β is positive, we have $\Phi'_A(1)^\beta \leq 1$. But α can be negative or positive, so that $|\Phi'_A(0)|^\alpha$ can be greater than 1. The product $|\Phi'_A(0)|^\alpha \Phi'_A(1)^\beta$ is always less than 1 which is guaranteed by the condition that $\alpha \leq \xi(\beta)$. (In fact, we always have $|\Phi'_A(0)|\Phi'_A(1)^2 \leq 1$.)

Remark 6.5. While the class of chordal restriction measures is characterized by one single parameter $\beta \geq 5/8$, the class of radial restriction measures involves the additional parameter α . This is due to the fact that the radial restriction property is in a sense weaker than the chordal one: the chordal restriction samples in \mathbb{H} are scale-invariant, while the radial ones are not.

6.2. Proof of Theorem 6.2. Characterization.

Suppose that K satisfies Equation (6.1) for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_r$, then K satisfies radial restriction property. Thus, we only need to show that there exist only two-degree of freedom for the radial restriction measures.

It is easier to carry out the calculation in the upper half-plane \mathbb{H} instead of \mathbb{U} . Suppose that K satisfies radial restriction property in \mathbb{H} with interior point i and the boundary point 0. In other words, K is the image of radial restriction sample in \mathbb{U} under the conformal map $\varphi(z) = i(1-z)/(1+z)$. The proof consists of six steps.

Step 1. For any $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, the probability $\mathbb{P}[K \cap \mathbb{U}(x, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset]$ decays like ϵ^2 as ϵ goes to zero. And the limit

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}[K \cap \mathbb{U}(x, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset] / \epsilon^2$$

exists which we denote by $\lambda(x)$. Furthermore $\lambda(x) \in (0, \infty)$.

Step 2. The function λ is continuous and differentiable for $x \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$. We omit the proof for Steps 1 and 2, and the interested readers could consult [Wu15].

Step 3. Fix $x, y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. We estimate the probability of

$$\mathbb{P}[K \cap \mathbb{U}(x, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset, K \cap \mathbb{U}(y, \delta) \neq \emptyset].$$

Clearly, it decays like $\epsilon^2\delta^2$ as ϵ, δ go to zero. Define $f_{x,\epsilon}$ to be the conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus \mathbb{U}(x, \epsilon)$ onto \mathbb{H} that fixes 0 and i . In fact, we can write out the exact expression of $f_{x,\epsilon}$: suppose $0 < \epsilon < |x|$. Then

$$g_{x,\epsilon}(z) := z + \frac{\epsilon^2}{z - x}$$

is a conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus \mathbb{U}(x, \epsilon)$ onto \mathbb{H} . Define

$$f_{x,\epsilon}(z) = b \frac{g_{x,\epsilon}(z) - c}{b^2 + (c - a)(g_{x,\epsilon}(z) - a)}$$

where $a = \Re(g_{x,\epsilon}(i))$, $b = \Im(g_{x,\epsilon}(i))$, $c = g_{x,\epsilon}(0)$. Then $f_{x,\epsilon}$ is the conformal map from $\mathbb{H} \setminus \mathbb{U}(x, \epsilon)$ onto \mathbb{H} that preserves 0 and i .

Lemma 6.6.

