Working Implementation Agreements for Open Systems Interconnection Protocols: Part 12 - OS Security

Output from the June 1994 Open Systems Environment Implementors' Workshop (OIW)

Acting SIG Chair: **Richard Harris, The Boeing Company**
SIG Editor: **Dr. Mohammad Mirhakkak, MITRE**

Foreword

This part of the Working Implementation Agreements was prepared by the Security Special Interest Group (SECSIG) of the Open Systems Environment Implementors' Workshop (OIW). See Part 1 - Workshop Policies and Procedures in the "Draft Working Implementation Agreements Document" for the workshop charter.

Text in this part has been approved by the Plenary of the above-mentioned Workshop. This part replaces the previously existing chapter on this subject. This part has been reformatted from the previous release.

Future changes and additions to this version of these Implementor Agreements will be published as a new part. Deleted and replaced text will be shown as . New and replacement text will be shown as shaded.

Table of Contents

Part 12 - Security 1

0 Introduction 1

1 Scope 1

2 Normative References 1

3 Definitions 2
3.1 Security Associations 2

4 Symbols and Abbreviations 2

5 Application Architectures 2
5.1 Introduction 2
5.2 Application Environments 2
5.3 Security Classes 3
5.4 Guidelines for OIW Application Profile Development 3
5.5 Placement of Security Services 3
5.6 Selection of Mechanisms 3

6 Key Management 5

7 Security Algorithms 5
7.1 Message Digests 5
7.1.1 SHA1 5
7.2 Reversible Public Key Algorithms 6
7.3 Irreversible Public Key Algorithms 6
7.4 Key Exchange 6
7.5 Signature Algorithms 6
7.5.1 DSA With SHA1 6
7.5.2 DSA With SHA1 with Common Parameters 6
7.5.3 RSA Signature With SHA1 7
7.6 Symmetric Encryption Algorithms 7
7.6.1 Data Encryption Standard 7
7.6.1.1 Padding Rules for DES 7
7.6.1.1.1 RFC 1423 Mechanism 7
7.7 ASN.1 7
7.8 Security Attributes 8
7.8.1 Liability Limitation 8
7.8.2 Binding Information 8
7.8.3 Certificate Purpose 10
7.8.4 Signature Purpose 10
7.8.5 Role Name 10

7.8.6 Agent Name . . . 11
7.8.7 Document Types . . . 11
7.8.8 Trusted Third Party . . . 11
7.8.9 Cosignature Requirements . . . 12
7.8.10 Relative Identity . . . 12
7.8.11 Trust Specification . . . 13
7.8.12 Transaction Limit . . . 14
7.8.13 Transaction Type . . . 14
7.8.14 Time Of Day . . . 14
7.8.15 Location . . . 15
7.8.16 Authorized Signatory . . . 15
7.8.17 Pre–approved Counter Party . . . 16
7.8.18 Delegation Controls . . . 16

8 Lower Layers Security . . . 16

9 Upper Layers Security . . . 17
9.1 Security Mechanisms . . . 17
9.1.1 Peer Entity Authentication . . . 17
9.1.1.1 Simple–Strong Authentication . . . 17
9.1.1.2 External Authentication Mechanisms . . . 18
9.1.1.2.1 Kerberos Version 5 . . . 18
9.1.1.2.2 Kerberos Version 4 . . . 18
9.1.2 Integrity/Data Origin Authentication Transformation . . . 18
9.2 Security Associations . . . 18
9.2.1 Overview . . . 18
9.2.2 Layer Specific Security Association Protocols . . . 19
9.2.3 I.E.E.E. 802.10C Key Management Protocol . . . 19
9.2.4 ANSI X9.41 Security Services Management . . . 19
9.2.5 ISP.421 Security Association Management Protocol . . . 19

10 Message Handling System (MHS) Security . . . 19

11 Directory Services Security . . . 19

12 Network Management Security . . . 19
12.1 Threats . . . 19
12.2 Security Services . . . 19
12.3 Security Mechanisms . . . 19
12.3.1 Peer–Entity Authentication . . . 19
12.3.2 Connectionless Integrity . . . 20
12.3.3 Data Origination Authentication . . . 20
12.3.4 Connectionless Confidentiality . . . 20

Annex A (normative)

ISPICS Requirements List . . . 21

Annex B (normative)

Errata 22

Annex C (normative)

Security Labels 23

Annex D (normative)

Security Algorithms and Attributes 24

Annex E (normative)

References for Security Algorithms 28

Annex F (informative)

Bibliography 29

Annex G (normative)

El Gamal 30

Annex H (informative)

STATUS 31

Annex I (informative)

Security-SIG Management Plan 32

Annex J (informative)

Key Management 33
J.1 Definition of Key Management 33
J.2 Tutorial on Key Management 33
J.2.1 Requirements of Key Management 33
J.2.2 Key Administration 34
J.2.2.1 Generation 34
J.2.2.2 Validation 34
J.2.2.3 Expiration 34
J.2.2.4 Audit 35
J.2.2.5 Authorization/Authentication 35
J.2.3 Approaches to Key Distribution 35
J.2.3.1 Symmetric 35
J.2.3.1.1 Certificate 35
J.2.3.1.2 Symmetric Generation 35

J.2.3.1.3 Centralized . . . 35
J.2.3.1.4 External . . . 36
J.2.3.2 Asymmetric . . . 36
J.2.3.2.1 Certificate . . . 36
J.2.3.2.2 Centralized . . . 36
J.2.3.2.3 External . . . 36
J.3 Key Management Architectures . . . 36
J.3.1 Existing Systems . . . 36
J.3.1.1 SDNS . . . 36
J.3.1.2 SILS . . . 36
J.3.1.3 ANSI X9.17 . . . 36
J.3.1.4 Kerberos . . . 36
J.3.2 OSI . . . 37
J.4 Current Issues . . . 37
J.5 Related Organizations . . . 37
J.5.1 ANSI X.9 . . . 37
J.5.2 SC21 . . . 37
J.5.3 SC27 . . . 37
J.5.4 IEEE 802.10 . . . 37
J.6 References . . . 37

Annex K (informative)

Base Environment Threats . . . 38

List of Figures

List of Tables

Table 2 - Base Security Services/Mechanisms 4
Table 3 - Distributed Transactions Security Services/Mechanisms 5
Table 4 - WIA Part 12 Changes 22
Table 5 - ISO Status 31
Table 6 - Management Plan 32
Table 7 - Threats to Sevices 38

Part 12 - Security

0 Introduction

Refer to clause 0 of the Stable Implementation Agreements.

