

# Bilinear Expansions of KP Multipair Correlators in BKP Correlators

Aleksandr Yu. ORLOV <sup>ab</sup>

a) *National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia*

E-mail: [orlovs55@mail.ru](mailto:orlovs55@mail.ru)

b) *Institute of Oceanology, Moscow, Russia*

Received February 03, 2024, in final form October 08, 2024; Published online October 31, 2024

<https://doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2024.098>

**Abstract.** I present a generalization of our joint works with John Harnad (2021) that relates Schur functions, KP tau functions and KP correlation functions to Schur's  $Q$ -functions, BKP tau functions and BKP correlation functions, respectively.

*Key words:* Schur function; Schur's  $Q$ -function; charged fermions; neutral fermions; KP tau function; BKP tau function

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 05E05; 37K10; 81R12

*Dedicated to John Harnad  
on the occasion of his 75th birthday*

## Extended abstract

The relationship between the Schur function  $s_\lambda(\mathbf{z})$  and the projective Schur function  $Q_\alpha(\mathbf{x})$  (the same Schur's  $Q$ -functions) is well known in the case where the partitions  $\lambda$  and  $\alpha$  are related as follows: the partition  $\lambda$  has a special type, namely, it is the double  $D(\alpha)$  of the strict partition  $\alpha$ , and at the same time the argument  $\mathbf{z}$  of the Schur function is also special: it can be written as a supersymmetric Newton sum  $D(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}/-\mathbf{x}$  (one could say that the argument of the Schur function must be the “double” of the argument of the projective Schur function). This relationship looks like this:  $s_{D(\alpha)}(D(\mathbf{x})) = (Q_\alpha(\mathbf{x}))^2$ , see [17]. In [7], we obtained a more general bilinear relationship between  $s_\lambda$  and  $Q_\alpha$  by removing the mentioned restriction on the partition of  $\lambda$ . In a similar way, bilinear relations were obtained between the determinant and Pfaffian tau functions (namely, between the tau functions of the KP and BKP hierarchies). In this paper, we remove restrictions from the argument of the Schur function, which no longer has to be the “double” of the argument of the projective Schur function, and obtain the most general connection between  $s_\lambda$  and  $Q_\alpha$ , as well as between the determinant and Pfaffian tau functions and correlators. We use Japanese fermionic technique. This work is a continuation of joint works with John Harnad [7, 8, 9].

## 1 Introduction

This work is a continuation of [7, 8, 9, 29] which concerned bilinear expansions of Schur lattices  $\{\pi_\lambda(g)(\mathbf{t})\}$  of KP  $\tau$ -functions, labeled by partitions  $\lambda$  and  $\mathrm{GL}(\infty)$  group elements  $\hat{g}$  expressible as sums of products of corresponding lattices  $\{\kappa_\alpha(h)(\mathbf{t}_B)\}$  of BKP  $\tau$  functions, labeled by strict partitions  $\alpha$  and  $\mathrm{SO}(\infty)$  group elements  $\hat{h}$ .

The approach was based on the notion of tau function, as introduced by Sato [30] expressed as vacuum state expectation values (VEV's) of products free fermionic operators, and their exponentials, as developed in the works of Kyoto school [2, 3, 12].

## 1.1 Few words about the problem

Definitions will be given later below in Section 2.

(a) *Schur functions and the projective Schur functions.* Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$  is a strict partition and  $D(\alpha) := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r | \alpha_1 - 1, \dots, \alpha_r - 1)$  and  $\hat{r} = r$  if  $r$  is even and  $\hat{r} = r + 1$  if  $r$  is odd. The following relation is known (see, for instance, [17]):

$$2^{-\hat{r}} (Q_\alpha(\mathbf{p}^B))^2 = s_{D(\alpha)}(\mathbf{p}), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $Q_\alpha$  is the projective Schur function written as the function of power sum variables

$$\mathbf{p}^B = (p_1^B, p_3^B, p_5^B, \dots) \quad (1.2)$$

and where  $s_\lambda$  is the Schur function written as function of power sum variables

$$\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, \dots),$$

and in formula (1.1) these two sets of variables are related as follows:

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}' := (p_1, 0, p_3, 0, p_5, 0, \dots), \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$p_i = 2p_i^B, \quad i \text{ odd}, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $p_n^B$  and  $p_n$  are commonly related to the two different sets of the variables, say  $z_1, \dots, z_N$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_M$ , respectively, as Newton sums

$$p_{2m-1}^B = p_{2m-1}(z_1, z_2, \dots) = \sum_{i=1}^N z_i^{2m-1}, \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (1.5)$$

$$p_m = p_m(x_1, \dots, x_M) = \sum_{i=1}^M x_i^m, \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

and therefore are called power sum variables.

The projective Schur function is a polynomial quasihomogeneous function in the power sum variables and symmetric homogeneous polynomial in the variables  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_{N(\mathbf{z})})$ , related to the power sums by (1.5). It is labeled by a strict partition (multiindex)  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$  (where  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \dots > \alpha_k \geq 0$  is the set of integers). We will recall the definition later in the text. We will write the projective Schur function either as symmetric function  $Q_\alpha(\mathbf{z})$  or as polynomial  $Q_\alpha(\mathbf{p}^B)$  and we hope it does not produce a misunderstanding.

The function  $s_{D(\alpha)}(\mathbf{p})$  in the right-hand side is the Schur function labeled by a special partition (the multiindex) denoted by  $D(\alpha)$  which is called the double of the strict partition  $\alpha$ . In general, the Schur function  $s_\lambda(\mathbf{p})$  is defined for *any* partition  $\lambda$  and is a quasihomogeneous polynomial in the variables  $(p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots) =: \mathbf{p}$ , where in contrast to (1.3) the variables indexed with even numbers are also in presence. However the Schur function in the right-hand side of (1.1) is evaluated for the restricted set of variables denoted by  $\mathbf{p}'$  which is  $(p_1, 0, p_3, 0, p_5, 0, \dots)$  and  $\lambda$  is chosen to be the double of  $\alpha$ . In what follows, we also use the so-called Frobenius coordinated of  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda = (\alpha | \beta)$ , where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$  is the pair of strict partitions. The function  $s_\lambda$  is a symmetric homogeneous polynomial in the variables  $\mathbf{x}$  and commonly is written as  $s_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$  which is  $s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}))$  (we hope it will not produce the incomprehension).

Equality (1.1) is the fundamental equality which relates Schur functions and projective Schur functions, to our knowledge at first it was found in [34].

Consider two independent sets of variables  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_N)$  and  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_N)$ . (Sometimes we will use the notation  $N(\mathbf{a})$  for the number of the variables in the set  $\mathbf{a}$ .)

Let us choose

$$p_n = p_n(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}) := \sum_{i=1}^N (a_i^n - (-b_i)^n), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (1.6)$$

Such  $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})$  we will call *supersymmetric Newton sums* of the variables  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ . Below, we use the notation  $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}) = (p_1(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}), p_2(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}), p_3(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}), \dots)$  and  $s_\lambda(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}) := s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}))$  (the similar notation is used in [17, Chapter I, Section 3, Example 23]).

Notice that  $s_\lambda(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{a})$  can be written as  $s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}')$ , where  $p'_{2n-1} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^{2n-1}$ . We will see that the “supersymmetric Newton sums” are quite natural for our problems and we shall use it throughout the paper.

**Remark 1.1.** One can easily conjecture that for any set  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots)$  there exists such a number  $N$  (perhaps, infinite) and two sets  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_N)$  and  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_N)$  that (1.6) is true.

In the present work, we find the generalization of (1.1) which symbolically may be written as

$$s_{(\alpha|\beta)}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}) = 2^{-\hat{r}} \sum_{\substack{(\zeta^+, \zeta^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta) \\ (\mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})}} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta^+, \zeta^- \\ \alpha, \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^- \\ \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix} Q_{\zeta^+}(\mathbf{z}^+) Q_{\zeta^-}(\mathbf{z}^-), \quad (1.7)$$

where the partition  $\lambda = (\alpha|\beta)$  and the sets of complex numbers  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  are free. The sum in the right-hand side is taken over splittings of the set of the Frobenius coordinates  $\alpha \cup (\beta + 1)$  into ordered subsets  $\zeta^-$  and  $\zeta^+$ , and over splittings of the set of the coordinates  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  into ordered subsets  $\mathbf{z}^-$  and  $\mathbf{z}^+$ . The weights denoted by square brackets will be written down in (2.4) and (2.12), see Section 2.1. The projective Schur functions in the right-hand side are written as symmetric functions in the variables  $\mathbf{z}^\pm = (z_1^\pm, \dots, z_{N(\mathbf{z}^\pm)}^\pm)$  selected from the set  $a_1, \dots, a_N, b_1, \dots, b_N$ . The parts of the partitions  $\zeta^\pm = (\zeta_1^\pm, \dots, \zeta_{m(\zeta^\pm)}^\pm)$  are selected from the set  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r, \beta_1 + 1, \dots, \beta_r + 1$ .<sup>1</sup>

Actually, the case  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$  was already studied in our previous work [7]. Let us note that under the pair of restrictions:  $\alpha = \beta + 1$  and  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$  we get formula (1.1) as the particular case of (1.7).

(b) *KP and BKP lattice tau functions.* Apart of the relation (1.7) we get much more general relation, that is a relation between KP and BKP tau functions. Let us remind that the Schur function is the simplest nontrivial example of the KP tau function [30] while the projective Schur function is the simplest nontrivial example of the BKP tau function [23, 34].<sup>2</sup>

The lattice KP tau function can be written as a sum over partitions as follows:

$$S_\lambda(\mathbf{p}|\hat{g}) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} s_\mu(\mathbf{p}) \hat{g}_{\mu, \lambda} \quad (1.8)$$

and depends on  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots)$  and also on a partition (a multiindex)  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the set of all partitions.

Below we imply that  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})$ . The lattice BKP tau function can be written as

$$K_\mu(\mathbf{z}|\hat{h}) = \sum_{\nu \in \text{DP}} Q_\nu(\mathbf{z}) \hat{h}_{\nu, \mu}. \quad (1.9)$$

<sup>1</sup>It would be symmetric for semiinteger partitions where  $\alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha_i + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\beta_i \rightarrow \beta_i - \frac{1}{2}$  and the labels of the Fourier modes  $\psi_i \rightarrow \psi_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ , as it was done in Kac's papers, but we avoid semiintegers.

<sup>2</sup>Let us note that by BKP tau function we mean the tau function introduced by Kyoto school in [2]. Another tau function also called the BKP one was introduced in [13]. Both tau functions have a lot of applications in various problems of mathematical physics, for instance, in random matrix theory.

In these formulas,  $\hat{g}_{\mu,\lambda}$  and  $\hat{h}_{\nu,\mu}$  are certain coefficients given by the choice of KP and BKP tau functions, see Section 2.6 below. This choice may be treated as a choice of a certain element of the Clifford group  $\hat{g}$  in the KP case which is defined by a choice of  $\hat{g} \in \widehat{GL}_\infty$  in the KP case (see (2.58) below) and by  $\hat{h} \in \hat{B}_\infty$  in the BKP case (formula (2.61) below) (therefore, we label the left-hand sides of (1.8) and (1.9) with these symbols).

**Remark 1.2.**

- If  $\hat{g} = 1$ , then  $S_\lambda(\mathbf{p}|\hat{g} = 1) = s_\lambda(\mathbf{p})$ .
- If  $\hat{h} = 1$ , then  $K_\mu(\mathbf{z}|\hat{h} = 1) = Q_\mu(\mathbf{z})$ .

**Remark 1.3.**

- If  $\lambda = 0$ , then  $S_{\lambda=0}(\mathbf{p}|\hat{g})$  is usual (“one-side”) KP tau function.
- If  $\mu = 0$ , then  $K_{\mu=0}(\mathbf{p}^B|\hat{h})$  is usual (“one-side”) BKP tau function.

In the present work, it is supposed that  $\hat{g}, \hat{h}^\pm \in \hat{B}_\infty$  and  $\hat{g} = \hat{h}^- \hat{h}^+$ .

Under parametrization (1.6) and the mentioned condition  $\hat{g} = \hat{h}^- \hat{h}^+ \in \hat{B}_\infty$  explained in Section 2.6, the relation between (1.8) and (1.9) is identical to the relation (1.7), where  $s_\lambda(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})$  is replaced by  $S_\lambda(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})|\hat{g})$  of (1.8) and where  $Q_{\zeta^\pm}(\mathbf{z}^\pm)$  are replaced by  $K_\mu(\mathbf{z}|\hat{h}^\pm)$  of (1.9):

$$S_{(\alpha|\beta)}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}|\hat{h}^+ \hat{h}^-) = \sum_{\substack{(\zeta^+, \zeta^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta) \\ (\mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})}} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta^+, \zeta^- \\ \alpha, \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^- \\ \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix} K_{\zeta^+}(\mathbf{z}^+|\hat{h}^+) K_{\zeta^-}(\mathbf{z}^-|\hat{h}^-), \quad (1.10)$$

where the summation range and the weights denoted by square brackets are the same as in (1.7). This is a subject of Theorem 4.3.

**Remark 1.4.** In the spirit of the terminology common in soliton theory, one may say that relation (1.10) is the *dressed* by element  $\hat{g}$  version of (1.7).

**Remark 1.5.** In our previous work [8], we use different notations

$$\pi_{(\alpha|\beta)}(\hat{g})(\mathbf{p}) = S_{(\alpha|\beta)}(\mathbf{p}|\hat{g}), \quad \kappa_\alpha(\hat{h})(2\mathbf{p}^B) = K_\alpha(2\mathbf{p}^B|\hat{h})$$

see Remarks 2.29 and 2.33.

**Remark 1.6.** We call a KP lattice tau function *polynomial* in case for any  $\lambda$ , in (1.8), there is only a finite number of terms in the right-hand side. Examples of the polynomial KP tau functions were presented in [6, 9]. Similarly, we call a BKP lattice tau function polynomial if there is a finite number of terms in the right-hand side of (1.9) for any  $\mu$ . Polynomial BKP tau functions were studied in [14, 15].

As we mentioned (see Remark 1.2), the simplest example of the KP polynomial tau function is the Schur function  $s_\lambda(\mathbf{p})$ . Other examples, like characters of linear groups or Laguerre polynomials can be found in [6, 9], respectively. The simplest example of the BKP polynomial tau function is the projective  $Q_\mu$ -function. Other examples may be found in [9] and in the references therein.

(c) *The relation between KP and BKP two-sided tau functions.* A two-sided KP tau function can be written as a double sum over partitions

$$\tau(\mathbf{p}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}|\hat{g}) = \sum_{\lambda, \mu} s_\mu(\mathbf{p}) \hat{g}_{\mu, \lambda} s_\lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}). \quad (1.11)$$

Each two-sided KP tau function depends on two sets of higher times  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots)$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{p}} = (\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2, \dots)$ . In the present paper we consider only the case  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})$  according to (1.6). Similarly, we choose  $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$  to be

$$\tilde{p}_n = \tilde{p}_n(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}/-\tilde{\mathbf{b}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{N}} (\tilde{a}_i^n - (-\tilde{b}_i)^n). \quad (1.12)$$

We will write two-sided KP tau function as  $\tau(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}, \tilde{\mathbf{a}}/-\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|\hat{g})$  for the choice (1.6) and (1.12).

The solution of these equations is defined by the choice of  $\hat{g}$  which gives rise to the coefficients  $\hat{g}_{\mu,\lambda}$ , in a way described in [32]. The alternative description uses the notation of Sato Grassmannian and to speak about a point of the Grassmannian instead of  $\hat{g}$ . We will try to avoid this notion in order not to convert a given clear problem of writing down an explicit equality to a part of geometry.<sup>3</sup>

The two-sided BKP tau function can be written as a double sum over strict partitions (definitions see below):

$$\tau^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{B}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{B}}|\hat{h}) = \sum_{\lambda, \mu} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}\ell(\mu) - \frac{1}{2}\ell(\lambda)} Q_{\mu}(\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{B}}) \hat{h}_{\mu,\lambda} Q_{\lambda}(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{B}}). \quad (1.13)$$

It depends on two sets of higher times labeled with odd numbers:  $\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{B}} = (p_1^{\mathbf{B}}, p_3^{\mathbf{B}}, \dots)$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{B}} = (\tilde{p}_1^{\mathbf{B}}, \tilde{p}_3^{\mathbf{B}}, \dots)$ . In the case, for sets  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_{N(\mathbf{z})})$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{z}} = (z_1, \dots, z_{N(\tilde{\mathbf{z}})})$ , we have

$$p_n^{\mathbf{B}} = p_n^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(\mathbf{z})} z_i^n, \quad \tilde{p}_n^{\mathbf{B}} = \tilde{p}_n^{\mathbf{B}}(\tilde{\mathbf{z}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(\tilde{\mathbf{z}})} \tilde{z}_i^n. \quad (1.14)$$

We will write  $\tau^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{z}), \tilde{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{B}}(\tilde{\mathbf{z}})|\hat{h}) =: \tau^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{z}, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}|\hat{h})$ .