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 \delta^2} \mathbb{P}[K \cap \mathbb{U}(x, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset, K \cap \mathbb{U}(y, \delta) \neq \emptyset] \\ &= \lambda(x)\lambda(y) - \lambda'(y)F(x, y) - 2\lambda(y)G(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (f_{x,\epsilon}(y) - y) = \frac{1 + x^2 + y^2 + xy}{x(1 + x^2)} + \frac{1}{y - x}, \\ G(x, y) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (f'_{x,\epsilon}(y) - 1) = \frac{x + 2y}{x(1 + x^2)} - \frac{1}{(y - x)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By radial restriction property, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}[K \cap \mathbb{U}(x, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset, K \cap \mathbb{U}(y, \delta) \neq \emptyset] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[K \cap \mathbb{U}(x, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset] \times \mathbb{P}[K \cap \mathbb{U}(y, \delta) \neq \emptyset \mid K \cap \mathbb{U}(x, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[K \cap \mathbb{U}(x, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset] \times \mathbb{P}[K \cap f_{x,\epsilon}(\mathbb{U}(y, \delta)) \neq \emptyset] \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 \delta^2} \mathbb{P}[K \cap \mathbb{U}(x, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset, K \cap \mathbb{U}(y, \delta) \neq \emptyset] \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 \delta^2} \\ &\quad \times (\mathbb{P}[K \cap \mathbb{U}(y, \delta) \neq \emptyset] - \mathbb{P}[K \cap \mathbb{U}(x, \epsilon) = \emptyset] \times \mathbb{P}[K \cap f_{x,\epsilon}(\mathbb{U}(y, \delta)) \neq \emptyset]) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (\lambda(y) - \mathbb{P}[K \cap \mathbb{U}(x, \epsilon) = \emptyset] \lambda(f_{x,\epsilon}(y)) |f'_{x,\epsilon}(y)|^2) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (\mathbb{P}[K \cap \mathbb{U}(x, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset] \lambda(f_{x,\epsilon}(y)) |f'_{x,\epsilon}(y)|^2 + \lambda(y) - \lambda(f_{x,\epsilon}(y)) |f'_{x,\epsilon}(y)|^2) \\ &= \lambda(x)\lambda(y) - \lambda'(y)F(x, y) - 2\lambda(y)G(x, y). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Step 4. We are allowed to exchange the order in taking the limits in Lemma 6.6. In other words, we have that

$$\lambda'(y)F(x, y) + 2\lambda(y)G(x, y) = \lambda'(x)F(y, x) + 2\lambda(x)G(y, x). \quad (6.2)$$

We call this equation the **Commutation Relation**.

Step 5. Solve the function λ through Commutation Relation (6.2).

Lemma 6.7. *There exists two constants $c_0 \geq 0, c_2 \geq 0$ such that*

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{c_0 + c_2 x^2}{x^2(1+x^2)^2}.$$

Proof. In Commutation Relation (6.2), let $y \rightarrow x$, we obtain a differential equation for the function λ . To write it in a better way, define

$$P(x) = x^2(1+x^2)^2\lambda(x),$$

then the differential equation becomes

$$P'''(x) = 0.$$

Moreover, we know that λ is an even function. Thus, there exist three constants c_0, c_1, c_2 such that

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{c_0 + c_1 x + c_2 x^2}{x^2(1+x^2)^2}, \quad \text{for } x > 0;$$

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{c_0 - c_1 x + c_2 x^2}{x^2(1+x^2)^2}, \quad \text{for } x < 0.$$

We plugin these identities in Commutation Relation (6.2) and take $x > 0 > y$, then we get $c_1 = 0$. Since λ is positive, we have $c_0 \geq 0, c_2 \geq 0$. \square

Step 6. Find the relation between (α, β) and (c_0, c_2) . Since there are only two-degree of freedom, when K satisfies radial restriction property, we must have that Equation (6.1) holds for some α, β . Note that

$$\mathbb{P}[K \cap \mathbb{U}(x, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset] \sim \lambda(x)\epsilon^2.$$

Compare it with

$$1 - |f'_{x,\epsilon}(i)|^\alpha |f'_{x,\epsilon}(0)|^\beta,$$

we have that

$$\alpha = (c_0 - c_2)/4, \quad \beta = c_0/2.$$

6.3. Several basic observations of radial restriction property

Recall a result for Brownian loop: Theorem 2.14. Let $(l_j, j \in J)$ be a Poisson point process with intensity $c\mu_{\mathbb{U},0}^{loop}$ for some $c > 0$. Set $\Sigma = \cup_j l_j$. Then we have that, for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_r$,

$$\mathbb{P}[\Sigma \cap A = \emptyset] = |\Phi'_A(0)|^{-c}.$$

Suppose K_0 is a radial restriction sample whose law is $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$. Take K as the “fill-in” of the union of Σ and K_0 , then clearly, K has the law of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_0 - c, \beta_0)$. Thus we derived the following lemma.

