

miRNAAtap example use

Maciej Pajak, Ian Simpson

December 5, 2017

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Installation	2
3	Workflow	3
4	Session Information	5
	References	6

1 Introduction

miRNA`tap` package is designed to facilitate implementation of workflows requiring miRNA prediction. Aggregation of commonly used prediction algorithm outputs in a way that improves on performance of every single one of them on their own when compared against experimentally derived targets. microRNA (miRNA) is a 18-22nt long single strand that binds with RISC (RNA induced silencing complex) and targets mRNAs effectively reducing their translation rates.

Targets are aggregated from 5 most commonly cited prediction algorithms: DIANA (Maragkakis et al., 2011), Miranda (Enright et al., 2003), PicTar (Lall et al., 2006), TargetScan (Friedman et al., 2009), and miRDB (Wong and Wang, 2015).

Programmatic access to sources of data is crucial when streamlining the workflow of our analysis, this way we can run similar analysis for multiple input miRNAs or any other parameters. Not only does it allow us to obtain predictions from multiple sources straight into R but also through aggregation of sources it improves the quality of predictions.

Finally, although direct predictions from all sources are only available for *Homo sapiens* and *Mus musculus*, this package includes an algorithm that allows to translate target genes to other speices (currently only *Rattus norvegicus*) using homology information where direct targets are not available.

2 Installation

This section briefly describes the necessary steps to get miRNA`tap` running on your system. We assume that the user has the R program (see the R project at <http://www.r-project.org>) already installed and is familiar with it. You will need to have R 3.2.0 or later to be able to install and run miRNA`tap`. The miRNA`tap` package is available from the Bioconductor repository at <http://www.bioconductor.org> To be able to install the package one needs first to install the core Bioconductor packages. If you have already installed Bioconductor packages on your system then you can skip the two lines below.

```
> source("http://bioconductor.org/biocLite.R")
> biocLite()
```

Once the core Bioconductor packages are installed, we can install the miRNA`tap` and accompanying database miRNA`tap.db` package by

```
> source("http://bioconductor.org/biocLite.R")
> biocLite("miRNAtap")
> biocLite("miRNAtap.db")
```

3 Workflow

This section explains how `miRNAtap` package can be integrated in the workflow aimed at predicting which processes can be regulated by a given microRNA.

In this example workflow we'll use `miRNAtap` as well as another Bioconductor package `topGO` together with Gene Ontology (GO) annotations. In case we don't have `topGO` or GO annotations on our machine we need to install them first:

```
> source("http://bioconductor.org/biocLite.R")
> biocLite("topGO")
> biocLite("org.Hs.eg.db")
```

Then, let's load the required libraries

```
> library(miRNAtap)
> library(topGO)
> library(org.Hs.eg.db)
```

Now we can start the analysis. First, we will obtain predicted targets for human miRNA *miR-10b*

```
> mir = 'miR-10b'
> predictions = getPredictedTargets(mir, species = 'hsa',
+                                 method = 'geom', min_src = 2)
```

Let's inspect the top of the prediction list.

```
> head(predictions)
```

	source_1	source_2	source_3	source_4	source_5	rank_product	rank_final
627	103	10.0	1.0	NA	1	1.416281	1
79741	NA	NA	8.0	2	NA	2.000000	2
6095	5	2.5	73.5	NA	5	2.058173	3
348980	NA	2.5	20.0	NA	NA	3.535534	4
51365	NA	53.0	3.0	12	27	3.766392	5
7022	88	17.5	5.0	149	3	4.058725	6

We are using *geometric mean* aggregation method as it proves to perform best when tested against experimental data from MirBase (Griffiths-Jones et al., 2008).

We can compare it to the top of the list of the output of *minimum* method:

```
> predictions_min = getPredictedTargets(mir, species = 'hsa',
+                                     method = 'min', min_src = 2)
> head(predictions_min)
```

	source_1	source_2	source_3	source_4	source_5	rank_product	rank_final
627	103	10	1.0	NA	1	1	2.0
8897	1	183	282.0	NA	NA	1	2.0
79042	NA	107	99.5	1	NA	1	2.0
7182	2	NA	NA	NA	106	2	5.5
10739	NA	42	2.0	NA	NA	2	5.5
79741	NA	NA	8.0	2	NA	2	5.5

Where predictions for rat genes are not available we can obtain predictions for mouse genes and translate them into rat genes through homology. The operation happens automatically if we specify species as `rno` (for *Rattus norvegicus*)

```
> predictions_rat = getPredictedTargets(mir, species = 'rno',
+                                     method = 'geom', min_src = 2)
```

Now we can use the ranked results as input to GO enrichment analysis. For that we will use our initial prediction for human *miR-10b*

```
> rankedGenes = predictions[, 'rank_product']
> selection = function(x) TRUE
> # we do not want to impose a cut off, instead we are using rank information
> allGO2genes = annFUN.org(whichOnto='BP', feasibleGenes = NULL,
+                          mapping="org.Hs.eg.db", ID = "entrez")
> GOdata = new('topGOdata', ontology = 'BP', allGenes = rankedGenes,
+             annot = annFUN.GO2genes, GO2genes = allGO2genes,
+             geneSel = selection, nodeSize=10)
```

In order to make use of the rank information we will use Kolomonogorov Smirnov (K-S) test instead of Fisher exact test which is based only on counts.

```
> results.ks = runTest(GOdata, algorithm = "classic", statistic = "ks")
> results.ks
```

Description:

Ontology: BP

'classic' algorithm with the 'ks' test

621 GO terms scored: 3 terms with p < 0.01

Annotation data:

Annotated genes: 343

Significant genes: 343

Min. no. of genes annotated to a GO: 10

Nontrivial nodes: 621

We can view the most enriched GO terms (and potentially feed them to further steps in our workflow)

```
> allRes = GenTable(GOdata, KS = results.ks, orderBy = "KS", topNodes = 20)
> allRes[,c('GO.ID', 'Term', 'KS')]
```

	GO.ID	Term	KS
1	GO:0042692	muscle cell differentiation	0.0015
2	GO:0065007	biological regulation	0.0030
3	GO:0050789	regulation of biological process	0.0060
4	GO:0044087	regulation of cellular component biogene...	0.0113
5	GO:0048518	positive regulation of biological proces...	0.0114
6	GO:0061061	muscle structure development	0.0150
7	GO:0014070	response to organic cyclic compound	0.0194
8	GO:0043547	positive regulation of GTPase activity	0.0197
9	GO:0006352	DNA-templated transcription, initiation	0.0204
10	GO:0006367	transcription initiation from RNA polyme...	0.0204
11	GO:0034248	regulation of cellular amide metabolic p...	0.0205
12	GO:0044089	positive regulation of cellular componen...	0.0287
13	GO:0071407	cellular response to organic cyclic comp...	0.0320
14	GO:0051345	positive regulation of hydrolase activit...	0.0355
15	GO:0006915	apoptotic process	0.0431
16	GO:0012501	programmed cell death	0.0431
17	GO:0045893	positive regulation of transcription, DN...	0.0445
18	GO:1902680	positive regulation of RNA biosynthetic ...	0.0445
19	GO:1903508	positive regulation of nucleic acid-temp...	0.0445
20	GO:0043254	regulation of protein complex assembly	0.0452

For more details about GO analysis refer to `topGO` package vignette (Alexa and Rahnenfuhrer, 2010).

Finally, we can use our predictions in a similar way for pathway enrichment analysis based on KEGG (Kanehisa and Goto, 2000), for example using Bioconductor's `KEGGprofile` (Zhao, 2012).

4 Session Information

- R version 3.4.3 (2017-11-30), x86_64-w64-mingw32
- Locale: LC_COLLATE=C, LC_CTYPE=English_United States.1252, LC_MONETARY=English_United States.1252, LC_NUMERIC=C, LC_TIME=English_United States.1252
- Running under: Windows Server 2012 R2 x64 (build 9600)
- Matrix products: default
- Base packages: base, datasets, grDevices, graphics, methods, parallel, stats, stats4, utils
- Other packages: AnnotationDbi 1.40.0, Biobase 2.38.0, BiocGenerics 0.24.0, GO.db 3.5.0, IRanges 2.12.0, S4Vectors 0.16.0, SparseM 1.77, graph 1.56.0, miRNAatap 1.12.0, miRNAatap.db 0.99.10, org.Hs.eg.db 3.5.0, topGO 2.30.0

- Loaded via a namespace (and not attached): DBI 0.7, RSQLite 2.0, Rcpp 0.12.14, bit 1.1-12, bit64 0.9-7, blob 1.1.0, chron 2.3-51, compiler 3.4.3, digest 0.6.12, grid 3.4.3, gsubfn 0.6-6, lattice 0.20-35, magrittr 1.5, matrixStats 0.52.2, memoise 1.1.0, pkgconfig 2.0.1, plyr 1.8.4, proto 1.0.0, rlang 0.1.4, sqldf 0.4-11, stringi 1.1.6, stringr 1.2.0, tibble 1.3.4, tools 3.4.3

References

- Alexa, A. and Rahnenfuhrer, J. (2010). *topGO: topGO: Enrichment analysis for Gene Ontology*. R package version 2.16.0.
- Enright, A. J., John, B., Gaul, U., Tuschl, T., Sander, C., and Marks, D. S. (2003). MicroRNA targets in Drosophila. *Genome biology*, 5(1):R1.
- Friedman, R. C., Farh, K. K.-H., Burge, C. B., and Bartel, D. P. (2009). Most mammalian mRNAs are conserved targets of microRNAs. *Genome research*, 19(1):92–105.
- Griffiths-Jones, S., Saini, H. K., van Dongen, S., and Enright, A. J. (2008). miRBase: tools for microRNA genomics. *Nucleic acids research*, 36(Database issue):D154–8.
- Kanehisa, M. and Goto, S. (2000). KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. *Nucleic acids research*, 28(1):27–30.
- Lall, S., Grün, D., Krek, A., Chen, K., Wang, Y.-L., Dewey, C. N., Sood, P., Colombo, T., Bray, N., Macmenamin, P., Kao, H.-L., Gunsalus, K. C., Pachter, L., Piano, F., and Rajewsky, N. (2006). A genome-wide map of conserved microRNA targets in C. elegans. *Current biology : CB*, 16(5):460–71.
- Maragkakis, M., Vergoulis, T., Alexiou, P., Reczko, M., Plomaritou, K., Gousis, M., Kourtis, K., Koziris, N., Dalamagas, T., and Hatzigeorgiou, A. G. (2011). DIANA-microT Web server upgrade supports Fly and Worm miRNA target prediction and bibliographic miRNA to disease association. *Nucleic acids research*, 39(Web Server issue):W145–8.
- Wong, N. and Wang, X. (2015). miRDB: An online resource for microRNA target prediction and functional annotations. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 43(D1):D146–D152.
- Zhao, S. (2012). *KEGGprofile: An annotation and visualization package for multi-types and multi-groups expression data in KEGG pathway*. R package version 1.6.1.