If we compare (1.8) with (1.11) (also (1.9) with (1.13)), we see that it is a sort of Fourier transform.

The relation between two-sided KP and two-sided BKP tau functions is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}, \tilde{\mathbf{a}}/-\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|\hat{h}^+ \hat{h}^-) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(\mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \\ (\tilde{\mathbf{z}}^+, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}})}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^- \\ \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^+, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^- \\ \tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \end{bmatrix}^* \tau^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{z}^+, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^+|\hat{h}^+) \tau^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{z}^-, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^-|\hat{h}^-). \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

The sum in the right-hand side is taken over the natural numbers  $N(\mathbf{z}^-)$ ,  $N(\tilde{\mathbf{z}}^-)$  and over the sets of (complex) numbers  $\mathbf{z}^{\pm} = (z_1^{\pm}, \dots, z_{N(\mathbf{z}^{\pm})}^{\pm})$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}^{\pm} = (\tilde{z}_1^{\pm}, \dots, \tilde{z}_{N(\tilde{\mathbf{z}}^{\pm})}^{\pm})$ , where  $N(\mathbf{z}^+) + N(\mathbf{z}^-) = 2N$ ,  $N(\tilde{\mathbf{z}}^+) + N(\tilde{\mathbf{z}}^-) = 2\tilde{N}$ , and implies that  $\mathbf{z}^+ \cup \mathbf{z}^- = \mathbf{a} \cup \mathbf{b}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}^+ \cup \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^- = \tilde{\mathbf{a}} \cup \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ . The coefficients denoted by square brackets will be written down in (2.12) and in (2.1).

The simplest and trivial example of relation (1.15) is the case where  $\hat{g}_{\mu,\lambda} = \delta_{\mu,\lambda}$  (the case  $\hat{g} = 1$ ) and  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} = \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ . In the power sum variables, it is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{p}') s_{\lambda}(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}') &= e^{\sum_{n>0, \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{n} p_n \tilde{p}_n} = \left( e^{\sum_{n>0} \frac{2}{n} p_n^{\mathbf{B}} \tilde{p}_n^{\mathbf{B}}} \right)^2 \\ &= \left( \sum_{\mu} 2^{-\ell(\mu)} Q_{\mu}(\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{B}}) Q_{\mu}(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{B}}) \right)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (1.16)$$

<sup>3</sup>The geometrical approach of the related topics can be found in [1].

where the sets  $\mathbf{p}'$  and  $\mathbf{p}^B$  are the same as in (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4). In the variables  $\mathbf{a}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$ , both sides of (1.16) are equal to

$$\left( \prod_{N \geq i > j} \frac{a_i - a_j}{a_i + a_j} \prod_{\tilde{N} \geq i > j} \frac{\tilde{a}_i - \tilde{a}_j}{\tilde{a}_i + \tilde{a}_j} \right)^2.$$

(d) *Bi-lattice KP and bi-lattice BKP tau functions.* In view of the notion of the lattice tau functions (1.8) and (1.9), it is natural to call  $\hat{g}_{\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}}$  bi-lattice KP tau function and to call  $\hat{h}_{\mu, \tilde{\mu}}$  bi-lattice BKP tau function. Then we get

$$\hat{g}_{(\alpha|\beta), (\tilde{\alpha}|\tilde{\beta})} = \sum_{\substack{(\zeta^+, \zeta^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta) \\ (\tilde{\zeta}^+, \tilde{\zeta}^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})}} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta^+, \zeta^- \\ \alpha, \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\zeta}^+, \tilde{\zeta}^- \\ \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \end{bmatrix} h_{\zeta^+, \tilde{\zeta}^+}^+ h_{\zeta^-, \tilde{\zeta}^-}^-,$$

where  $\zeta^+ \cup \zeta^- = \alpha \cup (\beta + 1)$  and  $\tilde{\zeta}^+ \cup \tilde{\zeta}^- = \tilde{\alpha} \cup (\tilde{\beta} + 1)$  and where  $\lambda = (\alpha|\beta)$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda} = (\tilde{\alpha}|\tilde{\beta})$ ,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ ,  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha} = (\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_{\tilde{r}})$ ,  $\tilde{\beta} = (\tilde{\beta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_{\tilde{r}})$ . The weights denoted by square brackets will be written below, see (2.4).

The simple nontrivial example of the bi-lattice KP tau function  $\hat{g}_{\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}}$  is the product  $s_\mu^*(\lambda) \dim \lambda$ , where  $s_\mu^*(\lambda)$  is the so-called shifted Schur function introduced by Okounkov in [4] and  $\dim \lambda$  is the number of standard tableaux of the shape  $\lambda$ , see [17]. The shifted Schur functions were used in an approach to the representation theory developed by G. Olshanski and A. Okounkov in [26].

The simple nontrivial example of the bi-lattice BKP tau function  $h_{\mu, \nu}$  is the following product  $Q_\mu^*(\nu) \dim^B \mu$ , where  $Q_\mu^*(\nu)$  is the shifted projective Schur function introduced by Ivanov in [11] and  $\dim^B \mu$  is the number of the shifted standard tableaux of the shape  $\mu$ . Functions  $Q_\mu^*(\nu)$  are of use in the study of spin Hurwitz numbers [18].

The relation between shifted Schur functions and the shifted projective Schur functions was written done in [29].

## 2 Preliminaries

Here we review known basic facts and certain previous results and introduce notations.

### 2.1 Some notations we use (partitions and ordered sets)

**Partitions.** We recall that a nonincreasing set of nonnegative integers  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k \geq 0$ , we call partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$ , and  $\lambda_i$  are called parts of  $\lambda$ . The sum of parts is called the weight  $|\lambda|$  of  $\lambda$ . The number of nonzero parts of  $\lambda$  is called the length of  $\lambda$ , it will be denoted by  $\ell(\lambda)$ , see [17] for details. Partitions will be denoted by Greek letters:  $\lambda, \mu, \dots$ . The set of all partitions is denoted by  $\mathcal{P}$ . The set of all partitions with odd parts is denoted by  $\text{OP}$ . Partitions with distinct parts are called strict partitions, we prefer letters  $\alpha, \beta, \zeta$  (also  $\zeta^\pm$ ) to denote them. The set of all strict partitions will be denoted by  $\text{DP}$ . The Frobenius coordinated  $\alpha, \beta$  for partitions  $(\alpha|\beta) = \lambda \in \mathcal{P}$  are of usernames. Let me recall that the coordinates  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in \text{DP}$  consists of the lengths of arms counted from the main diagonal (the diagonal nodes are not included) of the Young diagram of  $\lambda$  while  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r) \in \text{DP}$  consists of the lengths of legs counted from the main diagonal (again without the nodes on the main diagonal) of the Young diagram of  $\lambda$ ,  $r$  is the length of the main diagonal of  $\lambda$ , we call it Frobenius rank.

For example, the partition (1) in Frobenius coordinate is written as (0|0) and its Frobenius rank is 1. Other examples: the partitions (1, 1, 1),  $(n)$  and  $(n, m)$ ,  $m \geq 2$  in the Frobenius coordinates are written as (0|2) (rank equal to 1),  $(n-1|0)$  (rank equal to 1) and  $(n-1, m-2|1, 0)$  (rank equal to 2), respectively. See [17] for details about partitions and their Young diagrams.

The number of the nonvanishing part of a partition  $\lambda$  is called the length of  $\lambda$  and is denoted by  $\ell(\lambda)$ . A partition also can be presented as  $\lambda = (1^{m_1}2^{m_2}3^{m_3}\dots)$ , where  $m_i$  is the number of time the number  $i$  occurs in the partition. We shall use the notation

$$z_\lambda = \prod_i m_i! i^{m_i}. \quad (2.1)$$

**Definition 2.1** (supplemented partitions). If  $\zeta$  is a strict partition of cardinality  $m(\zeta)$  (with 0 allowed as a part), we define the associated *supplemented partition*  $\hat{\zeta}$  to be

$$\hat{\zeta} := \begin{cases} \zeta & \text{if } m(\zeta) \text{ is even,} \\ (\zeta, 0) & \text{if } m(\zeta) \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

We denote by  $m(\hat{\zeta})$  the cardinality of  $\hat{\zeta}$  which is an even number.

Let us note that the supplemented partition is not necessarily strict. For instance, if  $\zeta = 0$ , then  $\hat{\zeta} = (0, 0)$ .

**Polarization  $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$  of the set of the Frobenius coordinates  $\alpha, \beta$  [8].** This paragraph is taken from [8]. Consider a partition  $\lambda$  written in the Frobenius coordinate as  $(\alpha|\beta) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r | \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$ ,  $\alpha_1 > \dots > \alpha_r \geq 0$ ,  $\beta_1 > \dots > \beta_r \geq 0$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A *polarization* of  $(\alpha|\beta)$ , is a pair  $\zeta := (\zeta^+, \zeta^-)$  of strict partitions with cardinalities (or *lengths*)

$$m(\zeta^+) := \#(\zeta^+), \quad m(\zeta^-) := \#(\zeta^-)$$

(including possibly a zero part  $\zeta_{m(\zeta^+)}^+ = 0$  or  $\zeta_{m(\zeta^-)}^- = 0$ ), satisfying

$$\zeta^+ \cap \zeta^- = \alpha \cap I(\beta), \quad \zeta^+ \cup \zeta^- = \alpha \cup I(\beta),$$

where

$$I(\beta) := (I_1(\beta), \dots, I_r(\beta))$$

is the strict partition [17] with parts

$$I_j(\beta) = \beta_j + 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, r.$$

The set of all polarizations of  $(\alpha|\beta)$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$ .

We denote the strict partition obtained by intersecting  $\alpha$  with  $I(\beta)$  as

$$S := \alpha \cap I(\beta)$$

and its cardinality as

$$s := \#(S).$$

Since both  $\alpha$  and  $I(\beta)$  have cardinality  $r$ , it follows that

$$m(\zeta^+) + m(\zeta^-) = 2r,$$

so  $m(\zeta^\pm)$  must have the same parity. It is easily verified [7] that the cardinality of  $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)$  is  $2^{2r-2s}$ . The following was proved in [7].



**Lemma 2.3** (binary sequence associated to a polarization). *For every polarization  $\zeta := (\zeta^+, \zeta^-)$  of  $\lambda = (\alpha|\beta)$ , there is a unique binary sequence of length  $2r$*

$$\epsilon(\zeta) = (\epsilon_1(\zeta), \dots, \epsilon_{2r}(\zeta)),$$

with  $\epsilon_j(\zeta) = \pm$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 2r$ , such that

(1) *The sequence of pairs*

$$(\alpha_1, \epsilon_1(\zeta)), \dots, (\alpha_r, \epsilon_r(\zeta)), (\beta_1 + 1, \epsilon_{r+1}(\zeta)), \dots, (\beta_r + 1, \epsilon_{2r}(\zeta)) \quad (2.2)$$

*is a permutation of the sequence*

$$(\zeta_1^+, +), \dots, (\zeta_{m^+(\zeta)}^+, +), (\zeta_1^-, -), \dots, (\zeta_{m^-(\zeta)}^-, -). \quad (2.3)$$

(2)  $\epsilon_j(\zeta) = +$  if  $\alpha_j \in S$ , and  $\epsilon_{r+j}(\zeta) = -$  if  $\beta_j + 1 \in S$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, r$ .

**Definition 2.4.** The *sign* of the polarization  $(\zeta^+, \zeta^-)$ , denoted by  $\text{sgn}(\zeta)$ , is defined as the sign of the permutation that takes the sequence (2.2) into the sequence (2.3).

Denote by

$$\pi(\zeta^\pm) := \#(\alpha \cap \zeta^\pm)$$

the cardinality of the intersection of  $\alpha$  with  $\zeta^\pm$ . It follows that

$$\pi(\zeta^+) + \pi(\zeta^-) = r + s.$$

Now we introduce the notation

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} \zeta^+, \zeta^- \\ \alpha, \beta \end{array} \right] := \frac{(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}r(r+1)+s}}{2^{r-s}} \text{sgn}(\zeta) (-1)^{\pi(\zeta^-) + \frac{1}{2}m(\zeta^-)}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $r$  is the Frobenius rank of  $(\alpha|\beta)$ .

**The ordered coordinate sets.** Consider given sets  $\mathbf{a}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$  of complex numbers

$$\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_N), \quad \mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_N). \quad (2.5)$$

We want to have the similarity of the sets of complex numbers with partitions. For this purpose, let us introduce the following order in the set  $\mathbf{a} \cup \mathbf{b}$ : in the set called ordered we place complex number as a set with the weakly decaying set with respect to the absolute values of the numbers. In case the absolute values in a subset is the same, we just fix any order inside the subset and keep it in what follows. Such sets we will call time ordered sets.<sup>4</sup> Let us also treat the subsets (2.5)  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  of the set  $\mathbf{a} \cup \mathbf{b}$  as also ordered:  $|a_1| \geq \dots \geq |a_N|$  and  $|b_1| \geq \dots \geq |b_N|$ .

Starting from now, all coordinate sets will be treated as the ordered sets whose each member is labeled in the appropriate way (labels goes up from the left to the right). One can consider another pair of the ordered complementary subsets, let us denote it as  $\mathbf{z}^+$  and  $\mathbf{z}^-$ ,  $\mathbf{z}^+ \cup \mathbf{z}^- = \mathbf{a} \cup \mathbf{b}$  (the order inherits the given order of the set  $\mathbf{a} \cup \mathbf{b}$ ) whose cardinalities  $N(\mathbf{z}^+)$  and  $N(\mathbf{z}^-)$  are not necessarily equal to  $N$ :

$$\mathbf{z}^\pm = (z_1^\pm, \dots, z_{N(\mathbf{z}^\pm)}^\pm), \quad |z_i^\pm| \geq |z_{i+1}^\pm|, \quad N(\mathbf{z}^+) + N(\mathbf{z}^-) = 2N. \quad (2.6)$$

<sup>4</sup>We recall that the complex coordinate, say  $z$  of a quantum field in Euclidean 2D theory is presented as  $e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi - \tau}$ , where  $\tau$  is interpreted as time variable.



In what follow, sets (2.5) and (2.6) will play different roles: the sets (2.5) and the given number  $N$  are constant along the paper while the sets  $\mathbf{z}^+$  and  $\mathbf{z}^-$  will be varying and the numbers  $N(\mathbf{z}^\pm)$  can be summation indices.

On the given sets (2.5) and also on sets (2.6) let us introduce the following involution  $*$ :

$$a_i^* := -a_{N-i}^{-1}, \quad b_i^* := -b_{N-i}^{-1}, \quad z_i^{\pm*} := -(z_{N(\mathbf{z})-i}^\pm)^{-1}. \quad (2.7)$$

Then the sets  $\mathbf{a}^* = (a_1^*, \dots, a_N^*)$ ,  $\mathbf{b}^* = (b_1^*, \dots, b_N^*)$ ,  $\mathbf{z}^{\pm*} = (z_1^{\pm*}, \dots, z_{N(\mathbf{z}^\pm)}^{\pm*})$  are also ordered in the sense that  $|a_i^*| \geq |a_{i+1}^*|$ ,  $|b_i^*| \geq |b_{i+1}^*|$ ,  $|z_i^{\pm*}| \geq |z_{i+1}^{\pm*}|$  and the set  $\mathbf{a}^* \cup \mathbf{b}^*$  is ordered.

By analogy with Definition 2.1, we need the following.