Lemma 6.8. *If the radial restriction measure exists for some (α_0, β_0) , then $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta_0)$ exists for all $\alpha < \alpha_0$. Furthermore, almost surely for $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta_0)$, the origin is not on the boundary of K .*




FIG 6.1. The curve γ^R is a radial $SLE_{8/3}^L(\rho)$ in \mathbb{U} from 1 to 0. Conditioned on γ^R , the curve γ^L is a chordal $SLE_{8/3}^R(\rho - 2)$ in $\overline{\mathbb{U}} \setminus \gamma^R$ from 1^- to 0. The set K is the closure of the union of domains between the two curves.

In the next subsection, we will construct $\mathbb{Q}(\xi(\beta), \beta)$ for $\beta \geq 5/8$ and point out that if K has the law of $\mathbb{Q}(\xi(\beta), \beta)$, almost surely the origin is on the boundary of K . Thus, combine with Lemma 6.8, we could show that, when $\beta \geq 5/8$, $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$ exists if and only if $\alpha \leq \xi(\beta)$. Note that, radial $SLE_{8/3}$ has the same law as $\mathbb{Q}(5/48, 5/8)$ where $5/48 = \xi(5/8)$.

Another basic observation is that $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$ does not exist when $\beta < 5/8$. Suppose K^0 is a radial restriction sample with law $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$. For any interior point $z \in \mathbb{H}$, we define K^z as the image of K^0 under the Möbius transformation from \mathbb{U} onto \mathbb{H} such that sends 1 to 0 and 0 to z . Similar as the relation between radial SLE and chordal SLE in Subsection 5.4, if we let $z \rightarrow \infty$, K^z converges weakly toward some probability measure, and the limit measure satisfies chordal restriction property with exponent β , thus $\beta \geq 5/8$.

6.4. Construction of radial restriction measure $\mathbb{Q}(\xi(\beta), \beta)$ for $\beta > 5/8$

The construction of $\mathbb{Q}(\xi(\beta), \beta)$ is very similar to the construction of $\mathbb{P}(\beta)$.

Proposition 6.9. Fix $\beta > 5/8$ and let

$$\rho = \rho(\beta) = \frac{1}{3}(-8 + 2\sqrt{24\beta + 1}).$$

Let γ^R be a radial $SLE_{8/3}^L(\rho)$ in $\overline{\mathbb{U}}$ from 1 to 0. Given γ^R , let γ^L be an independent chordal $SLE_{8/3}^R(\rho - 2)$ in $\overline{\mathbb{U}} \setminus \gamma^R$ from 1^- to 0. Let K be the closure of the union of the domains between γ^L and γ^R . See Figure 6.1. Then the law of K is $\mathbb{Q}(\xi(\beta), \beta)$. In particular, the origin is almost surely on the boundary of K .

Proof. Suppose $(g_t, t \geq 0)$ is the Loewner chain for γ^R . For any $A \in \mathcal{A}_r$, define $T = \inf\{t : \gamma^R(t) \in A\}$. For $t < T$, let h_t be the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus g_t(A)$ onto U normalized at the origin. From Proposition 5.7, we know that

$$M_t = |h'_t(0)|^\alpha \times |h'_t(e^{iW_t})|^{5/8} \times |h'_t(e^{iO_t})|^{\rho(3\rho+4)/32} \times \left(\frac{\sin \vartheta_t}{\sin \theta_t}\right)^{3\rho/8}$$