1 Scope

2 Normative References

[**ISO7498-2**] ISO/IEC 7498-2 *Information Processing Systems - Open Systems Interconnection - Basic Reference Model - Part 2: Security Architecture, February 1989.*

[**ISO8649**] ISO/IEC 8649: 1988/Amd 1:1990 *Service Definition for the Association Control Service Element, Amendment 1: Peer-Entity Authentication During Association Establishment.*

[**ISO8650**] ISO/IEC 9594-3 *Information Technology - Open Systems Interconnection - The Directory - Part 3: Abstract Service Definition.*

[**ISO8650/1**] ISO/IEC 8650: 1988/Amd 1:1990 *Protocol Specification for the Association Control Service Element, Amendment 1: Peer-Entity Authentication During Association Establishment.*

[**ISO9594-7**] ISO/IEC 9594-7 *Information Processing Systems - Open Systems Interconnection - The Directory - Part 7: Selected Object Classes, 1990.*

[**ISO9594-8**] ISO/IEC 9594-8 *Information Processing Systems - Open Systems Interconnection - The Directory - Part 8: Authentication Framework, 1990.*

[**ISO10021-4**] ISO/IEC 10021-4 *Information Processing Systems - Text Communication - MOTIS - Message Transfer System : Abstract Service Definition and Procedures.*

[**ISO10745**] ISO/IEC 10745 *Information Technology - Upper Layers Security Model.*

[**X.509-88**] CCITT X.509:1988 *The Directory - Authentication Framework.*

[**X.511-88**] CCITT X.511:1988 *The Directory - Abstract Service Definition.*

[**X.411-84**] CCITT X.411:1984 *Message Transfer System - Message Transfer Layer.*

[**X.521-88**] CCITT X.521:1988 *The Directory - Selected Object Classes.*

3 Definitions

Editor's Note - This clause will contain all unique terms used in this part, to be determined.

Refer to ISO 7498/2 for definitions of security relevant terms. This base standard contains detailed descriptions of accepted security terms. Refer to ISO TR-10000 for general ISO definitions used in this part. The following security terms are not defined in ISO 7498/2:

a) Authentication;

b) Mechanism;

c) Profile.

Editor's Note - The above terms will be defined as a work item.

3.1 Security Associations

A Security Association [ISO10745] is a relationship between two or more entities for which there exists attributes (state information and rules) to govern the provision of security services involving those entities.

4 Symbols and Abbreviations

5 Application Architectures

(See Stable Document).

5.1 Introduction

(See Stable Document).

5.2 Application Environments

(See Stable Document).

5.3 Security Classes

(See Stable Document).

5.4 Guidelines for OIW Application Profile Development

5.5 Placement of Security Services

The following guidelines are provided for other OIW SIGs to use in the preliminary development of their own application specific security profile. It is intended that final completion of the security profiles should be done in a joint manner between the Security SIG and the other OIW SIGs.

> **Editor's Note -** Item a of the following paragraph will be considered for deletion at the next Security SIG meeting.

The steps in the guidelines are as follows:

a) Start with the base Profile (5.3.1);

b) Perform application specific threat analysis. Map the result of this analysis to security services;

c) Map security services onto application specific security services (e.g., the threats identified for MHS in X.402 are mapped against MHS specific security services);

d) Map security services to mechanisms that will be used to provide the services;

e) Describe the security classes and map them to the defined functional groups.

> **Editor's Note -** Steps f and beyond are TBD. It will require further discussion to decide exactly how the application specific security profile is finally determined, how those profiles can be specified (security context, object identifier?) and how we will specify the mechanisms of choice for the implementation of the profile. Further discussion is needed on Security Policy. This is a priority work item.

5.6 Selection of Mechanisms

Table 2 defines the security mechanisms to use in providing security services to protect against the defined threats.

Table 2 - Base Security Services/Mechanisms

	SECURITY MECHANISMS								
SECURITY SERVICES	ENCY-PHER	DIG. SIG.	ACC. CTRL.	DATA INTG.	AUTH EX.	TRFF PAD.	RT. CTRL	NOT-IZE.	AUD-IT
AUTHENTICATION	X	X			X				1
ACCESS CONTROL			X		X				1
NON-REPUDIATION		X		X				X	1
DATA INTEGRITY	X			X					1
CONFIDENTIALITY	X					X	X		1

NOTE - The security mechanisms of auditing can be used to provide added security to any security service.

Table 3 defines the security mechanisms to use in providing security services to protect against the defined threats.

Table 3 - Distributed Transactions Security Services/Mechanisms

	SECURITY MECHANISMS								
SECURITY SERVICES	ENCY-PHER	DIG. SIG.	ACC. CTRL.	DATA INTG.	AUTH EX.	TRFF PAD.	RT. CTRL	NOT-IZE.	AUD-IT
AUTH. DIALOG					X				
ASSOCIATION	X	X			X				1
ACCESS CONTROL DIALOG			X						
ASSOCIATION			X						1
NON-REPUDIATION		X						X	1
DATA INTEGRITY	X	X		X					1
CONF. CL	X						X		1
TRAFFIC FLOW	X					X	X		1

NOTE - The security mechanisms of auditing can be used to provide added security to any security service.