**Definition 2.5** (supplemented set of coordinates  $\mathbf{z}^\pm$ ,  $\mathbf{z}^{\pm*}$ ). If  $\mathbf{z}^\pm$  is a strict partition of cardinality  $N(\mathbf{z}^\pm)$  (with 0 allowed as a part), we define the associated *supplemented set*  $\hat{\mathbf{z}}^\pm$  to be

$$\hat{\mathbf{z}}^\pm := \begin{cases} \mathbf{z}^\pm & \text{if } N(\mathbf{z}^\pm) \text{ is even,} \\ (\mathbf{z}^\pm, 0) & \text{if } N(\mathbf{z}^\pm) \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad \hat{\mathbf{z}}^{\pm*} := \begin{cases} \mathbf{z}^{\pm*} & \text{if } N(\mathbf{z}^\pm) \text{ is even,} \\ (\mathbf{z}^{\pm*}, \infty) & \text{if } N(\mathbf{z}^\pm) \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

We denote by  $N(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^\pm)$  and  $N(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^{\pm*})$  the cardinality of respectively  $\hat{\mathbf{z}}^\pm$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{z}}^{\pm*}$ . We get  $N(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^\pm) = N(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^{\pm*})$  is an even number.

**Vandermond-like products.** For given sets  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_N)$ ,  $\mathbf{z}^\pm = (z_1^\pm, \dots, z_{N(\mathbf{z}^\pm)}^\pm)$ , we use the following notations:

$$\Delta(\mathbf{a}) := \prod_{i < j \leq N} (a_i - a_j), \quad \Delta^B(\mathbf{z}^\pm) := \prod_{i < j \leq N(\mathbf{z}^\pm)} \frac{z_i^\pm - z_j^\pm}{z_i^\pm + z_j^\pm},$$

$$\Delta(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}) := \frac{\Delta(\mathbf{a})\Delta(\mathbf{b})}{\prod_{i,j=1}^N (a_i + b_j)} = \det((a_i + b_j)^{-1})_{i,j=1,\dots,N}.$$

As we see  $\Delta^B(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^\pm) = \Delta^B(\mathbf{z}^\pm)$ . One verifies that

$$\Delta(\mathbf{a}^*) := \prod_{i < j \leq N} (-a_{N-i}^{-1} + a_{N-j}^{-1}) = (-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)} \Delta(\mathbf{a}) \prod_{i=1}^N a_i^{-(N-1)}$$

and we obtain

$$\Delta(\mathbf{b}^*/-\mathbf{a}^*) := (-1)^{N^2} \frac{\Delta(\mathbf{b}^*)\Delta(\mathbf{a}^*)}{\prod_{i,j=1}^N (a_i^{-1} + b_j^{-1})} \quad (2.8)$$

$$= \det((-a_{N-i}^{-1} - b_{N-j}^{-1})^{-1})_{i,j=1,\dots,N} = (-1)^{N^2} \Delta(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}) \prod_{i=1}^N a_i b_i \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\Delta^B(\mathbf{z}^{\pm*}) = \Delta^B(\mathbf{z}^\pm) = \Delta^B(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^{\pm*}) = \Delta^B(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^\pm).$$

**Polarization  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$  of the coordinate set  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ .**

**Definition 2.6.** A *polarization* of the pair of the ordered sets  $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = (a_1, \dots, a_N, b_1, \dots, b_N)$ , is a pair of ordered sets  $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^-)$  with cardinalities (or *lengths*)

$$N(\mathbf{z}^+) := \#(\mathbf{z}^+), \quad N(\mathbf{z}^-) := \#(\mathbf{z}^-),$$

satisfying

$$\mathbf{z}^+ \cap \mathbf{z}^- = \mathbf{a} \cap \mathbf{b}, \quad \mathbf{z}^+ \cup \mathbf{z}^- = \mathbf{a} \cup \mathbf{b}.$$

The set of all polarizations of  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ .

Introduce the following notations:  $\tilde{Q} := \mathbf{a} \cap \mathbf{b}$ ,  $\tilde{C} := \mathbf{a} \cup \mathbf{b}$  and their cardinalities as  $\tilde{q} := \#(\tilde{Q})$ ,  $\tilde{c} := \#(\tilde{C}) = 2N - \tilde{q}$ . We have  $N(\mathbf{z}^+) + N(\mathbf{z}^-) = 2N$ , so  $N(\mathbf{z}^\pm)$  must have the same parity. It is easy to see that the cardinality of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$  is  $2^{2N-2\tilde{q}}$ .

**Lemma 2.7** (binary sequence associated to a polarization). *For every polarization  $\mathbf{z} := (\mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^-)$  of  $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$  there is a unique binary sequence of length  $2N$*

$$\epsilon(\mathbf{z}) = (\epsilon_1(\mathbf{z}), \dots, \epsilon_{2N}(\mathbf{z})),$$

with  $\epsilon_j(\mathbf{z}) = \pm$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 2N$ , such that the sequence of pairs

$$((a_1, \epsilon_1(\mathbf{z})), \dots, (a_N, \epsilon_N(\mathbf{z})), (b_1, \epsilon_{N+1}(\mathbf{z})), \dots, (b_N, \epsilon_{2N}(\mathbf{z}))) \quad (2.10)$$

is a permutation of the sequence

$$((z_1^+, +), \dots, (z_{N(\mathbf{z}^+)}^+, +), (z_1^-, -), \dots, (z_{N(\mathbf{z}^-)}^-, -)). \quad (2.11)$$

**Definition 2.8.** The *sign* of the polarization  $(\mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^-)$ , denoted by  $\text{sgn}(\mathbf{z})$ , is defined as the sign of the permutation that takes the sequence (2.10) into the sequence (2.11).

Denote by

$$\pi(\mathbf{z}^\pm) := \#(\mathbf{a} \cap \mathbf{z}^\pm)$$

the cardinality of the intersection of  $\mathbf{a}$  with  $\mathbf{z}^\pm$ . It follows that

$$\pi(\mathbf{z}^+) + \pi(\mathbf{z}^-) = N + \tilde{q}.$$

We introduce the following notations:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^- \\ \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \end{array} \right] := \frac{\Delta^{\text{B}}(\mathbf{z}^+) \Delta^{\text{B}}(\mathbf{z}^-)}{\Delta(\mathbf{b}^*/-\mathbf{a}^*)} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}N(N+1)+q}}{2^{N-q}} \text{sgn}(\mathbf{z}) (-1)^{\pi(\mathbf{z}^-) + \frac{1}{2}m(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^-)}, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^- \\ \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \end{array} \right]^* &:= (-1)^{N^2} \frac{\Delta^{\text{B}}(\mathbf{z}^+) \Delta^{\text{B}}(\mathbf{z}^-)}{\Delta(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}N(N+1)+q}}{2^{N-q}} \\ &\times \text{sgn}(\mathbf{z}) (-1)^{\pi(\mathbf{z}^-) + \frac{1}{2}m(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^-)} \prod_{i=1}^N a_i b_i, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where  $\Delta(\mathbf{b}^*/-\mathbf{a}^*)$  is given by (2.8).

## 2.2 Charged and neutral fermions and currents [12]

The fermionic creation and annihilation operators satisfy the anticommutation relations

$$[\psi_j, \psi_k]_+ = [\psi_j^\dagger, \psi_k^\dagger]_+ = 0, \quad [\psi_j, \psi_k^\dagger]_+ = \delta_{jk}. \quad (2.14)$$

The *vacuum* element  $|n\rangle$  in each charge sector  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is the basis element corresponding to the trivial partition  $\lambda = \emptyset$ :

$$|n\rangle := |\emptyset; n\rangle = e_{n-1} \wedge e_{n-2} \wedge \dots.$$

Elements of the dual space  $\mathcal{F}^*$  are denoted by *bra* vectors  $\langle w|$ , with the dual basis  $\{\langle \lambda; n|\}$  for  $\mathcal{F}_n^*$  defined by the pairing  $\langle \lambda; n|\mu; m\rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu} \delta_{nm}$ . For KP  $\tau$ -functions, we need only consider the  $n = 0$  charge sector  $\mathcal{F}_0$ , and generally drop the charge  $n$  symbol, denoting the basis elements

simply as  $|\lambda\rangle := |\lambda; 0\rangle$ . For  $j > 0$ ,  $\psi_{-j}$  and  $\psi_{j-1}^\dagger$  (resp.  $\psi_{-j}^\dagger$  and  $\psi_{j-1}$ ) annihilate the right (resp. left) vacua:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{-j}|0\rangle &= 0, & \psi_{j-1}^\dagger|0\rangle &= 0, & \forall j > 0, \\ \langle 0|\psi_{-j}^\dagger &= 0, & \langle 0|\psi_{j-1} &= 0, & \forall j > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Neutral fermions  $\phi_j^+$  and  $\phi_j^-$  are defined [2] by

$$\phi_j^+ := \frac{\psi_j + (-1)^j \psi_{-j}^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \phi_j^- := i \frac{\psi_j - (-1)^j \psi_{-j}^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (2.16)$$

(where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ ), and satisfy

$$[\phi_j^+, \phi_k^-]_+ = 0, \quad [\phi_j^+, \phi_k^+]_+ = [\phi_j^-, \phi_k^-]_+ = (-1)^j \delta_{j+k,0}. \quad (2.17)$$

In particular,

$$(\phi_0^+)^2 = (\phi_0^-)^2 = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Acting on the vacua  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$ , we have

$$\phi_{-j}^+|0\rangle = \phi_{-j}^-|0\rangle = \phi_{-j}^+|1\rangle = \phi_{-j}^-|1\rangle = 0, \quad \forall j > 0, \quad \forall j > 0, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\langle 0|\phi_j^+ = \langle 0|\phi_j^- = \langle 1|\phi_j^+ = \langle 1|\phi_j^- = 0, \quad \forall j > 0,$$

$$\phi_0^+|0\rangle = -i\phi_0^-|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\psi_0|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle, \quad (2.19)$$

$$\langle 0|\phi_0^+ = i\langle 0|\phi_0^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\langle 0|\psi_0^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\langle 1|.$$

**Lie groups. Factorization condition.** Let us define the normal ordering  $:\psi_j\psi_k:$  of  $\psi_j\psi_k$  as  $\psi_j\psi_k - \langle 0|\psi_j\psi_k|0\rangle$  and the normal ordering of  $\phi_j^\pm\phi_k^\pm$  as  $:\phi_j^\pm\phi_k^\pm: = \phi_j^\pm\phi_k^\pm - \langle 0|\phi_j^\pm\phi_k^\pm|0\rangle$ .

Let us denote

$$\hat{g} = e^{\sum_{j,k} A_{j,k} : \psi_j \psi_k^\dagger :}, \quad (2.20)$$

where  $A_{j,k}$  are complex numbers.

If we ask  $A_{j,k}$  decay in a fast enough way as  $|j-k| \rightarrow \infty$ , then the exponents form Lie algebras  $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\infty$ , and elements (2.20) form  $\text{GL}_\infty$  group.

**Remark 2.9.** “Fast enough” implies the possibility of the exponentials to form Lie algebra, where the commutator is well defined. Say, finite matrices satisfy this. The other example are the generalized Jacobian matrices (matrices with a finite number of nonvanishing diagonals).

The elements

$$\hat{h}^\pm(B) = e^{\sum_{j,k} B_{j,k} : \phi_j^\pm \phi_k^\pm :}, \quad (2.21)$$

where  $B_{j,k}$  are complex numbers and  $B_{j,k} = -B_{k,j}$ .

If we ask  $B_{j,k}$  decay in a fast enough way as  $|j-k| \rightarrow \infty$ , the elements  $\hat{h}^\pm(B)$  form  $B_\infty$  group. One can check the equality

$$(-1)^k : \psi_j \psi_{-k}^\dagger : - (-1)^j : \psi_k \psi_{-j}^\dagger : = : \phi_j^+ \phi_k^+ : + : \phi_j^- \phi_k^- :.$$

Then we have the important theorem.

**Lemma 2.10** ([12]). *Suppose*

$$\hat{g} = e^{\sum_{j,k} B_{jk}((-1)^k:\psi_j\psi_{-k}^\dagger; -(-1)^j:\psi_k\psi_{-j}^\dagger)}, \quad (2.22)$$

where  $B$  is a given (perhaps infinite) antisymmetric matrix. Then

$$\hat{g} = \hat{h}^+\hat{h}^-,$$

where  $\hat{h}^\pm$  are defined by (2.21)

$$\hat{h}^\pm(B) = e^{\sum_{j,k} B_{j,k}:\phi_j^\pm\phi_k^\pm}.$$

**Remark 2.11.** The form (2.22) says that  $\hat{g} \in B_\infty$ .

**Fermi fields.** Introduce

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} z^i \psi_i, \quad \psi^\dagger(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-i-1} \psi_i^\dagger \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^\pm(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} z^i \phi_i^\pm,$$

where  $z$  is a formal parameter.<sup>5</sup> From (2.16), it follows

$$\psi(z) = \frac{\phi^+(z) - i\phi^-(z)}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \psi^\dagger(-z) = \frac{\phi^+(z) + i\phi^-(z)}{z\sqrt{2}}. \quad (2.23)$$

**Remark 2.12.** The formula

$$\psi_j = \frac{\phi_j^+ - i\phi_j^-}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (-1)^j \psi_{-j}^\dagger = \frac{\phi_j^+ + i\phi_j^-}{\sqrt{2}}$$

is quite similar to formula (2.23) and is rather similar to the relations

$$\psi(-z^{-1}) = \frac{\phi^+(-z^{-1}) - i\phi^-(-z^{-1})}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \psi^\dagger(z^{-1}) = -z \frac{\phi^+(-z^{-1}) + i\phi^-(-z^{-1})}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

These equations result in the useful equalities

$$(-1)^j \psi_j \psi_{-j}^\dagger = i\phi_j^+ \phi_j^- + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{j,0},$$

$$\psi(z) \psi^\dagger(-z) = \frac{i}{z} \phi^+(z) \phi^-(z), \quad (2.24)$$

$$\psi(-z^{-1}) \psi^\dagger(z^{-1}) = -iz \phi^+(-z^{-1}) \phi^-(-z^{-1}). \quad (2.25)$$

We say that  $\psi_j$ ,  $\psi_j^\dagger$  and  $\phi_j^\pm$  are the Fermi modes of the Fermi fields  $\psi(z)$ ,  $\psi_j^\dagger(z)$  and  $\phi^\pm(z)$ , respectively.

**Pairwise VEV.** From

$$\langle 0 | \psi_k \psi_j^\dagger | 0 \rangle = \begin{cases} \delta_{k,j}, & k < 0, \\ 0, & k > 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\langle 0 | \phi_k^\pm \phi_j^\pm | 0 \rangle = \begin{cases} (-1)^k \delta_{k,-j}, & k < 0, \\ 0, & k > 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\langle 0 | \phi_k^\pm \phi_j^\mp | 0 \rangle = \pm \frac{i}{2} \delta_{k,0} \delta_{j,0},$$

<sup>5</sup>In Euclidian 2D QFT  $z = e^{i\varphi - \tau}$ , where  $\varphi$  has the meaning of the coordinate of the fermion  $\psi(z)$  (of  $\phi(z)$ ) and  $\tau$  has the meaning of time variable.

one can easily obtain by direct calculation

$$\langle 0|\psi(a_k)\psi^\dagger(-b_j)|0\rangle = \frac{1}{b_j + a_k}, \quad (2.26)$$

$$\langle 0|\phi^\pm(a_k)\phi^\pm(a_j)|0\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \frac{a_k - a_j}{a_k + a_j}, \quad (2.27)$$

$$\langle 0|\phi^\pm(a_i)\phi^\mp(a_j)|0\rangle = \pm \frac{i}{2}.$$

**Remark 2.13.** Actually the time order<sup>6</sup> (the operation  $\mathbb{T}$ ) is implied for the pairwise correlation function (say, in case of neutral fermions)

$$\langle 0|\mathbb{T}[\phi^\pm(z_a)\phi^\pm(z_b)]|0\rangle := \begin{cases} \langle 0|\phi^\pm(z_a)\phi^\pm(z_b)|0\rangle & \text{if } |z_a| > |z_b|, \\ -\langle 0|\phi^\pm(z_b)\phi^\pm(z_a)|0\rangle & \text{if } |z_a| < |z_b|. \end{cases}$$

Say, for  $|z_a| > |z_b|$ , we have

$$\langle 0|\phi^\pm(z_a)\phi^\pm(z_b)|0\rangle = \langle 0|\sum_i \phi_i^\pm(z_a)^i \sum_j \phi_j^\pm(z_b)^j|0\rangle = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{j>0} (-1)^j \left(\frac{z_b}{z_a}\right)^j = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - \frac{z_b}{z_a}}{1 + \frac{z_b}{z_a}}.$$

While in case  $|z_a| < |z_b|$  we get

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - \frac{z_a}{z_b}}{1 + \frac{z_a}{z_b}}.$$

In *both* cases ( $|z_a| > |z_b|$  and  $|z_a| < |z_b|$ ), the answer can be written as  $\frac{z_a - z_b}{z_a + z_b}$  (and the limit  $|z_a| \rightarrow |z_b|$  where  $z_a \neq -z_b$  is smooth). We see, if our goal is to present  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{z_a - z_b}{z_a + z_b}$  as the correlation function, we imply the time ordering. The same convention will be true for higher correlation functions of neutral fermions. The point is that the final formulas are clever enough not to take special care about the time ordering.