FIG 6.2. The map g_t is the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus \gamma^R[0, t]$ onto \mathbb{U} normalized at the origin. The map h_t is the conformal map from $\mathbb{U} \setminus g_t(A)$ onto \mathbb{U} normalized at the origin.

is a local martingale, and

$$M_0 = |\Phi'_A(0)|^{\xi(\beta)} \Phi'_A(1)^\beta.$$

See Figure 6.2. If $T < \infty$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} h'_t(e^{iW_t}) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow T} M_t = 0.$$

If $T = \infty$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$|h'_t(0)| \rightarrow 1, \quad |h'_t(e^{iW_t})| \rightarrow 1, \quad \frac{\sin \theta_t}{\sin \vartheta_t} \rightarrow 1,$$

$$|h'_t(e^{iO_t})|^{\rho(3\rho+4)/32} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}[\gamma^L \cap A = \emptyset \mid \gamma^R].$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}[K \cap A = \emptyset] = \mathbb{E}[1_{T=\infty} \mathbb{E}[1_{K \cap A = \emptyset} \mid \gamma^R]] = \mathbb{E}[M_T] = M_0. \quad \square$$

6.5. Whole-plane intersection exponents $\xi(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)$

Recall that $\xi(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)$ and $\tilde{\xi}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)$ are defined in Equations (1.1, 1.2) and $\hat{\xi}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)$ is defined in Equation (4.6). For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and a subset $K \subset \overline{\mathbb{U}}$, denote

$$e^{ix}K = \{e^{ix}z : z \in K\}.$$

For $r \in (0, 1)$, denote the annulus by

$$\mathbb{A}_r = \mathbb{U} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{U}}(0, r).$$

Proposition 6.10. Fix $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p \geq 5/8$. Suppose K_1, \dots, K_p are p independent radial restriction samples whose laws are $\mathbb{Q}(\xi(\beta_1), \beta_1), \dots, \mathbb{Q}(\xi(\beta_p), \beta_p)$ respectively. Let $r > 0$, $\epsilon > 0$ small. Set $x_j = j\epsilon$ for $j = 1, \dots, p$. Then, as $\epsilon, r \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}[(e^{ix_{j_1}} K_{j_1} \cap \mathbb{A}_r) \cap (e^{ix_{j_2}} K_{j_2} \cap \mathbb{A}_r) = \emptyset, 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq p] \\ & \approx \epsilon^{\hat{\xi}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)} r^{\xi(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p) - \xi(\beta_1) - \dots - \xi(\beta_p)}. \end{aligned}$$

In the following theorem, we will consider the law of K_1, \dots, K_p conditioned on “non-intersection”. Since the event of “non-intersection” has zero probability, we need to explain the precise meaning: the conditioned law would be obtained through a limiting procedure: first consider the law of K_1, \dots, K_p conditioned on

$$[(e^{ix_{j_1}} K_{j_1} \cap \mathbb{A}_r) \cap (e^{ix_{j_2}} K_{j_2} \cap \mathbb{A}_r) = \emptyset, 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq p]$$

and then let $r \rightarrow 0$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 6.11. *Fix $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p \geq 5/8$. Suppose K_1, \dots, K_p are p independent radial restriction samples whose laws are $\mathbb{Q}(\xi(\beta_1), \beta_1), \dots, \mathbb{Q}(\xi(\beta_p), \beta_p)$ respectively. Then the “fill-in” of the union of these p sets conditioned on “non-intersection” has the same law as radial restriction sample with law*

$$\mathbb{Q}(\xi(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p), \tilde{\xi}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)).$$