6 Key Management

Refer to Part 12, clause 6 of the Stable Implementation Agreements.

7 Security Algorithms

(See the Stable Document).

7.1 Message Digests

7.1.1 SHA1

This algorithm is the NIST Secure Hash Algorithm [??]. It is based on concepts similar to those used in MD4 and MD5, and outputs a 160-bit digest.

```
sha1 ALGORITHM
PARAMETER NULL
::= {algorithm 26}
```

Editor's Note - This and other algorithms may be registered by ISO instead, in which case this text will be adjusted prior to moving to Stable Agreements, or if necessary as Alignment Errata.

7.2 Reversible Public Key Algorithms

(See Stable Document).

7.3 Irreversible Public Key Algorithms

(See the Stable Document).

7.4 Key Exchange

(See the Stable Document).

7.5 Signature Algorithms

7.5.1 DSA With SHA1

This signature algorithm produces a 320-bit signature. SHA and SHA1 are the only hash algorithms which may be used with DSA. Its object identifier is

```
dsaWithSHA1 ALGORITHM
PARAMETER DSAParameters
::= {algorithm 27)
```

7.5.2 DSA With SHA1 with Common Parameters

This version of DSA with SHA1 signature algorithm uses common parameters which are distributed externally. Its object identifier is

```
dsaCommonWithSHA1 ALGORITHM
PARAMETER NULL
::= { algorithm 28)
```

7.5.3 RSA Signature With SHA1

This algorithm uses the RSA Signature algorithm to sign a 160-bit Sha1 digest. Its object identifier is

```
sha1WithRSASignature
PARAMETER NULL
::= {algorithm 29}
```

7.6 Symmetric Encryption Algorithms

(See the Stable Document).

7.6.1 Data Encryption Standard

7.6.1.1 Padding Rules for DES

Editor's Note - This clause will be moved to SIA in June 1994. It will be placed between DES-EDE, clause 7.6.1.6, and RC2-CBC, clause 7.6.2

This section describes some useful padding mechanisms for DES in its various modes of operation, for the case where the input is not a multiple of 8 bytes in length.

7.6.1.1.1 RFC 1423 Mechanism

The following padding mechanism from [w] should be used with DES-CBC if the data to be encrypted is octet aligned, unless the security policy dictates otherwise:

The input to the DES CBC encryption process must be padded to a multiple of 8 octet, in the following manner. Let n be the length in octets of the input. Pad the input by appending 8-(n mod 8) octet to the end of the message, each having the value 8-(n mod 8), the number of octets being added. In hexadecimal, the possible paddings are: 01, 0202, 030303, 04040404, 0505050505, 060606060606, 07070707070707, and 0808080808080808. All input is padded with 1 to 8 octets to produce a multiple of 8 octets in length. The padding can be removed unambiguously after decryption.

7.7 ASN.1

(See the Stable Document).

7.8 Security Attributes

This section identifies some useful security attributes which are defined in ANSI X9.30 Part 3, "Certificate Management for DSA."

7.8.1 Liability Limitation

```
LiabilityLimitation ::= CHOICE {
      no-liability        [0]    NULL,
      full-liability      [1]    NULL,
      monetary-limit      [2]    MonetaryValue }
MonetaryValue ::= SEQUENCE {
      currency       [0]    PrintableString (SIZE 3),   -- per ISO 4217
      amount         [1]    INTEGER}
```

This attribute defines the limits of a CA's liability in the event of key compromise, etc.

```
liability-limitation ATTRIBUTE
      WITH ATTRIBUTE-SYNTAX LiabilityLimitation
      SINGLE VALUE
::= id-liability-limitation
```

7.8.2 Binding Information

```
BindingInformation ::= SEQUENCE {
      methodOfDelivery        [0] DeliveryMethod,
      methodOfIdentification  [1] IdentificationMethod,
      entityType              [2] EntityType }

DeliveryMethod ::= ENUMERATED {
      not-presented-in-person (0),
      presented-in-person (1),
      presented-by-authorized-agent (2),
      split-knowledge (3),
      other (4) }

MethodOfIdentification ::= SEQUENCE {
      IdentificationMethod,
      SEQUENCE OF IdentificationDocument }

IdentificationMethod ::= ENUMERATED {
      reasonable-commercial-practices (0),
      verified-by-trusted-third-party (1),
      dual-control (2),
      other (3) }

IdentificationDocument{ID-Documents} ::= SEQUENCE {
      documentType        ID-DOC.&id({ID-Documents}),
      documentID          ID-DOC.&Type({ID-Documents},
                          {@documentType}) }

ID-DOC ::= TYPE-IDENTIFIER
drivers-license ID-DOC ::= { PrintableString IDENTIFIED BY
      { id-doc-drivers-license } }

passport ID-DOC ::= { PrintableString IDENTIFIED BY
      { id-doc-passport } }

alien-registration ID-DOC ::= { PrintableString IDENTIFIED BY
      { id-doc-alien-registration } }

birth-certificate ID-DOC ::= { PrintableString IDENTIFIED BY
      { id-doc-birth-certificate } }

EntityType ::= ENUMERATED {
      individual (0),
      corporation (1),
      government (2),
      other (3) }
```

This attribute indicates the criteria used to bind the credentials to the identity of the entity being certified.

```
binding-information ATTRIBUTE
      WITH ATTRIBUTE-SYNTAX BindingInformation
      SINGLE VALUE
::= id-binding-information
```

7.8.3 Certificate Purpose

```
CertificatePurpose ::= ENUMERATED {
        any (0),
        encipherment (1),    -- key transport
        signature (2) }
```

This attribute indicates what functions the public key contained in the certificate may be used for.

```
certificate-purpose ATTRIBUTE
      WITH ATTRIBUTE-SYNTAX CertificatePurpose
      SINGLE VALUE
::= id-certificate-purpose
```

7.8.4 Signature Purpose

The Signature Purpose attribute indicates the purpose of the originator in applying a signature to a document (e.g., authorizing the document, witnessing another signer's signature, etc.).

```
signaturePurpose ATTRIBUTE
      WITH ATTRIBUTE-SYNTAX OBJECT IDENTIFIER
::= { attribute 15 }
```

Values for the attribute will be registered at a later date.