Next, the time ordering for the charged fermions has the form

$$\langle 0|\mathbb{T}'[\psi(x)\psi^\dagger(y)]|0\rangle := \begin{cases} \langle 0|\psi(x)\psi^\dagger(y)|0\rangle & \text{if } |x| > |y|, \\ -\langle 0|\psi^\dagger(y)\psi(x)|0\rangle & \text{if } |x| < |y|. \end{cases}$$

In case  $|x| = |y|$  and  $x \neq y$ , the fermions  $\psi(x)$  and  $\psi^\dagger(y)$  anticommute (Dirac delta function on the circle,  $\frac{dy}{y} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{a^n}{b^n}$ , vanishes).

There is the following matching:

$$\langle 0|\mathbb{T}'[\psi(x)\psi^\dagger(-y)]|0\rangle = \langle 0|\mathbb{T}\left[\left(\frac{\phi^+(x) - i\phi^-(x)}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\phi^+(y) + i\phi^-(y)}{y\sqrt{2}}\right)\right]|0\rangle.$$

So  $\mathbb{T}'$ -ordering is consistent with  $\mathbb{T}$ -ordering. This is also correct for all higher correlators.

In what follows, we shall not return to this topic and will never use  $\mathbb{T}$  symbols.

**Factorization lemma.** As it was done in [7, 8, 9], we need Lemmas 3.1–3.3 to calculate tau functions we have

<sup>6</sup>In 2D QFT the argument of the Fermi field is written as  $x = e^{\sqrt{-1}\varphi - \tau}$ , where  $\varphi$  is the space and  $\tau$  is the time coordinate of the fermion.

**Lemma 2.14** (factorization). *If  $U^+$  and  $U^-$  are either even or odd degree elements of the subalgebra generated by the operators  $\{\phi_i^+\}_{i \in \mathbf{Z}}$  and  $\{\phi_i^-\}_{i \in \mathbf{Z}}$ , respectively, the VEV of their product can be factorized as*

$$\langle 0|U^+U^-|0\rangle = \begin{cases} \langle 0|U^+|0\rangle\langle 0|U^-|0\rangle & \text{if } U^+ \text{ and } U^- \text{ are both of even degree,} \\ 0 & \text{if } U^+ \text{ and } U^- \text{ have different parity,} \\ 2i\langle 0|U^+\phi_0^+|0\rangle\langle 0|U^-\phi_0^-|0\rangle & \text{if } U^+ \text{ and } U^- \text{ are both of odd degree.} \end{cases}$$

**Currents.** Define currents

$$J_m = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \psi_i \psi_{i+m}^\dagger, \quad m = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots,$$

$$J_m^{\text{B}\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} (-)^i \phi_{-i-m}^\pm \phi_i^\pm, \quad m \text{ odd.}$$

We have from (2.16)

$$J_m = J_m^{\text{B}+} + J_m^{\text{B}-}, \quad m \text{ odd,} \quad (2.28)$$

$$J_m = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \phi_{j-m}^+ \phi_{-j}^-, \quad m \text{ even.}$$

The currents form the Heisenberg algebras as follows:

$$[J_m, J_n] = m\delta_{m,n}, \quad m \neq 0, \quad (2.29)$$

$$[J_m^{\text{B}\pm}, J_n^{\text{B}\pm}] = \frac{1}{2} m\delta_{m+n,0}, \quad [J_m^{\text{B}+}, J_n^{\text{B}-}] = 0, \quad m, n \text{ odd.} \quad (2.30)$$

One can see that

$$J_m|0\rangle = 0 = \langle 0|J_{-m}, \quad m > 0. \quad (2.31)$$

$$J_m^{\text{B}\pm}|0\rangle = 0 = \langle 0|J_{-m}^{\text{B}\pm}, \quad m > 0. \quad (2.32)$$

**Partitions for products of Fermi modes.** For a given  $\lambda = (\alpha|\beta) \in \mathbf{P}$ , let us use the following notations

$$\Psi_\lambda := (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^r \beta_j} (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}r(r-1)} \psi_{\alpha_1} \cdots \psi_{\alpha_r} \psi_{-\beta_1-1}^\dagger \cdots \psi_{-\beta_r-1}^\dagger, \quad (2.33)$$

$$\Psi_\lambda^* := (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^r \beta_j} (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}r(r-1)} \psi_{-\beta_r-1} \cdots \psi_{-\beta_1-1} \psi_{\alpha_r}^\dagger \cdots \psi_{\alpha_1}^\dagger. \quad (2.34)$$

Note that  $|\lambda\rangle = \Psi_\lambda|0\rangle$ ,  $\langle \lambda| = \langle 0|\Psi_\lambda^*$ .

Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in \text{DP}$ , where  $\alpha_k \geq 0$ . (Thus, you pay attention on the last part of  $\alpha$  which can be equal to 0.) We also use the notation  $m(\alpha)$  for the number  $k$ . (Notice that  $m(\alpha)$  is either  $\ell(\alpha)$  (which is the number of non-zero parts of  $\alpha$ ), or it is  $\ell(\alpha) + 1$ ).

Introduce

$$\Phi_\alpha^\pm := 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \phi_{\alpha_1}^\pm \cdots \phi_{\alpha_k}^\pm, \quad \Phi_{-\alpha}^\pm := (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \phi_{-\alpha_k}^\pm \cdots \phi_{-\alpha_1}^\pm,$$

where  $k = m(\alpha)$ . Apart from the products  $\Phi_\alpha^\pm$  the products  $\Phi_{\hat{\alpha}}^\pm$  will be of use where  $\hat{\alpha}$  denotes the supplemented partition, see Definition 2.1. Then we get

$$\langle 0|\Phi_{-\alpha}^\pm \Phi_\beta^\pm|0\rangle = \langle 0|\Phi_{-\hat{\alpha}}^\pm \Phi_\beta^\pm|0\rangle = 2^{\ell(\alpha)} \delta_{\alpha,\beta}, \quad (2.35)$$

$$\langle 0|\Phi_{-\alpha}^\pm \Phi_\beta^\mp|0\rangle = \langle 0|\Phi_{-\hat{\alpha}}^\pm \Phi_\beta^\mp|0\rangle = \pm \frac{i}{2} \delta_{\alpha,0} \delta_{\beta,0}. \quad (2.36)$$

In what follows, sometimes we shall write  $\phi_x$  and  $\Phi_x$  instead of  $\phi_x^\pm$  and  $\Phi_x^\pm$  omitting superscripts  $\pm$ . We hope it will not produce a confusion.

**Notations for products of Fermi fields.** Next, we introduce

$$\Psi(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}) := \prod_{i=1}^N \psi(a_i) \psi^\dagger(-b_i) \quad (2.37)$$

$$= (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)} \psi(a_1) \cdots \psi(a_N) \psi^\dagger(-b_1) \cdots \psi^\dagger(-b_N), \quad (2.38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\mathbf{b}^*/-\mathbf{a}^*) &= \prod_{i=1}^N \psi(-b_i^{-1}) \psi^\dagger(a_i^{-1}) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)} \psi(-b_N^{-1}) \cdots \psi(-b_1^{-1}) \psi^\dagger(a_N^{-1}) \cdots \psi^\dagger(a_1^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^\pm(\mathbf{z}^\pm) &:= 2^{\frac{N(\mathbf{z}^\pm)}{2}} \phi^\pm(z_1^\pm) \cdots \phi^\pm(z_{N(\mathbf{z}^\pm)}^\pm), \\ \Phi^\pm(\mathbf{z}^{\pm*}) &= 2^{\frac{N(\mathbf{z}^\pm)}{2}} \phi^\pm(z_1^{\pm*}) \cdots \phi^\pm(z_{N(\mathbf{z}^\pm)}^{\pm*}), \end{aligned}$$

where each  $z_i^{\pm*}$  is defined in (2.7).

Now consider VEV of these products. By (2.26) and by the Wick's rule (see Appendix A), we have for (2.37)

$$\langle 0 | \Psi(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}) | 0 \rangle = \det(\langle 0 | \psi(a_i) \psi^\dagger(-b_j) | 0 \rangle)_{i,j=1,\dots,N} = \Delta(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}). \quad (2.39)$$

Then thanks to (2.9), we get

$$\langle 0 | \Psi(\mathbf{b}^*/-\mathbf{a}^*) | 0 \rangle = (-1)^{N^2} \Delta(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}) \prod_{i=1}^N a_i b_i.$$

Similarly, from (2.27) by Wick's rule, we obtain

$$\langle 0 | \Phi^\pm(\hat{\mathbf{z}}) | 0 \rangle = \text{Pf}(\langle 0 | \phi^\pm(z_i) \phi^\pm(z_j) | 0 \rangle)_{i,j=1,\dots,N(\hat{\mathbf{z}})} = \Delta^{\text{B}}(\mathbf{z}). \quad (2.40)$$

Then it follows that

$$\langle 0 | \Phi^\pm(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^*) | 0 \rangle = \Delta^{\text{B}}(\mathbf{z}^*) = \Delta^{\text{B}}(\mathbf{z}).$$

**Remark 2.15.** One can make sure that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \Psi(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{a}) | 0 \rangle &= \Delta(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{a}) = (\Delta^{\text{B}}(\mathbf{a}))^2 \prod_{j=1}^N (2a_j)^{-N} \\ &= \langle 0 | \Phi^+(\mathbf{a}) \Phi^-(\mathbf{a}) | 0 \rangle \prod_{j=1}^N (2a_j)^{-N}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

The equality (2.41) can be obtained in a different way as follows:

$$\psi(a_j) \psi^\dagger(-a_j) = \frac{i}{a_j} \phi^+(a_j) \phi^-(a_j)$$

one gets

$$\Psi(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{a}) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)} i^N \Phi^+(\mathbf{a}) \Phi^-(\mathbf{a}) \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{a_j}.$$

Then we obtain (2.41) from (2.37), (2.39) and (2.26), (2.27), (2.40).



Let us introduce

$$\tilde{\Psi}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}) = \frac{\Psi(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})}{\langle 0|\Psi(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})|0\rangle} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Phi}^\pm(\hat{\mathbf{z}}) = \frac{\Phi^\pm(\hat{\mathbf{z}})}{\langle 0|\Phi^\pm(\hat{\mathbf{z}})|0\rangle}.$$

So we have

$$\langle 0|\tilde{\Psi}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})|0\rangle = \langle 0|\tilde{\Phi}(\hat{\mathbf{z}})|0\rangle = 1. \quad (2.42)$$

In what follows apart of the products  $\Phi^\pm(\mathbf{z})$ , the products  $\Phi^\pm(\hat{\mathbf{z}})$  will be of use where  $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$  denotes the supplemented coordinate set, see Definition 2.5.

**Partitions for currents.** We introduce

$$J_\Delta := \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\Delta)} J_{\Delta_i}, \quad J_{-\Delta} := \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\Delta)} J_{-\Delta_i}, \quad \Delta \in \mathbf{P}, \quad (2.43)$$

$$J_\Delta^{\mathbf{B}\pm} := \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\Delta)} J_{\Delta_i}^{\mathbf{B}\pm}, \quad J_{-\Delta}^{\mathbf{B}\pm} := \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\Delta)} J_{-\Delta_i}^{\mathbf{B}\pm}, \quad \Delta \in \mathbf{OP}.$$

### 2.3 Bosonization formulas

The nice part of the classical integrability worked out by Kyoto school is a number of bosonization formulas. Following [2, 3], we consider

$$\hat{\gamma}(\mathbf{p}) = e^{\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} p_m J_m}, \quad \hat{\gamma}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) = e^{\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} p_m J_{-m}}, \quad (2.44)$$

$$\hat{\gamma}^{\mathbf{B}\pm}(2\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{B}}) = e^{\sum_{m>0, \text{odd}} \frac{2}{m} p_m^{\mathbf{B}} J_{-m}^{\mathbf{B}\pm}}, \quad \hat{\gamma}^{\dagger \mathbf{B}\pm}(2\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{B}}) = e^{\sum_{m>0, \text{odd}} \frac{2}{m} p_m^{\mathbf{B}} J_{-m}^{\mathbf{B}\pm}}, \quad (2.45)$$

where  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots)$  and  $\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{B}} = (p_1^{\mathbf{B}}, p_3^{\mathbf{B}}, p_5^{\mathbf{B}}, \dots)$  a given sets of parameters.

For our purposes, let us introduce the following notations:

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}) = (p_1(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}), p_2(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}), p_3(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}), \dots), \quad (2.46)$$

where

$$p_m(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{i=1}^N (a_i^m - (-b_i)^m),$$

and also

$$\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{z}) = (p_1^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{z}), p_3^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{z}), p_5^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{z}), \dots), \quad \text{where} \quad p_m^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(\mathbf{z})} z_i^m. \quad (2.47)$$

**Remark 2.16.** Let us note that for each  $m$  and for all possible  $\mathbf{a}, \tilde{\mathbf{a}}$ , we get

$$p_{2m}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{a}) = 0 = \tilde{p}_{2m}(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}/-\tilde{\mathbf{a}}).$$

The following bosonization formulas are well known:

$$\psi(a)\psi^\dagger(b) = \frac{1}{a-b} e^{\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} (b^{-m} - a^{-m}) J_{-m}} e^{\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} (a^m - b^m) J_m},$$

$$\phi(a)\phi(b) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{a-b}{a+b} e^{-\sum_{m>0, \text{odd}} \frac{2}{m} (b^{-m} + a^{-m}) J_{-m}^{\mathbf{B}}} e^{\sum_{m>0, \text{odd}} \frac{2}{m} (a^m + b^m) J_m^{\mathbf{B}}},$$

therefore one can write

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\gamma}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{b}^*/-\mathbf{a}^*))\hat{\gamma}(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})) &= \tilde{\Psi}^*(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}), \\ \hat{\gamma}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}))\hat{\gamma}(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{b}^*/-\mathbf{a}^*)) &= \tilde{\Psi}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}), \\ \hat{\gamma}^{\dagger B\pm}(2\mathbf{p}^B(\mathbf{z}^*))\hat{\gamma}^{B\pm}(2\mathbf{p}^B(\mathbf{z})) &= \tilde{\Phi}^{*\pm}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}), \quad \hat{\gamma}^{\dagger B\pm}(2\mathbf{p}^B(\mathbf{z}))\hat{\gamma}^{B\pm}(2\mathbf{p}^B(\mathbf{z}^*)) = \tilde{\Phi}^\pm(\hat{\mathbf{z}}).\end{aligned}$$

These relations are in agreement with (2.42) because of (2.31) and (2.32).

From above and from (2.31) and (2.32), we get

$$\langle 0|\hat{\gamma}(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})) = \langle 0|\tilde{\Psi}(\mathbf{b}^*/-\mathbf{a}^*), \quad \hat{\gamma}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}))|0\rangle = \tilde{\Psi}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})|0\rangle, \quad (2.48)$$

$$\langle 0|\hat{\gamma}^{B\pm}(2\mathbf{p}^B(\mathbf{z})) = \langle 0|\tilde{\Phi}^\pm(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^*), \quad \hat{\gamma}^{\dagger B\pm}(2\mathbf{p}^B(\mathbf{z}))|0\rangle = \tilde{\Phi}^\pm(\hat{\mathbf{z}})|0\rangle. \quad (2.49)$$

## 2.4 Fermions and symmetric functions

The bosonization formulas are also manifested by the representation of the known symmetric polynomials as fermionic vacuum expectation values. This is an interesting part of the soliton theory.