We only need to show the results for $p = 2$ and other p can be proved by induction. When $p = 2$, Proposition 6.10 is a direct consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 6.12. *Let K be a radial restriction sample with exponents (α, β) . Let $r > 0$, $\epsilon > 0$ be small. Suppose γ is an independent radial $SLE_{8/3}^L(\rho)$ process. Then we have*

$$\mathbb{P}[\gamma[0, t] \cap (e^{i\epsilon} K) = \emptyset] \approx \epsilon^{\frac{3}{16}\bar{\rho}(\rho+2)} r^{\bar{q}-q-\alpha} \quad \text{as } \epsilon, r \rightarrow 0$$

where $r = e^{-t}$, and

$$\bar{\rho} = \frac{2}{3}(\sqrt{24\beta + 1} - 1),$$

$$q = \frac{3}{64}\rho(\rho + 4), \quad \bar{q} = \frac{3}{64}(\bar{\rho} + \rho)(\bar{\rho} + \rho + 4).$$

Note that, if $\beta_1 = \beta$, $\beta_2 = (3\rho^2 + 16\rho + 20)/32$, $\alpha = \xi(\beta_1)$, then

$$\frac{3}{16}\bar{\rho}(\rho + 2) = \hat{\xi}(\beta_1, \beta_2), \quad \bar{q} - q - \alpha = \xi(\beta_1, \beta_2) - \xi(\beta_1) - \xi(\beta_2).$$

Proof. Let $(g_t, t \geq 0)$ be the Loewner chain for γ and (O_t, W_t) be the solution to the SDE. Precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t g_t(z) &= g_t(z) \frac{e^{iW_t} + g_t(z)}{e^{iW_t} - g_t(z)}, \quad g_0(z) = z; \\ dW_t &= \sqrt{\kappa} dB_t + \frac{\rho}{2} \cot\left(\frac{W_t - O_t}{2}\right) dt, \quad dO_t = -\cot\left(\frac{W_t - O_t}{2}\right) dt, \\ W_0 &= 0, O_0 = 2\pi - . \end{aligned}$$

Given $\gamma[0, t]$, since K satisfies radial restriction property, we have that

$$\mathbb{P}[\gamma[0, t] \cap (e^{i\epsilon} K) = \emptyset \mid \gamma[0, t]] = g'_t(e^{i\epsilon})^\beta e^{t\alpha}.$$

Define

$$M_t = e^{t(\bar{q}-q)} g'_t(e^{i\epsilon})^\beta |g_t(e^{i\epsilon}) - e^{iW_t}|^{3\bar{\rho}/8} |g_t(e^{i\epsilon}) - e^{iO_t}|^{3\rho\bar{\rho}/16}.$$

One can check that M is a local martingale. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}[\gamma[0, t] \cap (e^{i\epsilon} K) = \emptyset] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[g'_t(e^{i\epsilon})^\beta e^{t\alpha}] \\ &= e^{t(\alpha-\bar{q}+q)} \mathbb{E}[e^{t(\bar{q}-q)} g'_t(e^{i\epsilon})^\beta] \\ &\approx r^{\bar{q}-q-\alpha} \mathbb{E}[M_t] = r^{\bar{q}-q-\alpha} M_0. \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 6.11. Assume $p = 2$. For any $A \in \mathcal{A}_r$, we need to estimate the following probability

$$\mathbb{P}[K_1 \cap A = \emptyset, K_2 \cap A = \emptyset \mid (e^{i\epsilon} K_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_r) \cap (e^{i2\epsilon} K_2 \cap \mathbb{A}_r) = \emptyset].$$