7.8.5 Role Name

The Role Name attribute type specifies the designated FUNCTION of an object (generally a human) WITHIN the organization.

Example:

Role="Program Manager, X.500 Project"
Role="Principal Investigator, X.520 Anomalies and Defects"

```
roleName ATTRIBUTE
      WITH ATTRIBUTE-SYNTAX caseIgnoreStringSyntax
      (SIZE (1..ub-common-name))
::= { attribute 16 }
```

7.8.6 Agent Name

The Agent Name attribute specifies the FUNCTION of an object whose actions have consequences OUTSIDE of the organization, and are authorized in some sense to speak for, commit, or bind the organization.

Example:

Agent="Chief Financial Officer"
Agent="Purchasing Agent"
Agent="Corporate Spokesperson"

```
agentName ATTRIBUTE
      WITH ATTRIBUTE-SYNTAX caseIgnoreStringSyntax
      (SIZE (1..ub-common-name))
::= { attribute 17 }
```

7.8.7 Document Types

Document type OIDs are needed for the binding information attributes. Associated data types for the OIDs can be found in Appendix E of ANSI X9.30 Part 3.

```
doc-type ID ::= { iso(1) identified-organization(3) oiw(14)
secsig(3) doc-type(6) }
id-doc-drivers-license ID ::= { doc-type 1 }
id-doc-passport ID ::= { doc-type 2 }
id-doc-alien-registration ID ::= { doc-type 3 }
```

7.8.8 Trusted Third Party

```
TrustedThirdParty ::= SEQUENCE {
      partyType      ThirdPartyType,
      thirdParty     Name }    -- or SubjectName?

ThirdPartyType ::= ENUMERATED { notary(0), witness(1),
      guardian(2), legal-custodian(3) }
```

This attribute is used when the identification process uses such an entity, e.g. to present identification documents. This allows a complete trail to be constructed from the top-level CA through all involved parties to the certificate subject.

```
trusted-third-party ATTRIBUTE
      WITH ATTRIBUTE-SYNTAX Name
      ::= id-trusted-third-party
```

7.8.9 Cosignature Requirements

This attribute defines any additional signatures required on a certificated signed by the CA to which the attribute refers. It is used to enforce the multiple signature requirement for high-risk applications.

```
CosignatureRequirements ::= SEQUENCE {
      quorum    [0]  INTEGER { allMembers(0) },
      members   [1]  SEQUENCE OF CosignerEntry }

CosignerEntry ::= CHOICE {
      single    [0]  Cosigner,
      list           [1]  CosignatureRequirements }

Cosigner ::= SEQUENCE {
      cosigner  CosignerID,
      weight    INTEGER DEFAULT 1 }

CosignerID ::= CHOICE {
      name           [0]  CosignerName,
      issuerSerial   [1]  IssuerSerial }

CosignerName ::= SEQUENCE {
      name      Name,
      uniqueID  BIT STRING OPTIONAL }

IssuerSerial ::= SEQUENCE {
      issuer    Name,
      serial    CertificateSerialNumber }

cosignature-requirements ATTRIBUTE
      WITH ATTRIBUTE-SYNTAX CosignatureRequirements
      SINGLE VALUE
::= id-cosignature-requirements
```

7.8.10 Relative Identity

A CA may wish to certify only a portion of a name of an individual in a normal business setting. E.g., the CA may wish to disclaim liability for correctness of an individual's personal name, since the user's signature is binding on the organization in any event. In such a case, the CA would only vouch for the correctness of the organizational part of the user's distinguished name.

```
RelativeIdentity ::= INTEGER
-- number of certified RDNs in the DN

relative-identity ATTRIBUTE
      WITH ATTRIBUTE-SYNTAX RelativeIdentity
      SINGLE VALUE
::= id-relative-identity
```

7.8.11 Trust Specification

One can specify the trust in a given CA with the following ASN.1 type.

```
Trust ::= SIGNED SET {    -- signed by the user
      cross     [0]  CrossCertify OPTIONAL,
      users     [1]  Users OPTIONAL }
```

The two components answer the questions:

a) Which CAs may the CA cross certify?, and
b) Which users may the CA certify?

```
CrossCertify ::= SEQUENCE OF CrossCertifyEntry

CrossCertifyEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
      crossSpec           CrossSpecification,
      trustLevel          [0]   INTEGER OPTIONAL,
      transitive          [1]   INTEGER DEFAULT 0 }

CrossSpecification ::= CHOICE {
      superior       [0]  NULL,   -- my immediate superior
      ancestors      [1]  NULL,   -- all superiors
      subordinates   [2]  NULL,   -- normal CA hierarchy
      descendants    [3]  NULL,   -- any descendant CA
      name           [4]  Name,   -- individual CAs
      group          [5]  Name,   -- group of names (of CAs)
      subtree        [6]  Subtree }   -- all CAs in a subtree
```

The list of CAs which may be cross certified may include CA names, directory subtrees (possibly containing a hierarchy of CAs), group names where the (non hierarchical) group is a list of CA names, and various CAs whose names bear a relationship to the name of the CA in question:

a) the immediate superior CA or all superior CAs (up to the TLCA);
b) all immediately subordinate CAs; and
c) all subordinate CAs at any depth.

An explicit level of trust may be specified, as well as an indication of whether cross-certification applies transitively, i.e., if certificates in a domain which is cross-certified by the CA named in the entry will be trusted. Transitivity is indicated by specifying the number of cross certificates which may be in a chain rooted on the specified CA, i.e., the number of domain boundaries crossed.