**Power sums and Schur functions.** For preliminary information about symmetric functions, we recommend the textbook [17]. This is about polynomial functions symmetric in variables  $a_1, \dots, a_N$ , the widely known examples are the so-called power sums (or, the same, Newton sums) labeled by a multiindex  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{P}$ :

$$\mathbf{p}_\lambda(\mathbf{a}) := p_{\lambda_1} p_{\lambda_2} \cdots p_{\lambda_n}, \quad \text{where } p_m = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^m$$

or the Schur functions labeled by  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{P}$ :

$$s_\lambda(\mathbf{a}) = \frac{\det(a_i^{\lambda_j - j + N})_{i,j \leq N}}{\Delta(\mathbf{a})}$$

(where it is supposed that  $n \leq N$ ). For the empty partition, we put  $\mathbf{p}_0(\mathbf{a}) = 0$  and  $s_0(\mathbf{a}) = 1$ . The power sums and the Schur functions are related

$$\mathbf{p}_\lambda = \sum_{\substack{\mu \\ |\mu| = |\lambda|}} \chi_\mu(\lambda) s_\mu,$$

where the coefficients  $\chi_\mu(\lambda)$  are very important in many problems.  $\chi_\mu(\lambda)$  has the meaning of the character of the irreducible representation  $\mu$  of the permutation group  $S_d$ ,  $d = |\mu|$  evaluated on the cycle class  $\lambda$ , see for instance the textbook [17].

On the space of polynomial symmetric functions denoted by  $\Lambda_N$  the scalar product is given by

$$\langle \mathbf{p}_\lambda, \mathbf{p}_\mu \rangle = z_\lambda \delta_{\lambda, \mu} = \langle 0|J_{-\lambda} J_\mu|0\rangle, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{P}, \quad (2.50)$$

where  $z_\lambda$  was defined in (2.1). Notice that the relation does not include any  $N$ -dependence. The Schur functions form the orthonormal basis there

$$\langle s_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda, \mu} = \langle 0|\Psi_\lambda^* \Psi_\mu|0\rangle, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{P}. \quad (2.51)$$

The last equalities in both formulas (2.50) and (2.51) is the result of the direct computation (using respectively (2.29), (2.31) and (2.14), (2.15)), however it is not an occasion: it is the manifestation of the boson-fermion correspondence which is very popular in 2D physics.

In power case, where the sum variables are labeled with only odd parts, namely if  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \text{OP}$ , we denote  $\mathbf{p}_\lambda$  by  $\mathbf{p}_\lambda^{\text{B}} = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} p_{\lambda_i}^{\text{B}}$ . These symmetric functions form the subspace of  $\Lambda_N$  denoted by  $\Gamma$  in [17, Part III]. There is the following natural scalar product on  $\Gamma$ :

$$\langle \mathbf{p}_\lambda^{\text{B}}, \mathbf{p}_\mu^{\text{B}} \rangle_{\text{B}} = 2^{-\ell(\lambda)} z_\lambda \delta_{\lambda, \mu} = \langle 0 | J_{-\lambda}^{\text{B}} J_\mu^{\text{B}} | 0 \rangle, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \text{OP}. \quad (2.52)$$

Also

$$\langle Q_\alpha, Q_\beta \rangle_{\text{B}} = 2^{\ell(\alpha)} \delta_{\alpha, \beta} = \langle 0 | \Phi_{-\alpha} \Phi_\beta | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | \Phi_{-\hat{\alpha}} \Phi_{\hat{\beta}} | 0 \rangle, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \text{DP}, \quad (2.53)$$

see [17]. The validity of the second equalities in (2.52) and in (2.53) is obtained by the direct evaluation with the help respectively of (2.30), (2.32) and of (2.17)–(2.19).

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} s_\lambda(\mathbf{J}^\dagger) | 0 \rangle &= \Psi_\lambda | 0 \rangle, & \langle 0 | s_\lambda(\mathbf{J}) &= \langle 0 | \Psi_\lambda^*, & \lambda &= (\alpha | \beta) \in \text{P}, \\ Q_\mu(\mathbf{J}^{\dagger \text{B}^\pm}) | 0 \rangle &= \Phi_{\hat{\mu}} | 0 \rangle, & \langle 0 | Q_\mu(\mathbf{J}^{\text{B}^\pm}) &= \langle 0 | \Phi_{-\hat{\mu}}, & \mu &\in \text{DP}, \end{aligned}$$

where both Schur functions are considered as polynomials in power sum variables and the role of power sums play respectively  $\mathbf{J} = (J_1, J_2, J_3, \dots)$ ,  $\mathbf{J}^\dagger = (J_1^\dagger, J_2^\dagger, J_3^\dagger, \dots)$  and  $\mathbf{J}^{\text{B}^\pm} = (J_1^{\text{B}^\pm}, J_3^{\text{B}^\pm}, J_5^{\text{B}^\pm}, \dots)$ ,  $\mathbf{J}^{\dagger \text{B}^\pm} = (J_1^{\dagger \text{B}^\pm}, J_3^{\dagger \text{B}^\pm}, J_5^{\dagger \text{B}^\pm}, \dots)$  (compare to [28]).

We get

$$\langle 0 | \hat{\gamma}(\mathbf{p}) \hat{\gamma}^\dagger(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}) | 0 \rangle = e^{\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} p_m \tilde{p}_m} = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{P}} \frac{1}{z_\lambda} \mathbf{p}_\lambda \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_\lambda = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{P}} s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}) s_\lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}), \quad (2.54)$$

which can be derived either from (2.29) or also from (2.50).

From (2.30),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \hat{\gamma}^{\text{B}^\pm}(2\mathbf{p}^{\text{B}}) \hat{\gamma}^{\dagger \text{B}^\pm}(2\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^{\text{B}}) | 0 \rangle &= e^{\sum_{m>0, \text{odd}} \frac{2}{m} p_m \tilde{p}_m} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \text{OP}} 2^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{1}{z_\lambda} \mathbf{p}_\lambda^{\text{B}} \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_\lambda^{\text{B}} = \sum_{\mu \in \text{DP}} 2^{-\ell(\mu)} Q_\mu(\mathbf{p}^{\text{B}}) Q_\mu(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^{\text{B}}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.55)$$

Let us write down the following equalities:

$$\langle 0 | \hat{\gamma}(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{P}} s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}) \langle 0 | \Psi_\lambda^\dagger, \quad \hat{\gamma}^\dagger(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}) | 0 \rangle = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{P}} \Psi_\lambda | 0 \rangle s_\lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}), \quad (2.56)$$

which, thanks to (2.35), is equivalent to the Sato formula (2.62) below, and

$$\langle 0 | \hat{\gamma}^{\text{B}}(\mathbf{p}^{\text{B}}) = \sum_{\mu \in \text{DP}} 2^{-\ell(\mu)} Q_\mu(\mathbf{p}^{\text{B}}) \langle 0 | \Phi_{-\mu}, \quad \hat{\gamma}^{\dagger \text{B}}(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^{\text{B}}) | 0 \rangle = \sum_{\mu \in \text{DP}} 2^{-\ell(\mu)} \Phi_\mu | 0 \rangle Q_\mu(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^{\text{B}}).$$

which, thanks to (2.36), is equivalent to the relation found in [34], see (2.63) below.

## 2.5 Fermions and tau functions: KP and BKP cases

There are different treatments of the notion of tau function. Here we use the fermionic approach to tau functions.

**$\tau$  functions as vacuum expectation values (VEVs).**<sup>7</sup> According to [12], KP tau functions can be presented in form of the following vacuum expectation value (VEV)

$$\tau(\mathbf{p} | \hat{g}) = \langle 0 | \hat{\gamma}(\mathbf{p}) \hat{g} | 0 \rangle, \quad (2.57)$$

<sup>7</sup>We give one of possible definitions of the tau function. Here we want to avoid the notions of the Plucker and the Cartan coordinates on Sato Grassmannian and isotropic Grassmannian which are out of real use in the present work.

where  $\hat{g}$  is an exponential of a bilinear in  $\{\psi_i\}$  and  $\{\psi_i^\dagger\}$  expression

$$\hat{g} = e^{\sum_{i,j} A_{ij} \psi_i \psi_j^\dagger}, \quad (2.58)$$

where  $A$  is an infinite matrix which is treated as  $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(\infty)$  (see Section 2.2) and where  $\hat{\gamma}(\mathbf{p})$  is given by (2.44).

**Remark 2.17.** Throughout the text, we assume that the so-called matrix elements

$$\hat{g}_{\mu,\lambda} := \langle 0 | \Psi_\mu^\dagger \hat{g} \Psi_\lambda | 0 \rangle \quad (2.59)$$

do exist for each pair  $\mu, \lambda \in P$ . This assumption allows to identify tau functions with their Taylor series in power sums by substituting (2.56) and (2.59) into (2.57).

The tau function depends on the choice of  $\hat{g}$  (the same, on the choice of the matrix  $A$ ) and on the infinite set of parameters  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots)$  (the power sum variables).

**Remark 2.18.** Tau function (2.57) can also be written as

$$\tau(\mathbf{p} | \hat{g}) = \langle 0 | \hat{g}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) | 0 \rangle, \quad \text{where } \hat{g}^\dagger = e^{\sum_{i,j} A_{ij} \psi_j \psi_i^\dagger}$$

and  $\hat{\gamma}^\dagger(\mathbf{p})$  is given by (2.44).

The BKP tau function depends on the set of odd-labeled power sums  $\mathbf{p}^B = (p_1, p_3, \dots)$  and can be presented as

$$\tau^B(2\mathbf{p}^B | \hat{h}^\pm) = \langle 0 | \hat{\gamma}^{B\pm}(2\mathbf{p}^B) \hat{h}^\pm | 0 \rangle, \quad (2.60)$$

where  $\hat{h}^\pm$  is an exponential of a quadratic in  $\{\phi_i^\pm\}$  expression

$$\hat{h}^\pm = e^{\sum_{i,j} B_{ij} \phi_i^\pm \phi_j^\pm}, \quad (2.61)$$

where  $B^\pm$  is infinite antisymmetric matrices and where  $\hat{\gamma}^{B\pm}$  is given by (2.45).

**Remark 2.19.** We assume that the matrix elements

$$\hat{h}_{\mu,\nu}^\pm := \langle 0 | \Phi_{-\mu}^\pm \hat{h} \Phi_\nu | 0 \rangle$$

do exist for each pair  $\mu, \nu \in DP$ .

**Remark 2.20.** The set of  $t_m = \frac{1}{m} p_m$ ,  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , is called the set of the *KP higher times* and the set of  $t_m^B = \frac{2}{m} p_m^B$ ,  $m = 1, 3, 5, \dots$  is called the set of the *BKP higher times*.

**Remark 2.21.** Tau function (2.60) can be also written as

$$\tau^B(\mathbf{p}^B | \hat{h}^\pm) = \langle 0 | (\hat{h}^\pm)^\dagger \hat{\gamma}^{\dagger B\pm}(\mathbf{p}^B) | 0 \rangle, \quad \text{where } (\hat{h}^\pm)^\dagger = e^{\sum_{i,j} B_{ij} \phi_j^\pm \phi_i^\pm}$$

and  $\hat{\gamma}^{\dagger B\pm}(\mathbf{p}^B)$  is given by (2.45).

In the basic works of Kyoto school (for instance, in [12]), the following relation was proved.

**Theorem 2.22** ([3]). *Under conditions*

$$\hat{g} = \hat{h}^+ \hat{h}^- \in B_\infty$$

(as written down in Lemma 2.10) and also under

$$\mathbf{p}^B = (p_1^B, p_3^B, p_5^B, \dots), \quad \mathbf{p}' := (p_1, 0, p_3, 0, p_5, 0, \dots),$$

which are related by (1.2)–(1.4), the relation between KP tau function (2.57) and (any the both) BKP tau functions (2.60) is as follows:

$$(\tau^B(\mathbf{p}^B | \hat{h}^\pm))^2 = \tau(\mathbf{p}' | \hat{h}^+ \hat{h}^-).$$

**The Schur and the projective Schur functions as tau functions – a remark.**

**Remark 2.23.** For any given  $\lambda = (\alpha|\beta) \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $\alpha \in \text{DP}$ , there exists such  $\hat{g} = \hat{g}(\lambda)$  and such  $\hat{h}^\pm = \hat{h}^\pm(\alpha)$  that

$$\hat{g}(\lambda)|0\rangle = \Psi_\lambda|0\rangle, \quad \hat{h}^\pm(\alpha)|0\rangle = \Phi_\alpha^\pm|0\rangle.$$

This is the basic fact of the Sato theory. For a given  $\lambda = (\alpha|\beta)$  and  $\alpha$ , one can present both  $\hat{g}(\lambda)$  and  $\hat{h}^\pm(\alpha)$  in the explicit way, see appendix.

The wonderful observation by Sato and his school [12, 30] is the fermionic formula for the Schur polynomial

$$s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}) = \langle 0|\hat{g}(\mathbf{p})\Psi_\lambda|0\rangle = \langle 0|\Psi_\lambda^*\hat{g}^\dagger(\mathbf{p})|0\rangle. \quad (2.62)$$

In the BKP case, the similar formula was found in [34]

$$Q_\alpha(\mathbf{p}^B) = \langle 0|\hat{g}^{B\pm}(2\mathbf{p}^B)\Phi_\alpha^\pm|0\rangle = \langle 0|\Phi_{-\hat{\alpha}}^\pm\hat{g}^{\dagger B\pm}(2\mathbf{p}^B)|0\rangle. \quad (2.63)$$

**Schur functions  $s_\lambda(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})$  and  $Q_\mu(\mathbf{z})$  and products of Fermi fields  $\Psi(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})$ ,  $\Phi(\mathbf{z})$ .** With the help of (2.48) and (2.49), we rewrite formulas (2.62) and (2.63) for both Schur functions as follows:

$$s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})) = \langle 0|\tilde{\Psi}(\mathbf{b}^*/-\mathbf{a}^*)\Psi_\lambda|0\rangle = \langle 0|\Psi_\lambda^*\tilde{\Psi}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})|0\rangle =: s_\lambda(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}), \quad (2.64)$$

where  $\lambda = (\alpha|\beta)$  and

$$Q_\alpha(\mathbf{p}^B(\mathbf{z})) = \langle 0|\tilde{\Phi}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^*)\Phi_\alpha|0\rangle = \langle 0|\Phi_{-\hat{\alpha}}\tilde{\Phi}(\hat{\mathbf{z}})|0\rangle =: Q_\alpha(\mathbf{z}).$$

**Remark 2.24.** By the limiting procedure, we obtain from (2.64)

$$s_\lambda(\mathbf{a})\Delta(\mathbf{a}) = \langle -N|\psi^\dagger(a_1^{-1}) \cdots \psi^\dagger(a_N^{-1})\Psi_\lambda|0\rangle = \langle 0|\Psi_\lambda^*\psi(a_1) \cdots \psi(a_N)|N\rangle, \quad (2.65)$$

where to get the first equality we send  $b_1 > \cdots > b_N \rightarrow \infty$  in the second member of (2.64) and to get the second equality in (2.65) we send  $b_N < \cdots < b_1 \rightarrow 0$  in the last member of (2.64).

We rewrite (2.64) as

$$s_\lambda(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})\Delta(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}) = \langle 0|\Psi(\mathbf{b}^*/-\mathbf{a}^*)\Psi_\lambda|0\rangle = (-1)^{N^2} \langle 0|\Psi_\lambda^*\Psi(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})|0\rangle \prod_{i=1}^N a_i^{-1} b_i^{-1}.$$

## 2.6 Two-sided KP tau function, two-sided BKP tau function, lattice KP tau function, lattice BKP tau function

All objects which we need are tau functions introduced in works of Kyoto school. To be more precise, in the terminology concerning tau functions which we use, let us give definitions.

**Two-sided KP tau functions. Lattice KP tau functions.**

**Definition 2.25.** We call

$$\tau(\mathbf{p}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}|\hat{g}) = \langle 0|\hat{g}(\mathbf{p})\hat{g}\hat{g}^\dagger(\tilde{\mathbf{p}})|0\rangle \quad (2.66)$$

the two-sided KP tau function. Here  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots)$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{p}} = (\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2, \tilde{p}_3, \dots)$  are parameters. We call  $t_m = \frac{1}{m}p_m$  and  $\tilde{t}_m = \frac{1}{m}\tilde{p}_m$  the two-sided KP higher times.