The idea is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.11. Since K_i satisfies radial restriction property, conditioned on $[K_i \cap A = \emptyset]$, the conditional law of $\Phi_A(K_i)$ has the same law as K_i for $i = 1, 2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0, r \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}[K_1 \cap A = \emptyset, K_2 \cap A = \emptyset \mid (e^{i\epsilon} K_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_r) \cap (e^{i2\epsilon} K_2 \cap \mathbb{A}_r) = \emptyset] \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0, r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}[K_1 \cap A = \emptyset, K_2 \cap A = \emptyset, (e^{i\epsilon} K_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_r) \cap (e^{i2\epsilon} K_2 \cap \mathbb{A}_r) = \emptyset]}{\mathbb{P}[(e^{i\epsilon} K_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_r) \cap (e^{i2\epsilon} K_2 \cap \mathbb{A}_r) = \emptyset]} \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0, r \rightarrow 0} |\Phi'_A(0)|^{\xi(\beta_1)+\xi(\beta_2)} \Phi'_A(1)^{\beta_1+\beta_2} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\mathbb{P}[(e^{i\epsilon} K_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_r) \cap (e^{i2\epsilon} K_2 \cap \mathbb{A}_r) = \emptyset \mid K_1 \cap A = \emptyset, K_2 \cap A = \emptyset]}{\mathbb{P}[(e^{i\epsilon} K_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_r) \cap (e^{i2\epsilon} K_2 \cap \mathbb{A}_r) = \emptyset]} \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0, r \rightarrow 0} |\Phi'_A(0)|^{\xi(\beta_1)+\xi(\beta_2)} \Phi'_A(1)^{\beta_1+\beta_2} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\mathbb{P}[(\Phi_A(e^{i\epsilon}) K_1 \cap \Phi_A(\mathbb{A}_r \setminus A)) \cap (\Phi_A(e^{i2\epsilon}) K_2 \cap \Phi_A(\mathbb{A}_r \setminus A)) = \emptyset]}{\mathbb{P}[(e^{i\epsilon} K_1 \cap \mathbb{A}_r) \cap (e^{i2\epsilon} K_2 \cap \mathbb{A}_r) = \emptyset]} \\ &= |\Phi'_A(0)|^{\xi(\beta_1)+\xi(\beta_2)} \Phi'_A(1)^{\beta_1+\beta_2} \Phi'_A(1)^{\hat{\xi}(\beta_1, \beta_2)} |\Phi'_A(0)|^{\xi(\beta_1, \beta_2) - \xi(\beta_1) - \xi(\beta_2)} \\ &= |\Phi'_A(0)|^{\xi(\beta_1, \beta_2)} \Phi'_A(1)^{\hat{\xi}(\beta_1, \beta_2)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

References

- [Bil99] PATRICK BILLINGSLEY. *Convergence of Probability Measures*. 1999. [MR1700749](#)
- [BL90] K BURDZY and G LAWLER. Non-intersection exponents for random walk and brownian motion. part i: Existence and an invariance principle. probab. th. and rel. fields 84 393-410. *Math. Review 91g*, 60096, 1990. [MR1035664](#)