The Subtree is defined in X.501 (1993).

```
Users ::= SEQUENCE OF UserEntry

UserEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
      userSpec       UserSpecification,
      trustLevel     [0]   INTEGER OPTIONAL,
      transitive     [1]   INTEGER DEFAULT 0 }

UserSpecification ::= CHOICE {
      subordinates   [0]   NULL,    -- my subordinates
      name           [1]   Name,    -- individuals
      group          [2]   Name,    -- group of names
      subtree        [3]   Subtree }   -- whole subtree
```

The users which a CA may certify may include (all) subordinates (a very common case), as well as individual names, names of groups (Directory entries which contain lists of user names), and subtrees as defined above.

```
trust-specification
      WITH ATTRIBUTE-SYNTAX  TrustSpecification
      SINGLE VALUE
::= id-trust-specification
```

7.8.12 Transaction Limit

This attribute represents the maximum monetary value of a message (transaction) which the entity may sign.

```
TransactionLimit ::= MonetaryValue

transaction-limit ATTRIBUTE
      WITH ATTRIBUTE-SYNTAX TransactionLimit
::= id-transaction-limit
```

7.8.13 Transaction Type

This attribute represents a transaction type which the entity may sign. (Multiple values of the attribute may be present.)

```
TransactionType ::= OBJECT IDENTIFIER

transaction-type ATTRIBUTE
      WITH ATTRIBUTE-SYNTAX TransactionType
::= id-transaction-type
```

7.8.14 Time Of Day

This attribute describes the time periods during which signatures from this entity are considered valid.

```
TimeConstraint ::= SEQUENCE {
      daysOfWeek     BIT STRING { sunday(0), monday(1),
                     tuesday(2), wednesday(3), thursday(4),
                     friday(5), saturday(6) },
      intervalsOfDay      IntervalsOfDay }

IntervalsOfDay ::= SET OF SEQUENCE {
      intervalStart  Time24,
      intervalEnd    Time24 }

Time24 ::= SEQUENCE {
      hour           INTEGER (0..23),
      minute         INTEGER (0..59) }

time-of-day ATTRIBUTE
      WITH ATTRIBUTE-SYNTAX TimeConstraint
::= id-time-of-day
```

7.8.15 Location

This attribute indicates the valid location(s) a transaction may be submitted from.

```
Location ::= CHOICE {
      [0]  PresentationAddress,
      [1]  IPAddress,
      [2]  X121Address }

IPAddress ::= OCTET STRING (SIZE 6)
```

PresentationAddress and X121Address are defined in X.520.

```
location ATTRIBUTE
      WITH ATTRIBUTE-SYNTAX Location
::= id-location
```

7.8.16 Authorized Signatory

This attribute may be used in the attribute certificate of an organizational entity to formally indicate the identities of individuals authorized to sign for the organization.

```
AuthorizedSignatory ::= Name

authorized-signatory ATTRIBUTE
      WITH ATTRIBUTE-SYNTAX AuthorizedSignatory
::= id-authorized-signatory
```

7.8.17 Pre-approved Counter Party

This attribute may be used to indicate entities with which the certified entity is pre-authorized to conduct financial transactions (e.g., customers or suppliers).

```
PreApprovedCounterParty ::= Name

preapproved-counterparty ATTRIBUTE
      WITH ATTRIBUTE-SYNTAX PreApprovedCounterParty
::= id-preapproved-counterparty
```

7.8.18 Delegation Controls

This attribute may be used to indicate the amount of "authority" an entity may delegate to another entity when issuing an attribute certificate.

```
DelegationControl ::= SEQUENCE {
      delegation     Delegation,
      limit          TransactionLimit,
      types          SET OF TransactionType }

delegation ::= ENUMERATED {
      exercise (0),  -- may not delegate
      deputy (1),    -- may delegate exercise of authority
      officer (2),   -- may subdelegate up to deputy
      master (3) }   -- may delegate anything

delegation-control ATTRIBUTE
      WITH ATTRIBUTE-SYNTAX DelegationControl
::= id-delegation-control
```

8 Lower Layers Security

9 Upper Layers Security

Refer to Part 12, clause 9 of the Stable Agreements Document.

9.1 Security Mechanisms

9.1.1 Peer Entity Authentication

ACSE authentication extensions [ISO8649][ISO8650/1] support two-way authentication through the definition of a new functional unit. When this functional unit is employed, additional parameters are provided by the A-ASSOCIATE service to indicate this requirement and convey authentication information between entities. The ASN.1 definition for this information is given below:

from [ISO8650/1]:

```
Mechanism-name ::= OBJECT IDENTIFIER
    --This field shall be present if authentication-value is of type ANY.
Authentication-value   :=  CHOICE {
    charstring           [0] IMPLICIT GraphicString,
    bitstring            [1] IMPLICIT BIT STRING,
    external             [2] IMPLICIT EXTERNAL,
    other                [3] IMPLICIT SEQUENCE {

          other-mechanism-name    Mechanism-name,
          other-mechanism-value   ANY DEFINED BY
               other-mechanism-name }}


    -- The abstract syntax of authentication-value is determined by the
    -- authentication-mechanism used during association establishment. The
    -- authentication-mechanism is either explicitly denoted by the OBJECT IDENTIFIER
    -- value for Mechanism-name, or it is know implicitly by prior agreement between
    -- the communicating partners.  If "other" is chosen, then "Mechanism-name"
    -- must be present in accordance with ISO 8824.
```

These agreements define the following mechanisms for use with this ACSE functional unit:

simple-strong authentication mechanism.