This notion of the KP higher times is the same as it was introduced in [3, 22] and is common. Two-sided KP tau function is usual (one-sided) KP tau function (2.57) with respect to the higher times  $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{p}$  and at the same time it is one-sided KP tau function with respect to the higher time variables  $\tilde{\mathbf{t}} = \tilde{\mathbf{p}}$ , see Remark 2.18.<sup>8</sup>

**Definition 2.26.** We call

$$S_\lambda(\mathbf{p}|\hat{g}) := \langle 0|\hat{\gamma}(\mathbf{p})\hat{g}\Psi_\lambda|0\rangle \quad (2.67)$$

lattice KP tau function labeled with a partition  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ .

We do not assume that  $S_\lambda(\mathbf{p})$  is a polynomial function in the variables  $\mathbf{p}$ . For the polynomial case, see [8].

**Remark 2.27.** The tau function (2.67) can be considered as a discrete version of the two-way tau function (2.66), where the dependence on continuous variables  $p_1, p_2, \dots$  is replaced by discrete variables that are parts of partitions. The tau function (2.67) solves the same discrete equations (which play the role of Hirota equations) with respect to the Frobenius parts of  $\lambda$  as the Schur function  $s_\lambda$  (Plucker relations).

With the assumption of Remark 2.17, one can write both tau function as Taylor series in power sum variables as follows:

$$\tau(\mathbf{p}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}|\hat{g}) = \sum_{\mu, \lambda \in \mathcal{P}} \hat{g}_{\mu, \lambda} s_\mu(\mathbf{p}) s_\lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}), \quad (2.68)$$

$$S_\lambda(\mathbf{p}|\hat{g}) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} \hat{g}_{\mu, \lambda} s_\mu(\mathbf{p}), \quad (2.69)$$

which results from (2.56). The series (2.68) was written down in [32, 33] in the context of the study of the Toda lattice hierarchy.

For a given  $\hat{g}$ , the relation between two-sided and lattice KP tau functions is as follows:

$$\tau(\mathbf{p}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}|\hat{g}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} S_\lambda(\mathbf{p}|\hat{g}) s_\lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}). \quad (2.70)$$

In the case  $\hat{g} = 1$ , the last equality yields (see (2.54))

$$\tau(\mathbf{p}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}|\hat{g} = 1) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}) s_\lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}) = e^{\sum_{m>0} \frac{1}{m} p_m \tilde{p}_m},$$

which is known as the Cauchy–Littlewood identity and occurs to be the simplest example of the two-sided KP tau function.

**Remark 2.28.** We also have

$$\tau(\mathbf{p}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}|\hat{g}_1 \hat{g}_2) = \sum_{\mu, \lambda \in \mathcal{P}} \hat{g}_{\mu, \lambda} S_\mu(\mathbf{p}|\hat{g}_1) S_\lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}|\hat{g}_2),$$

which is a generalization of the Takasaki series [32].

**Remark 2.29.** In the Grassmannian approach to the tau functions [30], the coefficients  $S_\lambda(\mathbf{p}|\hat{g})$  in (2.70) have the meaning of the Plucker coordinates  $\pi_\lambda(\hat{g})$  for the one-side KP tau function, see Remark 2.18 where one should insert  $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$  instead of  $\mathbf{p}$ . The notation was used in [8] instead of  $S_\lambda(\mathbf{p}|\hat{g})$ , see Remark 1.5.

<sup>8</sup>Tau function (2.66) can be also considered as the Toda lattice tau function  $\tau_N(\mathbf{t}, \tilde{\mathbf{t}})$  [12, 32, 33], where  $N = 0$ .

**Two-sided BKP tau functions. Lattice BKP tau functions.**

**Definition 2.30.** We call

$$\tau(2\mathbf{p}^B, 2\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^B|\hat{h}^\pm) = \langle 0|\hat{\gamma}^{B\pm}(2\mathbf{p}^B)\hat{h}^\pm\hat{\gamma}^{\dagger B\pm}(2\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^B)|0\rangle$$

the two-sided BKP (2-BKP) tau function. Here  $\mathbf{p}^B = (p_1, p_3, p_5, \dots)$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^B = (\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_3, \tilde{p}_5, \dots)$  are parameters. We call  $t_m^B = \frac{2}{m}p_m^B$  and  $\tilde{t}_m^B = \frac{2}{m}\tilde{p}_m^B$  the two-sided BKP higher times.

This notion of the BKP higher times is the same as it was introduced in [2, 3] and [13] and is common.

Two-sided BKP tau function is usual (one-sided) BKP tau function (2.60) with respect to the higher times  $\mathbf{t}^B = (t_1^B, t_3^B, \dots)$  and at the same time it is one-sided KP tau function with respect to the higher time variables  $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}^B = (\tilde{t}_1^B, \tilde{t}_3^B, \dots)$ , see Remark 2.21.

**Remark 2.31.** The case  $\tilde{N} = 0$ , see (1.12) and (1.14) is related to the (one-side) tau function.

**Definition 2.32.** We call

$$K_\mu(\mathbf{p}^B|\hat{h}^\pm) := \langle 0|\hat{\gamma}^{B\pm}(\mathbf{p}^B)\hat{h}^\pm\Phi_\mu|0\rangle, \quad (2.71)$$

lattice BKP tau function labeled with a partition  $\mu \in \text{DP}$ .

We do not assume that  $K_\lambda(\mathbf{p}^B)$  is a polynomial function in the variables  $\mathbf{p}^B$ . For the polynomial case, see [8].

With the assumption of Remark 2.19, one can write both BKP tau functions as Taylor series in power sum variables as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(2\mathbf{p}^B, 2\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^B|\hat{h}^\pm) &= \sum_{\mu, \nu \in \text{DP}} \hat{h}_{\mu, \nu}^\pm Q_\mu(\mathbf{p}^B) Q_\nu(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^B), \\ K_\mu(\mathbf{p}^B|\hat{h}^\pm) &= \sum_{\nu \in \text{DP}} \hat{h}_{\mu, \nu}^\pm Q_\nu(\mathbf{p}^B), \end{aligned} \quad (2.72)$$

which results from (2.56). For a given  $\hat{h}^\pm$ , we obtain

$$\tau(2\mathbf{p}^B, 2\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^B|\hat{h}^\pm) = \sum_{\mu \in \text{DP}} 2^{-\ell(\mu)} K_\mu(\mathbf{p}^B|\hat{h}^\pm) Q_\mu(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^B).$$

For  $\hat{h}^\pm = 1$  (the same,  $B = 0$ ), we get the simplest two-sided BKP tau function (2.55).

**Remark 2.33.** In the Grassmannian approach to the BKP tau functions developed in [5], the coefficients  $K_\lambda(\mathbf{p}^B|\hat{h}^\pm)$  in (2.70) have the meaning of the Cartan coordinates  $\kappa_\lambda(\hat{h}^\pm)$ . The notation was used in [8] instead of  $K_\lambda(\mathbf{p}|\hat{h}^\pm)$ , see Remark 1.5.

**The known relation between KP and BKP two-sided tau functions.** It is well known [12] that the square of a (one-side) BKP tau function is equal to a certain (one-side) KP tau function under restriction  $p_{2m} \equiv 0$ ; the same is obviously true for two-sided tau functions.

**Theorem 2.34.**

$$\tau^B(2\mathbf{p}^B, 2\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^B|\hat{h}^+) \tau^B(2\mathbf{p}^B, 2\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^B|\hat{h}^-) = \tau(\mathbf{p}', \tilde{\mathbf{p}}'|\hat{h}^+\hat{h}^-), \quad (2.73)$$

where  $\tau^B(2\mathbf{p}^B, 2\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^B|\hat{h}^+) = \tau^B(2\mathbf{p}^B, 2\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^B|\hat{h}^-)$ ,  $\mathbf{p}^B$  is given in (1.2),

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^B = (\tilde{p}_1^B, \tilde{p}_3^B, \tilde{p}_5^B, \dots)$$

(with  $2\tilde{p}_i^B = \tilde{p}_i$ ,  $i$  odd) are two given sets of parameters and

$$\mathbf{p}' := (p_1, 0, p_3, 0, p_5, 0, \dots), \quad (2.74)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}}' := (\tilde{p}_1, 0, \tilde{p}_3, 0, \tilde{p}_5, 0, \dots). \quad (2.75)$$



The proof is identical to the similar statement [12] about KP and BKP tau functions with one set of variables and based on Lemma 2.14 and on the relation

$$\hat{\gamma}(\mathbf{p}') = \hat{\gamma}^{\text{B}+}(2\mathbf{p}^{\text{B}})\hat{\gamma}^{\text{B}-}(2\mathbf{p}^{\text{B}}), \quad \hat{\gamma}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}') = \hat{\gamma}^{\dagger\text{B}+}(2\mathbf{p}^{\text{B}})\hat{\gamma}^{\dagger\text{B}-}(2\mathbf{p}^{\text{B}})$$

(which is the direct result of (2.28), (2.30) and can be treated as an example of Lemma 2.10).

Our goal will be to get the generalization of (2.73) in Section 3, where now  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{p}} = \tilde{\mathbf{p}}(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}/-\tilde{\mathbf{b}})$  of (2.46) and (2.47). This choice of power sums is different from (2.74) and (2.75) except the case mentioned in Remark 2.16.

**The known relation between KP and BKP lattice tau functions.** In the previous work [8], we have shown that in case the power sums are restricted according to (2.74) we get the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.35** ([8]). *For  $\mathbf{p}^{\text{B}}$  and  $\mathbf{p}$  given by (1.2), (2.74) and (1.4), respectively, we have*

$$S_{(\alpha|\beta)}(\mathbf{p}|\hat{h}^+\hat{h}^-) = \sum_{\zeta \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha,\beta)} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta^+, \zeta^- \\ \alpha, \beta \end{bmatrix} K_{\zeta^+}(2\mathbf{p}^{\text{B}}|\hat{h}^+) K_{\zeta^-}(2\mathbf{p}^{\text{B}}|\hat{h}^-),$$

where the factor in square brackets is given by (2.4). In particular,

$$s_{(\alpha|\beta)}(\mathbf{p}') = \sum_{\zeta \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha,\beta)} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta^+, \zeta^- \\ \alpha, \beta \end{bmatrix} Q_{\zeta^+}(\mathbf{p}^{\text{B}}) Q_{\zeta^-}(\mathbf{p}^{\text{B}})$$

obtained in [7].

Proof follows from Lemmas 3.3 and 2.14.

In [8], we consider KP polynomial tau functions as the bilinear sum of BKP polynomial tau functions [15]; this relation is given by Theorem 2.35.

In Section 4.1, we are going to replace the restriction  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}'$  by  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})$  and present the generalization of Theorem 2.35.

## 2.7 On lattice polynomial KP and lattice polynomial BKP tau functions

Among lattice tau functions, there is a family of tau functions polynomial in power sum variables. Polynomial tau functions were studied in [15] and also in [9].

To be precise let us introduce the following definition.

**Definition 2.36.** We call a lattice KP tau function (2.69) polynomial if for *any* given  $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}$  the number of matrix elements  $\hat{g}_{\mu,\lambda}$  is finite.

**Definition 2.37.** We call a lattice BKP tau function (2.72) polynomial if for *any* given  $\alpha \in \text{DP}$  the number of matrix elements  $\hat{h}_{\nu,\alpha}$  is finite.

**Remark 2.38.** In the Grassmannian approach, the property of polynomiality may be formulated as follows: It is known that for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}$  there exists such  $\hat{g}(\lambda) \in \text{GL}_\infty$  that  $\hat{g}(\lambda)|0\rangle = \Psi_\lambda|0\rangle$  (see Remark 2.23), and perhaps it will be better to attach a lattice KP tau function (2.67) to the Schubert cell labeled with the partition  $\lambda$ . Then one can write  $\hat{g} = \hat{g}_L \hat{g}_0 \hat{g}_R \hat{g}(\lambda)$ , where  $\hat{g}_L, \hat{g}_0, \hat{g}_R \in \text{GL}_\infty$ ,  $\hat{g}_R|0\rangle = |0\rangle$ ,  $\langle 0|\hat{g}_L = \langle 0|$ ,  $\hat{g}_0|0\rangle = g_0|0\rangle$ ,  $\langle 0|\hat{g}_0 = g_0\langle 0|$ ,  $g_0$  is a number. Then the condition of the polynomiality is the nilpotent structure of  $\hat{A}_L$ , where  $\hat{g}_L = e^{\hat{A}_L}$ .

The similar condition of the polynomiality for (2.71) we get for  $\hat{h}^\pm = \hat{h}_L^\pm \hat{h}_0^\pm \hat{h}_R^\pm \hat{h}^\pm(\alpha)$ , where  $\hat{h}^\pm(\alpha)|0\rangle = \Phi_\alpha^\pm|0\rangle$  (see [5]),  $\hat{h}_R^\pm|0\rangle = |0\rangle$ ,  $\langle 0|\hat{h}_L^\pm = \langle 0|$ ,  $\hat{h}_0^\pm|0\rangle = h_0|0\rangle$ ,  $\langle 0|\hat{h}_0^\pm = h_0\langle 0|$ ,  $h_0$  is a number. Then the condition of the polynomiality is the nilpotent structure of  $\hat{B}_L^\pm$ , where  $\hat{h}_L^\pm = e^{\hat{B}_L^\pm}$ .

Let us note that in [9] we actually consider only the cases where  $\hat{g}_L = 1$  and  $\hat{h}_L^\pm = 1$ .

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Key lemmas

Let us note that tau functions below depend on  $\tilde{\Psi}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{a})$ . And as one can notice,  $\tilde{\Psi}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b})$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{a})$  do not depend on the order of the arguments  $a_1, \dots, a_N, b_1, \dots, b_N$ . Nevertheless, as we have already wrote, it is suitable to order these sets to have a unified approach for problems under consideration.

**Lemma 3.1.**

$$\Psi(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{(\mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})} D_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^-} \Phi^+(\mathbf{z}^+) \Phi^-(\mathbf{z}^-), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{(\mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})} \tilde{D}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^-} \tilde{\Phi}^+(\mathbf{z}^+) \tilde{\Phi}^-(\mathbf{z}^-), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$D_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^-} := \frac{(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}N(N+1)+\tilde{q}}}{2^{N-\tilde{q}}} \operatorname{sgn}(\mathbf{z}) (-1)^{\pi(\mathbf{z}^-)} i^{N(\mathbf{z}^-)},$$

$$\tilde{D}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^-} = D_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^-} \frac{\Delta^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{z}^+) \Delta^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{z}^-)}{\Delta(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})}.$$

**Proof.** Consider equation (2.38) and substitute

$$\psi(a_j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi^+(a_j) - i\phi^-(a_j)),$$

$$\psi^\dagger(-b_j) = \frac{1}{b_j \sqrt{2}} (\phi^+(b_j) + i\phi^-(b_j)), \quad j = 1, \dots, N,$$

see (2.23), for all the factors, and expand the product as a sum over monomial terms of the form

$$\prod_{j=1}^{N(\mathbf{z}^+)} \phi^+(z_j^+) \prod_{k=1}^{N(\mathbf{z}^-)} \phi^-(z_k^-).$$

We have in mind formula (2.24) if  $b_k = a_j$  which reduces the number of terms in the product of Fermi fields.