- [DK88] BERTRAND DUPLANTIER and KYUNG-HOON KWON. Conformal invariance and intersections of random walks. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 61:2514–2517, Nov 1988.
- [DLLGL93] BERTRAND DUPLANTIER, GREGORY F LAWLER, J-F LE GALL, and TERENCE J LYONS. The geometry of the brownian curve. *Bulletin des sciences mathématiques*, 117(1):91–106, 1993. [MR1205413](#)
- [Law96a] GREGORY F LAWLER. The dimension of the frontier of planar brownian motion. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 1:29–47, 1996. [MR1386292](#)
- [Law96b] GREGORY F. LAWLER. Hausdorff dimension of cut points for Brownian motion. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 1:no. 2, approx. 20 pp. (electronic), 1996. [MR1386294](#)
- [Law05] GREGORY F. LAWLER. *Conformally invariant processes in the plane*, volume 114 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. [MR2129588](#)
- [LP97] GREGORY F LAWLER and EMILY E PUCKETTE. The disconnection exponent for simple random walk. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 99(1):109–121, 1997. [MR1469089](#)
- [LP00] GREGORY F LAWLER and EMILY E PUCKETTE. The intersection exponent for simple random walk. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 9(05):441–464, 2000. [MR1810151](#)
- [LSW01a] GREGORY F. LAWLER, ODED SCHRAMM, and WENDELIN WERNER. Values of Brownian intersection exponents. I. Half-plane exponents. *Acta Math.*, 187(2):237–273, 2001. [MR1879850](#)
- [LSW01b] GREGORY F. LAWLER, ODED SCHRAMM, and WENDELIN WERNER. Values of Brownian intersection exponents. II. Plane exponents. *Acta Math.*, 187(2):275–308, 2001. [MR1879851](#)
- [LSW02a] GREGORY F. LAWLER, ODED SCHRAMM, and WENDELIN WERNER. Analyticity of intersection exponents for planar Brownian motion. *Acta Math.*, 189(2):179–201, 2002. [MR1961197](#)
- [LSW02b] GREGORY F LAWLER, ODED SCHRAMM, and WENDELIN WERNER. On the scaling limit of planar self-avoiding walk. *arXiv preprint math/0204277*, 2002. [MR2112127](#)
- [LSW02c] GREGORY F. LAWLER, ODED SCHRAMM, and WENDELIN WERNER. Values of Brownian intersection exponents. III. Two-sided exponents. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 38(1):109–123, 2002. [MR1899232](#)
- [LSW03] GREGORY F. LAWLER, ODED SCHRAMM, and WENDELIN WERNER. Conformal restriction: the chordal case. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(4):917–955 (electronic), 2003. [MR1992830](#)
- [LW99] GREGORY F. LAWLER and WENDELIN WERNER. Intersection exponent for planar brownian motion. *The Annals of Probability*, 27(4):1601–1642, 1999. [MR1742883](#)
- [LW00a] GREGORY F. LAWLER and WENDELIN WERNER. Universality for conformally invariant intersection exponents. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 2(4):291–328, 2000. [MR1796962](#)

- [LW00b] GREGORY F LAWLER and WENDELIN WERNER. Universality for conformally invariant intersection exponents. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 2(4):291–328, 2000. [MR1796962](#)
- [LW04] GREGORY F. LAWLER and WENDELIN WERNER. The Brownian loop soup. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 128(4):565–588, 2004. [MR2045953](#)
- [Man83] BENOIT B MANDELBROT. *The fractal geometry of nature*, volume 173. Macmillan, 1983.
- [RS05] STEFFEN ROHDE and ODED SCHRAMM. Basic properties of SLE. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 161(2):883–924, 2005. [MR2153402](#)
- [Sch00] ODED SCHRAMM. Scaling limits of loop-erased random walks and uniform spanning trees. *Israel J. Math.*, 118:221–288, 2000. [MR1776084](#)
- [Smi01] STANISLAV SMIRNOV. Critical percolation in the plane: conformal invariance, Cardy’s formula, scaling limits. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 333(3):239–244, 2001. [MR1851632](#)
- [SW05] ODED SCHRAMM and DAVID B. WILSON. SLE coordinate changes. *New York J. Math.*, 11:659–669 (electronic), 2005. [MR2188260](#)
- [SW12] SCOTT SHEFFIELD and WENDELIN WERNER. Conformal loop ensembles: the Markovian characterization and the loop-soup construction. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 176(3):1827–1917, 2012. [MR2979861](#)
- [Wer04] WENDELIN WERNER. Random planar curves and Schramm-Loewner evolutions. In *Lectures on probability theory and statistics*, volume 1840 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 107–195. Springer, Berlin, 2004. [MR2079672](#)
- [Wer05] WENDELIN WERNER. Conformal restriction and related questions. *Probab. Surv.*, 2:145–190, 2005. [MR2178043](#)
- [Wer07] WENDELIN WERNER. Lectures on two-dimensional critical percolation. 2007.
- [Wer08] WENDELIN WERNER. The conformally invariant measure on self-avoiding loops. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 21(1):137–169, 2008. [MR2350053](#)
- [Wu15] HAO WU. Conformal restriction: the radial case. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 125(2):552–570, 2015. [MR3293294](#)