9.1.1.1 Simple-Strong Authentication

9.1.1.2 External Authentication Mechanisms

9.1.1.2.1 Kerberos Version 5

One instance of an external authentication mechanism is the Kerberos mechanism defined in [z]. The Kerberos specification assigned the following object identifier to an abstract syntax suitable for use in this way:

kerberos-V5 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { iso(1) org(3) dod(6)
internet(1) security (5) kerberosV5 (2) }}

9.1.1.2.2 Kerberos Version 4

One instance of an external authentication mechanism is the Kerberos mechanism defined in [ai]. The Kerberos specification assigned the following object identifier to an abstract syntax suitable for use in this way:

```
kerberos-V4     OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { iso(1) org(3) dod(6)
                internet(1) security (5) kerberosV4 (1) }
```

9.1.2 Integrity/Data Origin Authentication Transformation

(See the Stable Document)

9.2 Security Associations

9.2.1 Overview

In order for entities to communicate securely using the security mechanisms in the previous section, a set of attributes has to be negotiated and established. A security association is defined by a set a security attributes. A security association represents the security relationship between these entities, including agreed values that indicate the selected security mechanisms and the agreed values the security mechanisms will use. Each security association is given a unique identifier, and all the security attributes and the identifier are stored as an managed object within the Security Management Information Base (SMIB).

NOTE - The implementation of a SMIB including possible retention of information about a deleted security association for audit purposes is a local issue.

A security association may be used for the duration of an application association or of a connection, or it may be used for a predefined time period independent of the application association or connection duration. This is determined by policy and is beyond the scope of these agreements. Once the security association is established and placed in the SMIB, the security mechanisms can use the security association for their operation.

9.2.2 Layer Specific Security Association Protocols

9.2.3 I.E.E.E. 802.10C Key Management Protocol

9.2.4 ANSI X9.41 Security Services Management

9.2.5 ISP.421 Security Association Management Protocol

10 Message Handling System (MHS) Security

All current MHS security relevant text appears in Part 8.

11 Directory Services Security

12 Network Management Security

12.1 Threats

Refer to clause 12.1 of the Stable Implementation Agreements.

12.2 Security Services

Refer to clause 12.2 of the Stable Implementation Agreements.

12.3 Security Mechanisms

12.3.1 Peer-Entity Authentication

Refer to 12.3.1 of the Stable Implementation Agreements.

12.3.2 Connectionless Integrity

In order to identify whether changes to a data unit have occurred it is proposed that an integrity check value (ICV) be computed over the entire data unit and included in the protocol control information for that data unit. The specification and location for conveying this information is left for further study. Because of the envisaged relationship between the underlying mechanisms employed for data origination authentication and connectionless integrity, they are to be considered jointly.

12.3.3 Data Origination Authentication

The proposed security mechanism for data origination authentication is encipherment and intended to protect the ICV computed for connectionless integrity. Successful peer authentication results in the establishment of a cryptographic association between network management entities. The association allows the originator of a data unit to encrypt it or portions of it, and have the peer recipient verify origination through decryption. In order to minimize computational effort, it is proposed that only the integrity check value be enciphered (i.e., a signature) rather than the entire data unit.

This approach implies that data origination authentication information resides with the integrity check value, and that an according ASN.1 definition reflect any requirements of the signing algorithm or choice of algorithm. However, there appears to be no appropriate location in the application layer protocols employed by network management to convey such data origination authentication information. This issue is left for further study.

12.3.4 Connectionless Confidentiality

Annex A (normative)

ISPICS Requirements List

Annex B (normative)

Errata

Table 4 - WIA Part 12 Changes

NO. OF ERRATA	TYPE	REFERENCED DOCUMENT	CLAUSE	NOTES
	TECHNICAL	WIA PART - 13	12	ADDED NEW
	TECHNICAL	WIA PART - 13	11	ADDED NEW
	TECHNICAL	WIA PART - 13	5.2/.3	ADDED NEW
	TECHNICAL	WIA PART - 13	8	ADDED NEW
	TECHNICAL	SIA PART - 12	0..12	ADD OUTLINE 2ND LEVEL
	TECHNICAL	SIA PART - 12	9	ADD TEXT
	TECHNICAL	SIA PART - 12	12.1.2	ADD TEXT
	TECHNICAL	SIA PART - 12	12.2.2	ADD TEXT
	TECHNICAL	SIA PART - 12	12.4.1/.2	ADD TEXT

Annex C (normative)

Security Labels

Editor's Note - Agreements about security labels is a future work item.

Annex D (normative)

Security Algorithms and Attributes

```
OIWSECSIGAlgorithmObjectIdentifiers  { iso(1) identified-organization(3)
                        oiw(14) secsig(3)
                        oIWSECSIGAlgorithmObjectIdentifiers(1)}
DEFINITIONS =
BEGIN

EXPORTS
-- to be determined

IMPORTS
-- none

-- category of information object
-- defining our own here; perhaps the definition should be imported from
-- { joint-iso-ccitt ds(5) modules(1) usefulDefinitions(0) }
-- This annex contains OIW registrations only; refer to section 7 algorithm
-- descriptions algorithms IDs.

algorithm      OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { iso(1) identified-organization(3)
                            oiw(14) secsig(3) algorithm(2) }



-- macros

-- taken from { joint-iso-ccitt ds(5) modules(1) authenticationFramework(7) }
ALGORITHM MACRO    ::=
BEGIN
TYPE NOTATION      ::= "PARAMETER" type
VALUE NOTATION     ::= value(VALUE OBJECT IDENTIFIER)
END -- of ALGORITHM


-- algorithms

md4WithRSA ALGORITHM
    PARAMETER NULL
    ::= { algorithm 2 }

md5WithRSA ALGORITHM
    PARAMETER NULL
    ::= { algorithm 3 }

md4WithRSAEncryption ALGORITHM
    PARAMETER NULL
    ::= { algorithm 4 }
```

```
desECB ALGORITHM
    PARAMETER NULL
    ::= { algorithm 6 }

desCBC ALGORITHM
    PARAMETER CBCParameter
    ::= { algorithm 7 }

CBCParameter ::= IV

desOFB ALGORITHM
    PARAMETER FBParameter
    ::= { algorithm 8 }

desCFB ALGORITHM
    PARAMETER FBParameter
    ::= { algorithm 9 }

FBParameter ::= SEQUENCE {
    iv IV,
    numberOfBits NumberOfBits}

NumberOfBits ::= INTEGER    -- Number of feedback bits (1 to 64 bits)
```