Taking into account the sign factor  $\operatorname{sgn}(\mathbf{z})$  corresponding to the order of the neutral fermion factors, as well as the powers of  $-1$  and  $i$ , and noting that there are  $2^{\tilde{q}}$  resulting identical terms, then gives (3.1), then (3.2) ■

**Lemma 3.2.**

$$\Psi(\mathbf{b}^*/-\mathbf{a}^*) = \sum_{(\mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{b}^*, \mathbf{a}^*)} D_{\mathbf{b}^*, \mathbf{a}^*}^{\mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^-} \Phi^+(\mathbf{z}^+) \Phi^-(\mathbf{z}^-),$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}(\mathbf{b}^*/-\mathbf{a}^*) = \sum_{(\mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{b}^*, \mathbf{a}^*)} \tilde{D}_{\mathbf{b}^*, \mathbf{a}^*}^{\mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^-} \tilde{\Phi}^+(\mathbf{z}^+) \tilde{\Phi}^-(\mathbf{z}^-),$$

where

$$D_{\mathbf{b}^*, \mathbf{a}^*}^{\mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^-} := \frac{(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}N(N+1)+q}}{2^{N-q}} \operatorname{sgn}(\mathbf{z}) (-1)^{\pi(\mathbf{z}^+)} i^{N(\mathbf{z}^+)},$$

$$\tilde{D}_{\mathbf{b}^*, \mathbf{a}^*}^{\mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^-} = D_{\mathbf{b}^*, \mathbf{a}^*}^{\mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^-} \frac{\Delta^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{z}^+) \Delta^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{z}^-)}{\Delta(\mathbf{b}^*/-\mathbf{a}^*)}.$$

**Proof.** Consider equation (2.38) and substitute

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(-a_j^{-1}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi^+(-a_j^{-1}) - i\phi^-(-a_j^{-1})), \\ \psi^\dagger(b_j^{-1}) &= \frac{b_j}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi^+(-b_j^{-1}) + i\phi^-(-b_j^{-1})), \quad j = 1, \dots, N,\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

see (2.23), for all the factors, and expand the product as a sum over monomial terms of the form

$$\prod_{j=1}^{N(\mathbf{z}^+)} \phi^+(z_j^+) \prod_{k=1}^{N(\mathbf{z}^-)} \phi^-(z_k^-).$$

We have in mind formula (2.25) if  $b_k = a_j$ , which reduces the number of terms in the product of Fermi fields. Taking into account the sign factor  $\text{sgn}(\mathbf{z})$  corresponding to the order of the neutral fermion factors, as well as the powers of  $-1$  and  $i$ , and noting that there are  $2^q$  resulting identical terms, then gives (3.2). Then (3.2) follows.  $\blacksquare$

For  $\lambda = (\alpha|\beta)$ , we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.**

$$\Psi_\lambda = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}r(r+1)+s}}{2^{r-s}} \sum_{\zeta=(\zeta^+, \zeta^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)} \text{sgn}(\zeta) (-1)^{\pi(\zeta^-)} i^{m(\zeta^-)} \Phi_{\zeta^+} \Phi_{\zeta^-}.\tag{3.4}$$

**Proof.** In equation (2.34), reorder the product over the factors  $\psi_{\alpha_j} \psi_{-\beta_j-1}^\dagger$  so the  $\psi_{\alpha_j}$  terms precede the  $\psi_{-\beta_j-1}^\dagger$  ones, giving an overall sign factor  $(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}r(r-1)}$ . Then substitute

$$\psi_{\alpha_j} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_{\alpha_j}^+ - i\phi_{\alpha_j}^-), \quad \psi_{-\beta_j-1}^\dagger = \frac{(-1)^j}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_{\beta_j+1}^+ + i\phi_{\beta_j+1}^-), \quad j \in \mathbf{Z},$$

which follows from (2.16), for all the factors, and expand the product as a sum over monomial terms of the form

$$\prod_{j=1}^{m(\zeta^+)} \phi_{\zeta_j^+}^+ \prod_{k=1}^{m(\zeta^-)} \phi_{\zeta_k^-}^-.$$

Taking into account the sign factor  $\text{sgn}(\zeta)$  corresponding to the order of the neutral fermion factors, as well as the powers of  $-1$  and  $i$ , and noting that there are  $2^s$  resulting identical terms, then gives (3.4).  $\blacksquare$

For  $\lambda = (\alpha|\beta)$ , we also have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.**

$$\Psi_\lambda^\dagger = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}r(r+1)+s}}{2^{r-s}} (-1)^{|\lambda|} \sum_{\zeta=(\zeta^+, \zeta^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)} \text{sgn}(\zeta) (-1)^{\pi(\zeta^-)} i^{m(\zeta^-)} \Phi_{-\zeta^+} \Phi_{-\zeta^-}.$$

## 4 Bilinear expressions

Below, we assume the condition  $\hat{g} = \hat{h}^+ \hat{h}^-$ , and we use Lemma 2.14 and results of Section 3.1.

#### 4.1 KP tau functions as a bilinear expression in BKP tau functions

Let

$$p_m(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{j=1}^N (a_j^m - (-b_j)^m), \quad m > 0,$$

$$\tilde{p}_m(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}/-\tilde{\mathbf{b}}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} (\tilde{a}_j^m - (-\tilde{b}_j)^m), \quad m > 0.$$

For a given  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_{N(\mathbf{z})})$ , we define

$$p_m^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N(\mathbf{z})} z_j^m, \quad \tilde{p}_m^{\mathbf{B}}(\tilde{\mathbf{z}}) = \sum_{j=1}^{m(\tilde{\mathbf{z}})} \tilde{z}_j^m, \quad m > 0.$$

**Two-sided KP tau function as a bilinear expression in two-sided BKP tau functions.** Then

$$\tau(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}), \tilde{\mathbf{p}}(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}/-\tilde{\mathbf{b}})|\hat{g}) = \langle 0|\tilde{\Psi}(\mathbf{b}^*/-\mathbf{a}^*)\hat{g}\tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}/-\tilde{\mathbf{b}})|0\rangle =: \tau(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}; \tilde{\mathbf{a}}/-\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|\hat{g}),$$

$$\tau^{\mathbf{B}\pm}(\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{z}), \tilde{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{B}}(\tilde{\mathbf{z}})|\hat{h}^{\pm}) := \langle 0|\tilde{\Phi}^{\pm}(-\mathbf{z}^*)\hat{h}^{\pm}\tilde{\Phi}^{\pm}(\tilde{\mathbf{z}})|0\rangle =: \tau^{\mathbf{B}\pm}(\mathbf{z}; \tilde{\mathbf{z}}|\hat{h}^{\pm}).$$

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose  $\hat{g} = \hat{h}^+\hat{h}^-$ . Then*

$$\tau(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}; \tilde{\mathbf{a}}/-\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|\hat{h}^+\hat{h}^-) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{z}} \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}})}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}^-, \mathbf{z}^+ \\ \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^-, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^+ \\ \tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \end{bmatrix}^* \tau^{\mathbf{B}\pm}(\mathbf{z}^+; \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^+|\hat{h}^+) \tau^{\mathbf{B}\pm}(\mathbf{z}^-; \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^-|\hat{h}^-),$$

where the factors in square brackets are given by (2.1).

Proof follows from Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 2.14.

Let us consider the case  $\hat{g} = 1$  (i.e.,  $\hat{g}_{\mu, \lambda} = \delta_{\mu, \lambda}$  for  $\mu, \lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ ) and  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} = \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ ,  $N = 1$ , then

$$\tau(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}; \tilde{\mathbf{a}}/-\tilde{\mathbf{b}}) = \frac{1}{(x+y)(\tilde{x}+\tilde{y})},$$

$$z_1^{\pm} = x, \quad z_2^{\mp} = y, \quad \tilde{z}_1^{\pm} = \tilde{x}, \quad \tilde{z}_2^{\mp} = \tilde{y}.$$

**Lattice KP tau function as a bilinear expression in the lattice BKP tau functions.**

Denote  $\pi_{(\alpha|\beta)}(\hat{g})(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}) := \langle 0|\tilde{\Psi}(\mathbf{b}^*/-\mathbf{a}^*)\hat{g}\Psi_{\lambda}|0\rangle$ , labeled by partitions  $\lambda = (\alpha|\beta)$  and a  $B_{\infty}$  lattice of BKP  $\tau$ -functions

$$\kappa_{\alpha}(\hat{h})(\mathbf{z}) := \langle 0|\tilde{\Phi}^{\pm}(-\mathbf{z}^*)\hat{h}^{\pm}\Phi_{\alpha}^{\pm}|0\rangle.$$

**Remark 4.2.** The case  $\lambda = 0$  describes the usual (one-side) tau function.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Suppose  $\hat{g} = \hat{h}^+\hat{h}^-$ . Then*

$$\pi_{(\alpha|\beta)}(\hat{g})(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{b}^*, \mathbf{a}^*) \\ \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^- \\ \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta^+, \zeta^- \\ \alpha, \beta \end{bmatrix} \kappa_{\zeta^+}(\hat{h})(\mathbf{z}^+) \kappa_{\zeta^-}(\hat{h})(\mathbf{z}^-),$$

where factors in square brackets are given by (2.4) and (2.1). In particular ( $\hat{g} = 1$ ),

$$s_{(\alpha|\beta)}(\mathbf{a}/-\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{b}^*, \mathbf{a}^*) \\ \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta)}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}^+, \mathbf{z}^- \\ \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta^+, \zeta^- \\ \alpha, \beta \end{bmatrix} Q_{\zeta^+}(\mathbf{z}^+) Q_{\zeta^-}(\mathbf{z}^-).$$

Proof follows from Lemmas 3.3, 3.2 and 2.14.

**Bi-lattice KP and bi-lattice BKP tau functions.** In view of the notion of the lattice tau functions (1.8) and (1.9), it is natural to call  $\hat{g}_{\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}}$  bi-lattice KP tau function and to call  $\hat{h}_{\mu, \tilde{\mu}}$  bi-lattice BKP tau function. Then we get the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.4.**

$$\hat{g}_{(\alpha|\beta),(\tilde{\alpha}|\tilde{\beta})} = \sum_{\substack{(\zeta^+, \zeta^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta) \\ (\tilde{\zeta}^+, \tilde{\zeta}^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})}} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\zeta}^+, \tilde{\zeta}^- \\ \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta^+, \zeta^- \\ \alpha, \beta \end{bmatrix} \hat{h}_{\zeta^-, \tilde{\zeta}^-}^- \hat{h}_{\zeta^+, \tilde{\zeta}^+}^+.$$

**Corollary 4.5.** For  $\hat{g} = 1$ , we have

$$\delta_{\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}} \delta_{\beta, \tilde{\beta}} = \sum_{\substack{(\zeta^+, \zeta^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta) \\ (\tilde{\zeta}^+, \tilde{\zeta}^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})}} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\zeta}^+, \tilde{\zeta}^- \\ \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta^+, \zeta^- \\ \alpha, \beta \end{bmatrix} \delta_{\zeta^-, \tilde{\zeta}^-} \delta_{\zeta^+, \tilde{\zeta}^+}.$$

The simple nontrivial example of the bi-lattice KP tau function  $\hat{g}_{\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}}$  is the product  $s_{\mu}^*(\lambda) \dim \lambda$  where  $s_{\mu}^*(\lambda)$  is the so-called shifted Schur function introduced by Okounkov in [4] and  $\dim \lambda$  is the number of standard tableaux of the shape  $\lambda$ , see [17]. The shifted Schur functions were used in an approach to the representation theory developed by G. Olshanski and A. Okounkov in [26]. The simple nontrivial example of the bi-lattice BKP tau function  $h_{\mu, \nu}$  is the product  $Q_{\mu}^*(\nu) \dim^{\text{B}} \mu$ , where  $Q_{\mu}^*(\nu)$  is the shifted projective Schur function introduced by Ivanov in [11] and  $\dim^{\text{B}} \mu$  is the number of the shifted standard tableaux of the shape  $\mu$ . Functions  $Q_{\mu}^*(\nu)$  are of use in the study of spin Hurwitz numbers [18].

The relation between shifted Schur functions and the shifted projective Schur functions was written down in [29].

## 5 Summary of other formulas [29]

### 5.1 Relation between characters of symmetric group and characters of Sergeev group

For a given  $\Delta \in \text{OP}$ , one can split its parts into two ordered odd partitions  $(\Delta^+, \Delta^-)$ :  $\Delta = \Delta^+ \cup \Delta^-$ ,  $\Delta^+, \Delta^- \in \text{OP}$ ,  $\ell(\Delta^+) + \ell(\Delta^-) = \ell(\Delta)$ . The set of all such  $(\Delta^+, \Delta^-)$  we denote by  $\text{OP}(\Delta)$ .

From  $J_n = J_n^{\text{B}^+} + J_n^{\text{B}^-}$ ,  $n$  odd (see [12]), we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.**

$$J_{\Delta} = \sum_{(\Delta^+, \Delta^-) \in \text{OP}} J_{\Delta^+}^{\text{B}^+} J_{\Delta^-}^{\text{B}^-}, \quad J_{-\Delta} = \sum_{\substack{\Delta^+ \in \text{OP} \\ \Delta^+ \cup \Delta^- = \Delta}} J_{-\Delta^+}^{\text{B}^+} J_{-\Delta^-}^{\text{B}^-},$$

It is known [17] that the power sums labeled by partitions  $\mathbf{p}_{\Delta} = p_{\Delta_1} p_{\Delta_2} \cdots$ ,  $\Delta \in \text{P}$  (here  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots)$ ) are uniquely expressed in terms of Schur polynomials

$$\mathbf{p}_{\Delta} = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{P}} \chi_{\lambda}(\Delta) s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{p}), \quad (5.1)$$

while the odd power sum variables (power sums labeled by odd numbers)  $\mathbf{p}_{\Delta} = p_{\Delta_1} p_{\Delta_2} \cdots$ ,  $\Delta \in \text{OP}$  also denoted by  $\mathbf{p}_{\Delta}^{\text{B}}$  (where  $\mathbf{p}^{\text{B}} = (p_1, p_3, p_5, \dots)$ ) are uniquely expressed in terms of projective Schur polynomials

$$\mathbf{p}_{\Delta}^{\text{B}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \text{DP}} \chi_{\alpha}^{\text{B}}(\Delta) Q_{\alpha}(\mathbf{p}^{\text{B}}) = \mathbf{p}_{\Delta}, \quad \Delta \in \text{OP}.$$

Let me recall that the coefficients  $\chi_{\lambda}(\Delta)$  in (5.1) has the meaning of the irreducible characters of the symmetric group  $S_d$ ,  $d = |\lambda|$  evaluated on the cycle class  $C_{\Delta}$ ,  $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k)$ ,

$|\lambda| = |\Delta| = d$ , see [17], and we can write it as  $\chi_\lambda(\Delta) = \langle 0|J_\Delta\Psi_\lambda|0\rangle$ , where  $J_\Delta$  and  $\Psi_\lambda$  are given by (2.43) and (2.33), respectively (see, for instance, [20] for details).

The characters of symmetric groups have a very wide application, in particular, in mathematical physics. I will give two works as an example [10, 21].

The notion of Sergeev group was introduced in [4]. The coefficient  $\chi_\alpha^B$  is the irreducible character of this group [4, 31]. As it was shown in [4], the so-called spin Hurwitz numbers (introduced in this work) are expressed in terms of these characters. As it was pointed out in [16, 18], the generating function for spin Hurwitz numbers can be related to the BKP hierarchy in a similar way as the generating function for usual Hurwitz numbers is related to the KP (and also to Toda lattice) hierarchies [19, 24, 27].

We can write these characters in terms of the BKP currents  $J_m^B$  ( $m$  odd)

$$\chi_\alpha^B(\Delta) = 2^{-\ell(\alpha)} \langle 0|J_\Delta^B\Phi_\alpha|0\rangle,$$

see [20].

From Lemma 3.3 and (5.1), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.2.** *The character  $\chi_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda = (\alpha|\beta)$  evaluated on an odd cycle  $\Delta \in \text{OP}$  is the bilinear function of the Sergeev characters as follows:*

$$\chi_{(\alpha|\beta)}(\Delta) = \sum_{\substack{(\nu^+, \nu^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta) \\ (\Delta^+, \Delta^-) \in \text{OP}(\Delta)}} 2^{\ell(\nu^+) + \ell(\nu^-)} \begin{bmatrix} \nu^+, \nu^- \\ \alpha, \beta \end{bmatrix} \chi_{\nu^+}^{B+}(\Delta^+) \chi_{\nu^-}^{B-}(\Delta^-).$$

## 5.2 Relation between generalized skew Schur polynomials and generalized projective skew Schur polynomials

This section may be treated as a remark to [7]. Let us find the relation between the following quantities:

$$s_{\lambda/\mu}(\mathbf{p}') := \langle 0|\Psi_\mu^* \hat{\gamma}(\mathbf{p}') \Psi_\lambda|0\rangle, \quad (5.2)$$

$$Q_{\nu/\theta}(\mathbf{p}^B) := \langle 0|\Phi_{-\theta} \hat{\gamma}^B(2\mathbf{p}^B) \Phi_\nu|0\rangle, \quad (5.3)$$

where the power sum variables are as follows:  $\mathbf{p}' = (p_1, 0, p_3, 0, p_5, 0, \dots)$ ,  $\mathbf{p}^B = \frac{1}{2}(p_1, p_3, p_5, \dots)$ , where  $\lambda = (\alpha|\beta)$ ,  $\mu = (\gamma|\delta)$  and where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \theta, \nu \in \text{DP}$ .