Editor's Note - Check FIPS PUB 81 for allowed ranges of feedback bits and specify ranges here as a comment.

```
IV ::= OCTET STRING  -- 8 octets

desMAC ALGORITHM
    PARAMETER MACParameter
    ::= { algorithm 10 }

MACParameter ::= INTEGER    -- Length of MAC (16, 24, 32, 40, 40 or 64 bits)
```

Editor's Note - Check FIPS PUB 113 for allowed

```
rsaSignature ALGORITHM
    PARAMETER NULL
    ::= { algorithm 11 }

dsa ALGORITHM
    PARAMETER DSAParameters
    ::= { algorithm 12 }

dsaWithSHA ALGORITHM
    PARAMETER DSAParameters
    ::= { algorithm 13}

mdc2WithRSASignature
    PARAMETER NULL
    ::= { algorithm 14 }
```

```
shaWithRSASignature
    PARAMETER NULL
    ::= { algorithm 15 }

dhWithCommonModulus ALGORITHM
    PARAMETER NULL
    ::= { algorithm 16 }

desEDE ALGORITHM
    PARAMETER NULL
    ::= { algorithm 17 }
sha ALGORITHM
    PARAMETER NULL
    ::= { algorithm 18 }

mdc-2 ALGORITHM
    PARAMETER NULL
    ::= { algorithm 19 }

dsaCommon ALGORITHM
          PARAMETER NULL
     ::= { algorithm 20 }

dsaCommonWithSHA ALGORITHM
    PARAMETER NULL
    ::= { algorithm 21)

rsaKeyTransport ALGORITHM
    PARAMETER NULL
    ::= { algorithm 22 }

keyed-hash-seal ALGORITHM
    PARAMETER NULL
    ::= { algorithm 23 }

sha1 ALGORITHM
    PARAMETER NULL
    ::= {algorithm 26}

dsaWithSHA1 ALGORITHM
    PARAMETER DSAParameters
    ::= {algorithm 27)

dsaCommonWithSHA1 ALGORITHM
    PARAMETER NULL
    ::= { algorithm 28)

sha1WithRSASignature
    PARAMETER NULL
    ::= {algorithm 29}
```

```
authentication-mechanism OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::=
    { iso(1) identified-organization(3) oiw(14) secsig(3) auth-mechanism(3) }

attribute OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::=
    { iso(1) identified-organization(3) oiw(14) secsig(3) attribute(4) }
id-liability-limitation OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { attribute 1 }
id-binding-information OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { attribute 2 }
id-trusted-third-party OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { attribute 3 }
id-cosignature-requirements OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { attribute 4 }
id-certificate-purpose OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { attribute 5 }
id-relative-identity OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { attribute 6 }
id-trust-specification OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { attribute 7 }
id-transaction-limit OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { attribute 8 }
id-transaction-type OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { attribute 9 }
id-location OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { attribute 10 }
id-time-of-day OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { attribute 11 }
id-authorized-signatory OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { attribute 12 }
id-preapproved-counterparty OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { attribute 13 }
id-delegation-control OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { attribute 14 }

doc-type OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::=
    { iso(1) identified-organization(3) oiw(14) secsig(3)
    doc-type(5) }

id-doc-drivers-license OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { doc-type 1 }
id-doc-passport OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { doc-type 2 }
id-doc-alien-registration OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { doc-type 3 }
id-doc-birth-certificate OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { doc-type 4 }

module OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::=

     { iso(1) identified-organization(3) oiw(14) secsig(3) module (6) }
x9f1-certmgmt OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { module 1 }

END -- of Algorithm Object Identifier Definitions
```

Annex E (normative)

References for Security Algorithms

(See the Stable Document).

Annex F (informative)

Bibliography

(See the Stable Document).

Annex G (normative)

El Gamal

Annex H (informative)

STATUS

Table 5 - ISO Status

DOCUMENT	WD	CD	DIS	IS
ISO/IEC JTC1 SC21/WG1 N5044	X	X	X	6/91
NETWORK LAYER ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6	X	7/91		
TRANSPORT LAYER ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6	X	X	7/91	
LOWER LAYER ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6 6227	X			

NOTE - This table was not included in any motion presented to the Plenary in December 1990.

Annex I (informative)

Security-SIG Management Plan

Table 6 - Management Plan

Document	Next Milestone	Date
ISO/IEC JTC1 SC21 N3614		
ISO/IEC DP 9796		
SDN-601/NIST IR 90-4262	COMPLETED	
SDN-701/NIST IR 90-4250	COMPLETED	
SDN-702/NIST IR 90-4250	COMPLETED	
ISO/IEC JTC1 SC21/WG1 N5002		
SDN-902/NIST IR 90-4262	COMPLETED	
SDN-903/NIST IR 90-4262	COMPLETED	
ISO/IEC JTC1 SC21/WG1 N4110		
SDN-301/NIST IR 90-4250	COMPLETED	
SDN-401/NIST IR 90-4250	COMPLETED	
SDN-906/NIST IR 90-4262	COMPLETED	
ISO/IEC JTC1 SC21/WG1 N5001		
ISO/IEC JTC1 SC21/WG1 F29 N5045		
ISO/IEC JTC1 SC21/WG1 F30		
ISO/IEC JTC1 SC21/WG1 F31 N5047		
ISO/IEC JTC1 SC21/WG1 F32 N5046		
ISO/IEC JTC1 SC21/WG4 N3775		
ISO/IEC JTC1 SC21/WG1 N4110		
ISO/IEC JTC1 SC21/WG7 N4022		
ISO/IEC JTC1 SC21/WG1 N5048		
ISO/IEC JTC1 SC21/WG1 N5049		
ISO/IEC JTC1 SC21/WG1 N5044	IS	6/91
NETWORK LAYER ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6	CD	7/91
TRANSPORT LAYER ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6 6285	DIS	7/91
LOWER LAYER ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6 6227	WD	N/A

Annex J (informative)

Key Management

Many of the security services defined for use within OSI protocols and applications are provided by the use of cryptographic techniques. The use of these techniques requires that cryptographic keys are available.