**Theorem 5.3.**

$$s_{\lambda/\mu}(\mathbf{p}') = \sum_{\substack{(\nu^+, \nu^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta) \\ (\theta^+, \theta^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\gamma, \delta)}} \begin{bmatrix} \nu^+, \nu^- \\ \alpha, \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta^+, \theta^- \\ \gamma, \delta \end{bmatrix} Q_{\nu^+/\theta^+}(\mathbf{p}^B) Q_{\nu^-/\theta^-}(\mathbf{p}^B).$$

For the proof, we apply Lemma 3.3 to the first equality (5.2) and consider all possible parities of  $m(\nu^\pm)$ ,  $m(\theta^\pm)$  to apply Lemma 2.14 and take into account the fermionic expression (5.3).

Theorem 5.3 in a straightforward way can be generalized for the generalized skew Schur and skew projective Schur polynomials which we define as follows. Suppose

$$\hat{g} = \hat{g}(C) = e^{\sum C_{ij} \psi_i \psi_j^\dagger},$$

where the entries  $C_{ij}$  form a matrix. Also suppose

$$\hat{h}^\pm = \hat{h}^\pm(A) = e^{\sum A_{ij} \phi_i^\pm \phi_j^\pm},$$

where now the entries  $A_{ij} = -A_{ji}$  form a skew-symmetric matrix. Suppose that

$$\hat{g}|0\rangle = |0\rangle c_1, \quad \hat{h}^\pm|0\rangle = |0\rangle c_2, \quad c_{1,2} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad c_{1,2} \neq 0. \quad (5.4)$$

Define the generalized skew Schur polynomials and the generalized projective skew Schur polynomials (the same, generalized skew Schur's  $Q$ -functions) by

$$s_{\lambda/\mu}(\mathbf{p}|\hat{g}) := \langle \mu | \hat{\gamma}(\mathbf{p}) \hat{g} | \lambda \rangle, \quad (5.5)$$

$$Q_{\nu/\theta}(\mathbf{p}^B | \hat{h}^\pm) := \langle 0 | \Phi_{-\theta} \hat{\gamma}^{B^\pm}(2\mathbf{p}^B) \hat{h}^\pm \Phi_\nu | 0 \rangle. \quad (5.6)$$

**Remark 5.4.** In [8],  $s_{\lambda/\mu}(\mathbf{p}|\hat{g})$  was defined by  $s_{\lambda/\mu}(\mathbf{p}|C)$  and  $Q_{\nu/\theta}(\mathbf{p}^B | \hat{h}^\pm)$  was defined by  $Q_{\nu/\theta}(\mathbf{p}^B | A)$ .

**Remark 5.5.** The constraints (5.4) are sufficient, but not necessary, for the polynomiality condition of the right-hand sides in (5.5) and (5.6).

The polynomiality of (5.5) in  $p_1, \dots, p_{|\lambda|-|\mu|}$  and the polynomiality of (5.6) in  $p_1, \dots, p_{|\nu|-|\theta|}$  follows from (5.4). One can treat a given  $\mathbf{p}$  as a constant and study  $s_{\lambda/\mu}(\mathbf{p}|A)$  as the function of discrete variables  $\lambda_i - i$  and  $\mu_i - i$ .

**Theorem 5.6.** *Suppose  $\hat{g} = \hat{h}^+ \hat{h}^-$  and (5.4) is true. Then*

$$s_{\lambda/\mu}(\mathbf{p}'|\hat{g}) = \sum_{\substack{(\nu^+, \nu^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta) \\ (\theta^+, \theta^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\gamma, \delta)}} \begin{bmatrix} \nu^+, \nu^- \\ \alpha, \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta^+, \theta^- \\ \gamma, \delta \end{bmatrix} Q_{\nu^+/\theta^+}(\mathbf{p}^B | \hat{h}^+) Q_{\nu^-/\theta^-}(\mathbf{p}^B | \hat{h}^-)$$

The proof is based on the same reasoning as the proof of the theorem in [8]. We omit it. For a given function  $r$  of a single variable, introduce

$$s_{\lambda/\mu}(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) := \langle 0 | \Psi_\mu^* \hat{\gamma}_r(\mathbf{p}) \Psi_\lambda | 0 \rangle,$$

$$Q_{\nu/\theta}(\mathbf{p}^B | \mathbf{r}) := \langle 0 | \Phi_{-\theta} \hat{\gamma}_r^{B^\pm}(2\mathbf{p}^B) \Phi_\nu | 0 \rangle,$$

where

$$\hat{\gamma}_r(\mathbf{p}) := e^{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} p_j \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \psi_k \psi_{k+j}^\dagger r(k+1) \cdots r(k+j)},$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_r^B(2\mathbf{p}^B) := e^{\sum_{j=1, \text{odd}}^{\infty} \frac{2}{j} p_j \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^k \phi_{k-j}^\pm \phi_{-k}^\pm r(k+1) \cdots r(k+j)}.$$

By the Wick theorem, we obtain

$$s_{\lambda/\mu}(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{r}) = \det(r(\mu_i - i + 1) \cdots r(\lambda_j - j) s_{(\lambda_i - \mu_j - i + j)}(\mathbf{p}))_{i,j}.$$

The similar relation for  $Q_{\nu/\theta}(\mathbf{p}^B | \mathbf{r})$  is more spacious, the Wick theorem yields a Nimmo-type pfaffian formula, we shall omit it. We have

$$s_{\lambda/\mu}(\mathbf{p}'|\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\substack{(\nu^+, \nu^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta) \\ (\theta^+, \theta^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\gamma, \delta)}} \begin{bmatrix} \nu^+, \nu^- \\ \alpha, \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta^+, \theta^- \\ \gamma, \delta \end{bmatrix} Q_{\nu^+/\theta^+}(\mathbf{p}^B | \mathbf{r}) Q_{\nu^-/\theta^-}(\mathbf{p}^B | \mathbf{r}).$$



### 5.3 Relation between shifted Schur and shifted projective Schur functions

Let us recall the notion of the shifted Schur function introduced by Okounkov and Olshanski [25]. It can be defined as

$$s_\mu^*(\lambda) = \frac{\dim \lambda/\mu}{\dim \lambda} n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1) = \frac{s_{\lambda/\mu}(\mathbf{p}_1)}{s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}_1)},$$

where  $n = |\lambda|$ ,  $k = |\mu|$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$  and

$$\dim \lambda/\mu = s_{\lambda/\mu}(\mathbf{p}_1)(n-k)!, \quad \dim \lambda = s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}_1)n!$$

are the number of the standard tableaux of the shape  $\lambda/\mu$  and  $\lambda$  respectively, see [17]. The function  $s_\mu^*(\lambda)$  as a function of the Frobenius coordinates is also known as Frobenius–Schur function  $FS(\alpha, \beta)$

On the other hand, Ivanov [11] introduced the projective analogue of shift  $Q$ -functions

$$Q_\theta^*(\nu) = \frac{Q_{\nu/\theta}(\mathbf{p}_1)}{Q_\nu(\mathbf{p}_1)}.$$

Therefore,

$$s_\mu^*(\lambda) s_\lambda(\mathbf{p}_1) = \sum_{\substack{(\nu^+, \nu^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta) \\ (\theta^+, \theta^-) \in \mathcal{P}(\gamma, \delta)}} \begin{bmatrix} \nu^+ & \nu^- \\ \alpha & \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta^+ & \theta^- \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix} Q_{\theta^+}^*(\nu^+) Q_{\theta^-}^*(\nu^-) Q_{\nu^+}(\mathbf{p}_1) Q_{\nu^-}(\mathbf{p}_1).$$

Let me add that both  $s^*$  and  $Q^*$  were used in the description of the generalized cut-and-join structure [18, 19] in the topics of Hurwitz and spin Hurwitz numbers.

## A Wick's theorem

The following summarizes the implication of Wick's theorem for fermionic VEV's in a form that is used repeatedly in this work (see, e.g., [5, Section 5.1]).

**Theorem A.1** (Wick's theorem). *The vacuum expectation value of the product of an even number of linear elements  $\{w_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2n}$  of the fermionic Clifford algebra is*

$$\langle 0 | w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{2n} | 0 \rangle = \text{Pf}(W_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where  $\{W_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n}$  are elements of the skew  $2n \times 2n$  matrix defined by

$$W_{ij} = \begin{cases} \langle 0 | w_i w_j | 0 \rangle & \text{if } i < j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j, \\ -\langle 0 | w_i w_j | 0 \rangle & \text{if } i > j, \end{cases}$$

whereas the VEV of the product of an odd number vanishes

$$\langle 0 | w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{2n+1} | 0 \rangle = 0.$$

In particular, if half the  $w_i$ 's consist of creation operators  $\{u_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$  and the other half annihilation operators  $\{v_i^\dagger\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ , so that

$$\langle 0 | u_i u_j | 0 \rangle = 0, \quad \langle 0 | v_i^\dagger v_j^\dagger | 0 \rangle = 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n,$$

then (A.1) reduces to

$$\langle 0 | u_1 v_1^\dagger \cdots u_n v_n^\dagger | 0 \rangle = \det(\langle 0 | u_i v_j^\dagger | 0 \rangle)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

## Acknowledgements

This work of A.O. is an output of a research project implemented as part of the Basic Research Program at the National Research University Higher School of Economics (HSE University). The author thanks the anonymous referees for their helpful comments.

## References

- [1] Balogh F., Harnad J., Hurtubise J., Isotropic Grassmannians, Plücker and Cartan maps, *J. Math. Phys.* **62** (2021), 021701, 23 pages, [arXiv:2007.03586](#).
- [2] Date E., Jimbo M., Kashiwara M., Miwa T., Transformation groups for soliton equations. IV. A new hierarchy of soliton equations of KP-type, *Phys. D* **4** (1982), 343–365.
- [3] Date E., Kashiwara M., Jimbo M., Miwa T., Transformation groups for soliton equations, in *Nonlinear Integrable Systems – Classical Theory and Quantum Theory* (Kyoto, 1981), World Scientific, Singapore, 1983, 39–119.
- [4] Eskin A., Okounkov A., Pandharipande R., The theta characteristic of a branched covering, *Adv. Math.* **217** (2008), 873–888, [arXiv:math.AG/0312186](#).
- [5] Harnad J., Balogh F., Tau functions and their applications, *Cambridge Monogr. Math. Phys.*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2021.
- [6] Harnad J., Lee E., Symmetric polynomials, generalized Jacobi–Trudi identities and  $\tau$ -functions, *J. Math. Phys.* **59** (2018), 091411, 23 pages, [arXiv:1304.0020](#).
- [7] Harnad J., Orlov A.Yu., Bilinear expansion of Schur functions in Schur  $Q$ -functions: a fermionic approach, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **149** (2021), 4117–4131, [arXiv:2008.13734](#).
- [8] Harnad J., Orlov A.Yu., Bilinear expansions of lattices of KP  $\tau$ -functions in BKP  $\tau$ -functions: a fermionic approach, *J. Math. Phys.* **62** (2021), 013508, 17 pages, [arXiv:2010.05055](#).
- [9] Harnad J., Orlov A.Yu., Polynomial KP and BKP  $\tau$ -functions and correlators, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **22** (2021), 3025–3049, [arXiv:2011.13339](#).
- [10] Itoyama H., Mironov A., Morozov A., From Kronecker to tableaux pseudo-characters in tensor models, *Phys. Lett. B* **788** (2019), 76–81, [arXiv:1808.07783](#).
- [11] Ivanov V.N., Interpolation analogues of Schur  $Q$ -functions, *J. Math. Sci.* **131** (2005), 5495–5507.
- [12] Jimbo M., Miwa T., Solitons and infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **19** (1983), 943–1001.
- [13] Kac V., van de Leur J., The geometry of spinors and the multicomponent BKP and DKP hierarchies, in *The Bispectral Problem* (Montreal, PQ, 1997), *CRM Proc. Lecture Notes*, Vol. 14, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998, 159–202, [arXiv:solv-int/9706006](#).
- [14] Kac V., van de Leur J., Polynomial tau-functions of BKP and DKP hierarchies, *J. Math. Phys.* **60** (2019), 071702, 10 pages, [arXiv:1811.08733](#).
- [15] Kac V.G., Rozhkovskaya N., van de Leur J., Polynomial tau-functions of the KP, BKP, and the  $s$ -component KP hierarchies, *J. Math. Phys.* **62** (2021), 021702, 25 pages, [arXiv:2005.02665](#).
- [16] Lee J., A square root of Hurwitz numbers, *Manuscripta Math.* **162** (2020), 99–113, [arXiv:1807.03631](#).
- [17] Macdonald I.G., Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials, 2nd ed., *Oxford Math. Monogr.*, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [18] Mironov A.D., Morozov A., Natanzon S.M., Cut-and-join structure and integrability for spin Hurwitz numbers, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020), 97, 16 pages, [arXiv:1904.11458](#).
- [19] Mironov A.D., Morozov A.Yu., Natanzon S.M., Complete set of cut-and-join operators in the Hurwitz–Kontsevich theory, *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* **166** (2011), 1–22, [arXiv:0904.4227](#).
- [20] Mironov A.D., Morozov A.Yu., Natanzon S.M., Orlov A.Yu., Around spin Hurwitz numbers, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **111** (2021), 124, 39 pages, [arXiv:2012.09847](#).
- [21] Mironov A.D., Morozov A.Yu., Sleptsov A.V., Genus expansion of HOMFLY polynomials, *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* **177** (2013), 1435–1470, [arXiv:1303.1015](#).
- [22] Miwa T., Jimbo M., Date E., Solitons. Differential equations, symmetries and infinite-dimensional algebras, *Cambridge Tracts in Math.*, Vol. 135, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.

- 
- [23] Nimmo J.J.C., Hall–Littlewood symmetric functions and the BKP equation, *J. Phys. A* **23** (1990), 751–760.
- [24] Okounkov A., Toda equations for Hurwitz numbers, *Math. Res. Lett.* **7** (2000), 447–453, [arXiv:math.AG/0004128](#).
- [25] Okounkov A., Olshanski G., Shifted Schur functions, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **9** (1998), 239–300.
- [26] Okounkov A., Olshanski G., Shifted Schur functions. II. The binomial formula for characters of classical groups and its applications, in Kirillov’s Seminar on Representation Theory, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, Vol. 181, [American Mathematical Society](#), Providence, RI, 1998, 245–271, [arXiv:q-alg/9612025](#).
- [27] Okounkov A., Pandharipande R., Gromov–Witten theory, Hurwitz theory, and completed cycles, *Ann. of Math.* **163** (2006), 517–560, [arXiv:math.AG/0204305](#).
- [28] Orlov A.Yu., Tau functions and matrix integrals, [arXiv:math-ph/0210012](#).
- [29] Orlov A.Yu., Notes about the KP/BKP correspondence, *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* **208** (2021), 1207–1227, [arXiv:2104.05790](#).
- [30] Sato M., Soliton equations as dynamical systems on infinite dimensional Grassmann manifold, Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, 1981, 30–46.
- [31] Sergeev A.N., The tensor algebra of the identity representation as a module over the Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{G}(n, m)$  and  $Q(n)$ , *Math. USSR-Sb.* **51** (1985), 419–427.
- [32] Takasaki K., Initial value problem for the Toda lattice hierarchy, in Group Representations and Systems of Differential Equations (Tokyo, 1982), *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, Vol. 4, [North-Holland](#), Amsterdam, 1984, 139–163.
- [33] Takebe T., Representation theoretical meaning of the initial value problem for the Toda lattice hierarchy. I, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **21** (1991), 77–84.
- [34] You Y., Polynomial solutions of the BKP hierarchy and projective representations of symmetric groups, in Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras and Groups (Luminy-Marseille, 1988), *Adv. Ser. Math. Phys.*, Vol. 7, World Scientific, Teaneck, NJ, 1989, 449–464.