Key management is the generic name covering the process required to ensure such availability.
A definition of the objective for key management is thus:

a) To provide suitable cryptographic keys to security services that require such keys in a secure and timely manner.

This area has been studied for a number of years and specific solutions produced to address needs in well defined situations; the defense and banking communities are examples.

The general problem of key management in a nonspecific OSI environment has not, however, been addressed. And hence OSI key management standards do not exist; whilst responsibility for them has been assigned, work to produce such standards is only just starting.

J.1 Definition of Key Management

Key management is the collection of procedures and services that support the generation, storage, transport, and destruction of cryptographic key material. Specifically, with respect to OIW agreements, key management supports the security services specified in the OIW protocol ISPs.

J.2 Tutorial on Key Management

This tutorial provides information on the role of key management within an overall security architecture. It addresses the requirements OSI security services place on key management. It describes the issues that arise specifically with regard to the administration of keying material, approaches to key distribution, and the relationship of these approaches to the requirements and concerns referred to above.

J.2.1 Requirements of Key Management

This section identifies the generic and specific requirement that security services and protocols place on key management.

a) Symmetric (private, single key);

All parties belong to the same cryptographic network and hold the same private key which is known only to the members of that network. This one key is used by all members for both encryption and decryption. The network can

be as small as 2, or as large as thousands. However, to minimize damage in the event the key is broken, the network size is kept small.

b) Asymmetric (public, two key);

There is no cryptographic network as in the sense of symmetric keying. Each user holds two keys: an encrypt and a decrypt. The decrypt key is private and known only to the holder. Each user's encrypt key is also placed at a point of public access where all other users can obtain it. A user who wishes to send encrypted information to another user would RETRIEVE the intended recipient's public encrypt key from the common storage area and use it to encrypt the information to be sent to the recipient. The recipient would then use his own private decrypt key to decrypt the information.

c) Intermediary

This key scheme is one in which each user holds his own private key known only to himself and to a trusted intermediary. The users encrypt information to be sent to the intended recipient using his private key and then sends it to the intermediary. The intermediary decrypts the information using the user's private key, re-encrypts the information using the intended recipient's private key, and sends the information to the intended recipient. The intended recipient then decrypts the information using its own private key.

J.2.2 Key Administration

One of the primary tasks of key management is the administration of keying material. There are several general issues which arise in this context.

J.2.2.1 Generation

Key management is responsible for ensuring the availability of keys when required. The provision of cryptographic keys may be by a process internal to [the] key management [system] or by an external process.

Generated keys must be suitable for use by the key requestor. This suitability is determined by the cryptographic algorithm to be used by the requestor.

J.2.2.2 Validation

TBD

J.2.2.3 Expiration

Key management must have provision for expiring keys, including time limit expiration and expiration due to compromise.

J.2.2.4 Audit

Key management must maintain an audit trail of its activities. There must be capabilities for reporting this information in an appropriate fashion.

J.2.2.5 Authorization/Authentication

Key management may require the requesting security service authentication itself to key management to determine the validity of the request.

J.2.3 Approaches to Key Distribution

There are several extant approaches to key management. These include public key and certificate methods, symmetric key techniques, and proposals to use network management for toy manager.

J.2.3.1 Symmetric

Network management provides an alternate view of key management. The basic approach here is to treat keying material as management information to be manipulated.

There are two ways to structure this. The security services could generate a "key management event" and the key management service could respond with a keying material. There are difficulties with this because the difficulty in assuring event delivery.

Alternatively, the security services could be seen as the manager generating get and put commands to enable the communication of keying material.

The largest concern with this approach is that unless combined with one of the others, one merely re-introduces the key-management problem in order to provide peer-entity authentication, integrity, and confidentiality for the key exchange.

J.2.3.1.1 Certificate

J.2.3.1.2 Symmetric Generation

J.2.3.1.3 Centralized

J.2.3.1.4 External

J.2.3.2 Asymmetric

Public key techniques are mostly commonly used for authentication and data integrity where the amount of information being protected is relatively small. These can also be used as an underlying mechanism to implement a symmetric private key exchange.

Public key technology can also be coupled with certificates or other methods of relating public keys to identifies. Doing this provides peer entity authentication based on the strength of the relationship between keys and identities. Directory stored certificated (possibly with local caching) are an example of a method of this type.

J.2.3.2.1 Certificate

J.2.3.2.2 Centralized

J.2.3.2.3 External

J.3 Key Management Architectures

J.3.1 Existing Systems

J.3.1.1 SDNS

J.3.1.2 SILS

J.3.1.3 ANSI X9.17

J.3.1.4 Kerberos

J.3.2 OSI

TBD

J.4 Current Issues

J.5 Related Organizations

J.5.1 ANSI X.9

J.5.2 SC21

J.5.3 SC27

J.5.4 IEEE 802.10

J.6 References

Annex K (informative)

Base Environment Threats

Table 7 defines the services required to protect against various threats in a Base Environment.

Each X in the table identifies a security service which offers protection against the corresponding threat.

Table 7 - Threats to Sevices

	SECURITY SERVICES				
THREAT	AUTH.	ACC. CTRL	DATA CONF.	DATA INTEG.	NON-REPUD.
MASQUERADE	X				
REPLAY			X	X	
MODIFICATION OF MESSAGE		X		X	
DENIAL OF SERVICE		X		X	
TRAP DOOR		X		X	
TROJAN HORSE		X	X	X	
DISCLOSURE		X	X		
REPUDIATION					X